

ECON 7710 TA Session

Week 12

Jiarui(Jerry) Qian

University of Virginia, Department of Economics

arr3ra@virginia.edu

Nov 2023

Outline

1 PS 6

2 Practice Questions

Question 1.a

We have n i.i.d. draws from uniform distribution on $[0, \theta]$, the pdf is:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta} & x \in [0, \theta] \\ 0 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The likelihood function is written as:

$$\hat{L}(\theta; \mathbf{X}) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}(0 \leq X_i \leq \theta)$$

Denote $X_m = \max(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$, then we discuss the relationship between X_m and θ .

- If $\theta < X_m$, then $\mathbf{1}(0 \leq x_m \leq \theta) = 0$, which means $\hat{L}(\theta; \mathbf{X}) = 0$.
- If $\theta \geq X_m$, then $\hat{L}(\theta; \mathbf{X}) = \frac{1}{\theta^n}$. Clearly, when θ increases, $\frac{1}{\theta^n}$ decreases.

Therefore, we know the maximum of $\hat{L}(\theta; \mathbf{X})$ is achieved when $\theta = X_m$. In other words, we constructed:

$$\hat{\theta} = X_m$$

Question 1.b

- $\hat{\theta} = X_m = \max\{X_1, X_2, \dots\}$, the pdf of each X_i in part 1.(a) and the cdf is:

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{If } x \in (-\infty, 0) \\ \frac{x}{\theta} & \text{If } x \in [0, \theta] \\ 1 & \text{If } x \in (\theta, \infty) \end{cases}$$

- We can easily construct the CDF and pdf of $\hat{\theta} = X_m$:

$$\text{cdf : } F_{\hat{\theta}}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{If } x \in (-\infty, 0) \\ (\frac{x}{\theta})^n & \text{If } x \in [0, \theta] \\ 1 & \text{If } x \in (\theta, \infty) \end{cases} \quad \text{pdf : } f_{\hat{\theta}}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{\theta} (\frac{x}{\theta})^{n-1} & \text{If } x \in [0, \theta] \\ 0 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- $E(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}) = \int_0^{\theta} x f_{\hat{\theta}}(x) dx = \int_0^{\theta} n (\frac{x}{\theta})^n dx = \frac{n}{\theta^n} \frac{x^{n+1}}{n+1} \Big|_0^{\theta} = \frac{n\theta}{n+1}$.
- Then we know $E(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}) - \theta = -\frac{\theta}{n+1}$

Question 1.c

1 Variance

We know:

$$E[\hat{\theta}_{MLE}^2] = \int_0^\theta x^2 f_{\hat{\theta}}(x) dx = \int_0^\theta \frac{n}{\theta^n} x^{n+1} dx = \frac{n}{\theta^n} \frac{x^{n+2}}{n+2} \Big|_0^\theta = \frac{n\theta^2}{n+2}$$

Therefore, we know the variance of our estimator is:

$$Var[\hat{\theta}_{MLE}] = E[\hat{\theta}_{MLE}^2] - E[\hat{\theta}_{MLE}]^2 = \frac{n\theta^2}{n+2} - \left(\frac{n\theta}{n+1}\right)^2 = \frac{\theta^2}{(n+1)^2(1+\frac{2}{n})}$$

2 Rate of convergence

r_n quantifies how fast the estimation error decreases when increasing the sample size n . In other words, what we are interested in is when divided by n^{-r} or multiplied by n^r , what's the largest r such that $|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta|$ remains stochastic bounded. $r_n = (n^r)$ is rate of convergence. Clearly, for $Sd[\hat{\theta}] = \frac{\theta}{(n+1)\sqrt{(1+\frac{2}{n})}}$, the convergence rate should be n .

Question 1.c

3 Consistency of $\hat{\theta}$.

We already know $E(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}) = \frac{n\theta}{n+1} = \frac{\theta}{1+\frac{1}{n}} \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}) = \theta$. So it is an unbiased estimator.

- We also know:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_{MLE}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta^2}{n^2 + 4n + 5 + \frac{2}{n}} = 0$$

- Since our estimator is unbiased, using Chebyshev's inequality we know $P(|\hat{\theta} - \theta| > \epsilon) \leq \text{Var}(\hat{\theta})/\epsilon^2$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}) = 0$ we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\hat{\theta} - \theta| > \epsilon) = 0$ as well, which means consistency is proved. ($\hat{\theta} \xrightarrow{P} \theta$).

Question 1.d

- For asymptotic distribution of our estimator.

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \max(X_1, \dots, X_n) = X_m \text{ and } r_n = n$$

- Notice that:

$$Pr(n(X_m - \theta) < x) = Pr(X_m < \frac{x}{n} + \theta)$$

- Then we can derive that:

$$Pr(X_m < \frac{x}{n} + \theta) = (1 + \frac{x}{n\theta})^n$$

- Since $e^x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + \frac{x}{n})^n$, we know the limit of probability:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 + \frac{x}{n\theta})^n = e^{\frac{x}{\theta}} \quad (x \leq 0)$$

- The cdf of asymptotic distribution of our estimator is

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} e^{\frac{x}{\theta}} & x \leq 0 \\ 1 & x > 0 \end{cases}$$

- which tells us the asymptotic distribution is exponential, but on the negative side of the real line.

Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are iid realizations from Uniform distribution with support $[0, \theta]$, where θ is an unknown parameter.

- a Show that $\hat{\theta}_n = 2 \times \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n}$ is an unbiased and consistent estimator of θ .
- b Is $\hat{\theta}_n = 2 \times X_1$ an unbiased and consistent estimator of θ ? Why? Show your work.
- c Show that $\hat{\theta}_n = \max\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ is a biased estimator of θ .

Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are iid realizations from Uniform distribution with support $(0, \theta)$, where θ is an unknown parameter.

- a Show that $\hat{\theta}_n = 2 \times \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n}$ is an unbiased and consistent estimator of θ .
- Unbiased: $E[\hat{\theta}_n] = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i] = \frac{2}{n} * n * \frac{\theta}{2} = \theta$. $E[\hat{\theta}_n] = \theta$, so unbiased.
 - Consistent: By Law of Large Numbers, $\bar{X}_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n} \xrightarrow{p} E[X] = \frac{\theta}{2}$, hence $2\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{p} \theta$, so consistent.

Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are iid realizations from Uniform distribution with support $(0, \theta)$, where θ is an unknown parameter.

b Is $\hat{\theta}_n = 2 \times X_1$ an unbiased and consistent estimator of θ ? Why? Show your work.

- Unbiased: $E[\hat{\theta}_n] = 2E[X_1] = 2 * \frac{\theta}{2} = \theta$, so unbiased.
- Not Consistent:

$$P(|2X_1 - \theta| > \epsilon) = P(|X_1 - \frac{\theta}{2}| > \frac{\epsilon}{2}) = P(X_1 > \frac{\theta + \epsilon}{2}) + P(X_1 < \frac{\theta}{2} - \frac{\epsilon}{2})$$

Suppose ϵ is small and $\theta > \epsilon$, then we have

$$\begin{cases} P(X_1 > \frac{\theta + \epsilon}{2}) = 1 - \frac{\theta + \epsilon}{2\theta} \\ P(X_1 < \frac{\theta}{2} - \frac{\epsilon}{2}) = \frac{\theta - \epsilon}{2\theta} \end{cases}$$

$$P(|2X_1 - \theta| > \epsilon) = 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{\theta} \neq 0, \text{ so not consistent.}$$

Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are iid realizations from Uniform distribution with support $(0, \theta)$, where θ is an unknown parameter.

- c Show that $\hat{\theta}_n = \max\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ is a biased estimator of θ .
We want to know $E[\hat{\theta}_n]$. To get this, we need to first derive the CDF and PDF of $\hat{\theta}_n = X_m = \max\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$.
- Clearly as you just derived in your problem set 6,

$$cdf : F_{\hat{\theta}_n}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{If } x \in (-\infty, 0) \\ (\frac{x}{\theta})^n & \text{If } x \in [0, \theta] \\ 1 & \text{If } x \in (\theta, \infty) \end{cases} \quad pdf : f_{\hat{\theta}_n}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{\theta} (\frac{x}{\theta})^{n-1} & \text{If } x \in [0, \theta] \\ 0 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Then } E(\hat{\theta}_n) = \int_0^\theta x f_{\hat{\theta}_n}(x) dx = \int_0^\theta n (\frac{x}{\theta})^n dx = \frac{n}{\theta^n} \frac{x^{n+1}}{n+1} \Big|_0^\theta = \frac{n\theta}{n+1}.$$

Then we know $E[\hat{\theta}_n] \neq \theta$. We proved this is a biased estimator.

- * Although he didn't ask, but you just proved in PS6 1.c that $\hat{\theta}_n = X_m$ is a consistent estimator

Suppose $X \sim N[e^{\alpha\beta}, 1]$ and $Y \sim N[e^\alpha, 1]$, independent of each other. Let $\{X_i, Y_i\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ be i.i.d. observations on (X, Y) , and define $\bar{X} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ and $\bar{Y} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$. We are to estimate β by $\hat{\beta} = \frac{\log \bar{X}}{\log \bar{Y}}$.

Prove the consistency of $\hat{\beta}$ (namely, $\hat{\beta} \xrightarrow{P} \beta$) and derive its asymptotic distribution.

We know $X \sim N[e^{\alpha\beta}, 1]$, $Y \sim N[e^\alpha, 1]$

- By LLN:

$$\begin{cases} \bar{X} \xrightarrow{p} E[X] = e^{\alpha\beta} \\ \bar{Y} \xrightarrow{p} E[Y] = e^\alpha \end{cases}$$

- By CMP:

$$\begin{cases} \log \bar{X} \xrightarrow{p} \log e^{\alpha\beta} = \alpha\beta \\ \log \bar{Y} \xrightarrow{p} \log e^\alpha = \alpha \end{cases}$$

- By property of convergence

$$\begin{cases} \log \bar{X} \xrightarrow{d} \alpha\beta \\ \log \bar{Y} \xrightarrow{d} \alpha \end{cases}$$

- By Slutsky

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\log \bar{X}}{\log \bar{Y}} \xrightarrow{d} \frac{\alpha\beta}{\alpha} = \beta, \Rightarrow \hat{\beta} \xrightarrow{p} \beta$$

So we proved consistency of $\hat{\beta} : \hat{\beta} \xrightarrow{p} \beta$

We know $\hat{\beta} = \frac{\log(\bar{X})}{\log(\bar{Y})} = f(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})$, a function of (\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) .

- By CLT:

$$\begin{cases} \sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - e^{\alpha\beta}) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1) \\ \sqrt{n}(\bar{Y} - e^{\alpha}) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1) \end{cases}$$

- By independence of X and Y :

$$\sqrt{n} \left(\begin{bmatrix} \bar{X} \\ \bar{Y} \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} e^{\alpha\beta} \\ e^{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} \right) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Sigma), \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- By multivariate delta method, considering $\hat{\beta} = \frac{\log(\bar{X})}{\log(\bar{Y})} = h(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})$

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta) &\xrightarrow{d} N(0, \nabla h(\beta)' \Sigma \nabla h(\beta)) \\ \nabla h(\beta)'|_{\mu_x, \mu_y} &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial h(\beta)}{\partial \bar{X}} \\ \frac{\partial h(\beta)}{\partial \bar{Y}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\bar{X} \log(\bar{Y})} \\ -\frac{\log(\bar{X})}{(\log(\bar{Y}))^2 \bar{Y}} \end{bmatrix}_{\mu_x, \mu_y} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{e^{\alpha\beta} \beta} \\ -\frac{1}{\alpha e^{\alpha}} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

- Therefore, the asymptotic distribution is:

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta) \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \frac{1}{\alpha^2 e^{2\alpha\beta}} + \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2 e^{2\alpha}}\right)$$