# Suggested Solutions: ECON 7710 HW II

Author:

Jiarui (Jerry) Qian

September 22, 2023

# Question 1

We know X and Y are independent random variables and distribution functions are F(x) and G(y). We want to find the distribution functions of following 4 random variables:

•  $\max\{X,Y\}$ Define  $A = \max\{X,Y\}$ , then  $F_A(a) = P(A \le a) = P(\max\{X,Y\} \le a) = P(X \le a \text{ and } Y \le a)$ . Since X and Y are independent, we know

$$P(X \le a \text{ and } Y \le a) = P(X \le a) * P(Y \le a) = F_X(a) * F_Y(a)$$

•  $\min\{X,Y\}$ 

Define  $B = \min\{X, Y\}$ , then  $F_B(b) = P(B \le b) = P(\min\{X, Y\} \le b) = 1 - P(\min\{X, Y\} > b) = 1 - P(X > b \text{ and } Y > b)$ .

Since X and Y are independent, we know

$$P(X > b \text{ and } Y > b) = P(X > b) * P(Y > b) = (1 - P(X \le b)) * (1 - P(X \le b)) = (1 - F_X(b))(1 - F_Y(b))$$

Therefore, we know:

$$F_B(b) = 1 - (1 - F_X(b))(1 - F_Y(b)) = F_X(b) + F_Y(b) - F_X(b) * F_Y(b)$$

•  $\max\{2X,Y\}$  Define  $C=\max\{2X,Y\}$ , then  $F_C(c)=P(C\leq c)=P(\max\{2X,Y\}\leq c)=P(2X\leq c \text{ and } Y\leq c).$  Since 2X and Y are independent, we know

$$P(2X \leq c \text{ and } Y \leq c) = P(X \leq \frac{c}{2}) * P(Y \leq c) = F_X(\frac{c}{2}) * F_Y(c)$$

•  $\min\{X^3, Y\}$ 

Define 
$$D = \min\{X^3, Y\}$$
, then  $F_D(d) = P(D \le d) = P(\min\{X^3, Y\} \le d) = 1 - P(\min\{X^3, Y\} > d) = 1 - P(X^3 > d)$  and  $Y > d$ ).

Notice that  $x^3$  is a monotonically increasing function and since  $X^3$  and Y are independent, we know

$$P(X^3 > d \text{ and } Y > d) = P(X^3 > d) * P(Y > d) = (1 - P(X^3 \le d))(1 - P(Y \le d))$$
$$= (1 - P(X \le d^{\frac{1}{3}}))(1 - P(Y \le d)) = (1 - F_X(d^{\frac{1}{3}}))(1 - F_Y(d))$$

Therefore, we know:

$$F_D(d) = F_X(d^{\frac{1}{3}}) + F_Y(d) - F_X(d^{\frac{1}{3}}) * F_Y(d)$$

# **Question 2**

In this question, we know the joint cumulative distribution function (CDF) of (X,Y) on the unit square, denoted by  $S = \{0 \le x \le 1 \text{ , } 0 \le y \le 1\}$ , is  $F(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}(xy + min\{x,y\})$ .

## a)

Since joint CDF means  $F_{X,Y}(x,y) = P(X \le x, Y \le y)$  and now our CDF is defined on  $x \in [0,1]$ ,  $y \in [0,1]$ . To answer how the CDF behave outside the unit square, we discuss as follows:

- When x>1,y>1: We already have F(1,1)=1. Meanwhile,  $F(x,y)\in [0,1]$  and it is nondecreasing. So we know F(x,y)=1 when x>1 and y>1.
- When  $x \in [0,1]$  and y > 1: Then we know  $F(x,y) = P(X \le x, Y \le y) = P(X \le x)$ , when  $0 \le x \le 1$  and y = 1. Then we know  $F(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}(2x) = x$ . (It depends on x only)
- When x>1 and  $y\in[0,1]$ : Then we know  $F(x,y)=P(X\leq x,Y\leq y)=P(Y\leq y)$ , when  $0\leq y\leq 1$  and x=1. Then we know  $F(x,y)=\frac{1}{2}(2y)=y$ . (It depends on y only)
- When x < 0 or y < 0: As we already have  $F(0, y) = 0, \forall y \ge 0$  and  $F(x, 0) = 0, \forall x \ge 0$ . We also know CDF is nondecreasing and the value of CDF lies between 0 to 1. Therefore F(x, y) = 0 if x < 0 or y < 0.

As we know when  $0 \le x \le 1$  and  $0 \le y \le 1$ ,  $F(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}(xy + \min\{x,y\})$ . When (x,y) lies outside the unit square, we know:

$$F_{X,Y}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{For } x \in (1,\infty), y \in (1,\infty) \\ x, & \text{For } x \in [0,1], y \in (1,\infty) \\ y, & \text{For } x \in (1,\infty), y \in [0,1] \\ 0, & \text{For } x \in (-\infty,0) \text{ or } y \in (-\infty,0) \end{cases}$$

b)

Denote marginal CDF of X as  $F_X(x)$  and marginal CDF of Y as  $F_Y(y)$ . We know:

$$F_X(x) = \lim_{y \to +\infty} F_{X,Y}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{For } x \in (-\infty,0) \\ x, & \text{For } x \in [0,1] \\ 1, & \text{For } x \in (1,\infty) \end{cases}$$

Likewise, we know:

$$F_Y(y) = \lim_{x \to +\infty} F_{X,Y}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{For } y \in (-\infty,0) \\ y, & \text{For } y \in [0,1] \\ 1, & \text{For } y \in (1,\infty) \end{cases}$$

c)

Since we know U = log X, and V = log Y, both are monotonically increasing functions. We denote CDF of (U, V) as  $F_{U,V}(u, v)$ . So we know  $F_{U,V}(u, v) = P(U \le u, V \le v) = P(log X \le u, log Y \le v) = P(X \le e^u, Y \le e^v)$ 

As we know from a), the CDF of (X, Y) is

$$F_{X,Y}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{For } x \in (-\infty,0) \text{ or } y \in (-\infty,0) \\ \frac{1}{2}(xy + min\{x,y\}), & \text{For } x \in [0,1], y \in [0,1] \\ x, & \text{For } x \in [0,1], y \in (1,\infty) \\ y, & \text{For } x \in (1,\infty), y \in [0,1] \\ 1, & \text{For } x \in (1,\infty), y \in (1,\infty) \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we know CDF of (U, V) is

$$F_{U,V}(u,v) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(e^{u+v} + min\{e^u, e^v\}), & \text{For } u \in (-\infty, 0], v \in (-\infty, 0] \\ e^u, & \text{For } u \in (-\infty, 0], v \in (0, \infty) \\ e^v, & \text{For } u \in (0, \infty), v \in (-\infty, 0] \\ 1, & \text{For } u \in (0, \infty), v \in (0, \infty) \end{cases}$$

d)

We are asked if the following distributions

- 1. joint distribution of *X* and *Y*
- 2. marginal distribution of *X* and *Y*
- 3. joint distribution of U and V

has a density w.r.t. Lebesgue measure respectively.

We also know that a distribution does not have a density w.r.t. the Lebesgue Measure if it places positive probability on a set has Lebesgue Measure zero.

## d.1

As we know from a), the CDF of (X, Y) is

$$F_{X,Y}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{For } x \in (-\infty,0) \text{ or } y \in (-\infty,0) \\ \frac{1}{2}(xy + min\{x,y\}), & \text{For } x \in [0,1], y \in [0,1] \\ x, & \text{For } x \in [0,1], y \in (1,\infty) \\ y, & \text{For } x \in (1,\infty), y \in [0,1] \\ 1, & \text{For } x \in (1,\infty), y \in (1,\infty) \end{cases}$$

First, we know within the unit square the CDF does not have a derivative at any point along the line when x = y (kink of min function).

Second, we know

$$\frac{\partial F(x,y)}{\partial x \partial y} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & x \in [0,y), \ y \in (0,1] \\ \frac{1}{2} & x \in (y,1], \ y \in [0,1) \\ 0 & \text{Outside of the unit square} \end{cases}$$

Third, we know:

$$Pr(0 \le X < Y, 0 < Y \le 1) = \int_0^1 \int_0^y \frac{1}{2} dx dy = \frac{1}{4}$$

Likewise,

$$Pr(Y < X \le 1, 0 \le Y < 1) = \int_0^1 \int_y^1 \frac{1}{2} dx dy = \frac{1}{4}$$

Since the probability of drawing a pair of (x,y) from a region outside of the unit square is 0. And the probability above only gives us  $\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{2}$ . It suggests that there is probability mass  $\frac{1}{2}$  on the line x = y. We can also prove that there are no other mass lines in the unit square by contradiction. Basically, if other line has a mass, the corresponding distribution function we construct will not coincide with the CDF we are given.

Since lines in 2D square have Lebesgue measure zero and we know the distribution of (X, Y) places positive probability on line x = y Thus Joint distribution of (X, Y) does not have density w.r.t. Lebesgue measure.

## d.2

We already know marginal distribution of *X* is:

$$F_X(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{For } x < 0 \\ x, & \text{For } x \in [0, 1] \\ 1, & \text{For } x > 1 \end{cases}$$

And marginal distribution of *Y* is:

$$F_Y(y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{For } y < 0 \\ y, & \text{For } y \in [0, 1] \\ 1, & \text{For } y > 1 \end{cases}$$

Then for  $F_X(x)$ , if we pick an arbitrary line in unit square, the marginal probability will always be 0 because y is contained in the line but there is no y in  $F_X(x)$ . Same logic for  $F_Y(y)$ . So we know marginal distribution of X and Y have a density w.r.t. the Lebesgue Measure.

#### d.3

We already know the CDF of (U, V) is

$$F_{U,V}(u,v) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(e^{u+v} + min\{e^u, e^v\}), & \text{For } u \in (-\infty, 0], v \in (-\infty, 0] \\ e^u, & \text{For } u \in (-\infty, 0], v \in (0, \infty) \\ e^v, & \text{For } u \in (0, \infty), v \in (-\infty, 0] \\ 1, & \text{For } u \in (0, \infty), v \in (0, \infty) \end{cases}$$

Similarly, we follow the steps in d.1 and set our line with Lebesgue Measure of zero as  $\{(u,v): u=v, \text{ For, } u\in(-\infty,0], v\in(-\infty,0]\}$ .

Then we will get a positive probability on a set has Lebesgue Measure zero, which means the joint distribution of U and V does not have a density w.r.t. the Lebesgue Measure.

In summary, joint distributions(d.1, d.3) don't have a density w.r.t. Lebesgue Measure. Marginal distributions(d.2) have a density w.r.t. Lebesgue Measure.

e)

**e.1** 

From previous analysis, we know with mass  $=\frac{1}{2}$ , x=y; with mass  $=\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{\partial F(x,y)}{\partial x \partial y}=\frac{1}{2}$  when x,y are in unit square and  $x \neq y$ . This tells us the distribution of X,Y should be a **mixture** of the bivariate uniform distribution (X,Y) are independent and uniform on the line x=y (X,Y) are perfectly correlated). In other words, half of the time, pair (x,y) is drawn uniformly from the unit square, half of the time it is drawn from the line x=y.

Now for the conditional distribution X|Y, it should also be a mixture. Half of the time, X will be drawn independently of the value of Y from [0,1] [Continuous Part]. Half of the time, it will be exactly equal to the value of Y [Discrete Part].

Then we know:

• Partial density function for the continuous part:

$$f_{X|Y}(x|y) = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \le x \le 1 \\ 0 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

• Partial probability mass function for the discrete part:

$$g_{X|Y}(x|y) = \begin{cases} 1 & x = y, \ (x,y) \in [0,1] \times [0,1] \\ 0 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then the we know:

$$E[X|Y] = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 x dx + \frac{1}{2}y = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}y$$

e.2

By symmetry, we know:

$$E[Y|X] = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}x$$

e.3

Likewise, the distribution of U, V is also a mixture of bivariate uniform distribution [Continuous Part] and a uniform on the line u = v [Discrete Part].

Then we know:

• Partial density function for the continuous part:

$$f_{U|V}(u|v) = \begin{cases} e^u & u \in (-\infty, 0] \\ 0 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

• Partial probability mass function for the discrete part:

$$g_{U|V}(u|v) = \begin{cases} 1 & u = v, \ (u,v) \in (-\infty,0] \times (-\infty,0] \\ 0 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then we know:

$$E[U|V] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{0} u e^{u} du + \frac{1}{2}v = \frac{1}{2} ([ue^{u}]_{-\infty}^{0} - \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{u} du) + \frac{1}{2}v$$

We know:  $\lim_{u\to 0}ue^u=0$ . We also know  $\lim_{u\to -\infty}ue^u=\lim_{u\to -\infty}\frac{u}{e^{-u}}=\lim_{u\to -\infty}\frac{1}{-e^{-u}}=0$  by L'Hôpital's rule. So

$$E[U|V] = \frac{1}{2}(v - \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{u} du) = \frac{1}{2}v - \frac{1}{2}$$

e.4

By symmetry, we know:

$$E[V|U] = \frac{1}{2}u - \frac{1}{2}$$