

Assignment - 02

Q1. Explain the following terms.

i) Null hypothesis - Null hypothesis is formulated for the purpose of its rejection under the assumption that it is true and denoted by H_0 .

$$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2$$

H_0 = the null hypothesis, $\bar{x} = \frac{\sum E}{n} + \frac{\sum d}{n}$

μ_1 : the mean of population 1

μ_2 : the mean of population 2

ii) Type I and Type II error -

when we test a statistic confidence level, there are chances of taking wrong decisions due to small sample size or sampling fluctuations etc.

Type I error is the incorrect rejection of a true null hypothesis, i.e. we reject H_0 , when it is true, whereas Type II error is the incorrect acceptance of a false null hypothesis, i.e. we accept H_0 when it's false.

iii) Confidence limits.

Confidence limits show how accurate an estimation of the mean is or is likely to be.

confidence limits are the lowest and the highest numbers at the end of a confidence interval.

iv) Alternative hypothesis -

Alternative hypothesis which is complementary to the null hypothesis called as "H_a" and is denoted by "H₁".

$$H_1: \mu_1 \neq \mu_2$$

H_1 = Alternative hypothesis

μ_1 = the mean of population 1.

μ_2 = the mean of population 2.

v) Significance level

The significance level of an event (such as statistical test) is the probability that the event could have occurred by chance. If the level is quite low, that is the probability of occurring by chance is quite small we say the event is significant.

The level of significance is the measurement of the statistical significance. It defines whether the null hypothesis is assumed to be accepted or rejected. It is expected to identify if the result is statistically significant for the null hypothesis to be false or rejected.

$$\alpha = 5\% \quad \alpha = 1\% \quad \alpha = 0.21\%$$

- Q2. A mechanist is making engine parts with axle diameter of 0.7 inch. A random sample of 10 parts shows mean diameter 0.792 inch with a S.D of 0.09 inch. Based on this sample, would you say that the axle is inferior.

Given $n = 10 < 30$ The sample is small sample \therefore we use t test

$$\mu = 0.700$$

$$\bar{x} = 0.792$$

$$s = 0.090$$

Null hypothesis (H_0)

$$H_0: \mu = 0.700$$

Alternative hypothesis (H_1)

$$H_1: \mu \neq 0.7$$

level of significance

$$\alpha = 5\% \quad d.f = n-1 = 10-1 = 9.$$

Critical Region $t_{0.05} = 2.262$

$$\text{Test statistic: } t = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\left(\frac{s}{\sqrt{n-1}}\right)} = \frac{0.792 - 0.7}{\left(\frac{0.090}{\sqrt{9}}\right)}$$

$$= 3.1500$$

Conclusion.

If $t_b - t_{\alpha/2} < t < t_{\alpha/2}$, then we accept H_0 .

$$-2.262 < 3.15 < 2.262$$

So we reject H_0 .

The product is not meeting specification.

- Q3. A manufacturer claimed that at least 95% equipments which he supplied to a factory conformed to specifications. An examination of a sample of 200 pieces of equipment revealed that 18 of them were faulty. Test his claim at significance level of 1% & 5%.

Given $n = 200$

$$H_0 : P = 95\% = 0.95$$

$$H_1 : P \neq 0.95$$

The level of significance $\alpha = 0.05 (5\%)$

The test statistic $Z = \frac{d - p}{\sqrt{\frac{pq}{n}}}$ where $p + q = 1 \Rightarrow q = 1 - p$

$$q = 1 - 0.95 = 0.05$$

\therefore Total defective lens products (non defective)

$$= 200 - 18 = 182$$

$$P = \frac{182}{200} = 0.91$$

$$Z = \frac{0.91 - 0.95}{\sqrt{\frac{0.95 \times 0.05}{200}}} = \frac{0.04}{\sqrt{0.0002375}} = -2.5955$$

At 5% level, the tabulated value of $Z_{\alpha/2}$ is 1.96

$$\text{Since } |Z| = 2.5955 > 1.96.$$

Hence, the null hypothesis is rejected at 5% level of significance.

4. Genetic theory states that the children having one parent of blood type M and other blood type N will be always one of the 3 types MN, M, or N. And that the proportion of these types will on an avg be 1:2:1. A report states that out of 300 children have one M parent and other N parent. 30% were found to be of type MN, 5% of type M and remaining of type N. Test the theory by χ^2 (Chi square test).

Given $M = 30\%$, $MN = 45\%$, $N = 25\%$.
Corresponding frequencies.

			total
$\frac{30}{100} \times 300$	$\frac{45}{100} \times 300$	$\frac{25}{100} \times 300$	<u>300</u>
= 90	= 135	= 75	

Proportions of these types are 1:2:1.

we have $\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i} = \frac{(90-75)^2}{75} + \frac{(135-150)^2}{150}$
 $+ \frac{(75-75)^2}{75} = 4.5$

$$\chi^2_{0.05} = 5.99 \text{ at } df = \frac{n-1}{2} = \frac{3-1}{2} = 1$$

hence Calculated value < Theoretical value

$$4.5 < 5.99$$

∴ The Hypothesis is accepted

Q5 State Central Limit Theory. Use the theorem to evaluate $P[50 < \bar{x} < 56]$ where \bar{x} represents the mean of random samples of size 100 from an infinite population with mean $\mu = 53$ and variance $\sigma^2 = 400$.

The Central Limit Theory states that the sample mean follows approximately the normal distribution with mean μ and standard deviation $\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$ (is also called Standard Error), i.e., $\bar{x} \sim N(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$, where μ, σ are mean and standard deviation of the population from where the sample.

Given,

Sample size $n = 100$

$$\mu = 53$$

$$\sigma^2 = 400 \Rightarrow \sigma = \sqrt{400} = 20$$

$$\therefore \bar{x} \sim N(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$$

$$\therefore \bar{x} \sim N(53, \frac{20}{\sqrt{100}})$$

$$\therefore \bar{x} \sim N(53, 2)$$

$$\therefore \text{we know that } Z = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}$$

$$\therefore Z = \frac{\bar{x} - 53}{2}$$

$$\therefore \text{At } \bar{x} = 50 \Rightarrow Z = \frac{50 - 53}{2} = \frac{-3}{2} = -1.5 = Z_1$$

$$\text{At } \bar{x} = 56 \Rightarrow Z = \frac{56 - 53}{2} = \frac{3}{2} = 1.5 = Z_2$$

$$\therefore P(50 < \bar{x} < 56) = P(-1.5 < Z < 1.5)$$

$$= 2P(0 < Z < 1.5)$$

$$= 2A(1.5)$$

$$= 2 \times 0.4332$$

$$\therefore P(50 < \bar{x} < 56) = 0.8664$$

A random sample of size 25 from a normal distribution $N(\mu, \sigma^2 = 4)$ yields sample mean $\bar{x} = 78.3$. Obtain a 99% confidence interval for μ .

Given the sample size $n = 25$

$$\bar{x} = 78.3$$

$$\sigma = 2$$

We know, confidence level of 99%, the corresponding z value is 2.58. This is determined from the normal distribution table.

Confidence interval C.I = $\mu = \text{Mean} \pm z = \bar{x} \pm z \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$

$$C.I = \mu = 78.3 \pm \left(2.58 \times \frac{2}{\sqrt{25}} \right)$$

$$\therefore \mu = 78.3 \pm 1.032$$

$$C.I \Rightarrow [78.3 - 1.032, 78.3 + 1.032]$$

$$= (77.268, 79.332)$$

- Q1. Let the observed value of the mean \bar{x} of a random sample of size 20 from a normal distribution with mean μ and variance $\sigma^2 = 80$ be 81.2. Find a 90% and 95% confidence intervals for μ .

Given, $n = 20$

$$\bar{x} = 81.2$$

$$\sigma^2 = 80 = \sqrt{80} = 8.9442$$

We know, confidence level of 95%, 90%. The corresponding z values are 1.96, 1.642. This is determined from the normal distribution table

$$C.I = \mu = 81.2 \pm \left(1.96 \times \frac{8.9442}{\sqrt{20}} \right)$$

$$\therefore \mu = 81.2 \pm 3.92$$

$$C.I = [81.2 - 3.92, 81.2 + 3.92]$$

$$\therefore (77.28, 85.12)$$

For 90%
 $\therefore C.I = \bar{x} \pm 1.645 \times \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}$

$\therefore \mu = 81.2 \pm 3.29.$

$\therefore C.I = (81.2 - 3.29, 81.2 + 3.29)$

$\therefore (77.91, 84.49)$

- Q8. In a recent study reported on the Flurry Blog, the mean age of tablet users is 34 years. Suppose the standard deviation is 15 years. Take a sample of size $n=100$. Using central limit theory, find the probability that the sample mean age is more than 30 years.

Sol

Given, $\mu = 34$

$\sigma = 15$.

$n = 100$

$Z = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}}$

$= \frac{30 - 34}{\frac{15}{\sqrt{100}}}$

$Z = \frac{30 - 34}{\frac{15}{\sqrt{100}}} = \frac{-4}{1.5} = -2.67$

$P(\bar{x} > 30) = P(Z > -2.67)$

$Z = \frac{30 - 34}{1.5} = -2.67$

$Z = -2.67$

$P(\bar{x} > 30) = P(Z > -2.67)$

$= 0.5 + \Phi(2.67)$

$= 0.5 + 0.4962$

$P(Z > a) = 0.5 + \Phi(a)$

$= 0.5 + \Phi(2.67)$

$P(\bar{x} > 30) = 0.9962$

Three different kinds of food are tested on three group of rats for 5 weeks. The objective is to check the difference in mean weight (in grams) of the rats per week. Apply one-way ANOVA using a 0.05 significance level to following data.

	Food 1	Food 2	Food 3	Total	Squares
F1	8	12	19	8	$T_1^2 = 4096$
F2	4	5	7	6	$T_2^2 = 1225$
F3	11	8	7	13	$T_3^2 = 3035$
				154	
					Sum of Sq
F1	64	144	361	64	790
F2	16	25	49	36	223
F3	121	64	49	169	533
					1546.
					Grand total - $\sum_i \sum_j x_{ij}^2$

Set the null hypothesis. $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3$

Correction Factor $CF = \frac{T^2}{N} = \frac{(154)^2}{18} = \frac{23716}{18} = 1317.55$

Total sum of squares $TSS = \sum_i \sum_j x_{ij}^2 - CF$

$$= TSS = 1546 - 1317.55$$

$$TSS = 228.45.$$

Sum of the square of between the treatments.

$$SST = \sum_i \frac{T_i^2}{n_i} - CF$$

$$SST = \frac{4096}{6} + \frac{1225}{6} + \frac{3035}{6} - 1317.55.$$

$$\therefore 1391 - 1317.55 = 73.4500$$

Sum of square due to error SEE = $TSS - SSR$

$$SEE = 228.45 - 139.5 = 88.95$$

$$\therefore SSE = 88.95$$

Sources of Variation	df	SS	MSS	F ratio
Between treatments	$3-1=2$	$SST = 73.95$	$MST = \frac{73.95}{2} = 36.725$	$F = \frac{36.725}{10.33} = 3.55$
Error	$18-3=15$	$SSE = 155$	$MSE = \frac{155}{15} = 10.33$	
Total	$18-1=17$	-	-	

Q10. Set up an analysis of variance table for the following per acre production data for 3 varieties of wheat, each grow on 6 plots, and state if the variety difference are significant at 5% sig level

Plot of Land

	A	B	C	
Plot 1	61.61	68.61	55.61	Mean 64.61
Plot 2	53.61	57.61	49.61	Mean 55.61
Plot 3	33.61	33.61	30.61	Mean 32.61
Plot 4	48.61	47.61	44.61	Mean 46.61

Q11 To carry out the analysis of variance, we for

Plot of Land	A	B	C	T	T^2
1	6	5	5	16	256
2	5	5	4	16	256
3	3	3	3	9	81
4	8	7	4	19	361
Σ	24	20	16	60	-

The Square areas follows
variance

A	B	C	<u>Grand Total</u>
36	25	25	86
49	25	16	90
9	9	9	27
64	49	16	129
			= 332

$$\sum_i \sum_j x_{ij}^2 = 332$$

Set the null hypothesis $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3, N=12$

$$\text{Correction Factor } CF = \frac{T^2}{N} = \frac{(60)^2}{12} = \frac{3600}{12} = 300.$$

$$\text{Total sum of squares } TSS = \sum_i \sum_j x_{ij}^2 - CF \\ 332 - 300$$

$$TSS = 32$$

$$\text{Sum of the row square } SSR = \sum_i \frac{T_i^2}{n_i} - CF$$

$$SSR = \frac{256}{3} + \frac{256}{3} + \frac{81}{3} + \frac{361}{3} - 300.$$

$$SSR = 318 - 300.$$

$$SSR = 18.$$

$$\text{Sum of the column square } SSC = \sum_i \frac{T_j^2}{n_j} \cdot CF$$

$$SSC = \frac{576}{4} + \frac{900}{4} + \frac{256}{4} + \frac{60}{4} - 300.$$

$$SSC = 308 - 300.$$

$$SSC = 8.$$

$$\therefore SSE = TSS - SSR - SSC$$

$$SSE = 32 - 18 - 8 = 16.$$

Source variation	df	ss	MSS	F Ratio
Rows	4-1=3	SSR=18	$MSR = \frac{18}{3} = 6$	$F_R = \frac{6}{1} = 6$
Columns	3-1=2	SSC=8	$MSC = \frac{8}{2} = 4$	$F_C = \frac{4}{1} = 4$
Error	3x2=6	SSE=6	$MSE = \frac{6}{6} = 1$	
Total	12-1=11			

$$F_R = 6 > F(3,6) = 4.76$$

$$P_C = 1 - F(6,2) = 1 - 0.33 = 0.67$$

W = 1023.3 (ET + 2000) / 1000000 = 0.10233

$$2000 = 122.5$$

W = $\frac{1023.3}{1000000} \times 2000 = 2.0466$

$$0.10233 = \frac{122.5 + 122.5}{2} + \frac{122.5}{2} + \frac{122.5}{2} = 306.25$$

$$306.25 = 34.5 \times 8.75 = 306.25$$

W = 0.10233 = 0.20466 = 20.466

$$0.10233 = \frac{122.5}{2} + \frac{122.5}{2} + \frac{122.5}{2} + \frac{122.5}{2} = 122.5$$

$$122.5 = 34.5 \times 3.5 = 122.5$$