

Cell Decomposition Compatibility of Plücker Embedding

Tao Huang
me@tau.ovh

The decomposition of the Grassmannian into Schubert cells is compatible.

Example 1. The Grassmannian $G(2, 4)$, has a decomposition into six Schubert cells $C_{(j_1, j_2)}$, with

$$(j_1, j_2) \in \{(1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 4), (2, 3), (2, 4), (3, 4)\}$$

which are, respectively, of complex dimensions 0, 1, 2, 2, 3, 4, and correspond to 2×4 -matrices in row echelon form. In terms of the Plücker embedding $G(2, 4) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^5$, if we write the defining equation for $G(2, 4)$ in \mathbb{P}^5 as above, in the form

$$\Lambda^{(12)}\Lambda^{(34)} - \Lambda^{(13)}\Lambda^{(24)} + \Lambda^{(23)}\Lambda^{(14)} = 0$$

then the Schubert varieties $X_{(j_1, j_2)}$ given by the closures of the Schubert cells $C_{(j_1, j_2)}$, are given by

$$\begin{aligned} X_{(1,2)} &= \left\{ V \in G(2, 4) \mid \Lambda^{(13)} = \Lambda^{(14)} = \Lambda^{(23)} = \Lambda^{(24)} = \Lambda^{(34)} = 0 \right\} \\ X_{(1,3)} &= \left\{ V \in G(2, 4) \mid \Lambda^{(14)} = \Lambda^{(23)} = \Lambda^{(24)} = \Lambda^{(34)} = 0 \right\} \\ X_{(1,4)} &= \left\{ V \in G(2, 4) \mid \Lambda^{(23)} = \Lambda^{(24)} = \Lambda^{(34)} = 0 \right\} \\ X_{(2,3)} &= \left\{ V \in G(2, 4) \mid \Lambda^{(14)} = \Lambda^{(24)} = \Lambda^{(34)} = 0 \right\} \\ X_{(2,4)} &= \left\{ V \in G(2, 4) \mid \Lambda^{(34)} = 0 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

with $X_{(3,4)} = G(2, 4)$. The deformation described above then induces compatible noncommutative deformations on all the Schubert cells.

Proposition 2 (Plücker relation). Let q be an integer $1 \leq q \leq k$ ¹. Fix two length k sequences $I, J \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and length q sequence $R \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$;

$$\begin{aligned} I &: i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k; \\ J &: j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k; \\ R &: r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_q. \end{aligned}$$

If $S \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ is a length q sequence, say $S : s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_q$; let T' be obtained from I by replacing $(i_{r_1}, i_{r_2}, \dots, i_{r_q})$ with $(j_{s_1}, j_{s_2}, \dots, j_{s_q})$ and likewise J' from J by replacing $(j_{s_1}, j_{s_2}, \dots, j_{s_q})$ with $(i_{r_1}, i_{r_2}, \dots, i_{r_q})$. Then we have the Plücker relation

$$\Lambda^I \Lambda^J = \sum_S \Lambda^{I'} \Lambda^{J'},$$

where sum is quantified over all increasing length q sequences $S \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$.

¹when $q = k$, the relation is trivial.

1 $G(2, n)$

Take $G(2, n)$ for example, Schubert cells $C_{(j_1, j_2)}$ can be listed (in order) in the form

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ccccc} (1, 2) & (1, 3) & (1, 4) & \cdots & (1, n) \\ & (2, 3) & (2, 4) & \cdots & (2, n) \\ & & (3, 4) & \cdots & (3, n) \\ & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & & (n-1, n) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Note that cells $C_{(j_1, j_2)}$ has dimension $m - 3$ and variety

$$X_{(j_1, j_2)} = \left\{ V \in G(2, n) \mid \Lambda^{(a, b)} = 0, \forall a > j_1 \text{ or } b > j_2 \right\}$$

has dimension $\frac{(2j_2 - j_1 - 1)j_1}{2}$.

In such order, $\mathbb{C}^{\binom{n}{2}}$ has variety

$$Y_{(j_1, j_2)} = \left\{ v \in \mathbb{C}^{\binom{n}{2}} \mid v_{(a, b)} = 0, \forall a > j_1 \text{ or } a = j_1 \text{ and } b > j_2 \right\}.$$

It suffices to prove $\Lambda^{(a, b)} = 0$ for all $a \leq j_1$ and $b > j_2$: Since $a \leq j_1 < j_2 < b$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^{(j_1, j_2)} \Lambda^{(a, b)} &= \Lambda^{(j_1, a)} \Lambda^{(j_2, b)} + \Lambda^{(j_1, b)} \Lambda^{(a, j_2)} \\ &= -\Lambda^{(a, j_1)} \Lambda^{(j_2, b)} + \Lambda^{(a, j_2)} \Lambda^{(j_1, b)} \\ &= -\Lambda^{(a, j_1)} 0 + \Lambda^{(a, j_2)} 0 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\Lambda^{(a, b)} = 0$.

2 $G(k, n)$

For $G(k, n)$, Schubert variety

$$X_{(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k)} = \left\{ V \in G(k, n) \mid \Lambda^{(a_i)_{i=1,2,\dots,k}} = 0, \forall (a_i)_{i=1,2,\dots,k}, \text{ s.t. } a_i > j_i \text{ for some } i \right\},$$

and variety

$$Y_{(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k)} = \left\{ v \in \mathbb{C}^{\binom{n}{k}} \mid v_{(a_i)_{i=1,2,\dots,k}} = 0, \forall (a_i)_{i=1,2,\dots,k}, \text{ s.t. } a_i > j_i \text{ and } a_l = j_l (\forall l < i) \text{ for some } i \right\}.$$

It suffices to prove $\Lambda^{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)} = 0$, for all (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) , s.t. $a_l \leq j_l (\forall l < i)$ and $a_i > j_i$ for some i .

By induction, assume such equation for $i' = i - 1$ is true (when $i' = 1$, it's clearly true).

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^{(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_k)} \Lambda^{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k)} &= \sum_{l=1}^k \Lambda^{(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{i-1}, a_l, j_{i+1}, \dots, j_k)} \Lambda^{(a_1, a_2, \dots, j_i, \dots, a_k)} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} \Lambda^{(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{i-1}, a_l, j_{i+1}, \dots, j_k)} \underbrace{\Lambda^{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{l-1}, a_{l+1}, \dots, a_{i-1}, \overbrace{j_i}^{(i-1)\text{th element}}, a_i, \dots, a_k)}}_{=0 \text{ since } a_{i-1} \leq j_{i-1} < j_i < a_i \text{ and } j_i > j_{i-1}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{l=i}^k \underbrace{\Lambda^{(j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{i-1}, a_l, j_{i+1}, \dots, j_k)}}_{=0 \text{ since } a_l \geq a_i > j_l} \Lambda^{(a_1, a_2, \dots, j_i, \dots, a_k)} \\
& = 0.
\end{aligned}$$