# CS5218 Assignment2

Wang Jiadong (A0105703U)

# Task1: Define analysis model using a monotone framework.

Difference Analysis is to determine the maximum difference of any variable pair in any program point. In order to conduct the difference analysis, we actually need to analyze the possible value range for each variable in all program points. Therefore, Difference Analysis is simply a variable value range analysis. Hence, we have to collect all the variable ranges for each basic block, and then do a pair-wise comparison to get the variable maximum difference.

## • Flow (F).

Intuitively, difference analysis is a top-down(forward) analysis. We analyze the range for each variable from init(S\*) to final(S\*), and we adjust the variable value range for each instruction. However, for branching instructions, we do have to do some extra backward analysis, to get the entry value set for its successors.

### • Starting Block(E).

Since the analysis flow is top-down (forward), then it is very clearly the starting block is init(S\*).

#### • Lattice and Partial Order

We use a lattice, with each node as an element the power set of variables, along with their intervals:

$$\mathcal{P}(\text{variable} \rightarrow \text{interval}),$$

where interval is defined as [lower boundary, upper boundary].

In this lattice, the bottom is an empty set ( $\emptyset$ ), while the top is all variables with range [-infinity, +infinity]. Hence, the lattice is partially ordered by subset inclusion:  $\sqsubseteq = \subseteq$ 

# • Initial Value( L).

Since there are no variables declared initially, we just define the initial value as an empty set ( $^{\emptyset}$ ). In LLVM, only after some instructions that declare new variables (e.g. *Alloca, Load*, etc.), shall we add the new variable, along with its interval, into the lattice. For instance, for "%1 = alloca i32, align 4", we add "%1  $\rightarrow$  [INFINITY\_NEGATIVE, INFINITY\_POSITIVE]" into the analysis result.

## • May or Must.

As we are trying to get the maximum difference of each pair of variables, we are actually trying to find the "possible" range for each variable. So union function is used, instead of intersection. Hence, it is a "May".

#### Monotonicity

As mentioned above, the top element of the lattice, is the complete set of all variables with range from [INFINITY\_NEGATIVE, INFINITY\_POSITIVE], while the bottom element is an empty set. Hence, for any pair of element "a" and element "b" in this lattice, if there is a path from a to b and a  $\leq$  b (which is defined as that b contains all the variables that a has, and for each variable a that a contains, the corresponding interval in a is a subset of a.

#### • Transfer Functions.

Transfer function can be represented as:

$$f_{\ell}(l) = (l \setminus kill([B]^{\ell})) \cup gen([B]^{\ell})$$
 where  $[B]^{\ell} \in blocks(S_{\star})$ 

where:

Kill[ 
$$a = \exp$$
]  $\iota$  ={ $a \rightarrow old\_interval$ }  
Gen[  $a = \exp$ ]  $\iota$  ={ $a \rightarrow new\_interval$ }.

If the expression causing a's range to be altered, then the exiting a value will be killed, and a new range will be created. Instructions, such as Add, Sub, Mul, Rem, load, and store, have this effect.

## Task 2 & 3: Implementation of difference analysis.

# • Variable Interval (*varInterval*) Object Declaration

First of All, in order to facilitate the analysis, I have defined a new class named as *varInterval*. This class is an abstraction to describe the integer value range of a variable. Each variable is within the range of [lower, upper], while the two variables, namely lower and upper, are restricted within [INF\_NEG, INF\_POS].

INF\_NEG and INF\_POS denote the negative infinity and positive infinity. Intuitively, the lower and upper boundary of any variable is not allowed to exceed INF\_NEG or INF\_POS. Since in real life, it is impossible to compute and analyze infinity, I have manually defined INF\_NEG

as -1000, and INF\_POS as 1000. Hence, if a variable's upper bound is more than 1000, e.g. 1001, its upper bound will be overwritten by 1000, representing INF\_POS. Similar technique applies to the lower boundary. In other word, this class does not allow value exceeding its [INF\_NEG, INF\_POS] boundary.

During this interval analysis, we also require the concept as "Empty Set". Hence I have manually defined [INF\_POS, INF\_NEG] as Empty Set. If there is any variable's range [lower, upper], with lower > upper, we will overwrite it as [INF\_POS, INF\_NEG];

In order for better support, arithmetic operator functions such as adding, subtracting, multiplication, dividing are defined for *varInterval*, and encapsulated inside the class.

Figure 1 Class varInterval cpp code.

#### • Variables:

Globally, we define two variables, as below:

std::stack<std::pair<BasicBlock\*, std::map<Instruction\*, varInterval>>> traversalStack std::map<BasicBlock\*, std::map<instruction\*, varInterval>> analysisMap

Figure 2 Global variables defined.

*TraversalStack* is the work-list, containing the basic blocks that we will have to process and analyze. For each basic block, the entry value set are also provided inside *traversalStack*.

**AnalysisMap** is the output collector. It contains the range for each variable in each of the basic blocks, and it is updated for each iteration. Once the iteration is completed, we can conduct the pairwise difference analysis easily for each basic block using this **analysisMap**.

# • Algorithm Pseudo-Code.

#### Initialization

In order to initialize, not only we need to declare the variables, but also set the initial state for the traversal stack, which is the entry block with empty entry variable set.

```
std::map<BasicBlock *, std::map<Instruction *, varInterval>> analysisMap;
std::stack<std::pair<BasicBlock *, std::map<Instruction *, varInterval>>> traversalStack;
std::map<Instruction *, varInterval> emptySet;
traversalStack.push(std::make_pair(entryBB, emptySet));
```

Figure 3 Initialization Pseudo Code.

#### *Iteration*

As long as the traversal stack is not empty, we will keep iterating this loop.

Inside the loop, we remove the first basic block from the stack, and analyze it accordingly. The analysis will update its analysis map, provide us with its successors, as well as the update status. If the block's exit value is altered, then the successors will be push into the stack, otherwise, they are ignored.

```
while(traversalStack is not empty){
    [currentBlockPtr, currentBlockEntryVarSet] = traversalStack.pop();
    bool changed = analyzeBlock(currentBlockPtr, currentBlockEntryVarSet, currentBlockExitVarSet, SuccessorsMap);
    if(changed){
        //push all successors into traversalStack
        traversalStack.push(SuccessorsMap);
    }
}
```

Figure 4 Iteration Pseudo Code.

#### Block Analysis.

Block analysis is basically to analyze each instruction sequentially, and update the current block exit variable set. However, if the instruction is conditional branch, we have to find the successors, analyze the comparison instruction, and analyze backward accordingly. The backward analysis results are pushed into successor Map and returned into the main function.

```
analyzeBlock(currentBlockPtr, currentBlockEntryVarSet, &currentBlockExitVarSet, &SuccessorsMap){
   for (all instructions inside currentBlock){
      if( sub || add || mul || rem ){
          /*calculate and update variables*/
      }else if(load || restore || alloca ){
         /*create new variable */
      }else if(ret || cmp){
         /*nothing to do, just ignore*/
      }else if(br && currentBlockExitVarSet Updated){
         /*if unconditional
         SuccessorsMap.push([brlnstruction.getSuccessor, currentBlockExitVarSet]);
         //if conditional
         sucessors = analyzeComp(Complns, BranchInstruction);
         for(each successor in successors){
             analyzeBlockBackward(currentBlockPtr, currentBlockExitSet, successorEntryVarSet);
             SuccessorsMap.push([successor, successorEntryVarSet]);
         }
      }else{
         //ignore
      }
}
```

Figure 5 Iteration Pseudo Code.

## Backward Analysis.

Backward analysis is very similar to forward analysis, while the only difference is that we will update the operands' value ranges, instead of the output value range of the instruction.

```
analyzeBlockBackward(currentBlockPtr, currentBlockExitVarSet, &successorEntryVarSet){
    for(all instruction inside currentBlock **REVERSELY**){
        if(sub || add || mul || rem){
            //update successorEntryVarSet
        }else{
            //ignore
        }
    }
}
```

Figure 6 Backward Analysis Pseudo Code.

Below are instruction analysis function declarations. Each of them supports both forward and backward analysis.

```
/** analysis for alloca instruction, supports both forward and backward.*/
void analyzeAlloca(Instruction &I, std::map<Instruction *, varInterval> &blockMap,
                    std::map<std::string, Instruction *> &instructionMap, bool backward);
void analyzeAdd(Instruction &I, std::map<Instruction *, varInterval> &blockMap,
                 std::map<std::string, Instruction *> &instructionMap, bool backward);
/* analysis for sub instruction, supports both forward and backward.*/
void analyzeSub(Instruction &I, std::map<Instruction *, varInterval> &blockMap,
                std::map<std::string, Instruction *> &instructionMap, bool backward);
void analyzeMul(Instruction &I, std::map<Instruction *, varInterval> &blockMap,
                 std::map<std::string, Instruction *> &instructionMap, bool backward);
void analyzeSrem(Instruction &I, std::map<Instruction *, varInterval> &blockMap,
                  std::map<std::string, Instruction *> &instructionMap, bool backward);
/** analysis for store instruction, supports both forward and backward.*,
void analyzeStore(Instruction &I, std::map<Instruction *, varInterval> &blockMap,
                   std::map<std::string, Instruction *> &instructionMap, bool backward);
void analyzeLoad(Instruction &I, std::map<Instruction *, varInterval> &blockMap,
                  std::map<std::string, Instruction *> &instructionMap, bool backward);
void analyzeBr(BasicBlock *BB, Instruction &I, std::map<Instruction *, varInterval> &blockMap,
                std::map<std::string, Instruction *> &instructionMap,
                std::map<BasicBlock *, std::map<Instruction *, varInterval>> &result);
```

Figure 7 Instruction Analysis Function Declaration.

#### Build and Run:

#### Build:

```
jiadong-2:Assignment_new wangjiadong$ clang++ -o DiffAnalysisNew DiffAnalysisNew.cpp `llvm-c
onfig --cxxflags` `llvm-config --ldflags` `llvm-config --libs` -lpthread -lncurses -ldl
```

Figure 8 Difference Analyzer Build Command.

#### Run:

```
[jiadong-2:Assignment_new wangjiadong$ clang -emit-llvm -S -o example4.ll example4.c
jiadong-2:Assignment_new wangjiadong$ ■
```

Figure 9 LL Code Generation Command.

jiadong-2:Assignment\_new wangjiadong\$ ./DiffAnalysisNew example4.ll

Figure 10 Difference Analyzer Execution Command.

## Results:

• Test Case 1: (Loop Free)

#### C Code:

Figure 11 Test Case 1 C Source Code.

### LL Code:

```
; Function Attrs: nounwind ssp uwtable
define i32 @main() #0 {
%1 = alloca i32, align 4
%a = alloca i32, align 4
%b = alloca i32, align 4
%c = alloca i32, align 4
  %c = alloca i32, align 4
%d = alloca i32, align 4
store i32 0, i32* %1
store i32 0, i32* %d, align 4
%2 = load i32* %a, align 4
%3 = icmp sgt i32 %2, 0
br i1 %3, label %4, label %5
; <label>:4
                                                                                          ; preds = %0
   store i32 5, i32* %c, align 4 br label %6
; <label>:5
                                                                                          ; preds = %0
   store i32 10, i32* %c, align 4
   br label %6
; <label>:6
                                                                                          ; preds = %5, %4
  %7 = load i32* %b, align 4
%8 = icmp sgt i32 %7, 0
br i1 %8, label %9, label %10
; <label>:9
                                                                                          ; preds = %6
   store i32 -11, i32* %d, align 4
   br label %10
; <label>:10
                                                                                          ; preds = %9, %6
   %11 = load i32* %1
ret i32 %11
```

Figure 12 Test Case 1 LL Code.

#### Test Output:

```
jiadong-2:Assignment_new wangjiadong$ ./DiffAnalysisNew example1.ll
             =Analysis Report=
>>>Basic Block: %0
  %1 = alloca i32, align 4 >> 0-0
  %a = alloca i32, align 4 \Rightarrow INF_NEG-INF_POS
  %b = alloca i32, align 4 >> INF_NEG-INF_POS
  %c = alloca i32, align 4 >> INF_NEG-INF_POS
  %d = alloca i32, align 4 \Rightarrow 0-0
  %2 = load i32* %a, align 4 >> INF_NEG-INF_POS
a <--> b : INF
a <--> c : INF
a <--> d : INF
b <--> c : INF
b <--> d : INF
c <--> d : INF
>>>>Basic Block: %4
  %1 = alloca i32, align 4 >> 0-0
  %a = alloca i32, align 4 >> 1-INF_POS
  %b = alloca i32, align 4 >> INF_NEG-INF_POS
  %c = alloca i32, align 4 >> 5-5
  %d = alloca i32, align 4 >> 0-0
  %2 = load i32* %a, align 4 >> 1-INF_POS
a <--> b : INF
a <--> c : INF
a <--> d : INF
b <--> c : INF
b <--> d : INF
c <--> d : 5
```

Figure 13 Test Case 1 Output Basic Block 0,4.

```
>>>>Basic Block: %5
  %1 = alloca i32, align 4 >> 0-0
  %a = alloca i32, align 4 >> INF_NEG-0
  %b = alloca i32, align 4 >> INF_NEG-INF_POS
  %c = alloca i32, align 4 \Rightarrow 10-10
  %d = alloca i32, align 4 >> 0-0
  %2 = load i32* %a, align 4 >> INF_NEG-0
a <--> b : INF
a <--> c : INF
a <--> d : INF
b <--> c : INF
b <--> d : INF
c <--> d : 10
>>>>Basic Block: %6
  %1 = alloca i32, align 4 >> 0-0
 %a = alloca i32, align 4 >> INF_NEG-INF_POS
%b = alloca i32, align 4 >> INF_NEG-INF_POS
  %c = alloca i32, align 4 >> 5-10
  %d = alloca i32, align 4 >> 0-0
 %2 = load i32* %a, align 4 >> INF_NEG-INF_POS
%7 = load i32* %b, align 4 >> INF_NEG-INF_POS
a <--> b : INF
a <--> c : INF
a <--> d : INF
b <--> c : INF
b <--> d : INF
c <--> d : 10
```

Figure 14 Test Case 1 Output Basic Block 5,6.

```
>>>>Basic Block: %9
  %1 = alloca i32, align 4 >> 0-0
%a = alloca i32, align 4 >> INF
                                   INF_NEG-INF_POS
  %b = alloca i32, align 4 >>
                                  1-INF_POS
  %c = alloca i32, align 4 >> 5-10
%d = alloca i32, align 4 >> -11--
                                  -11--11
  %2 = load i32* %a, align 4 >> INF_NEG-INF_POS
  %7 = load i32* %b, align 4 >> 1-INF_POS
a <--> b : INF
a <--> c : INF
a <--> d : INF
b <--> c : INF
b <--> d : INF
c <--> d : 21
>>>>Basic Block: %10
  %1 = alloca i32, align 4 >>
  %a = alloca i32, align 4 >>
%b = alloca i32, align 4 >>
                                   INF_NEG-INF_POS
                                   INF_NEG-INF_POS
  %c = alloca i32, align 4 >>
                                  5-10
  %d = alloca i32, align 4 >> -11-0
  2 = 10ad i32 * a, align 4 >> INF_NEG-INF_POS
  %7 = load i32* %b, align 4 >> INF_NEG-INF_POS
  %11 = load i32* %1 >> 0-0
a <--> b : INF
a <--> c : INF
a <--> d : INF
b <--> c : INF
b <--> d : INF
c <--> d : 21
jiadong-2:Assignment_new wangjiadong$
```

Figure 15 Test Case 1 Output Basic Block 9, 10

Test Case 2 (With While Loop)

# C Source Code:

Figure 16 Test Case 2 C Source Code.

```
; Function Attrs: nounwind ssp uwtable
define i32 @main() #0 {
 %1 = alloca i32, align 4
 %a = alloca i32, align 4
 %b = alloca i32, align 4
 %x = alloca i32, align 4
 %y = alloca i32, align 4
 %N = alloca i32, align 4
 %z = alloca i32, align 4
 %i = alloca i32, align 4
 store i32 0, i32* %1
 store i32 0, i32∗ %z, align 4
 store i32 0, i32* %i, align 4
 br label %2
; <label>:2
 %3 = load i32* %i, align 4
 %4 = load i32* %N, align 4
 %5 = icmp slt i32 %3, %4
 br i1 %5, label %6, label %26
```

Figure 17 Test Case 2 LL Code Part 1.

```
; <label>:6
 %7 = load i32* %x, align 4
 %8 = load i32* %y, align 4
 %9 = mul nsw i32 2, %8
 %10 = mul nsw i32 %9, 3
 %11 = load i32* %z, align 4
 %12 = mul nsw i32 %10, %11
 %13 = add nsw i32 %7, %12
 %14 = srem i32 %13, 3
 %15 = sub nsw i32 0, %14
 store i32 %15, i32* %x, align 4
 %16 = load i32* %x, align 4
 %17 = mul nsw i32 3, %16
 %18 = load i32* %y, align 4
 %19 = mul nsw i32 2, %18
 %20 = add nsw i32 %17, %19
 %21 = load i32* %z, align 4
 %22 = add nsw i32 %20, %21
 %23 = srem i32 %22, 11
 store i32 %23, i32* %y, align 4
 %24 = load i32* %z, align 4
 %25 = add nsw i32 %24, 1
 store i32 %25, i32* %z, align 4
 br label %2
; <label>:26
 %27 = load i32* %1
  ret i32 %27
```

Figure 18 Test Case 2 LL Code Part 2.

# Analysis Output:

```
======Analysis Report=======
>>>>Basic Block: %0
  %1 = alloca i32, align 4 >> 0-0
  %a = alloca i32, align 4 >> INF_NEG-INF_POS
  %b = alloca i32, align 4 >> INF_NEG-INF_POS

%x = alloca i32, align 4 >> INF_NEG-INF_POS

%y = alloca i32, align 4 >> INF_NEG-INF_POS

%N = alloca i32, align 4 >> INF_NEG-INF_POS

%z = alloca i32, align 4 >> 0-0
  %i = alloca i32, align 4 >> 0-0
a <--> b : INF
a <--> x : INF
a <--> y : INF
a <--> N : INF
a <--> z : INF
a <--> i : INF
b <--> x : INF
b <--> y : INF
b <--> N : INF
b <--> z : INF
b <--> i : INF
x \leftarrow y : INF
x \leftarrow N : INF
x \leftarrow z : INF
x <--> i : INF
y \leftarrow N : INF
y \leftarrow z : INF
y <--> i : INF
N \leftarrow z : INF
N \leftarrow i : INF
z <--> i : 0
```

Figure 19 Test Case 2 Basic Block 0 Analysis Output.

>>>>Basic Block: %2 %1 = alloca i32, align 4 >> %a = alloca i32, align 4 >> INF\_NEG-INF\_POS %b = alloca i32, align 4 >> INF\_NEG-INF\_POS %x = alloca i32, align 4 >> INF\_NEG-INF\_POS %y = alloca i32, align 4 >> INF\_NEG-INF\_POS %N = alloca i32, align 4 >> INF\_NEG-INF\_POS z = alloca i32, align 4 >> 0-INF\_POS %i = alloca i32, align 4 >> 0-0 %3 = load i32\* %i, align 4 >> 0-0 %4 = load i32\* %N, align 4 >> INF\_NEG-INF\_POS  $%7 = load i32* %x, align 4 >> INF_NEG-INF_POS$  $%8 = load i32 * %y, align 4 >> INF_NEG-INF_POS$ %17 = mul nsw i32 3, %16 >> -6-0 %9 = mul nsw i32 2, %8 >> INF\_NEG-INF\_POS %10 = mul nsw i32 %9, 3 >> INF\_NEG-INF\_POS %11 = load i32\* %z, align 4 >> 0-INF\_POS %12 = mul nsw i32 %10, %11 >> 0-INF\_POS %13 = add nsw i32 %7, %12 >> INF\_NEG-INF\_POS %14 = srem i32 %13, 3 >> 0-2%15 = sub nsw i32 0, %14 >> -2-0%16 = load i32\* %x, align 4 >> -2-0 %18 = load i32\* %y, align 4 >> INF\_NEG-INF\_POS %19 = mul nsw i32 2, %18 >> INF\_NEG-INF\_POS %20 = add nsw i32 %17, %19 >> INF\_NEG-INF\_POS  $21 = load i32 * z, align 4 >> 0-INF_POS$ %22 = add nsw i32 %20, %21 >> INF\_NEG-INF\_POS 23 = srem i32 22, 11 >> 0-10 $24 = 0 \text{ i32} \times z$ , align 4 >> 0-INF\_POS %25 = add nsw i32 %24, 1 >> 1-INF\_POS

Figure 20 Test Case 2 Basic Block 2 Variable Ranges.

a <--> b : INF a <--> x : INF  $a \leftarrow - y : INF$ a <--> N : INF a <--> z : INF a <--> i : INF b <--> x : INF b <--> y : INF b <--> N : INF b <--> z : INF b <--> i : INF  $x \leftarrow y : INF$ x < --> N : INF $x \leftarrow z : INF$  $x \leftarrow - i : INF$ y <--> N : INF y <--> z : INF y <--> i : INF  $N \leftarrow z : INF$  $N \leftarrow - i : INF$ z <--> i : INF

Figure 21 Test Case 2 Basic Block 2 Variable Pair Maximum Difference.

>>>>Basic Block: %6 %1 = alloca i32, align 4 >> 0-0 %a = alloca i32, align 4 >> INF NEG-INF POS %b = alloca i32, align 4 >> INF\_NEG-INF\_POS %x = alloca i32, align 4 >> -2-0 %y = alloca i32, align 4 >> 0-10 %N = alloca i32, align 4 1-INF\_P0S >> 1-INF\_POS %z = alloca i32, align 4 >> %i = alloca i32, align 4 >> 0-0 %3 = load i32\* %i, align 4 >> 0-0 %4 = load i32\* %N, align 4 >> 1-INF\_POS %7 = load i32\* %x, align 4 >> INF\_NEG-INF\_POS %8 = load i32\* %y, align 4 >> INF\_NEG-INF\_POS %17 = mul nsw i32 3, %16 >> -6-0 %9 = mul nsw i32 2, %8 >> INF\_NEG-INF\_POS %10 = mul nsw i32 %9, 3 >> INF\_NEG-INF\_POS %11 = load i32\* %z, align 4 >> 0-INF\_POS %12 = mul nsw i32 %10, %11 >> 0-INF\_POS %13 = add nsw i32 %7, %12 >> INF\_NEG-INF\_POS %14 = srem i32 %13, 3 >> 0-2 15 = sub nsw i32 0, 14 >> -2-0%16 = load i32\* %x, align 4 >> -2-0  $18 = load i32 * y, align 4 >> INF_NEG-INF_POS$ 19 = mul nsw 132 2,  $18 >> INF_NEG-INF_POS$ %20 = add nsw i32 %17, %19 >> INF\_NEG-INF\_POS %21 = load i32\* %z, align 4 >> 0-INF\_POS %22 = add nsw i32 %20, %21 >> INF\_NEG-INF\_POS 23 = srem i32 22, 11 >> 0-10%24 = load i32\* %z, align 4 >> 0-INF POS %25 = add nsw i32 %24, 1 >> 1-INF\_POS Figure 22 Test Case 2 Basic Block 6 Variable Value Range.

> a <--> b : INF a <--> x : INF a <--> y : INF a <--> N : INF a <--> z : INF a <--> i : INF b <--> x : INF b <--> y : INF b <--> N : INF b <--> z : INF b <--> i : INF x < --> y : 12x < --> N : INF $x \leftarrow -> z : INF$ x < --> i : 2y <--> N : INF  $y \leftarrow -> z : INF$ y < --> i : 10 $N \leftarrow z : INF$ N <--> i : INF z <--> i : INF

Figure 23 Test Case 2 Basic Block 6 Variable Pair Maximum Difference.

```
>>>>Basic Block: %26
                                0-0
 %1 = alloca i32, align 4 >>
 %a = alloca i32, align 4 >>
                                INF_NEG-INF_POS
 %b = alloca i32, align 4
%x = alloca i32, align 4
                                INF_NEG-INF_POS
                            >>
                                INF_NEG-INF_POS
                           >>
 %y = alloca i32, align 4 >>
                                INF_NEG-INF_POS
 %N = alloca i32, align 4 >>
                                INF_NEG-0
                                0-INF_POS
 %z = alloca i32, align 4 >>
 %i = alloca i32, align 4 >>
                                0-0
 %3 = load i32* %i, align 4 >>
                                  0-0
 %4 = load i32* %N, align 4 >>
                                  INF NEG-0
 7 = load i32 * x, align 4 >> INF_NEG-INF_POS
 %8 = load i32 * %y, align 4 >> INF_NEG-INF_POS
 %17 = mul nsw i32 3, %16 >> -6-0
 %9 = mul nsw i32 2, %8 >> INF_NEG-INF_POS
 %10 = mul nsw i32 %9, 3 >> INF_NEG-INF_POS
 %11 = load i32* %z, align 4 >> 0-INF_POS
 %12 = mul nsw i32 %10, %11 >> 0-INF_POS
 %13 = add nsw i32 %7, %12 >> INF_NEG-INF_POS
 %14 = \text{srem i32 } %13, 3 >> 0-2
 %15 = \text{sub nsw i32 0, } %14 >> -2-0
 %16 = load i32* %x, align 4 >> -2-0
 18 = load i32 * y, align 4 >> INF_NEG-INF_POS
 %19 = mul nsw i32 2, %18 >> INF_NEG-INF_POS
 %20 = add nsw i32 %17, %19 >> INF_NEG-INF_POS
 %21 = load i32* %z, align 4 >> 0-INF_POS
 %22 = add nsw i32 %20, %21 >> INF_NEG-INF_POS
 %23 = srem i32 %22, 11 >> 0-10
 24 = load i32 * 2, align 4 >> 0-INF_POS
 %25 = add nsw i32 %24, 1 >> 1-INF_POS
 %27 = load i32* %1 >> 0-0
     Figure 24 Test Case 2 Basic Block 26 Variable Value Range.
  a <--> b : INF
  a <--> x : INF
  a <--> y : INF
  a <--> N : INF
  a <--> z : INF
  a <--> i : INF
  b <---> x :
              INF
  b <--> y :
              INF
  b <--> N:
              INF
              INF
  b <--> z :
  b <--> i :
              INF
  x \leftarrow y : INF
```

jiadong-2:Assignment\_new wangjiadong\$

 $x \leftarrow N : INF$  $x \leftarrow z : INF$ x <---> i : INF <--> N : INF <--> z : INF <--> i : INF -> z :

N <--> i :

z <---> i : INF

INF

INF

Figure 25 Test Case 2 Basic Block 26 Variable Pair Maximum Difference.