

# Orbit Intersections Working Notes

June 13, 2024

## Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Preliminaries	1
3	Reduction Lemmas	3
4	Characterizing Hamming Graphs for $k = 3$ .	4

## 1 Introduction

Let  $A \in \binom{\mathbb{Z}_n}{k}$ . We are interested in the differences of  $A$ , so it is often sufficient to assume  $A$  is translated with an element at 0. So, we may write  $A = \{0, d_1, d_1 + d_2, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} d_i\}$ . Set  $d_0 := 0$ . Then the differences of  $A$  are of the form  $\pm \sum_{i=1}^j d_i - d_\ell$  for all  $j \in [k-1]$  and  $0 \leq \ell < j$ . Let  $T : \mathbb{Z}_n \mapsto \mathbb{Z}_n$  be a bijection; in this document, we assume that  $T$  is a rotation. Then the orbit of  $A$  under  $T$  is written as  $O(A) := \{T^i(A) : i \geq 0\}$ . The value  $t := |O(A)|$  is called the order of  $O(A)$ . The distance  $k - \lambda$  Hamming graph of  $O(A)$ , denoted  $H[O(A), k - \lambda]$  is the graph with vertex set  $O(A)$  wherein two vertices are adjacent if and only if they share exactly  $\lambda$  elements.

## 2 Preliminaries

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $A \in \binom{\mathbb{Z}_n}{k}$ . Then  $G[O(A), k - \lambda]$  is a complete graph if and only if  $A$  is a  $(n, k, \lambda)$ -difference set.*

*Proof.* Set  $G := H[O(A), k - \lambda]$ . Vertices  $T^r(A)$  and  $T^s(A)$  are adjacent if and only if  $|T^r(A) \cap T^s(A)| = \lambda$  if and only if  $|A \cap T^{s-r}(A)| = \lambda$  if and only if there are exactly  $\lambda$  differences in  $A$  of the form  $s - r \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{0\}$ . Thus  $G$  is a complete graph if and only if each non-zero difference in  $A$  occurs exactly  $\lambda$  times.  $\square$

Lemma 1 can be seen as a corollary of Lemma 2 below. First, we introduce some more notation. Write  $A = \{0, a_1, a_1 + a_2, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_i\}$ . Let  $j \in [k-1]$  and  $0 \leq \ell < j$ . Set  $\alpha(j, \ell) := \sum_{i=1}^j a_i - a_\ell$ . Then the difference multiset of  $A$  is  $\Delta(A) := \{\pm\alpha(j, \ell)\}$ .

**Lemma 2.** *For each  $\lambda \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ , the degree of  $H[O(A), k-\lambda]$  is equal to the number of distinct difference values in  $\Delta(A)$  with multiplicity  $\lambda$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $G := H[O(A), k-\lambda]$ . Since  $G$  is vertex-transitive, it is sufficient to consider only the neighbourhood of  $A$ . Note that  $T^i(A)$  is adjacent to  $A$  if and only if there exist distinct  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\lambda \in A$ , and  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_\lambda \in T^i(A)$  such that

$$A \cap T^i(A) = \{x_1 + i, x_2 + i, \dots, x_\lambda + i\} = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_\lambda\}.$$

This statement is equivalent to  $i$  being a difference of  $A$  occurring exactly  $\lambda$  times. Thus  $T^i(A)$  is adjacent to  $A$  if and only if there is a difference value  $i$  with multiplicity exactly  $\lambda$  in  $\Delta(A)$ . It follows that the degree of  $A$  in  $G$  is

$$\delta := |\{i \in [n-1] : i \text{ has multiplicity exactly } \lambda \text{ in } \Delta(A)\}|,$$

and so  $G$  is  $\delta$ -regular. □

**Question 1.** *Let  $A, B \in \binom{\mathbb{Z}_n}{k}$ . Characterize the structure of  $H[O(A) \cup O(B), k-\lambda]$  in terms of the differences of  $A$  and  $B$ .*

Write  $B = \{0, b_1, b_1 + b_2, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} b_i\}$ . Let  $j \in [k-1]$  and  $0 \leq \ell < j$ . Set  $\beta(j, \ell) := \sum_{i=1}^j b_i - b_\ell$ . Then the difference multiset of  $B$  is  $\Delta(B) := \{\pm\beta(j, \ell)\}$ .

To approach Question 1, Lemma 2 suggests that it is useful to study how  $\Delta(A)$  and  $\Delta(B)$  intersect. Clearly,  $H[O(A), k-\lambda]$  and  $H[O(B), k-\lambda]$  are contained in  $H[O(A) \cup O(B), k-\lambda]$ . So, the interesting problem is about understanding the edges joining vertices in  $O(A)$  and  $O(B)$ .

Set  $G := H[O(A) \cup O(B), k-\lambda]$ . Note that  $G$  is not necessarily vertex transitive, since  $H[O(A), k-\lambda]$  and  $H[O(B), k-\lambda]$  can have distinct degrees. However, there are at most two distinct degrees since the neighbourhoods of vertices restricted to the other orbit all have equal cardinality. So, we may find its degrees by considering the neighbourhood of any one vertex in each part. Notice that  $T^{i_1}(A)$  and  $T^{i_2}(B)$  are adjacent in  $G$  if and only if  $A$  and  $T^{i_2-i_1}(B)$  are adjacent in  $G$ . So, given Lemma 2, to determine the degrees of  $G$ , it is sufficient to consider only the neighbourhood of  $A$  restricted to  $O(B)$  (and that of  $B$  restricted to  $O(A)$ ). Indeed, the following proposition may be deduced using a similar proof as in Lemma 2:

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\lambda \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ . Let  $\delta(A)$  be the degree of  $H[O(A), k-\lambda]$  and let  $\delta(A, B)$  be the number of distinct difference values in  $\Delta(A) \cap \Delta(B)$  with multiplicity exactly  $\lambda$ . Then the two distinct vertex degrees in  $H[O(A) \cup O(B), k-\lambda]$  are  $\delta(A) + \delta(A, B)$  and  $\delta(B) + \delta(A, B)$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $G := H[O(A) \cup O(B), k-\lambda]$ . By similar reasoning to the proof of Lemma 2, the vertex  $A$  is adjacent to  $T^i(B)$  if and only if  $i$  occurs with multiplicity exactly  $\lambda$  in  $\Delta(A) \cap \Delta(B)$ . Since the degree sums between  $O(A)$  and  $O(B)$  are equal, and  $H[O(A), k-\lambda]$  is  $\delta(A)$ -regular, it follows that the neighbourhood of each vertex in  $G$ , restricted to the other orbit, has the same cardinality. Since there are  $\delta(A, B)$  difference values in  $\Delta(A) \cap \Delta(B)$  with multiplicity exactly  $\lambda$ , it follows that the vertices in  $O(A)$  have degree  $\delta(A) + \delta(A, B)$  and those of  $O(B)$  have degree  $\delta(B) + \delta(A, B)$ .  $\square$

### 3 Reduction Lemmas

**Lemma 4** (Component Reduction Lemma). *Let  $A = \{0\} \cup \{\sum_{i=1}^j a_i : j \in [k-1]\}$ , set  $d := \gcd(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}, n)$ , and define  $A' \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n/d$  such that  $A' = \frac{1}{d}A$ . Then  $H[O(A), k-\lambda] \cong d \cdot H[O(A'), k-\lambda]$ .*

*Proof.* Each difference in  $\Delta(A)$  is congruent to 0 modulo  $d$ , and so the vertices  $A+i$  and  $A+j$  cannot be adjacent if  $i \not\equiv j \pmod{d}$ . That is, there must be a disconnected component for each congruence class modulo  $d$ . These components are isomorphic because they are equivalent translating each set by some  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_d$ . Each component is isomorphic to  $H[O(A'), k-\lambda]$  because  $A$  and  $A+i$  are adjacent if and only if  $i$  has multiplicity  $\lambda$  in  $\Delta(A)$  and  $i \equiv 0 \pmod{d}$  if and only if  $i/d$  has multiplicity  $\lambda$  in  $\Delta(A')$  if and only if  $A'$  and  $A' + i/d$  are adjacent in  $H[O(A'), k-\lambda]$ .  $\square$

Lemma 4 implies that we may assume that at least one of the consecutive differences is a unit modulo  $n$ . Using this fact, the following Lemma 5 shows that we can assume further that at least one of the consecutive differences is 1.

**Lemma 5** (Unit Reduction Lemma). *Let  $A = \{0\} \cup \{\sum_{i=1}^j a_i : j \in [k-1]\}$ . If there exists  $u \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  such that  $\gcd(a_\ell, n) = 1$ , then,  $H[O(A), k-\lambda] \cong H[O(uA), k-\lambda]$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that adjacency is determined by  $\Delta(A)$ . Since for each  $w, x, y, z \in A$  and unit  $u \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ ,  $uw - ux = uy - uz$  if and only if  $w - x = y - z$ , it follows that there exists a bijection  $\phi : O(A) \mapsto O(uA)$  defined by  $\phi(A) = uA = \{0\} \cup \{\sum_{i=1}^j ua_i : j \in [k-1]\}$ . Therefore  $A$  and  $A+i$  are adjacent if and only if  $uA$  and  $uA + ui$  are adjacent, and so  $\phi$  is a graph isomorphism.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.1** (Reduction to consecutive difference 1). *Let  $A = \{0\} \cup \{\sum_{i=1}^j a_i : j \in [k-1]\}$ , and set  $d := \gcd(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}, n)$ . Then there exists a set  $A^*$  with a consecutive difference of 1 such that  $H[O(A), k - \lambda]$  is isomorphic to  $d \cdot H[O(A^*), k - \lambda]$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4,  $H[O(A), k - \lambda] \cong d \cdot H[O(\frac{1}{d}A), k - \lambda]$ . Since  $\frac{1}{d}A$  has a unit consecutive difference  $u \in \mathbb{Z}_{n/d}$ , it follows by Lemma 5 that  $d \cdot H[O(\frac{1}{d}A), k - \lambda] \cong d \cdot H[O(\frac{u^{-1}}{d}A), k - \lambda]$ , where  $\frac{u^{-1}}{d}A$  contains a consecutive difference with value 1.  $\square$

**Lemma 6** (Complement Reduction Lemma). *Let  $A = \{0\} \cup \{\sum_{i=1}^j a_i : j \in [k-1]\}$ . Then  $H[O(A), k - \lambda] \cong H[O(-A), k - \lambda]$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $x \in \Delta(A)$  if and only if  $-x \in \Delta(A)$ , it follows that  $\Delta(A) = \Delta(-A)$ . Because  $A$  and  $-A$  have the same differences, it follows that  $H[O(A), k - \lambda] \cong H[O(-A), k - \lambda]$ .  $\square$

**Example 1.** Suppose the consecutive differences for a set  $A$  are given by  $(6, 10, 8)$ . Then  $A = \{0, 6, 16\}$ . Dividing by the gcd gives  $A' = \{0, 3, 8\}$ , which has consecutive difference pattern  $(3, 5, 4)$ . By definition of gcd, one of these consecutive differences must be a unit, and in this case 5 is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}_{n/d} = \mathbb{Z}_{12}$ . Since  $5^{-1} \equiv 5 \pmod{12}$ , Proposition 3.1 implies that  $H[O(A), 3 - \lambda] \cong 2 \cdot H[O(\frac{5}{2}A), 3 - \lambda]$ , where  $5/2A = \{0, 3, 4\}$ , which has consecutive difference pattern  $(3, 1, 8) \sim (1, 8, 3)$ . Then by Lemma 6,  $H[O(\frac{5}{2}A), 3 - \lambda] \cong H[O(-\frac{5}{2}A), 3 - \lambda]$ , where  $-5/2A$  has consecutive difference pattern  $(1, 3, 8)$ .

## 4 Characterizing Hamming Graphs for $k = 3$ .

**Observation 1.** If the consecutive difference pattern for a set  $A$  with cardinality 3 is equal to its flip (achiral), then  $A$  is an arithmetic progression.

From Observation 1, Proposition 4.1 below classifies the Hamming graphs of sets with two equal consecutive differences.

**Proposition 4.1.** *If  $A$  is an arithmetic progression of length 3, then for  $1 \leq \lambda < 3$ ,*

$$H[O(A), 3 - \lambda] \cong \begin{cases} C_n, & \text{if } \lambda = 2 \text{ or } \lambda = 1 \text{ and } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ 2C_{n/2}, & \text{if } \lambda = 1 \text{ and } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Write  $A = \{0, a, 2a\}$ . By Proposition 3.1, we may assume that either  $a$  or  $n - 2a$  is equal to 1. Note that if  $n - 2a = 1$ , then  $n$  is odd and  $a = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ ,

which means that  $a$  is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ ; so, by Proposition 3.1, we may assume that  $a = 1$  since  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor^{-1}A = \{0, 1, 2\}$ . Now, we have that  $A = \{0, 1, 2\}$ , which satisfies  $\Delta(A) = \{1, 2, 1, -1, -2, -1\}$ . Then since 2 and  $-2$  are the only difference values with multiplicity 1, and the only differences with multiplicity 2 are 1 and  $-1$ , by Lemma 2, both  $H[O(A), 1]$  and  $H[O(A), 2]$  are 2-regular. When  $\lambda = 2$ , all edges are of the form  $A + i \sim A + (i + 1)$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ , and so  $H[O(A), 2]$  is connected and thus isomorphic to  $C_n$ . When  $\lambda = 1$ , the edges are of the form  $A + i \sim A + (i + 2)$ , and so result follows.  $\square$