

# Ph.D. Working Notes

November 7, 2022

## 1 Crescent Labelled Trees

Let  $T$  be a tree of order  $n$ . A crescent labelling of  $T$  is a map  $L : E(T) \mapsto \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ , such that the distance multiset of  $L(T)$  is of the form  $\{d_1^1, d_2^2, \dots, d_{n-1}^{n-1}\}$ . The diameter of  $T$ , denoted  $\text{Diam}(T)$ , is the length of the  $(u, v)$ -path in  $T$ . The max degree of  $T$  is denoted  $\Delta(T)$ .

**Lemma 1** (Basic Diameter Lower Bound). *Let  $t$  be a positive integer. If  $L(T)$  is a crescent labelling of the tree  $T$  with weights  $\{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ , then  $\text{Diam}(T) \geq \frac{n-1}{t}$ .*

*Proof.* Since there are at least  $n - 1$  distinct distances, there is a distance  $d$  with value at least  $n - 1$ . Let  $u, v \in V(T)$  such that  $d(u, v) = d$ , then since  $t$  is the max edge weight, this means that the number of edges on a  $(u, v)$ -path is at least  $\frac{d}{t} \geq \frac{n-1}{t}$ .  $\square$

For a pair of vertices  $u, v \in V(T)$ , we denote the  $(u, v)$ -path in  $T$  as  $P(u, v)$ . Lemma 2 below generalizes the observation underlying the maximum degree upper bound of  $\sim \sqrt{2n}$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $T$  be a tree of order  $n$ . For every  $i \in [1, n - 1]$ ,  $M \in V(T)$ , and  $j \in \mathcal{N}(M)$ , define*

$$D_j := \{u \in V(T) \setminus \{M\} : d(u, M) = d_i, j \in P(u, M)\}.$$

*Then distance  $2d_i$  occurs with multiplicity at least  $\sum_{j < k}^{\deg(M)} |D_j| \cdot |D_k|$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $M \in V(T)$  and  $i \in [1, n - 1]$ . Since  $T$  is a tree, there is always a unique  $(u, v)$ -path for all  $u, v \in V(T)$ . So, for each  $u \in D_j$  and  $v \in D_k$ , the  $(u, v)$ -path must go through  $M$ , which means  $d(u, v) = d(u, M) + d(M, v) = 2d_i$ . There are  $|D_j| \cdot |D_k|$  such  $u$  and  $v$  pairs, so indeed  $2d_i$  has multiplicity at least  $\sum_{j < k}^{\deg(M)} |D_j| \cdot |D_k|$ .  $\square$

Now we apply the lemma to get a condition on crescent labelled trees.

**Proposition 1.1** (Max Multiplicity Condition). *Let  $L(T)$  be a crescent labelling of a tree  $T$ . Then for every  $i \in [1, n - 1]$ ,  $M \in V(T)$ , and  $j \in \mathcal{N}(M)$ ,*

$$\sum_{j < k}^{\deg(M)} |D_j| \cdot |D_k| < n.$$

*Proof.* Since  $L(T)$  is a crescent labelling of  $T$ , no distance can have multiplicity greater than  $n - 1$  and  $T$  is a tree. Since  $T$  is a tree, it follows by Lemma 2 that for each vertex  $M \in V(T)$ ,  $i \in [1, n - 1]$ ,  $\sum_{j < k}^{\deg(M)} |D_j| \cdot |D_k| < n$ .  $\square$

Next is a general lemma on  $\{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ -words containing subwords with  $t - 1$  consecutive 1s.

**Lemma 3** (Arithmetic Condition). *Let  $k \geq 2t$ . Let  $\mathbf{w}$  be a  $\{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ -word with length  $k$ . If  $w_{a-t+2} = w_{a-t+3} = \dots = w_a = 1$  for some  $a \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , then each value*

$$1, 2, \dots, \max \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^a w_i, \sum_{i=a-t+2}^k w_i \right\}$$

*occurs as a partial sum in  $\mathbf{w}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose without loss of generality that  $\sum_{i=1}^a w_i \leq \sum_{i=a-t+2}^k w_i$ . Then it is sufficient to show that every value  $1, 2, \dots, \sum_{i=a-t+2}^k w_i$  occurs as a partial sum in  $\mathbf{w}$ . Call  $w_{a-t+2}, w_{a-t+3}, \dots, w_a$  the *unit segment* of  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $w_{a+1}, w_{a+2}, \dots, w_k$  the *non-unit segment* of  $\mathbf{w}$ . We proceed by induction on the number of terms  $r$  in the non-unit segment of  $\mathbf{w}$ . When  $r = 1$ ,  $w_{a+r} \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ , and since the unit segment has  $t - 1$  1s, for each  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, t - 1\}$ , we have the partial sums  $j = \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} w_{a-i}$ . Then the values between  $w_{a+r}$  and  $\sum_{i=a-t+2}^{a+r} w_i$  are of the form  $w_{a+r} + \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} w_{a-i}$ . For the inductive step, the values  $1, 2, \dots, \sum_{i=a-t+2}^{a+r-1} w_i$  occur at least once by inductive hypothesis. We have that  $w_{a+r} \in \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$  and the values between  $\sum_{i=a+1}^{a+r-1} w_i$  and  $\sum_{i=a+1}^{a+r} w_i$  can be obtained from  $\sum_{i=a+1-j}^{a+r-1} w_i$  for each  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, t - 1\}$ . Then similarly the values between  $\sum_{i=a+1}^{a+r} w_i$  and  $\sum_{i=a-t+2}^{a+r} w_i$  are  $\sum_{i=a+1-j}^{a+r} w_i$  for  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, t - 1\}$ .  $\square$

We now apply this arithmetic lemma to crescent labelled trees to show that when there are many consecutive 1s on a path, the path cannot be too long with many large weight edges.

**Proposition 1.2.** *Let  $L(T)$  be a crescent labelling of a tree  $T$  with edge weights in  $\{1, 2, \dots, t\}$ . Then for every path  $P = (v_1 v_2, v_2 v_3, \dots, v_{t-1} v_t)$  in  $T$  such that  $w(v_i v_{i+1}) = 1$  for  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, t - 1\}$ , it follows that  $\max\{d(v_1, u) : u \in V(T)\} < n$  and  $\max\{d(v_t, u) : u \in V(T)\} < n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $T$  be a tree with a path  $P$  specified in the proposition statement and  $L(T)$  a crescent labelling. It is sufficient to show that  $\max\{d(v_1, u) : u \in V(T)\} < n$  since the case for  $v_t$  is similar. Let  $u' \in V(T)$  such that  $d(v_1, u') = \max\{d(v_1, u) : u \in V(T)\}$ . By Lemma 3, every distance  $1, 2, \dots, d(v_1, u')$  occurs at least once. Since  $L(T)$  is a crescent labelling, there can be at most  $n - 1$  distinct distances, so  $d(v_1, u') < n$  as desired.  $\square$

The implication for when  $t = 2$  is quite strong since this imposes a max distance condition on vertices incident to edges with weight 1.

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $L(T)$  be a crescent labelling of a tree  $T$ . If  $t = 2$ , then every vertex incident to an edge with weight 1 has max distance at most  $n - 1$ .*

What follows is a basic lemma about trees that may turn out to be useful in case parameterizing by number of leaves becomes sensible.

**Lemma 4** (From Chartrand and Lesniak's text "Graphs and Digraphs" 4th edition). *Let  $T$  be a tree with  $n_i$  vertices with degree  $i$ , where  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \Delta(T)\}$ . Then  $n_1 = n_3 + 2n_4 + 3n_5 + \dots + (\Delta(T) - 2)n_{\Delta(T)} + 2$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $n = \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta(T)} n_i$ . Since  $T$  is a tree,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\Delta(T)} i n_i = \sum_{v \in V(T)} \deg(v) = 2(n - 1) = 2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta(T)} n_i \right) - 2.$$

Rearranging gives  $2 + \sum_{i=1}^{\Delta(T)} (i - 2)n_i = 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.4.** *If  $T$  is a tree, then  $\sum_{i=3}^{\Delta(T)} (i - 2)n_i < n_1$*