- 1. Delegation Lock Introduction
 - 1. Advantages
 - 2. Problems
- 2. Problem
 - 1. Usage Fairness
 - 2. Scheduling Subversion
- 3. Solution
 - 1. Banning
 - 1. Advantage
 - 1. Easy to implement
 - 2. Disadvantage
 - 1. May create gap that prevents all threads from getting the lock
 - 2. Lock-Free Priority Queue
 - 1. Advantage
 - 1. No gap
 - 2. Simple Idea
 - 2. Challenges
 - 1. Multi-threaded lock-free implementation is complex and slow
 - 3. Serilized Priority Queue
 - 1. Advantage
 - 1. No gap
 - 2. Fair
 - 3. Single-threaded implementation is fast
 - 2. Challenges
 - 1. Hard to design protocol for threads to publish to pririty queue
 - 2. How to elect the combiner thread?
 - 3. How to cache node.
 - 4. Other Scheduling Mechanism
- 4. Protocol Design
 - 1. Idea
 - 1. Thread publish job to combiner in non-scheduled state
 - 2. Combiner schedule the job in order
 - 2. Job publish
 - 1. A MPSC channel
 - 3. Responsibility
 - 1. Combiner Election
 - 1. A single AtomicBool
 - 2. Combiner
 - 1. Maintain a priority queue
 - 2. Poll a channel to get new node from submitter
 - 3. de-activate node (when?)
 - 3. Waiter
 - 1. Publish a node to a channel (MPSC channel)
 - 4. Challenges
 - 1. Publishing node can be expensive
 - 1. May cache a node belongs to the thread
 - 2. When do combiner check the channel
 - 3. When a thread is about to enter sleep state
- 5. Delegation Styled Lock Job Post Type

- 1. Thread Level Queue
 - 1. Flat Combining
 - 2. $node \rightarrow thread$
 - 3. Advantage
 - 1. Simple
 - 2. Fast
 - 4. Challenges
 - 1. thread sparsely enter the queue, it may starve
- 2. Job Level Queue
 - 1. CCSynch
 - 2. node \rightarrow job
 - 3. Advantage
 - 1. no need to iterate over thread that hasn't published job
 - 4. Challenges
 - 1. More complex
 - 2. Hard to design protocol for threads to publish job