

# Math 542 HW1

Hongtao Zhang

1

“First Isomorphism Theorem for Modules”,

**Theorem 1.0.1** Let  $M, N$  be  $R$ -modules and let  $p : M \rightarrow N$  be an  $R$ -module homomorphism. Then  $\ker(\psi)$  is a submodule of  $M$  and  $M / \ker \cong \psi(M)$ .

Solution 1.1

As  $\psi$  is a  $R$ -module homomorphism,

$$\forall m_1, m_2 \in M, r \in R : \psi(rm_1 + m_2) = r\psi(m_1) + \psi(m_2)$$

For  $\ker(\psi)$  to become a submodule, we requires  $\forall r \in R, x \in \ker(\psi) : rx \in \ker(\psi)$

We have  $r \cdot 0 = 0$ , and  $\forall x \in \ker(\psi) : \psi(x) = 0$

Then  $\forall r \in R, x \in \ker(\psi) : \psi(rx) = r\psi(x) = r \cdot 0 = 0$

Because a module homomorphism must be a group homomorphism. By first isomorphism theorem of group,  $M / \ker(\psi) \cong \psi(M)$ .

Second Isomorphism Theorem for Modules

**Theorem 1.0.2** let  $A$  and  $B$  be submodules of  $M$ . Then  $\frac{A+B}{B} \cong \frac{A}{A \cap B}$

Solution 1.2

Construct a map  $\psi : A \rightarrow \frac{A+B}{B}$  by composing map from  $\varphi : A \rightarrow A + B$  as a natural map and the canonical projection.

Then we can write  $\psi(a)$  as  $aB$ , which means its kernel is  $A \cap B$ .

2

2.1

### Solution 2.1.1

We want to show  $\forall r \in R, m \in \text{Tor}(M) : rm \in \text{Tor}(M)$

Thus we want to find some  $r'$  such that  $r'rm = 0$

We know that  $\exists r'' : r''m = 0$ , then it suffices to find  $r'$  such that  $r'r = r''$ .

As  $R$  is an integral domain, we have  $r''r = rr'' \Rightarrow (r''r)m = (rr'')m = 0$ , and  $rr'' \neq 0$  because  $r \neq 0 \wedge r'' \neq 0$ .

## 2.2

*Example :*

Consider  $R = \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$  :

$2 \in \text{Tor}(R)$  but  $5 \times 2 = 4 \notin \text{Tor}(R)$ .

## 2.3

### Solution 2.3.1

Consider the zero divisor  $r_1, r_2 \in R$ . We have  $r_1, r_2 \neq 0 \wedge r_1r_2 = 0$ . Then consider any non-zero element  $m \in M$ ,  $r_2r_1m = 0 \Rightarrow r_1m \in \text{Tor}(M)$ . Then it suffices to show that  $r_1m \neq 0$ .

However, if  $r_1m = 0$ , then  $m \in \text{Tor}(M)$ , which also satisfy the requirement.

## 2.4

### 2.4.1

Because  $\mathbb{Z}$  is an integral domain, then become a torsion submodules means exist some elements that makes the whole submodule become 0. Then the first entries must be 0.

The second entry is just the whole  $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$  as we always have  $6 \in \mathbb{Z}$  that makes every element in  $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$  to be 0.

## 3

Denote the finite-dimensional  $k[x]$ -module as  $V$ .

To become a submodule  $V'$ , it must be invariant under the linear transformation represented by  $x$ . It suffices to find a polynomial  $\chi(A)$  such that  $\chi(A) = 0$ . By Cayley-Hamilton theorem, this polynomials always exists, which is the charateristic polynomial. Thus every element is a torsion element, and thus the torsion submodules are  $V$  itself.

## 3.1

### Proposition 3.1.1

The only finite-dimensional simple  $\mathbb{C}[x]$ -modules are one-dimensional.

### Solution 3.1.1

As any  $n \times n$  matrix with entries in  $\mathbb{C}$  has an eigenvector. We know that the span of eigenvector of  $x$  will never escape the span, and thus is a submodule.

As long as the dimension of  $\mathbb{C}[x]$ -modules are not 1, we definitely can find a span of eigenvector that has dimensions less than the module.

## 3.2

### Proposition 3.2.1

Let  $M := \mathbb{C}^2$  be a  $\mathbb{C}[x]$ -module where the action of  $x$  is given by the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Find all submodules of  $M$ .

### Solution 3.2.1

By (1), we will have the submodules span by the eigenvectors of  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} x + y = x \\ y = y \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow ()$$

## 4

### 4.1

#### Solution 4.1.1

For  $f$  to be a  $R$ -module isomorphism, it must be a isomorphism of the underlying set. Consider  $f$  is bijective first.

Therefore,  $\exists g : f \circ g = g \circ f = \mathbb{1}$ . It suffices to prove that  $g$  is a  $R$ -module homomorphism.

Because  $f$  is a  $R$ -module homomorphism

$$\forall m_1, m_2 \in M, r \in R : f(rm_1 + m_2) = rf(m_1) + f(m_2)$$

Then we have  $g(f(rm_1 + m_2)) = rm_1 + m_2 = g(rf(m_1) + f(m_2))$ .

Because  $f$  is bijective,  $f(m_1)$  and  $f(m_2)$  points to a unique element in  $M$  denoted as  $m_3$  and  $m_4$

$$\forall m_3, m_4 \in M : \exists m_1, m_2 \in M : f(m_1) = m_3 \wedge f(m_2) = m_4$$

Therefore,  $\forall m_3, m_4 \in M : g(rm_3 + m_4) = rg(m_3) + g(m_4) = rm_1 + m_2$ .

Assume the existence of such  $g$  that is a  $R$ -module homomorphism and  $g \circ f = \mathbb{1} = f \circ g$ :

We know that the existence of inverse of the underlying set means that  $f$  and  $g$  is bijective. Then nothing left to be proved.

## 4.2

#### Solution 4.2.1

By THEOREM 1.0.1 we have  $\ker(f)$  as a submodule of  $M$ . However, because  $M$  is simple, then  $\ker(f)$  is either  $\{0\}$  or  $M$ .

Then for any non-zero  $R$ -module homomorphism  $f : M \rightarrow M$ , we have  $\ker(f) = \{0\}$ , which means it is injective, and as  $f$  maps from  $M$  to  $M$ , it is surjective, so thus bijective. By (1), we have such  $g$  exists.

## 4.3

#### Solution 4.3.1

Consider a matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  that has no eigenvector in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Then we have no submodule for this module.

$\text{End}_R(M)$  is all the linear transformation that commute with  $x$ , and for this case it is  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}$ , and thus we can just send this to  $a + bi$ .

## 5

### 5.1

Let  $A$  be any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, let  $a$  be any element of  $A$  and let  $n$  be a positive integer. Prove that the map  $\varphi_a : \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow A$  given by  $\varphi(\bar{k}) = ka$  is a well defined  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module homomorphism if and only if  $na = 0$ . Prove that  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, A) \cong A_n$ , where  $A_n = \{a \in A \mid na = 0\}$  (so  $A_n$  is the annihilator in  $A$  of the ideal  $(n)$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$  – cf. Exercise 10, Section 1).

#### Solution 5.1.1

if  $na = 0$

$$\varphi(\bar{x} + \bar{y}) = \varphi(x + y \bmod n) = (x + y \bmod n)a$$

$$\varphi(\bar{x}) + \varphi(\bar{y}) = (x + y)a$$

Because  $na = 0$ ,  $(x + y)a = (x + y \bmod n)a$

If  $\varphi_a$  is a valid homomorphism, then

$$\varphi(\bar{x} + \bar{y}) = \varphi(\bar{x}) + \varphi(\bar{y}) \Rightarrow (x + y)a = (x + y \bmod n)a \Rightarrow na = 0$$

#### Solution 5.1.2

From Previous statement, we have each  $\varphi_a : \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow A$  corresponded to a set of  $a$  such that  $na = 0$ .

We want to prove  $\forall \psi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, A) : \exists a \in A : \psi = \varphi_a$

For  $\psi$  to be in  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, A)$ , we will have the property that

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, z \in \mathbb{Z} : z\psi(x) = \psi(zx)$$

Therefore,  $z\psi(x) = \bar{z}\psi(x)$ .

Therefore,  $\psi(x)$  must have the property that  $n\psi(x) = 0$ , which fits exactly into the  $a$  we have.

### 5.2

Exhibit all  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module homomorphisms from  $\mathbb{Z}/30\mathbb{Z}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}/21\mathbb{Z}$ .

#### Solution 5.2.1

By previous exercise, it suffices to find all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}/21\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $30a = 0 \Rightarrow 9a = 0$ .

We have  $a_1 = 7, a_2 = 14, a_3 = 0$

## 6 Bonus

Given a ring  $R$ , the opposite ring  $R^{\text{op}}$  is the ring with all the same elements, where addition is defined identically, but for which  $x \cdot^{\text{op}} y := y \cdot x$  where  $\cdot$  is multiplication in  $R$  and  $\cdot^{\text{op}}$  is the multiplication in  $R^{\text{op}}$ . Take  $R$  as a left  $R$ -module and show that  $\text{Hom}_{R\text{-Mod}}(R, R)$  is isomorphic to  $R^{\text{op}}$  as a ring.

### Solution 6.1

Consider an element  $f$  in  $\text{Hom}_{R\text{-Mod}}(R, R)$ , it must follow the module property.

That is

$$\forall r_1, r_2 \in R : r_1 f(r_2) = f(r_1 r_2) \Rightarrow f(r_1) = f(r_1 \cdot 1) = r_1 f(1)$$

Therefore,  $f$  can only have one form  $f_r(r') = r' r$ .

Then the map  $\psi : R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R\text{-Mod}}(R, R)$  by sending  $r \mapsto f_r$ . This map is clearly both injective and surjective.

Consider the map  $\varphi : \text{Hom}_{R\text{-Mod}}(R, R) \rightarrow R^{\text{op}}$  that maps  $f_r$  to  $r$  in  $R^{\text{op}}$ . Because it is the inverse of  $\psi$  in the underlying set, it is injective and surjective, and thus an isomorphism.

Show that if  $R = \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(k)$  is the ring of  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in a field  $k$ , then  $R^{\text{op}} \cong R$  where the isomorphism is given by sending a matrix to its transpose.

### Solution 6.2

This map is a clearly bijection on the underlying set. The only thing left to check it is a homomorphism.

$$\begin{aligned} f(A + B) &= (A + B)^T = A^T + B^T \\ f(AB) &= (BA)^T = A^T B^T = f(A)f(B) \end{aligned}$$