

Chapter 3(Review)

Transport Layer


A note on the use of these Powerpoint slides:

We're making these slides freely available to all (faculty, students, readers). They're in PowerPoint form so you see the animations; and can add, modify, and delete slides (including this one) and slide content to suit your needs. They obviously represent a *lot* of work on our part. In return for use, we only ask the following:

- If you use these slides (e.g., in a class) that you mention their source (after all, we'd like people to use our book!)
- If you post any slides on a www site, that you note that they are adapted from (or perhaps identical to) our slides, and note our copyright of this material.

Thanks and enjoy! JFK/KWR

© All material copyright 1996-2016
J.F Kurose and K.W. Ross, All Rights Reserved



Computer Networking: A Top Down Approach

7th edition

Jim Kurose, Keith Ross

Pearson/Addison Wesley

April 2016

Chapter 3 outline

3.1 transport-layer services

3.2 multiplexing and demultiplexing

3.3 connectionless transport: UDP

3.4 principles of reliable data transfer

3.5 connection-oriented transport: TCP

- segment structure
- reliable data transfer
- flow control
- connection management

3.6 principles of congestion control

3.7 TCP congestion control

Transport vs. network layer

- *network layer*: logical communication **between hosts**
- *transport layer*: logical communication **between processes**
 - relies on, enhances, network layer services


household analogy:

12 kids in Ann's house sending letters to 12 kids in Bill's house:

- hosts = houses
- processes = kids
- app messages = letters in envelopes
- transport protocol = Ann and Bill who demux to in-house siblings
- network-layer protocol = postal service

Internet transport-layer protocols

- reliable, in-order delivery (TCP)
 - congestion control
 - flow control
 - connection setup
- unreliable, unordered delivery: UDP
 - no-frills extension of “best-effort” IP
- services not available:
 - delay guarantees
 - bandwidth guarantees



Chapter 3 outline

3.1 transport-layer services

3.2 multiplexing and demultiplexing

3.3 connectionless transport: UDP

3.4 principles of reliable data transfer

3.5 connection-oriented transport: TCP

- segment structure
- reliable data transfer
- flow control
- connection management

3.6 principles of congestion control


3.7 TCP congestion control

Multiplexing/Demultiplexing

多路复用/多路分解


multiplexing at sender:
handle data from multiple sockets, add transport header (later used for demultiplexing)

demultiplexing at receiver:
use header info to deliver received segments to correct socket



How demultiplexing works

- host receives IP datagrams
 - each datagram has source IP address, destination IP address
 - each datagram carries one transport-layer segment
 - each segment has source, destination port number
- host uses *IP addresses & port numbers* to direct segment to appropriate socket



TCP/UDP segment format

Chapter 3 outline

3.1 transport-layer services

3.2 multiplexing and demultiplexing

3.3 connectionless transport: UDP

3.4 principles of reliable data transfer

3.5 connection-oriented transport: TCP

- segment structure
- reliable data transfer
- flow control
- connection management


3.6 principles of congestion control

3.7 TCP congestion control

UDP: User Datagram Protocol [RFC 768]

- “no frills,” “bare bones”
Internet transport
protocol
- “best effort” service, UDP
segments may be:
 - lost
 - delivered out-of-order
to app
- *connectionless*:
 - no handshaking
between UDP sender,
receiver
 - each UDP segment
handled independently
of others
- UDP use:
 - streaming multimedia
apps (loss tolerant, rate
sensitive)
 - DNS
 - SNMP (Simple Network
Management Protocol)

UDP: segment header



UDP segment format

why is there a UDP?

- no connection establishment (which can add delay)
- simple: no connection state at sender, receiver
- small header size
- no congestion control: UDP can blast away as fast as desired

UDP checksum

Goal: detect “errors” (e.g., flipped bits) in transmitted segment


sender:

- treat segment contents, including header fields, as sequence of 16-bit integers
- checksum: addition (one's complement sum) of segment contents
- sender puts checksum value into UDP checksum field

receiver:

- compute checksum of received segment
- check if computed checksum equals checksum field value:
 - NO - error detected
 - YES - no error detected.
But maybe errors nonetheless? More later
....

Internet checksum: example



Note: when adding numbers, a carryout from the most significant bit needs to be added to the result

Chapter 3 outline

3.1 transport-layer services

3.2 multiplexing and demultiplexing

3.3 connectionless transport: UDP

3.4 principles of reliable data transfer

3.5 connection-oriented transport: TCP


- segment structure
- reliable data transfer
- flow control
- connection management

3.6 principles of congestion control

3.7 TCP congestion control

Principles of reliable data transfer

- important in application, transport, link layers
 - top-10 list of important networking topics!




(a) provided service

- characteristics of unreliable channel will determine complexity of reliable data transfer protocol (rdt)

Principles of reliable data transfer


- important in application, transport, link layers
 - top-10 list of important networking topics!



- characteristics of unreliable channel will determine complexity of reliable data transfer protocol (rdt)

Principles of reliable data transfer


- important in application, transport, link layers
 - top-10 list of important networking topics!




- characteristics of unreliable channel will determine complexity of reliable data transfer protocol (rdt)

Reliable data transfer: getting started

rdt_send() : called from above,
(e.g., by app.). Passed data to
deliver to receiver upper layer



deliver_data() : called by
rdt to deliver data to upper



udt_send() : called by rdt,
to transfer packet over
unreliable channel to receiver




rdt_rcv() : called when packet
arrives on rcv-side of channel

Reliable data transfer: getting started

we'll:


- incrementally develop sender, receiver sides of reliable data transfer protocol (rdt)
- consider only unidirectional data transfer
 - but control info will flow on both directions!
- use finite state machines (FSM, 有限状态机) to specify sender, receiver

state: when in this “state” next state uniquely determined by next event




rdt1.0: reliable transfer over a reliable channel

- underlying channel perfectly reliable
 - no bit errors
 - no loss of packets
- separate FSMs for sender, receiver:
 - sender sends data into underlying channel
 - receiver reads data from underlying channel



sender



receiver

rdt2.0: channel with bit errors


- underlying channel may flip bits in packet
 - checksum to detect bit errors
- *the question: how to recover from errors:*

*How do humans recover from “errors”
during conversation?*

rdt2.0: channel with bit errors


- underlying channel may flip bits in packet
 - checksum to detect bit errors
- *the question: how to recover from errors:*
 - *acknowledgements (ACKs)*: receiver explicitly tells sender that pkt received OK
 - *negative acknowledgements (NAKs)*: receiver explicitly tells sender that pkt had errors
 - sender retransmits pkt on receipt of NAK
- new mechanisms in `rdt2.0` (beyond `rdt1.0`):
 - error detection
 - feedback: control msgs (ACK,NAK) from receiver to sender

rdt2.0: FSM specification



sender

receiver



rdt3.0: channels with errors *and* loss

new assumption:

underlying channel can also lose packets (data, ACKs)

- checksum, seq. #, ACKs, retransmissions will be of help ... but not enough

approach: sender waits “reasonable” amount of time for ACK

- retransmits if no ACK received in this time
- if pkt (or ACK) just delayed (not lost):
 - retransmission will be duplicate, but seq. #'s already handles this
 - receiver must specify seq # of pkt being ACKed
- requires countdown timer

Performance of rdt3.0

- rdt3.0 is correct, but performance stinks
- e.g.: 1 Gbps link, 15 ms prop. delay, 8000 bit packet:


$$D_{trans} = \frac{L}{R} = \frac{8000 \text{ bits}}{10^9 \text{ bits/sec}} = 8 \text{ microsecs}$$

- U_{sender} : *utilization* – fraction of time sender busy sending

$$U_{\text{sender}} = \frac{L / R}{RTT + L / R} = \frac{.008}{30.008} = 0.00027$$

- if RTT=30 msec, 1KB pkt every 30 msec: 33kB/sec thruput over 1 Gbps link
- network protocol limits use of physical resources!

rdt3.0: stop-and-wait operation




$$U_{\text{sender}} = \frac{L / R}{RTT + L / R} = \frac{.008}{30.008} = 0.00027$$

Pipelined protocols

Pipelining (流水线协议): sender allows multiple, “in-flight”, yet-to-be-acknowledged pkts

- range of sequence numbers must be increased
- buffering at sender and/or receiver




(a) a stop-and-wait protocol in operation

(b) a pipelined protocol in operation

- two generic forms of pipelined protocols: **Go-Back-N**, **selective repeat**

Pipelining: increased utilization



Pipelined protocols: overview

Go-back-N:

- sender can have up to N unacked packets in pipeline
- receiver only sends *cumulative ack* (累积确认)
 - doesn't ack packet if there's a gap
- sender has timer for oldest unacked packet
 - when timer expires, retransmit *all* unacked packets

Selective Repeat:

- sender can have up to N unack'ed packets in pipeline
- rcvr sends *individual ack* (逐一确认) for each packet
- sender maintains timer for each unacked packet
 - when timer expires, retransmit only that unacked packet

GBN in action

sender window (N=4)

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

sender

send pkt0

send pkt1

send pkt2

send pkt3

(wait)

rcv ack0, send pkt4

rcv ack1, send pkt5

ignore duplicate ACK



pkt 2 timeout

send pkt2

send pkt3

send pkt4

send pkt5

receiver

receive pkt0, send ack0

receive pkt1, send ack1

receive pkt3, discard,
(re)send ack1

receive pkt4, discard,
(re)send ack1

receive pkt5, discard,
(re)send ack1

rcv pkt2, deliver, send ack2

rcv pkt3, deliver, send ack3

rcv pkt4, deliver, send ack4

rcv pkt5, deliver, send ack5

Selective repeat

- receiver *individually* acknowledges all correctly received pkts
 - buffers pkts, as needed, for eventual in-order delivery to upper layer
- sender **only resends** pkts for which ACK not received
 - sender timer for each unACKed pkt
- sender window
 - N consecutive seq #'s
 - limits seq #s of sent, unACKed pkts

Selective repeat in action

sender window (N=4)

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

sender

send pkt0

send pkt1

send pkt2

send pkt3

(wait)

rcv ack0, send pkt4

rcv ack1, send pkt5

record ack3 arrived



pkt 2 timeout

send pkt2

record ack4 arrived

record ack5 arrived

receiver

receive pkt0, send ack0

receive pkt1, send ack1

receive pkt3, buffer,
send ack3

receive pkt4, buffer,
send ack4

receive pkt5, buffer,
send ack5

rcv pkt2; deliver pkt2,
pkt3, pkt4, pkt5; send ack2

Q: what happens when ack2 arrives?

Chapter 3 outline

3.1 transport-layer services

3.2 multiplexing and demultiplexing

3.3 connectionless transport: UDP

3.4 principles of reliable data transfer

3.5 connection-oriented transport: TCP

- segment structure
- reliable data transfer
- flow control
- connection management

3.6 principles of congestion control


3.7 TCP congestion control

TCP: Overview

RFCs: 793, 1122, 1323, 2018, 2581

- **point-to-point:**
 - one sender, one receiver
- **reliable, in-order *byte stream*:**
 - no “message boundaries”
- **pipelined:**
 - TCP congestion and flow control set window size
- **full duplex data:**
 - bi-directional data flow in same connection
 - MSS: maximum segment size
- **connection-oriented:**
 - handshaking (exchange of control msgs) initializes sender, receiver state before data exchange
- **flow controlled:**
 - sender will not overwhelm receiver

TCP segment structure



TCP seq. numbers, ACKs

sequence numbers(序列号):

- byte stream “number” of first byte in segment's data

Acknowledgements(确认号):


- seq # of next byte **expected** from other side
- cumulative ACK

Q: how receiver handles out-of-order segments

- **A:** TCP spec doesn't say,
- up to implementor

outgoing segment from sender


source port #	dest port #
sequence number	
acknowledgement number	
	rwnd
checksum	urg pointer



incoming segment to sender

source port #	dest port #
sequence number	
acknowledgement number	
	A
checksum	urg pointer

TCP seq. numbers, ACKs



simple telnet scenario

TCP round trip time, timeout

Q: how to set TCP timeout value?

- longer than RTT
 - but RTT varies
- *too short*: premature timeout, unnecessary retransmissions
- *too long*: slow reaction to segment loss


Q: how to estimate RTT?

- **SampleRTT**: measured time from segment transmission until ACK receipt
 - ignore retransmissions
- **SampleRTT** will vary, want estimated RTT “smoother”
 - average several *recent* measurements, not just current **SampleRTT**

TCP round trip time, timeout

$$\text{EstimatedRTT} = (1 - \alpha) * \text{EstimatedRTT} + \alpha * \text{SampleRTT}$$

- exponential weighted moving average
- influence of past sample decreases exponentially fast
- **typical value:** $\alpha = 0.125$



TCP round trip time, timeout

- **timeout interval:** **EstimatedRTT** plus “safety margin”
 - large variation in **EstimatedRTT** → larger safety margin
- estimate SampleRTT deviation from EstimatedRTT:

$$\text{DevRTT} = (1-\beta) * \text{DevRTT} + \beta * |\text{SampleRTT} - \text{EstimatedRTT}|$$

(typically, $\beta = 0.25$)

$$\text{TimeoutInterval} = \text{EstimatedRTT} + 4 * \text{DevRTT}$$



↑
estimated RTT

↑
“safety margin”

Chapter 3 outline

3.1 transport-layer services

3.2 multiplexing and demultiplexing

3.3 connectionless transport: UDP

3.4 principles of reliable data transfer

3.5 connection-oriented transport: TCP

- segment structure
- reliable data transfer
- flow control
- connection management

3.6 principles of congestion control

3.7 TCP congestion control

TCP reliable data transfer

- TCP creates rdt service on top of IP' s unreliable service
 - pipelined segments
 - cumulative acks
 - single retransmission timer
- retransmissions triggered by:
 - timeout events
 - duplicate acks

let' s initially consider simplified TCP sender:

- ignore duplicate acks
- ignore flow control, congestion control

TCP sender events:

data rcvd from app:

- create segment with seq #
- seq # is byte-stream number of first data byte in segment
- start timer if not already running
 - think of timer as for oldest unacked segment
 - expiration interval: `TimeoutInterval`

timeout:

- retransmit segment that caused timeout
- restart timer

ack rcvd:

- if ack acknowledges previously unacked segments
 - update what is known to be ACKed
 - start timer if there are still unacked segments

TCP fast retransmit


- time-out period often relatively long:
 - long delay before resending lost packet
- detect lost segments via duplicate ACKs.
 - sender often sends many segments back-to-back
 - if segment is lost, there will likely be many duplicate ACKs.

TCP fast retransmit

if sender receives 3 ACKs for same data (“triple duplicate ACKs”), resend unacked segment with smallest seq #

- likely that unacked segment lost, so don't wait for timeout

TCP fast retransmit



Chapter 3 outline

3.1 transport-layer services

3.2 multiplexing and demultiplexing

3.3 connectionless transport: UDP

3.4 principles of reliable data transfer

3.5 connection-oriented transport: TCP

- segment structure
- reliable data transfer
- flow control
- connection management

3.6 principles of congestion control


3.7 TCP congestion control

TCP flow control

application may
remove data from
TCP socket buffers


... slower than TCP
receiver is delivering
(sender is sending)

flow control
receiver controls sender, so
sender won't overflow
receiver's buffer by transmitting
too much, too fast



TCP flow control

- receiver “advertises” free buffer space by including **rwnd** value in TCP header of receiver-to-sender segments
 - **RcvBuffer** size set via socket options (typical default is 4096 bytes)
 - many operating systems autoadjust **RcvBuffer**
- sender limits amount of unacked (“in-flight”) data to receiver’s **rwnd** value
- guarantees receive buffer will not overflow



Chapter 3 outline

3.1 transport-layer services

3.2 multiplexing and demultiplexing

3.3 connectionless transport: UDP

3.4 principles of reliable data transfer

3.5 connection-oriented transport: TCP

- segment structure
- reliable data transfer
- flow control
- connection management


3.6 principles of congestion control

3.7 TCP congestion control


Connection Management

before exchanging data, sender/receiver “handshake”:

- agree to establish connection (each knowing the other willing to establish connection)
- agree on connection parameters




```
Socket clientSocket =  
    newSocket("hostname", "port  
    number");
```




```
Socket connectionSocket =  
    welcomeSocket.accept();
```

TCP 3-way handshake(3次握手)



TCP 3-way handshake: FSM



TCP: closing a connection

- client, server each close their side of connection
 - send TCP segment with FIN bit = 1
- respond to received FIN with ACK
 - on receiving FIN, ACK can be combined with own FIN
- simultaneous FIN exchanges can be handled

TCP: closing a connection

client state

ESTAB

`clientSocket.close()`

FIN_WAIT_1

can no longer
send but can
receive data

FIN_WAIT_2

wait for server
close

TIMED_WAIT

timed wait
for $2 * \text{max}$
segment lifetime

CLOSED



FINbit=1, seq=x

ACKbit=1; ACKnum=x+1

FINbit=1, seq=y

ACKbit=1; ACKnum=y+1

can still
send data

can no longer
send data

server state

ESTAB

CLOSE_WAIT

LAST_ACK

CLOSED

Chapter 3 outline

3.1 transport-layer services

3.2 multiplexing and demultiplexing

3.3 connectionless transport: UDP

3.4 principles of reliable data transfer

3.5 connection-oriented transport: TCP

- segment structure
- reliable data transfer
- flow control
- connection management

3.6 principles of congestion control

3.7 TCP congestion control

Principles of congestion control

congestion:

- informally: “too many sources sending too much data too fast for *network* to handle”
- different from flow control!
- manifestations:
 - lost packets (buffer overflow at routers)
 - long delays (queueing in router buffers)
- a top-10 problem!

Chapter 3 outline

3.1 transport-layer services

3.2 multiplexing and demultiplexing

3.3 connectionless transport: UDP

3.4 principles of reliable data transfer

3.5 connection-oriented transport: TCP

- segment structure
- reliable data transfer
- flow control
- connection management


3.6 principles of congestion control

3.7 TCP congestion control


TCP congestion control: additive increase multiplicative decrease

- *approach*: sender increases transmission rate (window size), probing for usable bandwidth, until loss occurs
 - *additive increase*: increase **cwnd** by 1 MSS every RTT until loss detected
 - *multiplicative decrease*: cut **cwnd** in half after loss

AIMD saw tooth
behavior: probing
for bandwidth



TCP Congestion Control: details



- sender limits transmission:

$$\text{LastByteSent} - \text{LastByteAcked} \leq \text{cwnd}$$

- **cwnd** is dynamic, function of perceived network congestion


TCP sending rate:

- *roughly*: send cwnd bytes, wait RTT for ACKS, then send more bytes

$$\text{rate} \approx \frac{\text{cwnd}}{\text{RTT}} \text{ bytes/sec}$$

TCP Slow Start (慢开始)

- when connection begins, increase rate exponentially until first loss event:
 - initially **cwnd** = 1 MSS
 - double **cwnd** every RTT
 - done by incrementing **cwnd** for every ACK received
- summary: initial rate is slow but ramps up exponentially fast



TCP: detecting, reacting to loss

- loss indicated by timeout:
 - `cwnd` set to 1 MSS;
 - window then grows exponentially (as in slow start) to threshold, then grows linearly
- loss indicated by 3 duplicate ACKs:

TCP RENO (新版)

- dup ACKs indicate network capable of delivering some segments
- `cwnd` is cut in half window then grows linearly
- TCP Tahoe (旧版) always sets `cwnd` to 1 (timeout or 3 duplicate acks)


TCP: switching from slow start to Congestion Avoidance (拥塞避免)

Q: when should the exponential increase switch to linear?


A: when **cwnd** gets to 1/2 of its value before timeout.

Implementation:

- variable **ssthresh**
- on loss event, **ssthresh** is set to 1/2 of **cwnd** just before loss event



Summary: TCP Congestion Control



Chapter 3: summary

- principles behind transport layer services:
 - multiplexing, demultiplexing
 - reliable data transfer
 - flow control
 - congestion control
- instantiation, implementation in the Internet
 - UDP
 - TCP

next:

- leaving the network “edge” (application, transport layers)
- into the network “core”
- two network layer chapters:
 - data plane
 - control plane