

Compilers

Self Type Checking

• SELF_TYPE's meaning depends on the enclosing class

$$O,M,C \vdash e:T$$

An expression e occurring in the body of C has static type T given a variable type environment O and method signatures M

The next step is to design type rules using SELF_TYPE

- Most of the rules remain the same
 - But use the new ≤ and lub

$$O(Id) = T_0$$

$$O,M,C \vdash e_1 : T_0$$

$$T_1 \leq T_0$$

$$O,M,C \vdash Id \leftarrow e_1 : T_1$$

Recall the old rule for dispatch

```
\begin{array}{c} \text{O,M,C} \vdash e_0 : T_0 \\ \vdots \\ \text{O,M,C} \vdash e_n : T_n \\ \text{M(T}_0, f) = (T_1', ..., T_n', T_{n+1}') \\ \underline{T_{n+1}' \neq \text{SELF\_TYPE}}, \\ \underline{T_i \leq T_i'} \qquad 1 \leq i \leq n \\ \text{O,M,C} \vdash e_0.f(e_1, ..., e_n) : T_{n+1}' \end{array}
```

 If the return type of the method is SELF_TYPE then the type of the dispatch is the type of the dispatch expression:

$$\begin{array}{c} O,M,C \vdash e_{0} : \underline{T_{0}} \\ \vdots \\ O,M,C \vdash e_{n} : T_{n} \\ M(\underline{T_{0}},\underline{f}) = (T_{1}',...,T_{n}',\underline{SELF_TYPE}) \\ \underline{T_{i}} \leq T_{i}' \\ \hline O,M,C \vdash \underline{e_{0}}.f(e_{1},...,e_{n}) : \underline{T_{0}} \end{array}$$

- Formal parameters cannot be SELF TYPE
- Actual arguments can be SELF TYPE
 - The extended < relation handles this case
- The type T₀ of the dispatch expression could be SELF_TYPE
 - Which class is used to find the declaration of f?

- Answer: it is safe to use the class where the dispatch appears
$$M(\underline{C}, f) = C$$

$$C_{1}M_{1}C + e_{0} \cdot f(e_{1})$$

Recall the original rule for static dispatch

```
M(T, f) = (T_1', ..., T_n', T_{n+1}')
T_{n+1}' \neq SELF\_TYPE
\frac{T_{i} \leq T_{i}'}{O,M,C \vdash e_{0}@T.f(e_{1},...,e_{n}) : T_{n+1}'}
```

If the return type of the method is SELF_TYPE we have:

$$O,M,C \vdash e_0 : T_0$$
 \vdots
 $O,M,C \vdash e_n : T_n$
 $T_0 \le T$
 $M(T, f) = (T_1',...,T_n',SELF_TYPE)$
 $T_i \le T_i'$
 $1 \le i \le n$
 $O,M,C \vdash e_0@T.f(e_1,...,e_n) : T_0$

• Why is this rule correct?

If we dispatch a method returning SELF_TYPE in class
 __T, don't we get back a T?

 No. SELF_TYPE is the type of the self parameter, which may be a subtype of the class in which the method appears

There are two new rules using SELF_TYPE

$$O,M,\underline{C} \vdash self : SELF_TYPE_{\underline{C}}$$

$$\mathsf{O},\mathsf{M},\mathsf{C}\vdash \underline{\mathsf{new}}\;\mathsf{SELF}\underline{\mathsf{TYPE}}\;\mathsf{E}\;\mathsf{:}\;\mathsf{SELF}\underline{\mathsf{TYPE}}_\mathsf{C}$$

Choose the static/dynamic type pairs that are correct. For dynamic type, assume execution has halted at line 15.

Static Type

Pet

Lion

Pet

Dog

Animal

Animal

Animal

Pet

Var

W

X

У

Self Type Checking class Animal {

clone(): SELF TYPE { new SELF TYPE } 3 class Pet inherits Animal { clone(): Pet { new SELF TYPE } 6 class Cat inherits Pet { ... } class Dog inherits Pet { ... } Dynamic Type 9 class Lion inherits Animal { ... } 10class Main { w:Animal <- (new Animal).clone(); x:Animal <- (new Lion).clone(); 12 y:Pet <- (new Cat).clone(); 13 14 z:Animal <- (new Dog)@Animal.clone();</pre> **→** 15 16 }

The extended ≤ and lub operations can do a lot of the work.

• SELF_TYPE can be used only in a few places. Be sure it isn't used anywhere else.

- A use of SELF_TYPE always refers to any subtype of the current class $M(\cancel{\xi}, \cancel{f}) = (\ldots, s)$
 - The exception is the type checking of dispatch. The method return type of SELF_TYPE might have nothing to do with the current class

- SELF_TYPE is a research idea
 - It adds more expressiveness to the type system
- SELF_TYPE is itself not so important
 - except for the project
- Rather, SELF_TYPE is meant to illustrate that type checking can be quite subtle
- In practice, there should be a balance between the complexity of the type system and its expressiveness