

Analyzing convergence criteria for Gerstner-Griebel and Ayres-Eaton

Paul Talbot*

1 Notation

- ξ - The vector of input variables $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_N)$
- Ω - The input space spanned by the input variable distributions
- g_k - The error between an iteration Λ_2 and Λ_1
- k - A combination of polynomial orders, given as a tuple
- Λ_1 - The set of all desired polynomial combinations k for the current iteration
- Λ_2 - The set of all desired polynomial combinations k for the next iteration
- $c_{i,k}$ - The scalar coefficient for polynomial combination with orders k in index set Λ_i
- Φ_k - The multidimensional orthonormal polynomial basis with degrees k .

2 Gerstner-Griebel

$$g_k = \max \left\{ \alpha \frac{|\Delta_k f|}{|\Delta_1 f|}, \alpha \frac{n_1}{n_k} \right\}, \quad (1)$$

where n_k is the number of quadrature points with index set Λ_k , $\alpha \in [0.1]$ is a work scaling factor, and

$$\Delta_k f = (Q_k - Q_{k-1})f, \quad (2)$$

$$Q_k f = \sum_{\ell} w_{\ell} f(\xi_{\ell}), \quad (3)$$

which is an approximation as

$$\int_{\Omega} f(\xi) P(\xi) d\xi \approx \sum_{\ell} w_{\ell} f(\xi_{\ell}). \quad (4)$$

Thus, $|\Delta_k f|$ indicates the change in fidelity between one higher-order sparse quadrature set and a lower one. We desire to understand the numerical difference between successive quadratures. We consider the first moment of the function in probability space,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\xi)] = \int_{\Omega} f(\xi) P(\xi) d\xi. \quad (5)$$

*talbotp@unm.edu

With appropriate change of variable, we can rewrite the probability weighting term,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\xi)] = \mathbb{E}[f(u)] = \int_{\Omega} f(u) du. \quad (6)$$

We now note that the function $f(u)$ can be expressed exactly as an infinite sum of orthogonal multidimensional polynomials $\Phi(u)$, as

$$f(u) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_{k_i} \Phi_{k_i}(u), \quad (7)$$

where $k \in \Lambda_{\infty}$ is a choice of polynomial order from Λ_{∞} which contains all possible polynomials of any order spanned by the set of polynomials $\Phi(u)$, and f_{k_i} is a scaling coefficient for the i -th polynomial (which polynomial has multi-order k).

We consider $\Lambda_k \subset \Lambda_{\infty}$, which is a sparse index set that generates a sparse grid quadrature Q_k with points x_{ℓ} and weights w_{ℓ} , as

$$\int_{\Omega} g(x) dx \approx Q_k g(x) = \sum_{\ell=1}^L w_{\ell} g(x_{\ell}), \quad (8)$$

where $L = |Q_k|$ is the number of point-weight combinations in the sparse grid quadrature. $Q_k g(x)$ is exactly $\int g(x) dx$ only if $g(x)$ is a polynomial of order $J \equiv 2L - 1$ (for Gauss quadrature). Returning to the first moment,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(u)] = \int_{\Omega} f(u) du, \quad (9)$$

we expand $f(u)$ using the orthogonal multidimensional polynomials,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(u)] = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_{k_i} \Phi_{k_i}(u) du. \quad (10)$$

We can then split the sum at an integration order J corresponding to a particular index set Λ_k ,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(u)] = \int_{\Omega} \left[\sum_{i=0}^J f_{k_i} \Phi_{k_i}(u) + \sum_{i=J+1}^{\infty} f_{\tilde{k}_i} \Phi_{\tilde{k}_i}(u) \right] du, \quad (11)$$

where $\tilde{k} \in \Lambda_{\infty}$ while $k \in \Lambda_k$. In other words, $f(u)$ is split into two parts: that which is integrated exactly by the sparse grid quadrature Q_k generated by the index set Λ_k ; and that which requires polynomials and coefficients not provided by the index set Λ_k but exists in Λ_{∞} . We rewrite and consider both terms independently.

$$\mathbb{E}[f(u)] = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=0}^J f_{k_i} \Phi_{k_i}(u) du + \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=J+1}^{\infty} f_{\tilde{k}_i} \Phi_{\tilde{k}_i}(u) du. \quad (12)$$

The first term by definition is exactly integrated by the sparse quadrature Q_k ,

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=0}^J f_{k_i} \Phi_{k_i}(u) du = \sum_{\ell=0}^L w_{\ell} \sum_{i=0}^J f_{k_i} \Phi_{k_i}(u_{\ell}) \quad (13)$$

$$= Q_k \left[\sum_{i=0}^J f_{k_i} \Phi_{k_i}(u) \right]. \quad (14)$$

Because of orthogonality and the nature of the polynomials over their domain, this simplifies to

$$Q_k \left[\sum_{i=0}^J f_{k_i} \Phi_{k_i}(u) \right] = f_0. \quad (15)$$

The second term, however, is inexact,

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=J+1}^{\infty} f_{\tilde{k}_i} \Phi_{\tilde{k}_i}(u) du = R_k + \sum_{\ell=0}^L w_{\ell} \sum_{i=J+1}^{\infty} f_{\tilde{k}_i} \Phi_{\tilde{k}_i}(u_{\ell}) \quad (16)$$

$$= R_k + Q_k \left[\sum_{i=J+1}^{\infty} f_{\tilde{k}_i} \Phi_{\tilde{k}_i}(u) \right] \quad (17)$$

where R_k is the residual, given by

$$R_k = \int_{\Omega} f(u) du - f_0 - Q_k \left[\sum_{i=J+1}^{\infty} f_{\tilde{k}_i} \Phi_{\tilde{k}_i}(u) \right]. \quad (18)$$

Thus, the error in the first moment using an index set Λ_k is given by

$$\mathbb{E}[f(u)] - \mathbb{E}[f(u)]_k = f_0 + Q_k \left[\sum_{i=J+1}^{\infty} f_{\tilde{k}_i} \Phi_{\tilde{k}_i}(u) \right] + R_k - f_0, \quad (19)$$

$$= Q_k \left[\sum_{i=J+1}^{\infty} f_{\tilde{k}_i} \Phi_{\tilde{k}_i}(u) \right] + R_k. \quad (20)$$

As $\Lambda_k \rightarrow \Lambda_{\infty}$, the first term in the difference should vanish, as will R_k .

3 Ayres-Eaton

In similar fashion we consider the second moment convergence,

$$g_k = \frac{Q_k f^2 - Q_{k-1} f^2}{Q_{k-1} f^2}, \quad (21)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[f(u)^2] = \int_{\Omega} f(u)^2 du. \quad (22)$$

We again expand $f(u)$ in multidimensional orthogonal polynomials,

$$\mathbb{E}[f(u)^2] = \int_{\Omega} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_{k_i} \Phi_{k_i}(u) \right]^2 du, \quad (23)$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \left[\sum_{i=0}^J f_{k_i} \Phi_{k_i}(u) + \sum_{i=J+1}^{\infty} f_{\tilde{k}_i} \Phi_{\tilde{k}_i}(u) \right]^2 du, \quad (24)$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \left[\sum_{i=0}^J \sum_{j=0}^J f_{k_i} \Phi_{k_i}(u) f_{k_j} \Phi_{k_j}(u) \right. \quad (25)$$

$$+ 2 \sum_{i=0}^J \sum_{j=J+1}^{\infty} f_{k_i} \Phi_{k_i}(u) f_{\tilde{k}_j} \Phi_{\tilde{k}_j}(u) \quad (26)$$

$$\left. + \sum_{i=J+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=J+1}^{\infty} f_{\tilde{k}_i} \Phi_{\tilde{k}_i}(u) f_{\tilde{k}_j} \Phi_{\tilde{k}_j}(u) \right] du. \quad (27)$$

Of the three sum-product terms above, only the first (Eq. ??) will have any terms in which the overall polynomial order is small enough to integrate exactly using quadrature set Q_k . We split this term as

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=0}^J \sum_{j=0}^J f_{k_i} \Phi_{k_i}(u) f_{k_j} \Phi_{k_j}(u) du = \int_{\Omega} \left[\sum_{i=0}^J \sum_{j=0}^{J-i} f_{k_i} \Phi_{k_i}(u) f_{k_j} \Phi_{k_j}(u) + \sum_{i=0}^J \sum_{j=J-i+1}^J f_{k_i} \Phi_{k_i}(u) f_{k_j} \Phi_{k_j}(u) \right] du. \quad (28)$$

Using quadrature and because of orthogonality, the first term reduces to

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=0}^J \sum_{j=0}^{J-i} f_{k_i} \Phi_{k_i}(u) f_{k_j} \Phi_{k_j}(u) = Q_k \left[\sum_{i=0}^J \sum_{j=0}^{J-i} f_{k_i} \Phi_{k_i}(u) f_{k_j} \Phi_{k_j}(u) \right], \quad (29)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{J/2} f_{k_i}^2. \quad (30)$$

The second term will have some residual error $R_{k,1}$ from inaccurate quadrature integration, as

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=0}^J \sum_{j=J-i+1}^J f_{k_i} \Phi_{k_i}(u) f_{k_j} \Phi_{k_j}(u) du = R_{k,1} + Q_k \left[\sum_{i=0}^J \sum_{j=J-i+1}^J f_{k_i} \Phi_{k_i}(u) f_{k_j} \Phi_{k_j}(u) \right]. \quad (31)$$

Thus, the term in Eq. ?? can be rewritten as

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=0}^J \sum_{j=0}^J f_{k_i} \Phi_{k_i}(u) f_{k_j} \Phi_{k_j}(u) du = \sum_{i=0}^{J/2} f_{k_i}^2 + R_{k,1} + Q_k \left[\sum_{i=0}^J \sum_{j=J-i+1}^J f_{k_i} \Phi_{k_i}(u) f_{k_j} \Phi_{k_j}(u) \right]. \quad (32)$$

None of the remaining terms (Eqs. ??, ??) can be integrated exactly using Q_k . By term, this results in

$$\int_{\Omega} 2 \sum_{i=0}^J \sum_{j=J+1}^{\infty} f_{k_i} \Phi_{k_i}(u) f_{\tilde{k}_j} \Phi_{\tilde{k}_j}(u) du = 2R_{k,2} + 2Q_k \left[\sum_{i=0}^J \sum_{j=J+1}^{\infty} f_{k_i} \Phi_{k_i}(u) f_{\tilde{k}_j} \Phi_{\tilde{k}_j}(u) \right], \quad (33)$$

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=J+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=J+1}^{\infty} f_{\tilde{k}_i} \Phi_{\tilde{k}_i}(u) f_{\tilde{k}_j} \Phi_{\tilde{k}_j}(u) du = R_{k,3} + Q_k \left[\sum_{i=J+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=J+1}^{\infty} f_{\tilde{k}_i} \Phi_{\tilde{k}_i}(u) f_{\tilde{k}_j} \Phi_{\tilde{k}_j}(u) \right]. \quad (34)$$

The second moment, then, is given by

$$\mathbb{E}[f(u)^2] = \sum_{i=0}^{J/2} f_{k_i}^2 \quad (35)$$

$$+ Q_k \left[\sum_{i=0}^J \sum_{j=J-i+1}^J f_{k_i} \Phi_{k_i}(u) f_{k_j} \Phi_{k_j}(u) \right] \quad (36)$$

$$+ 2Q_k \left[\sum_{i=0}^J \sum_{j=J+1}^{\infty} f_{k_i} \Phi_{k_i}(u) f_{\tilde{k}_j} \Phi_{\tilde{k}_j}(u) \right] \quad (37)$$

$$+ Q_k \left[\sum_{i=J+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=J+1}^{\infty} f_{\tilde{k}_i} \Phi_{\tilde{k}_i}(u) f_{\tilde{k}_j} \Phi_{\tilde{k}_j}(u) \right] \quad (38)$$

$$+ R_{k,1} + 2R_{k,2} + R_{k,3}. \quad (39)$$

The three integration terms as well as the residuals should vanish as $\Lambda_k \rightarrow \Lambda_{\infty}$.

4 L2 of coefficient diffs

$$g_k = \sqrt{\sum_{i \in \Lambda_k} \left(f_{k_i}^{(k)} - f_{k_i}^{(k-1)} \right)^2}, \quad (40)$$

$$f_{k_i} = \int_{\Omega} f(u) \Phi_{k_i}(u) du, \quad (41)$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \Phi_{k_i}(u) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f_{k_j} \Phi_{k_j}(u) du, \quad (42)$$

$$= \int_{\Omega} \Phi_{k_i}(u) \left[\sum_{j=0}^{J-k_i} f_{k_j} \Phi_{k_j}(u) + \sum_{j=J-k_i+1}^{\infty} f_{\tilde{k}_j} \Phi_{\tilde{k}_j}(u) \right] du. \quad (43)$$

The first term is integrated exactly by Q_k ,

$$\int_{\Omega} \Phi_{k_i}(u) \sum_{j=0}^{J-k_i} f_{k_j} \Phi_{k_j}(u) du = Q_k \left[\Phi_{k_i}(u) \sum_{j=0}^{J-k_i} f_{k_j} \Phi_{k_j}(u) \right]. \quad (44)$$

If $2i \leq J$, this simplifies to f_i . Otherwise, this first term is zero. In either case, the second term is not integrated exactly,

$$\int_{\Omega} \Phi_{k_i}(u) \sum_{j=J-k_i+1}^{\infty} f_{\tilde{k}_j} \Phi_{\tilde{k}_j}(u) du = Q_k \left[\Phi_{k_i}(u) \sum_{j=J-k_i+1}^{\infty} f_{\tilde{k}_j} \Phi_{\tilde{k}_j}(u) \right] + R_{k_i}. \quad (45)$$