### Homework 1 PLSC 597

Taran Samarth

February 13, 2023

### Problem 1

#### Part 1

 $\hat{\rho}$  is unbiased for  $\rho$  if  $E[\hat{\rho}] = \rho$ .

$$\begin{split} & \mathrm{E}[\hat{\rho}] = \mathrm{E}[\mathrm{E}[\hat{\rho}|D_i]] & \text{(law of total exp.)} \\ & = \mathrm{E}[p(D_i = 0)\,\mathrm{E}[\hat{\rho}|D_i = 0] + p(D_i = 1)\,\mathrm{E}[\hat{\rho}|D_i = 1]] & \text{(def. of conditional exp.)} \\ & = \mathrm{E}\left[\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{E}[2Y_{1i}] + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{E}[-2Y_{0i}]\right] & \text{(by linearity)} \\ & = \mathrm{E}[\mathrm{E}[Y_{1i}] - \mathrm{E}[Y_{0i}]] \\ & = \mathrm{E}[Y_{1i} - Y_{0i}] = \rho \end{split}$$

The statement is true.

#### Part 2

False,  $\hat{\rho}$  is not a consistent estimator of  $\rho$  as  $N \to \inf$ . The estimated  $\hat{\rho}$  using any single unit i does not converge to the population parameter because the population grows larger. Because one unit is always selected from the population, regardless of size, the single sampled unit does not contain more information about the population as  $N \to \inf$ , so it does not systematically converge to any specific value.

Article	Causal effects	ID strategies	Ideal intervention and manipulability
Miller (2023)	ATE of Congress-	Implicitly estimating	
	member gender on	the difference in the	
	being interrupted in	average likelihood of	
	hearings	being interrupted for	
		women in Congress,	
		compared to men	
Naurin et al. (2023)	ATE of being in dif-	Estimating difference	Randomly assigning
	ferent stages of preg-	in avg. levels of	half of a sample
	nancy and parent-	political engagement	to bear children
	hood on political en-	between treated	and measuring their
	gagement	(pregnant/parent)	levels of political
		and control (matched	engagement over 9
		over gender, age,	months or more?
		education, and inter-	
		view time) groups	

# Problem 2

# Problem 3