

sweater: Speedy Word Embedding Association Test and Extras Using R

Chung-hong Chan¹

¹ Mannheimer Zentrum für Europäische Sozialforschung, Universität Mannheim

DOI: [10.21105/joss.03938](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.03938)

Software

- [Review](#) ↗
- [Repository](#) ↗
- [Archive](#) ↗

Editor: [Sebastian Benthall](#) ↗

Submitted: 20 November 2021

Published: 06 December 2021

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

Statement of need

The goal of this R package is to detect (implicit) biases in word embeddings. The importance of detecting biases in word embeddings is twofold. First, pretrained, biased word embeddings deployed in real-life machine learning systems can pose fairness concerns ([Boyarskaya et al., 2020](#); [Packer et al., 2018](#)). Second, biases in word embeddings reflect the biases in the original training material. Social scientists, communication researchers included, have exploited these methods to quantify (implicit) media biases by extracting biases from word embeddings locally trained on large text corpora ([Knoche et al., 2019](#); e.g. [Kroon et al., 2020](#); [Sales et al., 2019](#)). Biases in word embedding can be understood through the implicit social cognition model of media priming ([Arendt, 2013](#)). In this model, implicit stereotypes are defined as the “strength of the automatic association between a group concept (e.g., minority group) and an attribute (e.g., criminal).” ([Arendt, 2013, p. 832](#)) All of these bias detection methods are based on the strength of association between a concept (or a target) and an attribute in embedding spaces.

Previously, the software of these methods is only scatteredly available as the addendum of the original papers and was implemented in different languages (Java, Python, etc.). `sweater` provides several of these bias detection methods in one unified package with a consistent R interface ([R Core Team, 2021](#)). Also, some provided methods are implemented in C++ for speed and interfaced to R using the `Rcpp` package ([Eddelbuettel, 2013](#)).

In the usage section below, we demonstrated how the package can be used to detect biases and reproduce some published findings.

Usage

Word Embeddings

The input word embedding w is a dense $m \times n$ matrix, where m is the total size of the vocabulary in the training corpus and n is the vector dimension size.

`sweater` supports two types of w . For locally trained word embeddings, word embedding outputs from the R packages `word2vec` ([Wijffels, 2021](#)), `rsparse` ([Selivanov, 2020](#)) and `text2vec` ([Selivanov et al., 2020](#)) are directly supported.¹ For pretrained word embeddings obtained online,² they are usually provided in the so-called “word2vec” file format and the function `read_word2vec` reads those files into the supported matrix format.

¹The vignette of `text2vec` provides a guide on how to locally train word embeddings using the GloVe algorithm ([Pennington et al., 2014](#)). <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/text2vec/vignettes/glove.html>

²For example, the [pretrained GloVe word embeddings](#), [pretrained word2vec word embeddings](#) and pre-trained [fastText word embeddings](#).

Query

sweater uses the concept of *query* (Badilla et al., 2020) to study the biases in w . A query contains two or more sets of seed words with at least one set of *target words* and one set of *attribute words*. sweater uses the $STAB$ notation from Brunet et al. (2019) to form a query.

Target words are words that **should** have no bias. They are denoted as wordsets \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} . All methods require \mathcal{S} while \mathcal{T} is only required for WEAT. For instance, the study of gender stereotypes in academic pursuits by Caliskan et al. (2017) used $\mathcal{S} = \{math, algebra, geometry, calculus, equations, computation, numbers, addition\}$ and $\mathcal{T} = \{poetry, art, dance, literature, novel, symphony, drama, sculpture\}$.

Attribute words are words that have known properties in relation to the bias. They are denoted as wordsets \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} . All methods require both wordsets except Mean Average Cosine Similarity (Manzini et al., 2019). For instance, the study of gender stereotypes by Caliskan et al. (2017) used $\mathcal{A} = \{he, son, his, him, \dots\}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{she, daughter, hers, her, \dots\}$. In some applications, popular off-the-shelf sentiment dictionaries can also be used as \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} (e.g. Sweeney & Najafian, 2020). That being said, it is up to the researchers to select and derive these seed words in a query. However, the selection of seed words has been shown to be the most consequential part of the entire analysis (Antoniak & Mimno, 2021; Du et al., 2021). Please read Antoniak & Mimno (2021) for recommendations.

Supported methods

Table 1 lists all methods supported by sweater. The function `query` is used to conduct a query. The function `calculate_es` can be used for some methods to calculate the effect size representing the overall bias of w from the query.

Table 1: All methods supported by sweater

Method	Target words	Attribute words
Mean Average Cosine Similarity (Manzini et al., 2019)	\mathcal{S}	\mathcal{A}
Relative Norm Distance (Garg et al., 2018)	\mathcal{S}	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}
Relative Negative Sentiment Bias (Sweeney & Najafian, 2020)	\mathcal{S}	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}
SemAxis (An et al., 2018)	\mathcal{S}	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}
Normalized Association Score (Caliskan et al., 2017)	\mathcal{S}	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}
Embedding Coherence Test (Dev & Phillips, 2019)	\mathcal{S}	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}
Word Embedding Association Test (Caliskan et al., 2017)	\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}	\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}

Example 1

Relative Norm Distance (RND) (Garg et al., 2018) is calculated with two sets of attribute words. The following analysis reproduces the calculation of “women bias” values in Garg et al. (2018). The publicly available word2vec word embeddings trained on the Google News corpus is used (Mikolov et al., 2013). Words such as “nurse,” “midwife” and “librarian” are more associated with female, as indicated by the positive relative norm distance (Figure 1).

```
library(sweater)
data(googlenews)
S1 <- c("janitor", "statistician", "midwife", "bailiff", "auctioneer",
       "photographer", "geologist", "shoemaker", "athlete", "cashier",
       "dancer", "housekeeper", "accountant", "physicist", "gardener",
       "dentist", "weaver", "blacksmith", "psychologist", "supervisor",
       "mathematician", "surveyor", "tailor", "designer", "economist",
       "mechanic", "laborer", "postmaster", "broker", "chemist",
       "librarian", "attendant", "clerical", "musician", "porter",
       "scientist", "carpenter", "sailor", "instructor", "sheriff",
       "pilot", "inspector", "mason", "baker", "administrator",
       "architect", "collector", "operator", "surgeon", "driver",
       "painter", "conductor", "nurse", "cook", "engineer", "retired",
       "sales", "lawyer", "clergy", "physician", "farmer", "clerk",
       "manager", "guard", "artist", "smith", "official", "police",
       "doctor", "professor", "student", "judge", "teacher", "author",
       "secretary", "soldier")
A1 <- c("he", "son", "his", "him", "father", "man", "boy", "himself",
       "male", "brother", "sons", "fathers", "men", "boys", "males",
       "brothers", "uncle", "uncles", "nephew", "nephews")
B1 <- c("she", "daughter", "hers", "her", "mother", "woman", "girl",
       "herself", "female", "sister", "daughters", "mothers", "women",
       "girls", "females", "sisters", "aunt", "aunts", "niece", "nieces")
res_rnd_male <- query(w = googlenews, S_words = S1,
                     A_words = A1, B_words = B1,
                     method = "rnd")
plot(res_rnd_male)
```



Figure 1: Bias of words in the target wordset according to relative norm distance

63 Example 2

64 Word Embedding Association Test (WEAT) (Caliskan et al., 2017) requires all four wordsets
 65 of S , T , A , and B . The method is modeled after the Implicit Association Test (IAT) (Nosek
 66 et al., 2005) and it measures the relative strength of S 's association with A to B against
 67 the same of T . The effect sizes calculated from a large corpus, as shown by Caliskan et al.
 68 (2017), are comparable to the published IAT effect sizes obtained from volunteers.

69 In this example, the publicly available GloVe embeddings made available by the original
 70 Stanford Team (Pennington et al., 2014) were used. In the following example, the calculation
 71 of "Math. vs Arts" gender bias in Caliskan et al. (2017) is reproduced. In this example, the
 72 positive effect size indicates the words in the wordset S are more associated with males than
 73 T associated with males.

```

data(glove_math) # a subset of the original GloVe word vectors
S2 <- c("math", "algebra", "geometry", "calculus", "equations",
        "computation", "numbers", "addition")
T2 <- c("poetry", "art", "dance", "literature", "novel", "symphony",
        "drama", "sculpture")
A2 <- c("male", "man", "boy", "brother", "he", "him", "his", "son")
B2 <- c("female", "woman", "girl", "sister", "she", "her", "hers",
        "daughter")
sw <- query(w = glove_math,
            S_words = S2, T_words = T2,
            A_words = A2, B_words = B2)

sw

##

## -- sweater object -----

## Test type: weat
## Effect size: 1.055015

##

## -- Functions -----

## * <calculate_es()>: Calculate effect size

## * <weat_resampling()>: Conduct statistical test

The statistical significance of the effect size can be evaluated using the function weat_resa
mpling.

weat_resampling(sw)

##
## Resampling approximation of the exact test in Caliskan et al. (2017)
##
## data: sw
## bias = 0.024865, p-value = 0.0171
## alternative hypothesis: true bias is greater than 7.245425e-05
## sample estimates:
## bias
## 0.02486533

```

Acknowledgements

The development of this package was supported by the Federal Ministry for Family Affairs, Senior Citizens, Women and Youth (*Bundesministerium für Familie, Senioren, Frauen und Jugend*), the Federal Republic of Germany – Research project: “*Erfahrungen von Alltagsrassismus und medienvermittelter Rassismus in der (politischen) Öffentlichkeit.*”

References

- An, J., Kwak, H., & Ahn, Y.-Y. (2018). Semaxis: A lightweight framework to characterize domain-specific word semantics beyond sentiment. *arXiv Preprint arXiv:1806.05521*. <https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/p18-1228>
- Antoniak, M., & Mimno, D. (2021). Bad seeds: Evaluating lexical methods for bias measurement. *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, 1889–1904. <https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2021.acl-long.148>
- Arendt, F. (2013). Dose-dependent media priming effects of stereotypic newspaper articles on implicit and explicit stereotypes. *Journal of Communication*, 63(5), 830–851. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcom.12056>
- Badilla, P., Bravo-Marquez, F., & P'erez, J. (2020). WEFE: The word embeddings fairness evaluation framework. *IJCAI*, 430–436. <https://doi.org/10.24963/ijcai.2020/60>
- Boyarskaya, M., Olteanu, A., & Crawford, K. (2020). Overcoming Failures of Imagination in AI Infused System Development and Deployment. *arXiv Preprint arXiv:2011.13416*.
- Brunet, M.-E., Alkalay-Houlihan, C., Anderson, A., & Zemel, R. (2019). Understanding the origins of bias in word embeddings. *International Conference on Machine Learning*, 803–811.
- Caliskan, A., Bryson, J. J., & Narayanan, A. (2017). Semantics derived automatically from language corpora contain human-like biases. *Science*, 356(6334), 183–186. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aal4230>
- Dev, S., & Phillips, J. (2019). Attenuating bias in word vectors. *The 22nd International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*, 879–887.
- Du, Y., Fang, Q., & Nguyen, D. (2021). Assessing the reliability of word embedding gender bias measures. *arXiv Preprint arXiv:2109.04732*.
- Eddelbuettel, D. (2013). *Seamless R and C++ Integration with Rcpp*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-6868-4>
- Garg, N., Schiebinger, L., Jurafsky, D., & Zou, J. (2018). Word embeddings quantify 100 years of gender and ethnic stereotypes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(16), E3635–E3644. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1720347115>
- Knoche, M., Popović, R., Lemmerich, F., & Strohmaier, M. (2019). Identifying biases in politically biased wikis through word embeddings. *Proceedings of the 30th ACM conference on hypertext and social media*, 253–257. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3342220.3343658>
- Kroon, A. C., Trilling, D., & Raats, T. (2020). Guilty by association: Using word embeddings to measure ethnic stereotypes in news coverage. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*, 1077699020932304. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077699020932304>
- Manzini, T., Lim, Y. C., Tsvetkov, Y., & Black, A. W. (2019). Black is to criminal as caucasian is to police: Detecting and removing multiclass bias in word embeddings. *arXiv Preprint arXiv:1904.04047*. <https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/n19-1062>

- 137 Mikolov, T., Sutskever, I., Chen, K., Corrado, G. S., & Dean, J. (2013). Distributed represen-
138 tations of words and phrases and their compositionality. *Advances in Neural Information*
139 *Processing Systems*, 3111–3119.
- 140 Nosek, B. A., Greenwald, A. G., & Banaji, M. R. (2005). Understanding and Using the
141 Implicit Association Test: II. Method Variables and Construct Validity. *Personality and*
142 *Social Psychology Bulletin*, 31(2), 166–180. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167204271418>
- 143 Packer, B., Mitchell, M., Guajardo-Céspedes, M., & Halpern, Y. (2018). *Text embeddings*
144 *contain bias. Here's why that matters.* [https://developers.googleblog.com/2018/04/](https://developers.googleblog.com/2018/04/text-embedding-models-contain-bias.html)
145 [text-embedding-models-contain-bias.html](https://developers.googleblog.com/2018/04/text-embedding-models-contain-bias.html)
- 146 Pennington, J., Socher, R., & Manning, C. (2014). Glove: Global vectors for word represen-
147 tation. *Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language*
148 *Processing (EMNLP)*. <https://doi.org/10.3115/v1/d14-1162>
- 149 R Core Team. (2021). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation
150 for Statistical Computing. <https://www.R-project.org/>
- 151 Sales, A., Balby, L., & Veloso, A. (2019). Media bias characterization in brazilian presidential
152 elections. *Proceedings of the 30th ACM Conference on Hypertext and Social Media*,
153 231–240. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3345645.3351107>
- 154 Selivanov, D. (2020). *Rsparse: Statistical learning on sparse matrices.* [https://CRAN.](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rsparse)
155 [R-project.org/package=rsparse](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rsparse)
- 156 Selivanov, D., Bickel, M., & Wang, Q. (2020). *text2vec: Modern text mining framework for*
157 *R.* <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=text2vec>
- 158 Sweeney, C., & Najafian, M. (2020). Reducing sentiment polarity for demographic attributes
159 in word embeddings using adversarial learning. *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on*
160 *Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency*, 359–368. [https://doi.org/10.1145/3351095.](https://doi.org/10.1145/3351095.3372837)
161 [3372837](https://doi.org/10.1145/3351095.3372837)
- 162 Wijffels, J. (2021). *word2vec: Distributed representations of words.* [https://CRAN.R-project.](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=word2vec)
163 [org/package=word2vec](https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=word2vec)