

cRacklet: a spectral boundary integral method library for interfacial rupture simulation

Thibault Roch¹, Fabian Barras^{1, 2}, Philippe H Geubelle³, and Jean-François Molinari¹

¹ Civil Engineering Institute, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Switzerland ² The Njord Centre Department of Physics, Department of Geosciences, University of Oslo, Norway ³ Department of Aerospace Engineering of the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, United States of America

DOI: [10.21105/joss.03724](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.03724)

Software

- [Review](#) ↗
- [Repository](#) ↗
- [Archive](#) ↗

Editor: [Patrick Diehl](#) ↗

Reviewers:

- [@srmnitc](#)
- [@kylebeggs](#)

Submitted: 18 August 2021

Published: 16 December 2021

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

Summary

The study of dynamically propagating rupture along interfaces is of prime importance in various fields and system sizes, including tribology (nm to μm), engineering (mm to m) and geophysics (m to km) ([Armstrong-Hélouvy et al., 1994](#); [Ben-Zion, 2008](#); [Vanossi et al., 2013](#)). Numerical simulations of these phenomena are computationally costly and challenging, as they usually require the coupling of two different spatio-temporal scales. A fine spatial discretization is needed to represent accurately the singular fields associated with the rupture edges. Besides, the problems of interest usually involve a larger length scale along which rupture will propagate driven by long-range traveling elastic waves. The physical phenomena at play also occur at different timescales, from the slow process of rupture nucleation to the fast propagation of crack front close the elastic wave speeds. Large and finely discretized spatio-temporal domains are required, which are computationally costly. In addition, the behavior of such interfaces can be highly non-linear thus increasing the problem complexity. The use of boundary integral methods reduces the dimensionality of the problem. This enables to focus the computational efforts on the fracture plane and allows for a detailed description of the interfacial failure processes.

Statement of need

cRacklet is a C++ library with a Python interface ([Jakob et al., 2017](#)) initiated as a collaboration between the Computational Solid Mechanics Laboratory at EPFL and the Department of Aerospace Engineering of the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. cRacklet implements a spectral formulation of the elastodynamics boundary integral relations between the displacements and the corresponding traction stress acting at a planar interface between two homogeneous elastic solids ([Geubelle & Rice, 1995](#)), ([Breitenfeld & Geubelle, 1998](#)). The formulation implemented is the *independent* one, which considers the top and bottom solids separately ([Breitenfeld & Geubelle, 1998](#)). The stresses acting at the interface are related to the history of interfacial displacements via a time convolution evaluated in the Fourier domain. The convolutions are efficiently computed within a shared-memory parallel framework using FFTW3/OpenMP. The prescription of an interfacial behavior allows for solving the continuity of tractions and displacements through the interface. Time integration is achieved using an explicit time-stepping scheme. cRacklet is aimed at researchers interested in interfacial dynamics, ranging from nucleation problems to dynamic propagation of rupture fronts. While the spectral boundary integral formulation is a well-established method that has been extensively referenced in the literature, we believe that cRacklet will be a useful addition to

the community by gathering in the same framework various kinds of interfacial problems and constitutive laws. cRacklet is efficient, accessible (C++ or Python), and suited to study a broad class of problems (fracture and friction). We wish that cRacklet will become a link between model developers and users by providing both adaptability and usability.

Features

1. cRacklet is versatile and can be used to study a broad class of problems focused on the behavior of an interface between two semi-infinite solids. The code is particularly suited to study planar dynamic fracture and friction. The interface can be either between two or three-dimensional solids. It can be loaded in any combination of normal traction, in-plane, and out-of-plane shear solicitations. cRacklet handles the simulation of interfaces bonded between dissimilar elastic solids. Any stress or material heterogeneity along the fracture plane can be resolved. Several interfacial behaviors are included in the library, such as:

- Cohesive fracture law ([Dugdale, 1960](#)) ([Barenblatt, 1962](#)): the cohesive strength is a linearly decreasing function of the opening gap. This law can be coupled with a friction law to handle surface interactions in the case of post-failure contact between the solids. Two implementations are available, the classical Coulomb friction law and a regularized one ([Prakash, 1998](#)).
- Rate and state dependant friction laws: the frictional resistance is a function of the slip velocity and the history of the interface (the state variable). Several formulations are implemented, including the original ones by ([Dieterich, 1979](#)) and ([Ruina, 1983](#)). More novel formulations such as rate and state friction with velocity-strengthening behaviors (i.e. N-shaped) are also available, see ([Bar-Sinai et al., 2014](#)) for example.

2. cRacklet is accessible and adaptable. It provides access through both its C++ and Python API to several options to design the various kind of problems mentioned before. cRacklet is adaptable due to its object-oriented implementation: it is simple to implement additional behavior for the interface without having to deal with the technical core of the code that handles the computation of the stresses in the Fourier domain. cRacklet can also be loaded as an external library to easily interact with other existing computational software. cRacklet also has tutorials available on Binder ([Jupyter et al., 2018](#)) which allows for a quick and easy introduction to its functionality.

3. cRacklet is efficient: the Fourier transforms and the convolutions are computed within a shared-memory parallel framework using FFTW3/OpenMP. We illustrate in [Figure 1](#) the scaling capability of cRacklet and compare it to Amdahl's law. The scaling study shows that approximately 85% to 90% of the program is parallelized: this includes the computation of the Fourier transform of the displacements, the convolution, and the invert transform of the stresses back to the real domain.




Figure 1: Time required to solve $1e5$ time steps with 2^{12} discretization points, as a function of the number of threads. Computations run using the computational facilities of EPFL, here on a node composed of 2 Intel Broadwell processors running at 2.6 GHz with 14 cores each. The dashed grey lines correspond to Amdahl's law for the theoretical speedup, respectively with 90% (upper bound) and 85% (lower bound) of the program parallelized.

Example

The onset of sliding between two rough surfaces in frictional contact is an illustrative example of a multiscale rupture problem. Macroscopic shearing is resisted by the microcontacts, i.e. by the sparse contacting junctions existing between the asperities of the two surfaces.

The successive panels of Figure 2 illustrate the nucleation and propagation of a frictional rupture at the interface between two solids, from the individual failure of the microcontacts in panel (b) to the propagation of a macroscopic circular rupture in panel (d). The spatially heterogeneous strength used in this example is a representation of the heterogeneous map of contact between two rough surfaces. In Figure 2 (a), the initial configuration of the system is shown. The areas in white are sticking (i.e. no velocity) and correspond to asperities in contact. Colored areas are sliding (blue is for low slip velocity and red for larger ones). The shear load is increased with time in the following panels. The slip velocities increase and previously sticking parts of the interface start sliding (micro-contacts are broken). The inset of Figure 2 (b) is a zoomed view of the interface where rupture starts at the asperity scale. In Figure 2 (d), frictional cracks have expanded over almost the entire interface.




Figure 2: Snapshot of the slip velocity at the interface between two elastic solids under shear loading. The initial strength is highly heterogeneous. Loading and time have increased between the snapshots, starting from (a) to (d). White areas correspond to sticking conditions (no velocity) while colored ones are sliding. Low velocities are in blue and large ones in red. This simulation involve 2^{24} points and was run on one node (with two 16-core Intel E5-2683v4 2.1 GHz and 512 GiB RAM) of the computing cluster *Fram* from the Norwegian e-infrastructure for research and education.

Publications

The following publications have been made possible with cRacklet:

- Barras et al. (2014)
- Barras et al. (2017)
- Barras et al. (2018)
- Brener et al. (2018)
- Barras et al. (2019)
- Barras et al. (2020)
- Fekak et al. (2020)
- Rezakhani et al. (2020)
- Brener & Bouchbinder (2021)

- 106 ▪ Lebihain et al. (2021)
- 107 ▪ Roch et al. (2021)

108 Acknowledgments

109 T.R, F. B., and J-F. M. acknowledge the financial support from the Swiss National Sci-
 110 ence Foundation (grants #162569 "Contact mechanics of rough surfaces) and from the
 111 Rothschild Caesarea Foundation. F.B. acknowledges support of the Swiss National Science
 112 Foundation through the fellowship No. P2ELP2/188034. F.B. acknowledges the Norwegian e-
 113 infrastructure for research and education (UNINETT Sigma2) for computing resources through
 114 grant NN9814K.

115 References

- 116 Armstrong-Hélouvry, B., Dupont, P., & De Wit, C. C. (1994). A survey of models, analysis
 117 tools and compensation methods for the control of machines with friction. *Automatica*,
 118 30(7), 1083–1138. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-1098\(94\)90209-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-1098(94)90209-7)
- 119 Bar-Sinai, Y., Spatschek, R., Brener, E. A., & Bouchbinder, E. (2014). On the velocity-
 120 strengthening behavior of dry friction. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*,
 121 119(3), 1738–1748. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JB010586>
- 122 Barenblatt, G. I. (1962). The Mathematical Theory of Equilibrium Cracks in Brittle Fracture.
 123 In H. L. Dryden, Th. von Kármán, G. Kuerti, F. H. van den Dungen, & L. Howarth (Eds.),
 124 *Advances in Applied Mechanics* (Vol. 7, pp. 55–129). Elsevier. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2156(08)70121-2)
 125 [S0065-2156\(08\)70121-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2156(08)70121-2)
- 126 Barras, F., Aldam, M., Roch, T., Brener, E. A., Bouchbinder, E., & Molinari, J.-F. (2020).
 127 The emergence of crack-like behavior of frictional rupture: Edge singularity and energy
 128 balance. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 531, 115978. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2019.115978)
 129 [epsl.2019.115978](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2019.115978)
- 130 Barras, F., Aldam, M., Roch, T., Brener, E. A., Bouchbinder, E., & Molinari, J.-F. (2019).
 131 Emergence of Cracklike Behavior of Frictional Rupture: The Origin of Stress Drops. *Phys-*
 132 *ical Review X*, 9(4), 041043. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.9.041043>
- 133 Barras, F., Carpaïj, R., Geubelle, P. H., & Molinari, J.-F. (2018). Supershear bursts in the
 134 propagation of a tensile crack in linear elastic material. *Physical Review E*, 98(6), 063002.
 135 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.98.063002>
- 136 Barras, F., Geubelle, P. H., & Molinari, J.-F. (2017). Interplay between Process Zone and
 137 Material Heterogeneities for Dynamic Cracks. *Physical Review Letters*, 119(14). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.144101>
- 138 Barras, F., Kammer, D. S., Geubelle, P. H., & Molinari, J.-F. (2014). A study of frictional
 139 contact in dynamic fracture along bimaterial interfaces. *International Journal of Fracture*,
 140 189(2), 149–162. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10704-014-9967-z>
- 141 Ben-Zion, Y. (2008). Collective behavior of earthquakes and faults: Continuum-discrete
 142 transitions, progressive evolutionary changes, and different dynamic regimes. *Reviews of*
 143 *Geophysics*, 46(4). <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008RG000260>
- 144 Breitenfeld, M. S., & Geubelle, P. H. (1998). Numerical analysis of dynamic debonding
 145 under 2D in-plane and 3D loading. *International Journal of Fracture*, 93(1-4), 13–38.
 146 <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1007535703095>
- 147

- 148 Brener, E. A., Aldam, M., Barras, F., Molinari, J.-F., & Bouchbinder, E. (2018). Unstable Slip
149 Pulses and Earthquake Nucleation as a Nonequilibrium First-Order Phase Transition. *Phys-
150 ical Review Letters*, 121(23), 234302. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.234302>
- 151 Brener, E. A., & Bouchbinder, E. (2021). Unconventional singularities and energy balance
152 in frictional rupture. *Nature Communications*, 12(1), 2585. [https://doi.org/10.1038/
153 s41467-021-22806-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-22806-9)
- 154 Dieterich, J. H. (1979). Modeling of rock friction: 1. Experimental results and constitutive
155 equations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth*, 84(B5), 2161–2168. <https://doi.org/10.1029/JB084iB05p02161>
- 156 Dugdale, D. S. (1960). Yielding of steel sheets containing slits. *Journal of the Mechanics and
157 Physics of Solids*, 8(2), 100–104. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5096\(60\)90013-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5096(60)90013-2)
- 158 Fekak, F., Barras, F., Dubois, A., Spielmann, D., Bonamy, D., Geubelle, P. H., & Molinari,
159 J. F. (2020). Crack front waves: A 3D dynamic response to a local perturbation of
160 tensile and shear cracks. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, 135, 103806.
161 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmps.2019.103806>
- 162 Geubelle, P. H., & Rice, J. R. (1995). A spectral method for three-dimensional elastodynamic
163 fracture problems. *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, 43(11), 1791–1824.
164 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5096\(95\)00043-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5096(95)00043-1)
- 165 Jakob, W., Rhineland, J., & Moldovan, D. (2017). *pybind11 – seamless operability between
166 c++11 and python*.
- 167 Jupyter, Bussonier, M., Forde, J., Freeman, J., Granger, B., Head, T., Holdgraf, C., Kelley, K.,
168 Nalvarte, G., Osheroff, A., Pacer, M., Panda, Y., Perez, F., Ragan-Kelley, B., & Willing,
169 C. (2018). Binder 2.0 - reproducible, interactive, sharable environments for science at
170 scale. *Proceedings of the 17th Python in Science Conference*. [https://doi.org/10.25080/
171 Majora-4af1f417-011](https://doi.org/10.25080/Majora-4af1f417-011)
- 172 Lebihain, M., Roch, T., Violay, M., & Molinari, J.-F. (2021). Instability regimes in the
173 onset of motion along disordered frictional surfaces. *arXiv:2102.10870 [cond-Mat]*. [http://
174 arxiv.org/abs/2102.10870](http://arxiv.org/abs/2102.10870)
- 175 Prakash, V. (1998). Frictional Response of Sliding Interfaces Subjected to Time Varying
176 Normal Pressures. *Journal of Tribology*, 120(1), 97–102. [https://doi.org/10.1115/1.
177 2834197](https://doi.org/10.1115/1.2834197)
- 178 Rezakhani, R., Barras, F., Brun, M., & Molinari, J.-F. (2020). Finite element modeling of
179 dynamic frictional rupture with rate and state friction. *Journal of the Mechanics and
180 Physics of Solids*, 141, 103967. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmps.2020.103967>
- 181 Roch, T., Brener, E. A., Molinari, J.-F., & Bouchbinder, E. (2021). Velocity-driven fric-
182 tional sliding: Coarsening and steady-state pulse trains. *arXiv:2104.13110 [cond-Mat,
183 Physics:nlin, Physics:physics]*. <http://arxiv.org/abs/2104.13110>
- 184 Ruina, A. (1983). Slip instability and state variable friction laws. *Journal of Geophysical Re-
185 search: Solid Earth*, 88(B12), 10359–10370. <https://doi.org/10.1029/JB088iB12p10359>
- 186 Vanossi, A., Manini, N., Urbakh, M., Zapperi, S., & Tosatti, E. (2013). Colloquium: Modeling
187 friction: From nanoscale to mesoscale. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 85(2), 529–552.
188 <https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.85.529>
- 189