

nrCascadeSim - A simulation tool for nuclear recoil cascades resulting from neutron capture

A.N. Villano¹, Kitty Harris¹, and Staci Brown²

¹ Department of Physics, University of Colorado Denver, Denver CO 80217, USA ² Department of Applied Mathematics & Statistics, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque NM 87131, USA

DOI: [10.21105/joss.03993](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.03993)

Software

- [Review](#) ↗
- [Repository](#) ↗
- [Archive](#) ↗

Editor: [Lucy Whalley](#) ↗

Reviewers:

- [@matthewfeickert](#)
- [@altavir](#)

Submitted: 14 November 2021

Published: 14 December 2021

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

Summary

Neutron capture-induced nuclear recoils have emerged as an important tool for detector calibrations in direct dark matter detection and coherent elastic neutrino-nucleus scattering (CE ν NS).

nrCascadeSim is a C++ command-line tool for generating simulation data for energy deposits resulting from neutron capture on pure materials. Presently, capture events within silicon, germanium, neon, and argon are supported. While the software was developed for solid state detector calibration, it can be used for any application which requires simulated neutron capture-induced nuclear recoil data.

A “cascade” occurs when a neutron becomes part of a nucleus. The neutron can be captured to one of many discrete energy levels, or states; if the energy level is nonzero (not the ground state), then the state will eventually change so that it is zero. This can happen either all at once or in multiple steps — that is, the captured neutron may go from its state to the ground state, or it may go to another state with lower energy that is not the ground state (provided that one exists). The cascade refers to the particular “path” of energy levels that a captured neutron takes to get to the ground state from the neutron separation energy. Currently the code assumes that the neutrons that enter the nuclear system have zero kinetic energy; this is a good approximation for thermal neutrons because 0.0254 eV (the average kinetic energy of a thermal neutron) is small compared to most nuclear recoil energy scales, making it negligible.

nrCascadeSim models many of these cascades at once and saves the energies along with other useful data to a single file. The output file is a ROOT file ([Brun & Rademakers, 1997](#)).

Models Used

When modeling deposits from neutron capture events, we want to look at the recoil of the atom as a result of these cascades. To determine how much energy is deposited, we must track how much the atom slows down between steps of the cascade as well as how each nuclear state change affects the atom’s kinetic energy. nrCascadeSim assumes a constant deceleration that results from the atom colliding with other nearby electrons and nuclei. This means that it must simulate, along with the steps of the cascade, the time between each state — to calculate how much the atom slows down. And it must also simulate the angle between the atom’s momentum before a decay and the momentum boost (gamma ray) resulting from the decay — to calculate the resulting momenta. The time between steps is simulated as an exponential random variable based on the state’s half-life, and the angle is simulated as having an isotropic distribution. Cascade selection is weighted by isotope abundance ([Rosman & Taylor, 1998](#); [Sonzogni & Shu, 2020](#)) and cross-section as well as the probability of the

40 energy level. In existing levelfiles, energy levels are derived from (Islam et al., 1991) for
41 germanium and from (Raman et al., 1992) for silicon.

42 The above process models the recoil energies, and the output gives both the total recoil
43 energy for a cascade as well as the energy per step. For some applications, this may be the
44 desired output, or the user may already have a particular process they will use for converting
45 this energy to what they wish to measure. However, we also include, for convenience, the
46 ionization yield and ionization energy of these recoils. Ionization yield is a fraction that, when
47 multiplied by the energy, gives the ionization energy, and ionization energy is the amount of
48 energy that would be read out if an otherwise equivalent electron recoil were to occur. This
49 calculation is useful because many solid-state detectors read out the ionization energy for
50 nuclear recoils. This ionization yield assumes the Lindhard model (Lindhard et al., 1963).

51 Figure 1 compares the normalized frequencies of ionization energies from the Lindhard (Lind-
52 hard et al., 1963) model with the Sorensen (Sorensen, 2015) yield model, which is applied
53 after the simulation using Python, and applies detector resolution models to both. This figure
54 demonstrates one example of user-applied analysis utilizing the energy deposits at each step
55 instead of the ionization energy.




Figure 1: An overlaid histogram showing an example use case in which points are generated and then multiple yield models and resolutions are applied. The “Small Res (1/5)” histograms have Gaussians with 1/5 of the width of their counterparts.

56 Statement of Need

57 The goal of this software is to simplify the computation of the nuclear recoil spectrum following
58 neutron capture for a variety of applications. These include nuclear recoil calibrations for
59 dark matter direct detection and coherent neutrino detection (CEνNS). In these cases as
60 the particle detection has become more sensitive (detectors having a lower energy threshold)

61 it is now possible to use the capture-induced nuclear recoil events for detector calibrations.
62 Additionally, thermalized neutrons will provide large backgrounds that have heretofore not
63 been modeled. The key roadblock to studying these scenarios is the complexity of calculating
64 the nuclear recoil spectrum.

65 `nrCascadeSim` addresses this need by allowing users to generate nuclear recoil simulations
66 that reflect a variety of single-element detector setups. The energy levels that the recoiling
67 nuclei may pass between and their respective lifetimes are customizable, and multiple isotopes
68 of the same element can be present within the same simulation. Pre-defined energy level files
69 exist for silicon and germanium, which take into account the natural abundance data of each
70 isotope in (Rosman & Taylor, 1998) and (Sonzogni & Shu, 2020). Output values include
71 energy deposits at each step along each individual cascade, total kinetic energy deposits, and
72 ionization energy deposits.

73 State of the Field

74 While there are tools, such as the GEANT4 (Agostinelli et al., 2003) framework, that allow
75 users to simulate neutron capture, existing tools are not built specifically for neutron capture-
76 based nuclear recoils as `nrCascadeSim` is and therefore use some underlying assumptions that
77 `nrCascadeSim` does not. The main approximation often used in GEANT4 that we avoid in
78 `nrCascadeSim` is that all recoils decay directly to the ground state. While this works for
79 some applications, it is necessary to be more precise when an accurate spectrum of neutron
80 capture-based recoils is needed for analyses such as calibration or background subtraction.

81 Recently, the power of the neutron capture-induced events has been acknowledged in the
82 CE ν NS field (Thulliez & others, 2021). That initial study, however, used the FIFRELIN code
83 (Litaize & Serot, 2010), which was originally developed for modeling fission fragments and
84 has been updated to use statistical models of gamma emission for the purpose of modeling
85 fission-fragment deexcitation (Litaize et al., 2014). `nrCascadeSim` takes the complementary
86 approach of beginning with small to medium-sized nuclei and modeling the cascades in more
87 exact detail. The goal is for the code to be extended to heavier nuclei but still using this
88 detailed approach.

89 Acknowledgments

90 This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of
91 Science, Office of High Energy Physics (HEP) under Award Number DE-SC0021364.

92 References

- 93 Agostinelli, S., Allison, J., Amako, K., Apostolakis, J., Araujo, H., Arce, P., Asai, M., Axen,
94 D., Banerjee, S., Barrand, G., Behner, F., Bellagamba, L., Boudreau, J., Broglia, L.,
95 Brunengo, A., Burkhardt, H., Chauvie, S., Chuma, J., Chytrcek, R., & Cooperman, G.
96 (2003). GEANT4 - a simulation toolkit. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics*
97 *Research A*, 506, 250–303. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002\(03\)01368-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8)
- 98 Brun, R., & Rademakers, F. (1997). ROOT - an object oriented data analysis framework.
99 *Nucl. Inst. & Meth. In Phys. Res. A*, 389, 81–86. See also "ROOT" [software],
100 Release v6.22/00, 02/07/2020, (No DOI available for this version, closest version linked).
101 <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3895852>

- 102 Islam, M. A., Kennett, T. J., & Prestwich, W. V. (1991). Radiative strength functions of
103 germanium from thermal neutron capture. *Physical Review C*, 43, 1086–1098. <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevc.43.1086>
104
- 105 Lindhard, J., Nielsen, V., & Scharff, M. (1963). Integral equations governing radiation effects.
106 *Mat. Fys. Medd. Dan. Vid. Selsk.*, 33, 1–41.
- 107 Litaize, O., & Serot, O. (2010). Investigation of phenomenological models for the monte
108 carlo simulation of the prompt fission neutron and γ emission. *Phys. Rev. C*, 82, 054616.
109 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.82.054616>
- 110 Litaize, O., Serot, O., & Berge, L. (2014). [https://www.oecd-neo.org/science/meetings/](https://www.oecd-neo.org/science/meetings/pnd22/presentations/1-LITAIZE.pdf)
111 [pnd22/presentations/1-LITAIZE.pdf](https://www.oecd-neo.org/science/meetings/pnd22/presentations/1-LITAIZE.pdf)
- 112 Raman, S., Jurney, E. T., & Lynn, J. E. (1992). Thermal-neutron capture by silicon isotopes.
113 *Physical Review C*, 46, 972–983. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.46.972>
- 114 Rosman, K. J. R., & Taylor, P. D. P. (1998). Isotopic compositions of the elements 1997.
115 In *Pure & Appl. Chem.* (Vol. 70, pp. 217–235). International Union of Pure; Applied
116 Chemistry. <https://doi.org/10.1515/iupac.70.0026>
- 117 Sonzogni, A., & Shu, B. (2020). *Nudat 2*. Brookhaven National Laboratory. [https://www.](https://www.nndc.bnl.gov/nudat2/)
118 [nndc.bnl.gov/nudat2/](https://www.nndc.bnl.gov/nudat2/)
- 119 Sorensen, P. (2015). Atomic limits in the search for galactic dark matter. *Phys. Rev. D*, 91.
120 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.083509>
- 121 Thulliez, L., & others. (2021). *Calibration of nuclear recoils at the 100 eV scale using neutron*
122 *capture*. 16(07), P07032. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/16/07/p07032>