要求：

Every year several languages die out. Some people think that this is not important because life will be easier if there are fewer languages in the world.

To what extent do you agree or disagree with this opinion?

Give reasons for your answer and include any relevant examples from your own knowledge or experience.

It is a fact that there are a lot of languages in this world, but every year several of the languages just disappear. A group of people believe that the extinction of some languages is not a crucial problem since communication will be more effective with fewer languages. Although some people think that the extinction of some languages is not a serious problem, I do believe that the diversity of the world languages has a lot of benefits for the individual and the society as well.

To begin with, it is undeniable that out of hundreds of languages that have existed, few languages extinct every year. It is believed that the disappearance was due to the globalization and the westernisation of the European and American, which have forced people to communicate in International languages such as English, German or French. Other people have an opinion that the extinction of the languages is not a serious threat to the world since most of them that die out are minority languages which are spoken by several people. Therefore they would not make a significant impact on the world.  Besides that, the usage of several international languages has made business interaction or diplomatic negotiation among nations or continents become easier.

On the other hand, the uncountable number of languages that have existed shows the uniqueness of the world cultures. It is necessary to maintain the diversity of the world languages since each of the languages represents the identity of a community or a tribe. Besides that, although some of the languages might only be spoken by several people, it has an important function. Many of the traditional myths are written or documented in the minority languages, and if they die out, then the culture would also be lost.

In conclusion, I personally disagree with the opinion that with only several languages that exist in the world, life will be easier. I believe that the variety of languages shows the richness of the world civilisations and they should be passed to the next generation.

要求：

Some people believe that unpaid community service should be a compulsory part of high school programmes (for example working for a charity, improving the neighbourhood sports to younger children).

To what extent do you agree or disagree?

In the last few years, many new ideas and beliefs arose aiming to improve society and reinforce the relationship between members of a society. One of the proposed ideas, yet under observation, is to make it compulsory for high school students to do some programmes, of course, charity ones, to assist their community.  
  
Firstly, I am completely supporting the idea that: helping promote the society is one of the main duties of a righteous and positive member. Imagine that in the vacation, some youths, and even all ages, work for charitable organisations which help the poor, the sick, the learners and others. Imagine other group cleaning and decorating the streets- of course, that won't affect their dignity- or teaching the young. Indeed that will lead to an extremely modern, civilised and loving community. However, all these are examples, and there are many other ways to improve societies, both charitable and uncharitable methods. Despite this, the idea of compulsory charitable work doesn't sound good for me. I believe that making that compulsory, will not lead to the heroic purpose of the charitable work i.e. the inner desire to help others, but, instead, will make it a type of finishing your work.  
  
I know that this proposed idea aims to teach high school students to be responsible to their society and to take care of others, but I think there is a more efficient method. There are now working groups in many schools in different places which do such charitable work and even without inspiration from the school's administration. So why not make many groups in all schools, and I think that will attract many students.  
  
In conclusion, I think there are other better ways to promote the society without making it compulsory; the sitting compulsory subjects are enough.

要求：

Some people think that all university students should study whatever they like. Others believe that they should only be allowed to study subjects that will be useful in the future, such as those related to science and technology.

Discuss both these views and give your own opinion.

University students are going to lead different important sectors in few years and hence their education is one of the most important aspects of a country. It is often debated how much freedom they should be given while choosing the subjects and I personally believe that they should have the freedom to pick subjects within a prescribed syllabus, not whatever they like.  
  
To begin with, the freedom of selecting subjects in university level would make the education more effective and would not force students study subjects that they have no interest at all. Though technology related subjects are quite important, students would not learn that much if he is forced to learn programming while his interest is in literature. Thus a student should be given the freedom to pick the subjects he likes, especially for the university students. For instance, many arts faculties in western universities offer students to pick technology related subjects while the reverse is also true.  
  
However, an absolute freedom to pick subjects for the university students is not a good idea as well. This will often lead to situations when students will not have sufficient knowledge on some aspects that are crucial for the career. Some students would misuse this facility and pick the same subjects in different semesters. It will also lead to a haphazard situation as most of the students would like to study the same subjects and the university authority would not be able to offer these courses to all.  
  
To conclude, a certain freedom while picking subjects in university level is very helpful for the overall development and knowledge enhancement of students. However, an absolute freedom would make the outcome worse.

要求：

Some people say that the best way to improve public health is by increasing the number of sports facilities. Others, however, say that this would have little efffect on public health and that other measures are required.

Discuss both these views and give your own opinion.

Give reasons for your answer and include any relevant examples from your own knowledge or experience.

Write at least 250 words.

To improve public health some people suggest that the sports can play a vital role. It is said that “Healthy mind is in a Healthy body”. By increasing the number of sports facilities we can create an environment of courage, sportsmanship, and tolerance. The minds of youth can also be directed towards healthy activities through sports promotion.  
  
However, some people believe that sports facilities alone are not sufficient to improve public health. There are many socio-economic factors that gravely affect the public health, such as water & sanitation, rampant population & pollution, lack of hygienic manners and social unrest. These factors need to be addressed to improve overall public health.  
  
In my opinion, in a country like Pakistan, where people are living under the “shadow of fear” due to prevailing terrorism in the country we need a diverse approach. Speaking of flourishing poverty, inflation, and unemployment – availing sports facilities could be a luxury. And then there is a vast majority of areas deprived of basic health facilities, where one doctor is available for thousands of people. Sports can play a role but only if people are content with their lives.  
  
There is a dire need for government to take solid actions to improve the general well-being of the people. Firstly, it must improve the security situation in the country to give peace of mind to the public. Secondly, it must improve water & sanitation system and must put a serious check on pollution increase and should take steps to reduce poverty, illiteracy and unemployment. Thirdly, the society must improve general hygiene level to have a positive impact on overall public health.

要求：

Countries are becoming more and more similar because people are able to buy the same products anywhere in the world.

Do you think this is a positive or negative development?

Give reasons for your answer and include and include any relevant examples from your own knowledge or experience.

The differences between nations in terms of their lifestyle, food habit, fashion, choices and traditions have already reduced to a great extent with the effects of globalization, technology and international agreements for open trade facilities. Since most of the international products are easily accessible globally, people have far more choices and freedom which have enhanced people's lifestyle besides narrowing the gaps among nations. I postulate this trend a positive development and the reasons are explained in this essay.    
  
To begin with, the availability of international brands like Apple, Google, Nike, Pepsi, Johnson's, Samsung, Amazon, Toyota etc. in a country enables consumers to purchase quality products. For instance, someone in India can use the same technological products from Intel and Microsoft that an American uses and it facilitates reducing gaps between these two countries. 'Barrier & gaps' among nations was a predominant reason for conflicts and with the advancement of the technology and mutual trade agreements, nations are aware of the foreign cultures more than they did ever before. This diminishes the world tension and helps prevail the peace. Furthermore, affluent countries have created a vast market for the consumer products and this has helped them establish offshore offices and factories in developing nations. This is a mutually beneficial agreement that helps both parties.  
  
Furthermore, local consumers are not trapped with the low-quality local products and a wider freedom lets them enjoy a better lifestyle. With the competition, local manufacturers are forced to produce quality products at a competitive price. With this development, some local companies have gained international recognition as a manufacturer.  
  
To conclude, the concept of boundaries and countries divides nations and create the master-slave relationship as we have already witnessed in the history. The present era has plummeted this heinous trend to a great extent and the similar lifestyle and availability of international products have helped this to happen.