

# CMPS 101: Spring 2016: HW 4

## Analysis

Authors: Tarum Fraz - 1349796

Andres Segundo - 1408968

**Q1:**

In order to figure out the time complexity of *matmult*, we will look at the running time of the if statements and for statements. The if statement *if(xlen! = n)* has a constant running time of  $O(1)$ . We also have a nested for loops. Both of which will iterate  $O(n)$  times each. Since we know both of these running times, we can sum them up to get the worst case running time for our algorithm. *Therefore, the worst case running time for matmult is  $T(n) = O(n^2)$ .*

**Q3:**

An efficient algorithm which uses *Equation(2)* that is faster than the brute force matrix multiplication will definitely have to use the Divide and Conquer technique. In order to successfully multiply a  $2^k \times 2^k$  matrix with an arbitrary  $2^k$  dimensional, we have to denote the vector into two different vectors both of length  $\frac{n}{2}$ , the first vector is  $x_1$  and consists of the first  $\frac{n}{2}$  coordinates, and the second vector,  $x_2$  consists of the remaining vectors. We will use the fact that

$$\begin{aligned}(H_n, x)_1 &= H_{n-1}x_1 + H_{n-1}x_2 = H_{n-1}(x_2 + x_2) \\ (H_n, x)_2 &= H_{n-1}x_1 - H_{n-1}x_2 = H_{n-1}(x_2 - x_2)\end{aligned}$$

We then can use recursion to calculate the actual value of  $x_1 + x_2$  and  $x_1 - x_2$ , and then compute  $H_{n-1}(x_1 + x_2)$  and  $H_{n-1}(x_1 - x_2)$ . From there, the combine part is simple and we can append the values. Our divide and conquer algorithm leads to the recurrence relation of  $T(n \leq 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + n$  in order to prove this recurrence relation, we will use the master theorem

**Theorem (Master Theorem)**

Let  $T(n)$  be a monotonically increasing function that satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}T(n) &= aT(\frac{n}{b}) + f(n) \\ T(1) &= c\end{aligned}$$

where  $a \geq 1, b \geq 2, c > 0$ . If  $f(n) \in \Theta(n^d)$  where  $d \geq 0$ , then

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} \Theta(n^d) & \text{if } a < b^d \\ \Theta(n^d \log n) & \text{if } a = b^d \\ \Theta(n^{\log_b a}) & \text{if } a > b^d \end{cases}$$

We see  $a = 2$ ,  $b = 2$ , and  $c = 1$

From the Master Theorem, we see that  $2 = 2^1$ ,  $2 = 2$

This matches case three in the theorem, meaning our time complexity is  $T(n) = \theta(n^d \log n)$

The running time or worst case time complexity of *hadmult* is  $T(n) = \theta(n \log n)$

**Q5:**

When analyzing the plot, we can clearly see that *matmult* takes longer than *hadmult*. This makes a lot of sense, because the algorithm we used in *matmult* was the brute force algorithm, and as we analyzed in *Q1*, the algorithm has a running time of  $O(n^2)$ ; *matmult* is shown in blue. The red line, which is *hadmult* is a lot faster than *matmult*, and the line is consistent with that of  $O(n \log_2 n)$ . This matches the time complexity analysis we completed in *Q5*. Overall, we can say that the Divide and Conquer algorithm is much faster than the brute force algorithm.