

Assignment - 1

Section - A

- | | |
|----------|-----------|
| (1) True | (6) False |
| (2) True | (7) False |
| (3) True | (8) True |
| (9) True | (9) True |
| (5) True | (10) True |

Section - B

①	Model	Loss function	Regularizer
SVM	Hinge loss		$ w ^2$ (L^2 norm)
LASSO	Squared loss (L^2 loss)		$ w ^2$ (L^2 norm)
RIDGE	Squared loss (L^2 loss)		$ w ^2$ (L^2 norm)

- ② A: 0-1 loss
 B: Hinge loss
 C: Squared loss
 D: Absolute loss (L1 loss)
 E: Logistic loss

- ③ ④ Squared loss, logistic loss, Absolute loss are optimizable using gradient descent because they are differentiable and their gradient exists.

- (b) Squared loss and logistic loss are optimizable using Newton's method because they are twice differentiable.

Section - C

- (1) Under-fitting occurs when the model is too simple to capture the underlying pattern in the data.
- (2) This means that model has high bias and is underfitting the data.
- (3) Bagging reduces variance by averaging predictions from multiple models trained on different bootstrap samples, which cancels out individual model fluctuations.
- (4) Boosting reduces bias significantly by focusing on hard-to-predict samples, but it can increase variance if overfitting occurs.

Section - E

- (1) To reduce CNN computation time we should
 - use efficient data structures (Ball-tree)
 - reduce dimensionality
 - use approximate nearest neighbours
 - reduce dataset size

Q 1 (a) No,

Squaring preserves distance ordering, so nearest neighbors remain the same.

Q 1 (b) No,

Cross of Dimensionality remains unchanged since relative distances are unaffected.

(c) Distances become less discriminative and data becomes sparse, making nearest neighbors unreliable

(d) $K \downarrow$ bias \downarrow variance \uparrow

OR, $K \uparrow$ bias \uparrow variance \downarrow

(e) When the decision boundary is highly non-linear and sufficient data is available, KNN is preferred over linear SVM

Section E

Q 1 Say leaf n nodes . y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n

Squared loss :

$$L(\hat{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y})^2$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \hat{y}} = \sum_{i=1}^n 2(y_i - \hat{y})$$

for minimum loss

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \hat{y}} = 0 \rightarrow \hat{y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i = \text{mean value}$$

(2) Minimum = 0 (Pure node)

$$\text{Maximum} = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^3 \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^2 = 2/3$$

(3) They make greedy, locally optimal splits without considering future splits.

- (4)
- 1) Limit the depth minimum samples per leaf (pre-pruning)
 - 2) Post-pruning using validation data

Section-F

(1) No, trees are trained on boot strap samples while feature selection is done using out-of-bag (OOB) samples or separate test data.

(2)

Bagging

Boosting

Trains models independently to reduce variance focusing on previous ones to reduce bias.