Process Synchronization

Multiple Processes

Operating System design is concerned with the management of processes and threads:

- Multiprogramming
- Multiprocessing
- Distributed Processing

Concurrency & Shared Data

Concurrent processes may share data to support communication, info exchange,...

Threads in the same process can share global address space

Concurrent sharing may cause problems

For example: lost updates

Concurrency

Key Terms

atomic operation	A function or action implemented as a sequence of one or more instructions that appears to be indivisible; that is, no other process can see an intermediate state or interrupt the operation. The sequence of instruction is guaranteed to execute as a group, or not execute at all, having no visible effect on system state. Atomicity guarantees isolation from concurrent processes.
critical section	A section of code within a process that requires access to shared resources and that must not be executed while another process is in a corresponding section of code.
deadlock	A situation in which two or more processes are unable to proceed because each is waiting for one of the others to do something.
livelock	A situation in which two or more processes continuously change their states in response to changes in the other process(es) without doing any useful work.
mutual exclusion	The requirement that when one process is in a critical section that accesses shared resources, no other process may be in a critical section that accesses any of those shared resources.
race condition	A situation in which multiple threads or processes read and write a shared data item and the final result depends on the relative timing of their execution.
starvation	A situation in which a runnable process is overlooked indefinitely by the scheduler; although it is able to proceed, it is never chosen.

Table 5.1 Some Key Terms Related to Concurrency

Difficulties of Concurrency

Sharing of global resources

Difficult for the OS to manage the allocation of resources optimally

Difficult to locate programming errors as results are not deterministic and reproducible

Race Condition

Occurs when multiple processes or threads read and write shared data items

The final result depends on the order of execution

- the “loser” of the race is the process that updates last and will determine the final value of the variable

Operating System Concerns

Design and management issues raised by the existence of concurrency:

- The OS must:
  - be able to keep track of various processes
  - allocate and de-allocate resources for each active process
  - protect the data and physical resources of each process against interference by other processes
  - ensure that the processes and outputs are independent of the processing speed

PROCESS INTERACTION

Degree of Awareness	Relationship	Influence that One Process Has on the Other	Potential Control Problems
Processes unaware of each other	Competition	•Results of one process independent of the action of others •Timing of process may be affected	•Mutual exclusion •Deadlock (renewable resource) •Starvation
Processes indirectly aware of each other (e.g., shared object)	Cooperation by sharing	•Results of one process may depend on information obtained from others •Timing of process may be affected	•Mutual exclusion •Deadlock (renewable resource) •Starvation •Data coherence
Processes directly aware of each other (have communication primitives available to them)	Cooperation by communication	•Results of one process may depend on information obtained from others •Timing of process may be affected	•Deadlock (consumable resource) •Starvation

Resource Competition

- Concurrent processes come into conflict when they use the same resource (competitively or shared)
  - for example: I/O devices, memory, processor time, clock
- Three control problems must be faced
  - Need for mutual exclusion
  - Deadlock
  - Starvation
- Sharing processes also need to address coherence

Need for Mutual Exclusion

If there is no controlled access to shared data, processes or threads may get an inconsistent view of this data

The result of concurrent execution will depend on the order in which instructions are interleaved.

Errors are timing dependent and usually not reproducible.

An Example

- Assume P1 and P2 are executing this code and share the variable **a**
- Processes can be preempted at any time.
- Assume P1 is preempted after the input statement, and P2 then executes entirely
- The character echoed by P1 will be the one read by P2 !!

```
static char a;

void echo()
{
    cin >> a;
    cout << a;
}
```

What's the Problem?

This is an example of a *race condition*

Individual processes (threads) execute sequentially in isolation, but concurrency causes them to interact.

We need to prevent concurrent execution by processes when they are changing the same data. We need to enforce *mutual exclusion*.

The Critical Section Problem

- When a process executes code that manipulates shared data (or resources), we say that the process is in its critical section (CS) for that shared data
- We must enforce mutual exclusion on the execution of critical sections.
- Only one process at a time can be in its CS (for that shared data or resource).

The Critical Section Problem

Enforcing mutual exclusion guarantees that related CS's will be executed *serially* instead of *concurrently*.

The critical section problem is how to provide mechanisms to enforce mutual exclusion so the actions of concurrent processes won't depend on the order in which their instructions are interleaved

The Critical Section Problem

- Processes/threads must request permission to enter a CS, & signal when they leave CS.
- Program structure:
  - entry section: requests entry to CS
  - exit section: notifies that CS is completed
  - remainder section (RS): code that does not involve shared data and resources.
- The CS problem exists on multiprocessors as well as on uniprocessors.

Mutual Exclusion and Data Coherence

Mutual Exclusion ensures data coherence if properly used.

Critical Resource (CR) - a shared resource such as a variable, file, or device

Data Coherence:

- The final value or state of a CR shared by concurrently executing processes is the same as the final value or state would be if each process executed serially, in some order.

Deadlock and Starvation

Deadlock: two or more processes are blocked permanently because each is waiting for a resource held in a mutually exclusive manner by one of the others.

Starvation: a process is repeatedly denied access to some resource which is protected by mutual exclusion, even though the resource periodically becomes available.

Mutual Exclusion

```
        PROCESS 1 */

void P1
{
   while (true) {
      /* preceding code */;
      entercritical (Ra);
      /* critical section */;
      exitcritical (Ra);
      /* following code */;
   }
}
```

```
        /* PROCESS 2 */

void P2
{
   while (true) {
      /* preceding code */;
      entercritical (Ra);
      /* critical section */;
      exitcritical (Ra);
      /* following code */;
   }
}
```

. . .

```
        /* PROCESS n */

void Pn
{
   while (true) {
      /* preceding code */;
      entercritical (Ra);
      /* critical section */;
      exitcritical (Ra);
      /* following code */;
   }
}
```

Requirements for Mutual Exclusion

1. Mutual Exclusion: must be enforced
2. Non interference: A process that halts must not interfere with other processes
3. No deadlock or starvation
4. Progress: A process must not be denied access to a critical section when there is no other process using it
5. No assumptions are made about relative process speeds or number of processes
6. A process remains inside its critical section for a finite time only

Mutual Exclusion: Hardware Support

- **Interrupt Disabling**

- uniprocessor system
- disabling interrupts guarantees mutual exclusion

- **Disadvantages:**

- the efficiency of execution could be noticeably degraded
- this approach will not work in a multiprocessor architecture

Solution to Critical-Section Problem

1. **Mutual Exclusion**. If process P_i is executing in its critical section, then no other processes can be executing in their critical sections.
2. **Progress**. If no process is executing in its critical section and there exist some processes that wish to enter their critical section, then the selection of the processes that will enter the critical section next cannot be postponed indefinitely.
3. **Bounded Waiting**. A bound must exist on the number of times that other processes are allowed to enter their critical sections after a process has made a request to enter its critical section and before that request is granted.
   - Assume that each process executes at a nonzero speed
   - No assumption concerning relative speed of the *n* processes.

Initial Attempts to Solve Problem

Only 2 processes, P_0 and P_1

General structure of process P_i (other process P_j)

do {

entry section

critical section

exit section

reminder section

} **while (1)**;

Processes may share some common variables to synchronize their actions.

Algorithm 1

Shared variables:

- **int turn**;
  initially **turn = 0**
- **turn - i** $\Rightarrow$ P_i can enter its critical section

Process P_i

```
do { while (turn != i) ;
        critical section
     turn = j;
        reminder section
} while (1);
```

Satisfies mutual exclusion, but not progress

Algorithm 2

Shared variables

- **boolean flag[2]**;
  initially **flag [0] = flag [1] = false.**
- **// flag [i] = true** $\Rightarrow$ P_i ready to enter its critical section

Process P_i

```
do {

    flag[i] := true;
    while (flag[j]) ;
    critical section

    flag [i] = false;

        remainder section

} while (1);
```

Satisfies mutual exclusion, but not progress requirement.

Algorithm 3

Combined shared variables of algorithms 1 and 2.

Process P_i

```
do {
    flag [i]:= true;
    turn = j;
    while (flag [j] and turn = j) ;
        critical section
    flag [i] = false;
        remainder section
} while (1);
```

Meets all three requirements; solves the critical-section problem for two processes.

Bakery Algorithm

Critical section for n processes

Before entering its critical section, process receives a number. Holder of the smallest number enters the critical section.

If processes P_i and P_j receive the same number, if $i < j$, then P_i is served first; else P_j is served first.

The numbering scheme always generates numbers in increasing order of enumeration; i.e., 1,2,3,4,5...

Bakery Algorithm

Notation $<\equiv$ lexicographical order (ticket #, process id #)

- $(a,b) < (c,d)$ if $a < c$ or if $a = c$ and $b < d$
- max $(a_0,\ldots, a_{n-1})$ is a number, k, such that $k \geq a_i$ for i - 0, …, $n - 1$

Shared data

boolean choosing[n];

int number[n];

Data structures are initialized to **false** and **0** respectively

Bakery Algorithm

```
do {
 choosing[i] = true;
 number[i] = max(number[0], number[1], …, number [n – 1])+1;
 choosing[i] = false;
 for (j = 0; j < n; j++) {
                while (choosing[j]) ;
                while ((number[j] != 0) && (number[j],j) < number[i].i)) ;
 }
      critical section
 number[i] = 0;
      remainder section
} while (1);
```

Synchronization Hardware

Test and modify the content of a word atomically

.

```
boolean TestAndSet(boolean &target) {
    boolean rv = target;
    target = true;

    return rv;
}
```

Mutual Exclusion with Test-and-Set

Shared data:

boolean lock = false;

Process P_i

```
do {
    while (TestAndSet(lock)) ;
        critical section
    lock = false;
        remainder section
}
```

Synchronization Hardware

Atomically swap two variables.

```
void Swap(boolean &a, boolean &b) {
    boolean temp = a;
    a = b;
    b = temp;
}
```

Mutual Exclusion with Swap

Shared data (initialized to **false**):

boolean lock;

Process P_i

```
do {
    key = true;
    while (key == true)
                Swap(lock,key);
        critical section
    lock = false;
        remainder section
}
```

Semaphores

Synchronization tool that does not require busy waiting.

Semaphore *S* – integer variable

can only be accessed via two indivisible (atomic) operations

wait (*S*):

while *S*≤ 0 do *no-op*;
** *S*--;**

signal (*S*):

S++;

Critical Section of *n* Processes

Shared data:

semaphore mutex; //initially *mutex* = 1

Process *Pi:*

```
do {
   wait(mutex);
      critical section

   signal(mutex);
      remainder section
} while (1);
```

Semaphore Implementation

Define a semaphore as a record

```
typedef struct {

  int value;
  struct process *L;
} semaphore;
```

Assume two simple operations:

- **block** suspends the process that invokes it.
- **wakeup(*P*)** resumes the execution of a blocked process **P**.

Implementation

Semaphore operations now defined as

wait(*S*):

```
S.value--;
if (S.value < 0) {
        add this process to S.L;
        block;
}
```

signal(*S*):

```
S.value++;
if (S.value <= 0) {
        remove a process P from S.L;
        wakeup(P);
}
```

Semaphore as a General Synchronization Tool

Execute *B* in P_j only after *A* executed in P_i

Use semaphore *flag* initialized to 0

Code:

P_i	P_j
⋮	⋮
A	*wait*(*flag*)
signal(*flag*)	*B*

Deadlock and Starvation

Deadlock – two or more processes are waiting indefinitely for an event that can be caused by only one of the waiting processes.

Let *S* and *Q* be two semaphores initialized to 1

P_0	P_1
wait(*S*);	*wait*(*Q*);
wait(*Q*);	*wait*(*S*);
⋮	⋮
signal(*S*);	*signal*(*Q*);
signal(*Q*)	*signal*(*S*);

Starvation – indefinite blocking. A process may never be removed from the semaphore queue in which it is suspended.

Two Types of Semaphores

Counting semaphore – integer value can range over an unrestricted domain.

Binary semaphore – integer value can range only between 0 and 1; can be simpler to implement.

Can implement a counting semaphore *S* as a binary semaphore.

Linux/Unix Semaphore

Synchronization primitive (integer value)

In kernel and use to synchronized the access to shared memory

Set of semaphore operation guaranteed for atomic

Should not be used to exchange large amount of data

semget(), semop() there is no direct wait() and signal() from system call

Sample code (sample2.tar) semaph.c

Server (mainshmserv.c)

- Create a sh mem
- Attach to it
- Create 2 semaphores
  - Client will start first (1)
  - Server initialized to 0
- Wait to get a request (by reading a filename from a shared mem)
- Open a file and write content into a shared mem

- Client (mainshmcli.c)
  - Get a share
  - Attach to it
  - Get a semaphore
  - Write a filename
  - Inform a server to start via server semaphore
  - Wait to get a turn to read a file content

Mutual Exclusion: H/w Support

- Special Machine Instructions
  - Compare&Swap Instruction
    - also called a “compare and exchange instruction”
    - a **compare** is made between a memory value and a test value
    - if the old memory value = test value, swap in a new value to the memory location
    - always return the old memory value
    - carried out atomically in the hardware.

Mutual Exclusion: Hardware Support

- Compare&Swap Instruction
  - Pseudo-code definition of the hardware instruction:

```
compare_and_swap (word, test_val, new_val)
if (word ==test_val)
    word = new_val;
return new_val
```

Compare and Swap Instruction

```
/* program mutualexclusion */
const int n = /* number of processes */;
int bolt;
void P(int i)
{
   while (true) {
     while (compare_and_swap(bolt, 0, 1) == 1)
          /* do nothing */;
      /* critical section */;
      bolt = 0;
      /* remainder */;
   }
}
void main()
{
   bolt = 0;
   parbegin (P(1), P(2), ... ,P(n));

}
```

word = bolt
test_val = 0
new_val = 1

If bolt is 0 when the C&S is executed, the condition is false and P enters its critical section. (leaves bolt = 1)
If bolt = 1 when C&S executes, P continues to execute the while loop. It's busy waiting (or spinning)

Exchange Instruction

```
    /* program mutualexclusion */
int const n = /* number of processes**/;
int bolt;
void P(int i)
{
    int keyi = 1;
    while (true) {
        do exchange (keyi, bolt)
        while (keyi != 0);
        /* critical section */;
        bolt = 0;
        /* remainder */;
    }
}
void main()
{
    bolt = 0;
    parbegin (P(1), P(2), ..., P(n));
}
```

Special Machine Instruction: Advantages

1. Applicable to any number of processes on either a single processor or multiple processors sharing main memory
2. Simple and easy to verify
3. It can be used to support multiple critical sections; each critical section can be defined by its own variable

Special Machine Instruction: Disadvantages

1. Busy-waiting is employed, thus while a process is waiting for access to a critical section it continues to consume processor time
2. Starvation is possible when a process leaves a critical section and more than one process is waiting: Selection is arbitrary
3. Deadlock is possible if priority-based scheduling is used

Common Concurrency Mechanisms

Semaphore	An integer value used for signaling among processes. Only three operations may be performed on a semaphore, all of which are atomic: initialize, decrement, and increment. The decrement operation may result in the blocking of a process, and the increment operation may result in the unblocking of a process. Also known as a **counting semaphore** or a **general semaphore**
Binary Semaphore	A semaphore that takes on only the values 0 and 1.
Mutex	Similar to a binary semaphore. A key difference between the two is that the process that locks the mutex (sets the value to zero) must be the one to unlock it (sets the value to 1).
Condition Variable	A data type that is used to block a process or thread until a particular condition is true.
Monitor	A programming language construct that encapsulates variables, access procedures and initialization code within an abstract data type. The monitor's variable may only be accessed via its access procedures and only one process may be actively accessing the monitor at any one time. The access procedures are *critical sections*. A monitor may have a queue of processes that are waiting to access it.
Event Flags	A memory word used as a synchronization mechanism. Application code may associate a different event with each bit in a flag. A thread can wait for either a single event or a combination of events by checking one or multiple bits in the corresponding flag. The thread is blocked until all of the required bits are set (AND) or until at least one of the bits is set (OR).
Mailboxes/Messages	A means for two processes to exchange information and that may be used for synchronization.
Spinlocks	Mutual exclusion mechanism in which a process executes in an infinite loop waiting for the value of a lock variable to indicate availability.

Semaphore

A variable that has an integer value upon which only three operations are defined:

There is no way to inspect or manipulate semaphores other than these three operations

1) **May be initialized to a nonnegative integer value**
2) **The semWait operation decrements the value**
3) **The semSignal operation increments the value**

Consequences

There is no way to know before a process decrements a semaphore whether it will block or not

There is no way to know which process will continue immediately on a uniprocessor system when two processes are running concurrently

You don't know whether another process is waiting so the number of unblocked processes may be zero or one

Semaphore Primitives

```
struct semaphore {
      int count;
      queueType queue;
};
void semWait(semaphore s)
{
      s.count--;
      if (s.count < 0) {
            /* place this process in s.queue */;
            /* block this process */;
      }
}
void semSignal(semaphore s)
{
      s.count++;
      if (s.count <= 0) {
            /* remove a process P from s.queue */;
            /* place process P on ready list */;
      }
}
```

Binary Semaphore Primitives

```
struct binary_semaphore {
      enum {zero, one} value;
      queueType queue;
};
void semWaitB(binary_semaphore s)
{
      if (s.value == one)
            s.value = zero;
      else {
               /* place this process in s.queue */;
               /* block this process */;
      }
}
void semSignalB(semaphore s)
{
      if (s.queue is empty())
            s.value = one;
      else {
               /* remove a process P from s.queue */;
               /* place process P on ready list */;
      }
}
```

Strong/Weak Semaphores

A queue is used to hold processes waiting on the semaphore

Strong Semaphores

- the process that has been blocked the longest is released from the queue first (FIFO)

Weak Semaphores

- the order in which processes are removed from the queue is not specified

Example of Semaphore Mechanism





Mutual Exclusion

```
/* program mutualexclusion */
const int n = /* number of processes  */;
semaphore s = 1;
void P(int i)
{
     while (true) {
          semWait(s);
          /* critical section   */;
          semSignal(s);
          /* remainder   */;
     }
}
void main()
{
     parbegin (P(1), P(2), . . ., P(n));
}
```

Shared Data Protected by a Semaphore



Producer/Consumer Problem

General Situation:

- one or more producers are generating data and placing these in a buffer
- a single consumer is taking items out of the buffer one at time
- only one producer or consumer may access the buffer at any one time

The Problem:

- ensure that the producer can't add data into full buffer and consumer can't remove data from an empty buffer

Buffer Structure



Note: shaded area indicates portion of buffer that is occupied

Incorrect Solution

```
/* program producerconsumer */
int n;
binary_semaphore s = 1, delay = 0;
void producer()
{
     while (true) {
          produce();
          semWaitB(s);
          append();
          n++;
          if (n==1) semSignalB(delay);
          semSignalB(s);
     }
}
void consumer()
{
     semWaitB(delay);
     while (true) {
          semWaitB(s);
          take();
          n--;
          semSignalB(s);
          consume();
          if (n==0) semWaitB(delay);
     }
}
void main()
{
     n = 0;
     parbegin (producer, consumer);
}
```

Possible Solution

Table 5.4 Possible Scenario for the Program of Figure 5.9

	Producer	Consumer	s	n	Delay
1			1	0	0
2	semWaitB(s)		0	0	0
3	n++		0	1	0
4	**if** (n==1) (semSignalB(delay))		0	1	1
5	semSignalB(s)		1	1	1
6		semWaitB(delay)	1	1	0
7		semWaitB(s)	0	1	0
8		n--	0	0	0
9		semSignalB(s)	1	0	0
10	semWaitB(s)		0	0	0
11	n++		0	1	0
12	**if** (n==1) (semSignalB(delay))		0	1	1
13	semSignalB(s)		1	1	1
14		**if** (n==0) (semWaitB(delay))	1	1	1
15		semWaitB(s)	0	1	1
16		n--	0	0	1
17		semSignalB(s)	1	0	1
18		**if** (n==0) (semWaitB(delay))	1	0	0
19		semWaitB(s)	0	0	0
20		n--	0	–1	0
21		semiSignlaB(s)	1	–1	0

NOTE: White areas represent the critical section controlled by semaphore s.

Correct Solution

```
/* program producerconsumer */
int n;
binary_semaphore s = 1, delay = 0;
void producer()
{
     while (true) {
          produce();
          semWaitB(s);
          append();
          n++;
          if (n==1) semSignalB(delay);
          semSignalB(s);
     }
}
void consumer()
{
     int m; /* a local variable */
     semWaitB(delay);
     while (true)  {
          semWaitB(s);
          take();
          n--;
          m = n;
          semSignalB(s);
          consume();
          if (m==0) semWaitB(delay);
     }
}
void main()
{
     n = 0;
     parbegin (producer, consumer);
}
```

Figure 5.10 A Correct Solution to the Infinite-Buffer Producer/Consumer Problem Using Binary Semaphores

Using Semaphores

```
/* program  producerconsumer */
semaphore n = 0, s = 1;
void producer()
{
     while (true) {
          produce();
          semWait(s);
          append();
          semSignal(s);
          semSignal(n);
     }
}
void consumer()
{
     while (true) {
          semWait(n);
          semWait(s);
          take();
          semSignal(s);
          consume();
     }
}
void main()
{
     parbegin (producer, consumer);
}
```

Finite Circular Buffer

Block on:	Unblock on:
Producer: insert in full buffer	Consumer: item inserted
Consumer: remove from empty buffer	Producer: item removed



(a)



(b)

Using Semaphore

```
/* program boundedbuffer */
const int sizeofbuffer = /* buffer size */;
semaphore s = 1, n= 0, e= sizeofbuffer;
void producer()
{
     while (true) {
          produce();
          semWait(e);
          semWait(s);
          append();
          semSignal(s);
          semSignal(n);
     }
}
void consumer()
{
     while (true) {
          semWait(n);
          semWait(s);
          take();
          semSignal(s);
          semSignal(e);
          consume();
     }
}
void main()
{
     parbegin (producer, consumer);
}
```

Figure 5.13 A Solution to the Bounded-Buffer Producer/Consumer Problem Using Semaphores

Implementation of Semaphores

Imperative that the `semWait` and `semSignal` operations be implemented as atomic primitives

Can be implemented in hardware or firmware

Software schemes such as Dekker's or Peterson's algorithms can be used

Use one of the hardware-supported schemes for mutual exclusion

Review

Concurrent processes, threads

Access to shared data/resources

Need to enforce mutual exclusion

Hardware mechanisms have limited usefulness

Semaphores: OS mechanism for mutual exclusion & other synchronization issues

Standard semaphore/counting

Binary semaphore

Producer/consumer problem

Monitors

Programming language construct that provides equivalent functionality to that of semaphores and is easier to control

Implemented in a number of programming languages

- including Concurrent Pascal, Pascal-Plus, Modula-2, Modula-3, and Java

- Has also been implemented as a program library
- Software module consisting of one or more procedures, an initialization sequence, and local data

Monitor Characteristics

Local data variables are accessible only by the monitor's procedures and not by any external procedure

Process enters monitor by invoking one of its procedures

Only one process may be executing in the monitor at a time



Synchronization

Achieved by the use of **condition variables** that are contained within the monitor and accessible only within the monitor

- Condition variables are operated on by two functions:
  - cwait(c): suspend execution of the calling process on condition c
  - csignal(c): resume execution of some process blocked after a cwait on the same condition

Structure of a Monitor



Problem Solution Using a Monitor

```
/* program producerconsumer */
monitor boundedbuffer;
char buffer [N];                                    /* space for N items */
int nextin, nextout;                                /* buffer pointers */
int count;                                          /* number of items in buffer */
cond notfull, notempty;          /* condition variables for synchronization */

void append (char x)
{
     if (count == N) cwait(notfull);      /* buffer is full; avoid overflow */
     buffer[nextin] = x;
     nextin = (nextin + 1) % N;
     count++;
     /* one more item in buffer */
     csignal(notempty);                        /* resume any waiting consumer */
}
void take (char x)
{
     if (count == 0) cwait(notempty);   /* buffer is empty; avoid underflow */
     x = buffer[nextout];
     nextout = (nextout + 1) % N;
     count--;                                    /* one fewer item in buffer */
     csignal(notfull);                         /* resume any waiting producer */
}
{                                                          /* monitor body */
     nextin = 0; nextout = 0; count = 0;          /* buffer initially empty */
}
```

```
void producer()
{
     char x;
     while (true) {
     produce(x);
     append(x);
     }
}
void consumer()
{
     char x;
     while (true) {
       take(x);
       consume(x);
     }
}
void main()
{
     parbegin (producer, consumer);
}
```

Message Passing

When processes interact with one another two fundamental requirements must be satisfied:

synchronization	communication
• to enforce mutual exclusion	• to exchange information

Message Passing is one approach to providing both of these functions

- works with distributed systems *and* shared memory multiprocessor and uniprocessor systems

Message Passing

The actual function is normally provided in the form of a pair of primitives:

send (destination, message)
receive (source, message)

- A process sends information in the form of a *message* to another process designated by a *destination*
- A process receives information by executing the **`receive`** primitive, indicating the *source* and the *message*

Message Passing

Synchronization
- Send
  - blocking
  - nonblocking
- Receive
  - blocking
  - nonblocking
  - test for arrival

Addressing
- Direct
  - send
  - receive
    - explicit
    - implicit
- Indirect
  - static
  - dynamic
  - ownership

Format
- Content
- Length
  - fixed
  - variable

Queuing Discipline
- FIFO
- Priority

Synchronization

Communication of a message between two processes implies synchronization between the two

the receiver cannot receive a message until it has been sent by another process

When a receive primitive is executed in a process there are two possibilities:

if there is no waiting message the process is blocked until a message arrives or the process continues to execute, abandoning the attempt to receive

if a message has previously been sent the message is received and execution continues

Blocking Send, Blocking Receive

Both sender and receiver are blocked until the message is delivered

Sometimes referred to as a *rendezvous*

Allows for tight synchronization between processes

Non-blocking Send

Nonblocking send, blocking receive

- sender continues on but receiver is blocked until the requested message arrives
- most useful combination
- sends one or more messages to a variety of destinations as quickly as possible
- example -- a service process that exists to provide a service or resource to other processes

Nonblocking send, nonblocking receive

- neither party is required to wait

Addressing

- Schemes for specifying processes in `send` and `receive` primitives fall into two categories:

Direct addressing

Indirect addressing

Direct Addressing

- Send primitive includes a specific identifier of the destination process
- Receive primitive can be handled in one of two ways:
  - require that the process explicitly designate a sending process
    - effective for cooperating concurrent processes
  - implicit addressing
    - source parameter of the receive primitive possesses a value returned when the receive operation has been performed

Indirect Addressing



Indirect Process Communication

(a) One to one



(b) Many to one



(c) One to many



(d) Many to many

General Message Format



Mutual Exclusion

```
/* program mutualexclusion */
const int n = /* number of processes  */;
void P(int i)
{
    message msg;
    while (true) {
     receive (box, msg);
     /* critical section   */;
     send (box, msg);
     /* remainder   */;
    }
}
void main()
{
    create mailbox (box);
    send (box, null);
    parbegin (P(1), P(2), . . ., P(n));
}
```

Message Passing Example

```
const int
    capacity = /* buffering capacity */ ;
    null =/* empty message */ ;
int i;
void producer()
{   message pmsg;
    while (true) {
     receive (mayproduce, pmsg);
     pmsg = produce();
     send (mayconsume, pmsg);
    }
}
void consumer()
{   message cmsg;
    while (true) {
     receive (mayconsume, cmsg);
     consume (cmsg);
     send (mayproduce, null);
    }
}

void main()
{
    create_mailbox (mayproduce);
    create_mailbox (mayconsume);
    for (int i = 1; i <= capacity; i++) send (mayproduce, null);
    parbegin (producer, consumer);
}
```

Readers/Writers Problem

A data area is shared among many processes

- some processes only read the data area, (readers) and some only write to the data area (writers)

Conditions that must be satisfied:

1. any number of readers may simultaneously read the file
2. only one writer at a time may write to the file
3. if a writer is writing to the file, no reader may read it

Readers Have Priority

```
/* program readersandwriters */
int readcount;
semaphore x = 1, wsem = 1;
void reader()
{
    while (true) {
      semWait (x);
      readcount++;
      if (readcount == 1) semWait (wsem);
      semSignal (x);
      READUNIT();
      semWait (x);
      readcount--;
      if (readcount == 0) semSignal (wsem);
      semSignal (x);
    }
 }
void writer()
{
    while (true) {
      semWait (wsem);
      WRITEUNIT();
      semSignal (wsem);
    }
}

void main()
{
    readcount = 0;
    parbegin (reader, writer);
}
```

Writers Have Priority

```
/*program readersandwriters*/
int  readcount, writecount;
semaphore x = 1, y = 1, z = 1, wsem = 1, rsem = 1;
void reader()
{
    while (true) {
     semWait (z);
          semWait (rsem);
               semWait (x);
                    readcount++;
                    if (readcount == 1) semWait (wsem);
               semSignal (x);
          semSignal (rsem);
     semSignal (z);
     READUNIT();
     semWait (x);
          readcount--;
          if (readcount == 0) semSignal (wsem);
     semSignal (x);
    }
}
```

```
void writer ()
{
    while (true) {
     semWait (y);
          writecount++;
          if (writecount == 1) semWait (rsem);
     semSignal (y);
     semWait (wsem);
     WRITEUNIT();
     semSignal (wsem);
     semWait (y);
          writecount--;
          if (writecount == 0) semSignal (rsem);
     semSignal (y);
    }
}
void main()
{
    readcount = writecount = 0;
    parbegin (reader, writer);
}
```

State of the Process Queues

Readers only in the system	•*wsem* set •no queues
Writers only in the system	•*wsem* and *rsem* set •writers queue on *wsem*
Both readers and writers with read first	•*wsem* set by reader •*rsem* set by writer •all writers queue on *wsem* •one reader queues on *rsem* •other readers queue on *z*
Both readers and writers with write first	•*wsem* set by writer •*rsem* set by writer •writers queue on *wsem* •one reader queues on *rsem* •other readers queue on *z*

Message Passing

```
void reader(int i)
{
   message rmsg;
      while (true) {
         rmsg = i;
         send (readrequest, rmsg);
         receive (mbox[i], rmsg);
         READUNIT ();
         rmsg = i;
         send (finished, rmsg);
      }
 }
void writer(int j)
{
   message rmsg;
   while(true) {
      rmsg = j;
      send (writerequest, rmsg);
      receive (mbox[j], rmsg);
      WRITEUNIT ();
      rmsg = j;
      send (finished, rmsg);
   }
}
```

```
void  controller()
{
      while (true)
      {
         if (count > 0) {
            if (!empty (finished)) {
               receive (finished, msg);
               count++;
            }
            else if (!empty (writerequest)) {
               receive (writerequest, msg);
               writer_id = msg.id;
               count = count – 100;
            }
            else if (!empty (readrequest)) {
               receive (readrequest, msg);
               count--;
               send (msg.id, "OK");
            }
         }
         if (count == 0) {
            send (writer id, "OK");
            receive (finished, msg);
            count = 100;
         }
         while (count < 0) {
            receive (finished, msg);
            count++;
         }
      }
}
```

```
void  controller()
{
      while (true)
      {
         if (count > 0) {
            if (!empty (finished)) {
               receive (finished, msg);
               count++;
            }
            else if (!empty (writerequest)) {
               receive (writerequest, msg);
               writer_id = msg.id;
               count = count – 100;
            }
            else if (!empty (readrequest)) {
               receive (readrequest, msg);
               count--;
               send (msg.id, "OK");
            }
         }
         if (count == 0) {
            send (writer id, "OK");
            receive (finished, msg);
            count = 100;
         }
         while (count < 0) {
            receive (finished, msg);
            count++;
         }
      }
}
```

Summary

- Messages
  - Useful for the enforcement of mutual exclusion discipline
- Operating System Themes
  - Multiprogramming, multiprocessing, distributed processing
  - Fundamental to these themes is concurrency
  - issues of conflict resolution and cooperation arise
- Mutual Exclusion Condition
  - In which there is a set of concurrent processes, only one of which is able to access a given resource or perform a given function at any time
  - One approach involves the use of special machine instructions
- Semaphore
  - Used for signaling among processes and can be readily used to enforce a mutual exclusion discipline