# Social Insurance and Occupational Mobility German Cubas and Pedro Silos

Tate Mason

Tate.Mason@uga.edu

John Munro Godfrey Sr. Department of Economics
The University of Georgia

September 20, 2025

## Outline

- Introduction
- Methodology
- Results
- Conclusion

## Motivation

- Social insurance provides a cushion for workers.
- Differs across countries, affecting labor market dynamics.
- How can we model this effect?

## Research Question

## Main Question

How does social insurance effect occpational experimentation?

# Research Question

#### Main Question

How does social insurance effect occpational experimentation?

## Hypothesis

Providing more social insurance allows for riskier occupational choices.

## Model

- Build upon Roy (1951) model of occupational choice.
- Add interaction between earnings risk, social insurance, and occupational choice.

# Human Capital

## Workers have two types of ability:

- Innate
  - occupation specific
  - discovered through work experience

# Human Capital

## Workers have two types of ability:

- Innate
  - occupation specific
  - discovered through work experience
- General
  - applicable to all occupations
  - will experience occupation-specific shocks to this human capital

## Model Environment

#### Household:

- Lives for S periods
- endowed one unit of time each period, with no leisure value
- workers dislike risk
- ullet rank levels of consumption c according to a utility function u(c)

## Model Environment

#### Household:

- Lives for S periods
- endowed one unit of time each period, with no leisure value
- workers dislike risk
- rank levels of consumption c according to a utility function u(c)

#### Labor Market:

- J occupations, j = 1, ..., J
- workers can only work in one occupation at a time, but can switch between periods
- receive wage w<sub>i</sub> per unit of human capital



## Value Functions

Value of staying in occupation j:

$$V_s(\Omega_s, z, \epsilon, j) = \{u(c) + \beta \int W_{s+1}(\Omega_{s+1}, z', \epsilon', j') dF(\epsilon')\},$$

$$s.t.$$

$$c = T(w_j e^{\theta_j} e^z e^{\epsilon})$$

$$z' = z + \epsilon$$

$$\Omega_{s+1} = \Omega_s$$

# Value Functions, cont.

Value of switching to occupation j':

$$H_{s}(\Omega_{s}, \theta_{j'}, z, \epsilon, j') = \{u(c) + \beta \int W_{s+1}(\Omega_{s+1}, z', \epsilon', j') dF(\epsilon')\},$$

$$s.t.$$

$$c = T(w_{j'}e^{z}e^{\theta'_{j}}e^{\epsilon'_{j}}e^{-c(s,\kappa)})$$

$$z' = z + \epsilon'$$

$$\Omega_{s+1} = \{\Omega_{s}, j', \theta_{j'}\}$$

 $\Psi_{j,s}(\Omega_s,z,\epsilon)$  is the distribution of workers in occupation j at age s with history  $\Omega_s$ , general human capital z, and shock  $\epsilon$ .  $\Psi$  is defined for all  $\Omega_s,z,\epsilon\in\mathbb{R}$ 

 $\Psi_{j,s}(\Omega_s,z,\epsilon)$  is the distribution of workers in occupation j at age s with history  $\Omega_s$ , general human capital z, and shock  $\epsilon$ .  $\Psi$  is defined for all  $\Omega_s,z,\epsilon\in\mathbb{R}$ 

Mass of newborns:

$$\Psi_{j,0}(\Omega_0,z,\epsilon)=\frac{1}{S}f_j\forall j\in\{1,...,J\}$$

where  $f_j$  is the fraction of newborns with initial occupation specific ability  $\theta_j$ .

 $\Psi_{j,s}(\Omega_s,z,\epsilon)$  is the distribution of workers in occupation j at age s with history  $\Omega_s$ , general human capital z, and shock  $\epsilon$ .  $\Psi$  is defined for all  $\Omega_s,z,\epsilon\in\mathbb{R}$ 

Mass of newborns:

$$\Psi_{j,0}(\Omega_0,z,\epsilon)=\frac{1}{S}f_j\forall j\in\{1,...,J\}$$

where  $f_j$  is the fraction of newborns with initial occupation specific ability  $\theta_j$ . In period S+1:

$$\Psi_{j,S+1}(\Omega_{S+1}, z, \epsilon) = 0 \forall j \in \{1, ..., J\}$$

 $\Psi_{j,s}(\Omega_s,z,\epsilon)$  is the distribution of workers in occupation j at age s with history  $\Omega_s$ , general human capital z, and shock  $\epsilon$ .  $\Psi$  is defined for all  $\Omega_s,z,\epsilon\in\mathbb{R}$ 

Mass of newborns:

$$\Psi_{j,0}(\Omega_0,z,\epsilon)=\frac{1}{S}f_j\forall j\in\{1,...,J\}$$

where  $f_j$  is the fraction of newborns with initial occupation specific ability  $\theta_j$ . In period S+1:

$$\Psi_{j,S+1}(\Omega_{S+1},z,\epsilon)=0 \forall j \in \{1,...,J\}$$

For s = 0, ..., S:

$$\Psi_{j,s+1}(\Omega_{s+1},z',\epsilon) = \sum_{j'} \Psi_{j',s}(\Omega_s,z,\epsilon) I_{j,s}(j',\omega_s,\epsilon,z) \forall j \in \{1,...,J\}$$

# Equilibrium cont.

Define aggregate mass of efficiency units in occupation j at age s as:

$$N_{j} = \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s \in S} \int e^{z} e^{\theta_{j'}} e^{\epsilon_{j'}} + \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s \in S} \sum_{j \neq j'} \int e^{-c(s,\kappa)} d\Psi_{j,s}(\Omega_{s-1}, z, \epsilon)$$

# Equilibrium cont.

Define aggregate mass of efficiency units in occupation j at age s as:

$$N_{j} = \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s \in S} \int e^{z} e^{\theta_{j'}} e^{\epsilon_{j'}} + \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s \in S} \sum_{j \neq j'} \int e^{-c(s,\kappa)} d\Psi_{j,s}(\Omega_{s-1}, z, \epsilon)$$

This let's us define the SCE consisting of

- **1** set of occupation level wages  $\{w_j\}_{j=1}^J$
- 2 occupational populations  $\{\Psi_j\}_{j=1}^J$
- lacktriangledown set of intermediate goods prices  $\{p_j\}_{j=1}^J$
- set of occupational labor inputs  $\{N_j\}_{j=1}^J$
- **o** occupation-specific decision rules  $\{I_{j,s}\}_{j=1,s=0}^{J,S}$
- **o** value functions  $\{V_s\}_{s=0}^S$



# **Equilibrium Conditions**

- Above value functions solve optimization problems
- Labor inputs N<sub>j</sub> are the solution to the intermediate producer optimnization problem
- **3** Intermediate goods quantities  $X_j$  solve the final goods producer's problem
- **Q** Prices  $p_j$  equate supply and demand of intermediate goods
- Wage in occupation j is the marginal product of an efficiency unit in that occupation s.t.

$$w_j = \alpha_j N_j^{\alpha_j - 1} \Pi_{j' \neq j} \{ N_j'^{\alpha_j'} \}$$

- 6 Labor markets clear at occupational level
- **1** In occupation j,  $\Psi_i$  is the stationary distribution
- Final goods market clears by Walras' Law



## Data

- Describe your dataset
- Number of observations, variables
- Time period, source

## Main Results

example-figure.pdf



## Table of Results

Variable	Coef.	Std. Error
X	0.45	0.12
Ζ	-0.23	0.08

Table: Regression results

## Conclusion

- Summarize findings
- Contributions
- Future work



## References I