



ALMA MATER STUDIORUM
UNIVERSITÀ DI BOLOGNA

Introduction to Protégé

Federico Chesani

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
University of Bologna

Ontologies

An ontology is a **formal, explicit description** of a **domain** of interest

Allows to specify:

- Classes (domain concepts)
- Semantic relation between classes/properties associated to a concept (slots, and possibly restrictions, facets)
- Possibly, a further logic level (axioms and rules)
- Classes instances

Ontology + Instances = Knowledge Base



Ontologies

- Starting point: a deep analysis, complete(?) and correct(?) of an application domain.
- The knowledge can be then **represented/formalized** by means of an ontology
- Uses:
 - Export
 - Application export
 - Knowledge export
 - Modelling
 - Fundamental in every step of the developing process
 - Interoperability between different applications



Ontologies and Semantic Web

Two proposals within the SW Initiative:

- **RDF Schema** (RDFS), RDF extensions with proper terms for ontological concepts
- **OWL** (Ontology Web Language), extend RDFS
 - OWL Lite
 - OWL DL
 - OWL FullBased on Description Logics



OWL and Description Logic

- Description Logics are a family of logics
- Each fragment depend on which operators are supported in the logic
- More supported operators \rightarrow higher the complexity
- OWL-DL supports the following operators:

Axiom	DL Syntax	Example
subClassOf	$C_1 \sqsubseteq C_2$	Human \sqsubseteq Animal \sqcap Biped
equivalentClass	$C_1 \equiv C_2$	Man \equiv Human \sqcap Male
disjointWith	$C_1 \sqsubseteq \neg C_2$	Male $\sqsubseteq \neg$ Female
sameIndividualAs	$\{x_1\} \equiv \{x_2\}$	{President_Bush} \equiv {G_W_Bush}
differentFrom	$\{x_1\} \sqsubseteq \neg\{x_2\}$	{john} $\sqsubseteq \neg$ {peter}
subPropertyOf	$P_1 \sqsubseteq P_2$	hasDaughter \sqsubseteq hasChild
equivalentProperty	$P_1 \equiv P_2$	cost \equiv price
inverseOf	$P_1 \equiv P_2^-$	hasChild \equiv hasParent $^-$
transitiveProperty	$P^+ \sqsubseteq P$	ancestor $^+$ \sqsubseteq ancestor
functionalProperty	$\top \sqsubseteq \leq 1P$	$\top \sqsubseteq \leq 1$ hasMother
inverseFunctionalProperty	$\top \sqsubseteq \leq 1P^-$	$\top \sqsubseteq \leq 1$ hasSSN $^-$



Protégé – An ontology editor

- Many different editors:
 - WebODE, <http://webode.dia.fi.upm.es/WebODEWeb/index.html>
 - ICOM, <http://www.inf.unibz.it/~franconi/icom/>
 - DOME, <http://dome.sourceforge.net/>
 - ... (many many others)
- Protégé, <http://protege.stanford.edu/>
 - Developed at Stanford (US)
 - Open Source, java based, extendible
 - Plug-in architecture, lot of plug-ins available
 - Exports ontology in many formats (rdfs, owl)



Developing an ontology

A possible development process:

1. Analyse the **domain** and the **goal** of the ontology
2. Consider to **reuse** existing ontologies
3. Determine the key **concepts** of the domain
4. Organize concepts in classes and **hierarchies** among classes
5. Determine the **properties** of the classes
6. Add **constraints** (allowed values) on the properties
7. Create the **instances**
8. Assigns **values** to the properties for each instance



Protégé – Developing an ontology

Editing function are split in different tabs

- Tab “Classes”: classes editor
 - Tab “Object Properties”
 - Tab “Data Properties”
 - Tab “Individuals”
-
- Tab “Forms”: Allows to define forms for the data insertion phase



Protégé – Classes editor

- **Assertions**
 - **Necessary and Sufficient** (complete definition) (C1 equivalent to C2)
 - **Necessary** (partial definition) (C1 has superclass C2)
 - Inherited
- Assertions are about **Properties** and **Restriction on properties**
- **Disjoints** (individuals of this class cannot be also individuals of another class)
 - By default, OWL classes have some “overlap”!!!!!!



Protégé – Properties

Properties are **binary relations** between two **things**.

- **Object properties**: relation between two individuals
- **Datatype properties**: relation between an individual and a primitive data type
- **Annotation properties** (metadata...)



Protégé – Inverse properties

For each object property it is possible to specify the **inverse property**

E.g.:

individuals: federico, francesco

Properties: hasParent, hasChild

Sentence: “francesco hasParent federico”

Now, define hasChild as inverse property of hasParent

→ It is possible to automatically infer that :

“federico hasChild francesco”



Functional Properties

- **Functional Properties:** a property is functional if, for a given individual, it can be in relation (through such property) with only another individual
- E.g.: “francesco hasFather federico”
- Note: the fact that a property is functional is not used as a constraint, but as axiom for the inference.
- E.g., if we add “francesco hasFather chicco”, we can say:
 1. federico and chicco are the same individual
 2. (provided federico \neq chicco) the two sentences are inconsistent
- **Inverse Functional Properties:** the inverse property is functional



Transitive and Symmetric Properties

- Transitive Properties

E.g., hasAncestor

- Symmetric Properties

E.g., hasBrother

“federico hasBrother paolo” allows to infer also

“paolo hasBrother federico”

- Antisymmetric Properties (if “a rel b”, it can never be “b rel a”)

E.g., hasChild: “federico hasChild francesco”



Reflexive Properties

- **Reflexive properties**: if rel is reflexive, then it always holds “a rel a”, for every individual a.
E.g., knows: “federico knows federico”
- **Irreflexive properties** (it can never be “a rel a”)
E.g., fatherOf



Properties – Domain and Range

Properties relate individuals from a **domain** to individuals from a **range**

- **Domain** and **range are not constraints** but, rather, **axioms** used in the inference process

E.g.: given the classes Pizza and PizzaToppings, the relation hasTopping is defined:

- Pizza as domain
- PizzaToppings as range

If we add “iceCream hasTopping pepperoni”, then the inference engine derives that iceCream is an instance of Pizza

- Such behaviour can generate problems (initially...)
- Note: for inverse properties, there is the “inversion” of domain and range



Describing and Defining a class

- **Description of a class:** **necessary** conditions for an individual to belong to a class
- **Definition of a class:** **necessary and sufficient** conditions for an individual to belong to a class

They are described/defined by means of

- Expressions (conjunction/disjunction of named/anonymous classes and subClassOf relation)
 - **and** (intersection), **or** (union) and **not** (complement)
- Property Restrictions (in Protégé everything has been reconducted to a restriction)



Property Restrictions

- Quantifier Restrictions
 - **Existential Restrictions**: individuals that are related through property prop with **at least** an individual member of the specified class (keyword “**some**”, “**someValueFrom**”)
 - **Universal Restrictions**: individuals that are related through property prop **only** with individuals members of the specified class (keyword “**only**”, “**allValuesFrom**”)
- Cardinality Restrictions (possibly qualified)
 - min n: individuals that are related through property prop with **at least** n other individuals
 - exactly n
 - max n
- **hasValue Restrictions**: individuals that are related through property prop with a certain defined individual



Protégé – Reasoning

- Computation of the “inferred ontology”
 - User defined/described ontologies are called “asserted hierarchy”. Protégé can invoke a reasoner (a plug-in) to compute the “inferred hierarchy”
- **Consistency checking**: for each class, can exists at least an individual that could belong to such class?
- Warning: OWL uses the Open World Assumption
 - If needed, Closure Axioms



Protégé - Individuals

- It is possible to define also single individuals
- DL Reasoners supports also the **classification** task



Protégé – A short exercise

Starts from the Pizza ontology

- Add the description of a new, ChesaniPizza
 - It must have cheese
 - It must have a topping based on meat
 - It must be an “interesting pizza”
 - It must be vegetarian
- Should we make the intersection of these concepts or the union?
- Do you think ChesaniPizza is consistent? Why?
- Transform the description of ChesaniPizza into a definition
 - Which pizza are subsumed by ChesaniPizza?



Protégé – A short exercise

Create an individual, e.g., “pizzaTonight”

- Add some topping
 - Mozz_bufala
 - Sausage
 - Pachino Tomatoes
 - Aubergines
- Create pizzaTonight with these topping
- Try to classify it: to which class this pizza belong? Why?



Protégé – A short exercise

Domain and Range Assertions

- Create the class entity “Cake”, as subclass of Food
- Add the property that a Cake hasTopping some FruitTopping
- Invoke the reasoner... what happens? how the cake has been classified?
- Add “disjoint with pizza
- Invoke the reasoner again...



Protégé – A short exercise

OPEN WORLD ASSUMPTION

- Let us analyse the definition of VegetarianPizza
- Create a new pizza Chesani2
- Add Chesani2 hasTopping some CheeseTopping
- Run the reasoner, classification task, and see what happens... why Chesani2 is not classified as VegetarianPizza?
- Modify the property by saying Chesani2 hasTopping only CheeseTopping



Few Links

- Protégé, <http://protege.stanford.edu/>
- Protégé-OWL, with tutorials, exercise, howto etc. etc.: <http://www.code.org/>
- OWL and other standards:
<http://www.w3.org/2001/sw/>

