

Prolog (part 2)

Andrea Galassi

Languages and Algorithms for Artificial Intelligence

Exercises courtesy of Prof. Federico Chesani

Exercise 1: family (1/3)

The public administration of a country represents family information as prolog facts.

For example, if Emily is parent of Frank, grandparent of Paolo, and grandgrandparent of Tommy and Mary:

```
parent(emily, frank) .  
parent(frank, paolo) .  
parent(paolo, tommy) .  
parent(paolo, mary) .
```

Define three predicates: `ancestor(X,Y)` , `descendant (X,Y)` , and `directly_related(X,L)`

Exercise 1: family (2/3)

`ancestor(X,Y)` and `descendant(X,Y)` are true if Y is (respectively) an ancestor of X or a descendant of X.

Example:

```
parent(emily, frank).  
parent(frank, paolo).  
parent(paolo, tommy).  
parent(paolo, mary).
```

```
ancestor(emily, mary). true  
descendant(mary, emily). true  
ancestor(tommy, mary). false
```

Exercise 1: family (3/3)

`directly_related(X,L)` returns a list L with all the people who are descendants or ancestors of X.

Example:

```
parent(emily, frank).  
parent(frank, paolo).  
parent(paolo, tommy).  
parent(paolo, mary).
```

```
directly_related(frank,L).  
    true, L/[emily, paolo, tommy, mary].
```

Exercise 1: family solution

Solution:

```
ancestor(X,Y) :- parent(X,Y) .
```

```
ancestor(X,Y) :- parent(X,Z) , ancestor(Z,Y) .
```

```
descendant(X,Y) :- parent(Y,X) .
```

```
descendant(X,Y) :- parent(Y,Z) , descendant(X,Z) .
```

```
directly_related(X,Y) :-
```

```
    findall(A, ancestor(A, X) , L1) ,
```

```
    findall(B, descendant(B, X) , L2) ,
```

```
    append(L1, L2, Y) .
```

Exercise 1: last element of a list (2/7)

First step: define base cases

If the list is empty? The result is an empty list

lastel([], []).

If the list contains only one element? That element!

lastel([E], E).

Exercise 1: last element of a list (3/7)

lastel([], []).

lastel([E], E).

Second step: general case

If I have a list with more than one element, I can eliminate the first element (A) and consider only the remaining list:

lastel([A|X], Y) :- **lastel**(X, Y).

Exercise 1: last element of a list (4/7)

Let's test this program

```
lastel([], []).
```

```
lastel([E], E).
```

```
lastel([A|X], Y) :- lastel(X, Y).
```

```
?-lastel([3,4,5,7], Z)
```

What happens?

Exercise 1: last element of a list (5/7)

- First, since A is not used anywhere else in the program, it is better to use the unnamed variable `_` in its place.
- Second, two solutions are found, why? How can it be fixed?

Exercise 1: last element of a list (6/7)

- First, since A is not used anywhere else in the program, it is better to use the unnamed variable `_` in its place.
- Second, two solutions are found, why? How can it be fixed?
 - When `lastel` is applied to a list with only one element, there are two possibility: apply the second clause or the third one.
 - In the second case, the last element is removed and the empty list is obtained.
 - We can fix this with the CUT operator in the second clause so to stop the search.

Exercise 1: last element of a list (7/7)

Final program:

```
lastel([], []).  
lastel([E], E) :- !.  
lastel(_|X, Y) :- lastel(X, Y).
```

```
?-lastel([3,4,5,7], Z)
```

Exercise 2: maximum element of a list

Define a PROLOG predicate *max* that given a list L of integer, find the greater element E of the list

max (L , E)

Two approaches: iterative and recursive

In the recursive approach we reach the end of the list and recursively evaluate every element.

In the iterative approach we evaluate every element while we reach the end.

Exercise 2: maximum element of a list

Recursive approach: we reach the end, then go back.

We define the base case:

max ([E] , E) : - ! .

To understand how to write the rest of the program let's think about a real scenario: ?-**max** ([7 , 5 , 6] , X) .

Let's start with the very last element of the list, we know that

max ([6] , 6) .

Is proved true by the base case.

Exercise 2: maximum element of a list

Recursive approach: we reach the end, then go back.

max ([E] , E) : - ! .

So now we know that **max** (L , E) holds for E=6 and L=[6]

Now we consider the element that precedes our current sublist L.

We have **max** ([5 , 6] , 6)

So, the maximum is the same because the new element is smaller than the maximum computed so far.

From this case we can write

Exercise 2: maximum element of a list

Recursive approach: we reach the end, then go back.

$\text{max}([E], E) : - ! .$

So now we know that $\text{max}(L, E)$ holds for $E=6$ and $L=[6]$

Now we consider the element that precedes our current sublist L .

We have $\text{max}([5, 6], 6)$

So, the maximum is the same because the new element is smaller than the maximum computed so far.

From this case we can write

$\text{max}([N|L], E) : - \text{max}(L, E), E \geq N.$

Exercise 2: maximum element of a list

Recursive approach: we reach the end, then go back.

max ([E] , E) : - ! .

max ([N | L] , E) : - **max** (L , E) , E >= N .

So now we know that **max** (L , E) holds for E=6 and L=[5,6].

Once again, we consider the element that precedes our current sublist L.

We have **max** ([7 , 5 , 6] , 7)

When the current maximum is not greater than the new element, the new element becomes the maximum

From this case we can write

Exercise 2: maximum element of a list

Recursive approach: we reach the end, then go back.

max ([E] , E) : - ! .

max ([N | L] , E) : - **max** (L , E) , E >= N .

So now we know that **max** (L , E) holds for E=6 and L=[5,6].

Once again, we consider the element that precedes our current sublist L.

We have **max** ([7 , 5 , 6] , 7)

When the current maximum is not greater than the new element, the new element becomes the maximum

From this case we can write

max ([N | L] , N) : - **max** (L , E) , E < N .

Exercise 2: maximum element of a list

Recursive approach: we reach the end, then go back.

max ([E] , E) : - ! .

max ([N|L] , E) : - **max** (L , E) , E >= N .

max ([N|L] , N) : - **max** (L , E) , E < N .

Try the query ?-**max** ([7 , 5 , 6] , X) .

Exercise 2: maximum element of a list

Recursive approach: we reach the end, then go back.

max ([E] , E) : - ! .

max ([N|L] , E) : - **max** (L , E) , E >= N , ! .

max ([N|L] , N) : - **max** (L , E) , E < N .

Once again, we need the CUT.

Exercise 2: maximum element of a list

Iterative approach: we evaluate every element while we reach the end.

For the iterative version we need to use a predicate with arity 3, so to “carry over” the temporary maximum.

`max ([N|L] , E) :- max (L, N, E) .`

At each step

- we analyze one element of the list
- we confirm or replace the temporary maximum N
- we move to examine the next element of the list.

Exercise 2: maximum element of a list

Iterative approach: we evaluate every element while we reach the end.

`max([N|L], E) :- max(L, N, E) .`

Final case: when we reach the final element of the list:

`max([], E, E) :- ! .`

In this approach we have two cases too: when the new element is bigger of the temporary maximum and when it is smaller.

Exercise 2: maximum element of a list

Iterative approach: we evaluate every element while we reach the end.

`max([N|L], E) :- max(L, N, E) .`

`max([], E, E) :- ! .`

It can be helpful to imagine the two scenarios with real cases.

Let's consider the lists [5,6,4] and [6,5,4]. In the first one we have

`max([5|[6,4]], X) :- max([6,4], 5, X) .`

In the next step we want to have `max([4], 6, X)`, so we need to define a rule that links this two steps.

`max([M|L], N, E) :- M >= N, max(L, M, E) , ! .`

Exercise 2: maximum element of a list

Iterative approach: we evaluate every element while we reach the end.

```
max ( [N|L] ,E) :- max (L,N,E) .
```

```
max ( [] ,E,E) :- ! .
```

```
max ( [M|L] ,N,E) :- M>=N, max (L,M,E) .
```

Similarly we in the opposite case

```
max ( [M|L] ,N,E) :- M<N, max (L,N,E) .
```

Exercise 2: maximum element of a list

Iterative approach: we evaluate every element while we reach the end.

Final program (adding a CUT!)

```
max ([N|L] ,E) :- max (L,N,E) .
```

```
max ([ ] ,E,E) :- ! .
```

```
max ([M|L] ,N,E) :- M>=N, max (L,M,E) , ! .
```

```
max ([M|L] ,N,E) :- M<N, max (L,N,E) .
```


Exercise 3

Given a list L1 and a integer number N, write a PROLOG predicate question1(L1, N, L2) where L2 must be the list of elements in L1 that are list with 2 positive value between 1 and 9 which sum is N.

Example:

```
?- question1 (
    [ [3,1], 5, [2,1,1], [3], [1,1,1], a, [2,2] ],
    4, L2) .
```

```
L2 = [[3,1], [2,2]]
```

Exercise 3

Solution:

```
question1([ ],_,[ ]).  
question1([[A,B]|R ], N, [[A,B]|S]) :-  
    A>=1, A=<9, B>=1, B=<9,  
    N is A + B, !,  
    question1( R,N,S ).  
question1([_|R], N,S ):- question1( R,N,S ).
```

Exercise 4

Write a Prolog predicate *consec* that given a list L and an element E, returns the element of L that follows E.

If E is the last element or it is not present in the list, the predicate must fail.

Example:

```
?- consec(3, [1,7,3,9,11],X) .
```

X=9

Exercise 4

```
consec(E1, [E1| [X|_]], X) :- !.  
consec(E1, [_|Tail], X) :- consec(E1, Tail, X) .
```

Exercise 5: position of element

Write a Prolog predicate **listPos** that given a list L and an element E, returns the position of the first occurrence of E inside L. The first element of the list is considered to be in position 0.

Example:

```
?- listPos([1,2,3,5,6,3], 3, X) .
```

X=2

What if we want the positions of all the occurrences of E?

Exercise 5: position of element

First occurrence:

```
listPos([X|_], X, 0) :- !.
```

```
listPos([_|T], X, Pos) :-
```

```
    listPos(T, X, Pos1),
```

```
    Pos is Pos1+1.
```

Exercise 5: position of element

All occurrences:

```
listPos([X|_], X, 0).
```

```
listPos([_|T], X, Pos) :-
```

```
    listPos(T, X, Pos1),
```

```
    Pos is Pos1+1.
```