Task 3 Report: Backtesting Random Forest-Based Trading Strategy

Objective:

To evaluate the performance of a trading strategy based on Random Forest model predictions against a simple buy-and-hold benchmark.

Methodology:

- Used Random Forest model's binary predictions (from Task 2) on test data to generate trading signals:
 - o **Buy (1)** if model predicts price increase next day.
 - Sell (0) otherwise (stay in cash).
- Calculated **strategy returns** as the product of next day log returns and predicted signal.
- Accounted for transaction costs of 0.1% on signal changes to simulate realistic trading expenses.
- Compared cumulative returns and risk-adjusted performance against a buy-and-hold strategy over the same period.

Results:

Metric	Value
Cumulative Return	+2.48%
Sharpe Ratio	1.49
Maximum Drawdown	-1.22%

The strategy generated a **positive cumulative return of 2.48%**, outperforming a passive buy-and-hold approach over the test period (exact buy-and-hold return not explicitly given but shown in plot).

- Sharpe Ratio of 1.49 indicates a reasonable risk-adjusted return, suggesting the strategy's returns compensate well for the risk taken.
- Maximum Drawdown of only -1.22% reflects controlled downside risk and better drawdown management compared to typical stock market behavior.

Insights:

- The model-based strategy shows promising risk-adjusted returns and better downside protection versus buy-and-hold, despite the underlying classification model's modest predictive power.
- Incorporation of transaction costs demonstrates the strategy remains viable after realistic trading frictions.
- Low drawdown indicates the strategy could be useful for risk-averse investors who prefer limited downside exposure.

Recommendations:

- Experiment with **more advanced models** (e.g., LSTM or XGBoost) and **ensemble strategies** to potentially improve prediction accuracy and trading performance.
- Consider dynamic transaction costs or slippage modeling for more robust backtesting.
- Extend the backtest to **longer time frames** and different market conditions to assess robustness.
- Analyze periods of underperformance to identify and mitigate risk factors.

VISUAL RESULTS:

