#### Memory Management: Introduction

Kenjiro Taura

2024/06/09

#### Contents

#### **Contents**

What is memory <i>management</i> ?	. 2
Data representation	. 6
Memory management in C/C++	18

# What is memory management?

#### Memory management in programming languages

- all data (integers, floating point numbers, strings, arrays, structs, ...) used in a program need a space (register or memory) to hold them
- desirably, programming languages should *manage* them on behalf of the programmer; i.e.,
  - when creating a new data, find an available space for it
  - retain the space as long as the data is still "in use"
  - reclaim/reuse the space when the data is "no longer used"

#### Memory management in programming languages

memory management is mainly about how to determine when the space (memory block) occupied by data can be safely reclaimed/reused

#### Approaches covered

- manual ... C, C++
- garbage collection (GC)
  - traversing GC ... Python, Java, Julia, Go, OCaml, etc.
  - reference counting ... Python, etc.
- Rust *ownership system* ... Rust

# **Data representation**


#### Data representation

- data in your program must be somehow represented and laid out in registers and/or memory
  - primitive data (booleans, characters, integers, floating point numbers, ...)
  - multiword data (structs),
  - dynamically-sized or large data (e.g., arrays and strings),
  - recursive data (lists, trees, graphs, etc.),
  - etc.


#### Two strategies

# immediate (unboxed) representation

registers or memory p 789




#### indirect (boxed) representation




#### Immediate (unboxed) representation

• typically used for small data that fit one or a few machine words (integers, floats, characters, small structs, etc.),




#### Immediate (unboxed) representation

- typically used for small data that fit one or a few machine words (integers, floats, characters, small structs, etc.),
- upon an assignment-like operation, the whole data gets copied (cheap as data are small)




#### Immediate (unboxed) representation

- typically used for small data that fit one or a few machine words (integers, floats, characters, small structs, etc.),
- upon an assignment-like operation, the whole data gets copied (cheap as data are small)



#### Indirect (boxed) representation


- used for all other data
  - dynamically-indexed (string, arrays, etc.)
  - dynamically-sized (string, arrays, etc.)
  - recursive data (list node, tree node, graph node, etc.)
  - large data




#### Assignment of indirectly represented data

- upon an assignment-like operation of indirectly represented data, there are two choices:
- 1. *copy-by-value*: allocates memory and copies the data
- 2. *copy-by-reference*: copies the address *(pointer)* and *shares* data in memory


# Copy-by-value




# Copy-by-value



### Copy-by-reference



### Copy-by-reference



#### Copy by-value vs. by-reference


• besides the cost of copy, it affects *behavior* (*semantics*) of *mutable* data

```
a = point(x: 10, y: 20)
b = a  # copy-by-value? or reference?
b.x = 100
print(a.x) # 10 if by-value, 100 if by-reference
```

• if the language spec says it should print 100 in this program, point objects should be copied by reference

#### A terminology note

- many programming languages employ this semantics for all mutable data and therefore implement them by copy-byreference
- we casually say such data is implemented by *pointer*



#### Why memory management is difficult at all?

- were there no data implemented by copy-by-reference, memory management problem would be largely non-existent
- : if all data were immediate or copied upon assignment
  - $\rightarrow$  two pointers never point to the same memory block
  - → if a pointer is gone (e.g., a pointer variable goes out of scope), the memory block it points to (and all data reachable from it) can be safely reclaimed

#### Why memory management is difficult at all?

- it is difficult precisely because some data are (*and must be*) implemented by copy-by-reference
  - ► ⇒ the same memory block may be pointed to by multiple references
  - ▶ ⇒ even if a pointer is gone, other pointers may still exist and data may still be used

# Memory management in C/C++

#### Three types of memory in C/C++

- global variables/arrays (defined at the toplevel)
- local variables/arrays (define inside a function)
- heap (malloc, new)

```
int g; int ga[10];
int foo() {
  int l; int la[10];
  int * a = &g;
  int * b = ga;
  int * c = &l;
  int * d = la;
  int * e = malloc(sizeof(int));
}
```

#### Lifetime

• *lifetime* of a memory block (variable, array, heap-allocated block) refers to the period in which it is valid (i.e., remembers the last-written data)

	starts	ends
global	when the program starts	when program ends
local	when a block starts	when a block ends
heap	malloc, new	free, delete

• note: the discussion below calls memory blocks *objects* 

#### What can go wrong in C/C++ (stack-allocated objects)

- unconditionally reclaimed when it goes out of scope
- yet there may be a pointer still pointing to it

```
node * foo() {
  node m = node("Mimura");
  node o = node("Ohtake");
  return &o; // m and o gone here
node * foo() {
  node m = node("Mimura");
  node * o = new node("Ohtake");
 o->friend = \&m;
  return o; // m gone here
```

#### What can go wrong in C/C++ (heap-allocated objects)

• lifetime ends with and only with free/delete by the programmer

```
node * foo() {
  node * m = new node("Mimura");
  node * o = m;
  delete m; // o still points to it
  ... o->name ...
node * foo() {
  node * m = new node("Mimura");
  node * o = new node("Ohtake");
  return o;
```