Garbage Collection: Basics

Kenjiro Taura

2024/06/09

Contents

Contents

Introduction	2
How GC basically works	6
The two major GC methods (traversing GC and reference counting)	9
Evaluating GCs	. 16
Two Types of Traversing Collectors	. 24

Introduction

Two ways memory management goes wrong

- **premature free:** reclaim/reuse space for data when it may still be used in future
- memory leak: do not reclaim/reuse space for date when it is no longer used


What is Garbage Collection (GC)?

- GC automates memory management, by identifying when data becomes "never used in future"
 - ▶ or, conversely, by identifying which data "may be" used in future

Data that "may be" used in future?

```
int * s, * t;
void h() { ... }
void g() {
  h();
  ... = p->x ... 
void f() {
  . . .
  g()
  \dots = q \rightarrow y \dots 
int main() {
  . . .
  f()
  ... = r -> z ...
```


- global variables
- local variables of active function calls (calls that have started but have not finished)
- and ...



Data that "may be" used in future?

```
int * s, * t;
void h() { ... }
void g() {
  h();
  ... = p->x ... 
void f() {
  g()
  \dots = q \rightarrow y \dots 
int main() {
  . . .
  f()
  ... = r -> z ...
```

- global variables
- local variables of active function calls (calls that have started but have not finished)
- objects reachable from them by pointers



How GC basically works

Terminologies and the basic principle

- *an object:* the unit of data subject to memory allocation/release (malloc in C; objects in Java; etc.)
- *the root:* objects accessible without traversing pointers, such as global variables and local variables of active function calls
- *(un)reachable objects:* objects (un)reachable from the root by traversing pointers
- *live objects*: objects that may be accessed in future
- *dead objects* or *garbage*: objects that are never accessed in future

Terminologies and the basic principle

- *collector*: the program (or the thread/process) doing GC
- *mutator*: the user program
 - very GC-centric terminology, viewing the user program as someone simply "mutating" the graph of objects

the basic principle of GC:

objects unreachable from the root are dead

The two major GC methods (traversing GC and reference counting)


The two major GC methods (1) — traversing GC

- simply traverses pointers from the root, to find (or *visit*) objects *reachable from the root*
- reclaim objects not visited
- two basic traversing methods
 - mark&sweep GC
 - copying GC


The two major GC methods (2) — reference counting (or RC)

- during execution, *maintain the number of pointers* pointing to each object *(reference count)*
- reclaim an object when its reference count drops to zero
 - : an object's reference count is zero \rightarrow it's unreachable from the root
- note: "GC" sometimes narrowly refers to the traversing GC only


traverse pointers from the root




traverse pointers from the root




traverse pointers from the root




traverse pointers from the root



traverse pointers from the root




- traverse pointers from the root
- when no more "visited →
 unvisited" pointers are found,
 objects that have not been visited
 are garbage




- each object has a *reference count (RC)*, the number of pointers referencing it
- update RCs during execution; e.g., upon p = q
 - ▶ the RC of the object p points to -= 1
 - ▶ the RC of the object q points to += 1


reclaim an object when its RC drops to zero




- reclaim an object when its RC drops to zero
- RCs of objects pointed to by the reclaimed object decrease, which may result in reclaiming them too




- reclaim an object when its RC drops to zero
- RCs of objects pointed to by the reclaimed object decrease, which may result in reclaiming them too



- reclaim an object when its RC drops to zero
- RCs of objects pointed to by the reclaimed object decrease, which may result in reclaiming them too



- reclaim an object when its RC drops to zero
- RCs of objects pointed to by the reclaimed object decrease, which may result in reclaiming them too



• *note*: unreachable cycles cannot be reclaimed (RC = $0 \Rightarrow$ unreachable, but *not vice versa*)

When an RC changes

- a pointer variable is updated
- a reference is passed to a function

- a variable goes out of scope or a function returns
- \approx any point when pointers get copied / dropped
- summary: expensive

```
p = q; p \rightarrow f = q; etc.
int main() {
  object * q = \dots;
  f(q); /* rc of q += 1 */
void f(object * p) {
    object * r = \dots;
  } /* RC of r -= 1 */
  . . .
  return ...; /* RC of p -= 1 */
```


Evaluating GCs

Evaluating GCs

- preciseness:
 - garbage that can be collected
- memory allocation cost:
 - the work (including GC) required to allocate memory
- pause time:
 - the (worst case) time the mutator has to (temporarily) suspend for GC to function
- mutator overhead:
 - the overhead imposed on the mutator for GC to function

Criteria 1: preciseness

- reference counting cannot reclaim cyclic garbage
- reference counting < traversing GC (better)



Criteria 2: memory allocation cost (details ahead)

- traversing GC:
 - determined by the ratio "reachable objects" / "unreachable (reclaimed)
 objects" (later)
 - ▶ an advanced technique: generational GC
- reference counting:
 - the cost of reclaiming an object once its RC drops to zero is small and constant
 - constant even if memory is scarce (good)

Criteria 3: pause time

- (better) reference counting < traversing GC
- traversing GC:
 - stop the user program while it is traversing live objects
 - traverse *all* live objects, *en masse*, and reclaim *all* unreached objects
 - why so? troubled if the mutator runs (= changes the graph of objects)
 during traversing
 - a solution: incremental GC
 - generational GCs mitigate it too
- reference counting:
 - when an object's RC drops to zero (as a result of mutator's action), it can be reclaimed *immediately*
 - reclaim garbage as they arise

Criteria 4: mutator overhead

- (better) traversing < reference counting
- reference counting has a large overhead for updating RCs
- e.g., object * p, * q; p = q;will perform: **if** (p) p->rc--; if (q) q->rc++; p = q;
- moreover,

Criteria 4: mutator overhead

- what if it is multithreaded?
- what if the counter overflows (how to check it)?
- techniques: deferred reference counting, sticky reference counting, 1 bit reference counting
- remark: some traversing GCs (e.g., generational and incremental) add overhead to pointer updates too

Summary

	traversing GC	reference counting
preciseness	+	
allocation cost	? (*)	?
pause time	- (†)	+
mutator overhead	+ (‡)	_

- (*) depends on size of reachable graph and memory; *generational* GC helps
- (†) incremental GC helps
- (‡) both *generational* and *incremental* GC impose some mutator overheads

Two Types of Traversing Collectors

mark&sweep GC vs. copying GC

they differ in what to do on reachable objects

- mark&sweep GC: mark them as "visited"
- copying GC: copy them into a distinct (contiguous) region


Mark&sweep GC


mark-phase:


- traverses objects from the root, marking encountered objects as visited
- maintains *mark stack*, the set of objects marked but whose children may have not been marked


• sweep phase:


- reclaims all memory blocks not visited in the mark phase
- free memory blocks are not contiguous, so must be managed by an appropriate data structure (*free lists*)

























Copying GC

- \approx copying a graph (possibly with merges and cycles)
- the crux : pointers to the same object must remain the same after copy
- *semi-space GC*: splits the available memory into equal-sized two spaces
 - when one space fills up, copy all reachable objects to the other


```
void *free, *scan;
void copy gc() {
free = scan = to space;
 redirect ptrs(root);
while (scan < free) {</pre>
  redirect ptrs(scan);
  scan += the size of object scan points to;
 swap to space and from space;
void redirect ptrs(void * o) {
for (f : pointer fields of o) {
 if ([o->f] has been copied) {
  o->f = [o->f]'s forward pointer;
 } else {
  copy [o->f] to to free;
   [o->f]'s forward pointer = free;
  o->f = free;
  free += the size of [o->f];
 } } }
```




```
void *free, *scan;
void copy gc() {
free = scan = to space;
 redirect ptrs(root);
while (scan < free) {
  redirect ptrs(scan);
  scan += the size of object scan points to;
 swap to space and from space;
void redirect ptrs(void * o) {
for (f : pointer fields of o) {
 if ([o->f] has been copied) {
  o->f = [o->f]'s forward pointer;
 } else {
  copy [o->f] to to free;
   [o->f]'s forward pointer = free;
  o->f = free;
  free += the size of [o->f];
 } } }
```




```
void *free, *scan;
void copy gc() {
free = scan = to space;
 redirect ptrs(root);
while (scan < free) {
  redirect ptrs(scan);
  scan += the size of object scan points to;
 swap to space and from space;
void redirect ptrs(void * o) {
for (f : pointer fields of o) {
 if ([o->f] has been copied) {
  o->f = [o->f]'s forward pointer;
 } else {
  copy [o->f] to to free;
   [o->f]'s forward pointer = free;
  o->f = free;
  free += the size of [o->f];
 } } }
```




```
void *free, *scan;
void copy gc() {
free = scan = to space;
 redirect ptrs(root);
while (scan < free) {
  redirect ptrs(scan);
  scan += the size of object scan points to;
 swap to space and from space;
void redirect ptrs(void * o) {
for (f : pointer fields of o) {
 if ([o->f] has been copied) {
  o->f = [o->f]'s forward pointer;
 } else {
  copy [o->f] to to free;
   [o->f]'s forward pointer = free;
  o->f = free;
  free += the size of [o->f];
 } } }
```




```
void *free, *scan;
void copy gc() {
free = scan = to space;
 redirect ptrs(root);
while (scan < free) {
  redirect ptrs(scan);
  scan += the size of object scan points to;
 swap to space and from space;
void redirect ptrs(void * o) {
for (f : pointer fields of o) {
 if ([o->f] has been copied) {
  o->f = [o->f]'s forward pointer;
 } else {
  copy [o->f] to to free;
   [o->f]'s forward pointer = free;
  o->f = free;
  free += the size of [o->f];
 } } }
```




```
void *free, *scan;
void copy gc() {
free = scan = to space;
 redirect ptrs(root);
while (scan < free) {</pre>
  redirect ptrs(scan);
  scan += the size of object scan points to;
 swap to space and from space;
void redirect ptrs(void * o) {
for (f : pointer fields of o) {
 if ([o->f] has been copied) {
  o->f = [o->f]'s forward pointer;
 } else {
  copy [o->f] to to free;
   [o->f]'s forward pointer = free;
  o->f = free;
  free += the size of [o->f];
 } } }
```



```
void *free, *scan;
void copy gc() {
free = scan = to space;
 redirect ptrs(root);
while (scan < free) {</pre>
  redirect ptrs(scan);
  scan += the size of object scan points to;
 swap to space and from space;
void redirect ptrs(void * o) {
for (f : pointer fields of o) {
 if ([o->f] has been copied) {
  o->f = [o->f]'s forward pointer;
 } else {
  copy [o->f] to to free;
   [o->f]'s forward pointer = free;
  o->f = free;
  free += the size of [o->f];
 } } }
```




```
void *free, *scan;
void copy gc() {
free = scan = to space;
 redirect ptrs(root);
while (scan < free) {</pre>
  redirect ptrs(scan);
  scan += the size of object scan points to;
 swap to space and from space;
void redirect ptrs(void * o) {
for (f : pointer fields of o) {
 if ([o->f] has been copied) {
  o->f = [o->f]'s forward pointer;
 } else {
  copy [o->f] to to free;
   [o->f]'s forward pointer = free;
  o->f = free;
  free += the size of [o->f];
 } } }
```




Simi-space copying GC: algorithm

- invariants
 - $p < \text{free} \Rightarrow p \text{ has been visited}$
 - $p < \text{scan} \Rightarrow p$ has been visited; so has its direct children
- area between scan and free serve a role similar to the mark stack



Simi-space copying GC: algorithm

- invariants
 - $p < \text{free} \Rightarrow p \text{ has been visited}$
 - $p < \text{scan} \Rightarrow p$ has been visited; so has its direct children
- area between scan and free serve a role similar to the mark stack



Mark&sweep vs. copying GC

- copying GC pros:
 - ▶ live objects occupy a contiguous region after a GC
 - ightharpoonup the free region becomes contiguous too
 - ► → the overhead for memory allocation is small (no need to "search" the free region)

Mark&sweep vs. copying GC

- copying GC cons:
 - copying is more expensive than marking, obviously
 - the free region must be reserved to accommodate objects copied (low memory utilization)
 - must ensure "size of objects that may be copied" ≤ "size of the region to copy them into"
 - \rightarrow "from space" = "to space" (semi-space)
 - pointers must be "precisely" distinguished from non-pointers (ambiguous pointers are not allowed)
 - − : pointers are updated to the destinations of copies
 - a disaster occurs if you update non-pointers