# Methodology

# **Summer 2017 Political Landscape Survey Phase A & B**

Prepared by Princeton Survey Research Associates International for the Pew Research Center

July 2017

#### **SUMMARY**

The Summer 2017 Political Landscape Survey Phase A & B, sponsored by the Pew Research Center, obtained telephone interviews with a nationally representative sample of 5,009 adults, age 18 or older, living in the United States. Interviews were conducted via landline ( $n_{LL}$ =1,255) and cell phone ( $n_{C}$ =3,754; including 2,257 without a landline phone). The survey was conducted by Princeton Survey Research Associates International (PSRAI). The interviews were administered in English and Spanish by Princeton Data Source from June 8 to 18, 2017 (Phase A) and June 27 to July 9, 2017 (Phase B). Statistical results are weighted to correct known demographic discrepancies. The margin of sampling error for the complete set of weighted data is  $\pm 1.6$  percentage points.

Details on the design, execution and analysis of the survey are discussed below.

### DESIGN AND DATA COLLECTION PROCEDURES

## Sample Design

A combination of landline and cellular random digit dial (RDD) samples was used to represent all adults in the United States who have access to either a landline or cellular telephone. Both samples were provided by Survey Sampling International, LLC (SSI) according to PSRAI specifications.

Numbers for the landline sample were drawn with equal probabilities from active blocks (area code + exchange + two-digit block number) that contained one or more residential directory listings. The cellular sample was not list-assisted, but was drawn through a systematic sampling from dedicated wireless 100-blocks and shared service 100-blocks with no directory-listed landline numbers.

#### **Contact Procedures**

Interviews were conducted in two phases. Phase A interviewing was done from June 8 to 18, 2017 and Phase B interviews were conducted from June 27 to July 9, 2017. Separate and independent samples were dialed for each phase. As many as 7 attempts were made to contact every sampled telephone number. Sample was released for interviewing in replicates, which are representative subsamples of the larger sample. Using replicates to control the release of sample ensures that complete call procedures are followed for the entire sample. Calls were staggered over times of day and days of the week to maximize the chance of contacting potential respondents. Interviewing was spread as evenly as possible across the days in field. When necessary, each telephone number was called at least one time during the day in an attempt to complete an interview.

For the landline sample, interviewers asked to speak with the youngest male or female currently at home based on a random rotation. If no male/female was available, interviewers asked to speak with the youngest adult of the other gender. This systematic respondent selection technique has been shown to produce samples that closely mirror the population in terms of age and gender when combined with cell interviewing. Prior to dialing, the landline samples were scrubbed of numbers that have been ported to wireless service by comparing the sample file to the most recently available Intermodal Ported Telephone Number Identification Service database.

For the cellular sample, interviews were conducted with the person who answered the phone. Interviewers verified that the person was an adult and in a safe place before administering the survey. Cellular respondents were offered a post-paid cash reimbursement for their participation.

#### WEIGHTING AND ANALYSIS

Weighting is generally used in survey analysis to compensate for sample designs and patterns of non-response that might bias results. Data for the two phases were weighted separately. The samples were weighted to match national adult general population parameters. A two-stage weighting procedure was used to weight these dual-frame samples.

The first stage of weighting corrected for different probabilities of selection associated with the number of adults in each household and each respondent's telephone usage patterns.<sup>1</sup> This weighting also adjusts for the overlapping landline and cell sample frames and the relative sizes of each frame and each sample.

i.e., whether respondents have only a landline telephone, only a cell phone, or both kinds of telephone.

The first-stage weight for the i<sup>th</sup> case can be expressed as:

$$WT_{i} = \left[ \left( \frac{S_{LL}}{F_{LL}} \times \frac{1}{AD_{i}} \times LL_{i} \right) + \left( \frac{S_{CP}}{F_{CP}} \times CP_{i} \right) - \left( \frac{S_{LL}}{F_{LL}} \times \frac{1}{AD_{i}} \times LL_{i} \times \frac{S_{CP}}{F_{CP}} \times CP_{i} \right) \right]^{-1}$$

Where  $S_{LL}$  = the size of the landline sample

 $F_{LL}$  = the size of the landline sample frame

 $S_{CP}$  = the size of the cell sample

 $F_{CP}$  = the size of the cell sample frame

AD<sub>i</sub> = Number of adults in household i

LL<sub>i</sub>=1 if respondent has a landline phone, otherwise LL=0.

CP<sub>i</sub>=1 if respondent has a cell phone, otherwise CP=0.

The second stage of weighting balances sample demographics to population parameters. The samples were balanced to match national population parameters for sex, age, education, race, Hispanic origin, region (U.S. Census definitions), population density, and telephone usage. The Hispanic origin was split out based on nativity; U.S. born and non-U.S. born. The White, non-Hispanic subgroup was also balanced on age, education and region.

The basic weighting parameters came from the U.S. Census Bureau's 2015 American Community Survey (ACS) data.<sup>2</sup> The population density parameter was derived from Census 2010 data. The telephone usage parameter came from an analysis of the July-December 2016 National Health Interview Survey.<sup>3</sup>

Weighting was accomplished using Sample Balancing, a special iterative sample weighting program that simultaneously balances the distributions of all variables using a statistical technique called the *Deming Algorithm*. Weights were trimmed to prevent individual interviews from having too much influence on the final results. The use of these weights in statistical analysis ensures that the demographic characteristics of the sample closely approximate the demographic characteristics of the national population. Table 1 compares weighted and unweighted sample distributions to population parameters for Phase A. Table 2 compares sample and population distributions for Phase B.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> ACS analysis was based on all adults excluding those living in institutional group quarters.

Blumberg SJ, Luke JV. Wireless substitution: Early release of estimates from the National Health Interview Survey, July-December, 2016. National Center for Health Statistics. May 2017.

**Table 1: Phase A Sample Demographics** 

| Table 1: Phase A Sample Demographics |                  |                   |          |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------|
|                                      | <u>Parameter</u> | <u>Unweighted</u> | Weighted |
| <u>Gender</u>                        |                  |                   |          |
| Male                                 | 48.3             | 54.1              | 49.6     |
| Female                               | 51.7             | 45.9              | 50.4     |
|                                      |                  |                   |          |
| <u>Age</u>                           |                  |                   |          |
| 18-24                                | 12.7             | 8.1               | 13.4     |
| 25-34                                | 17.6             | 14.0              | 17.0     |
| 35-44                                | 16.6             | 12.0              | 16.1     |
| 45-54                                | 17.5             | 16.7              | 17.6     |
| 55-64                                | 16.6             | 21.8              | 16.8     |
| 65+                                  | 19.0             | 27.4              | 19.1     |
|                                      |                  |                   |          |
| Education                            |                  |                   |          |
| HS Grad or less                      | 40.3             | 25.3              | 39.1     |
| Some College/Assoc Degree            | 31.3             | 26.4              | 31.6     |
| College Graduate                     | 28.4             | 48.3              | 29.3     |
| Sollogo Graduato                     | 20.1             | 10.0              | 20.0     |
| Race/Ethnicity                       |                  |                   |          |
| White/not Hispanic                   | 64.6             | 71.4              | 65.0     |
| Black/not Hispanic                   | 11.7             | 9.6               | 11.3     |
| Hisp - US born                       | 8.0              | 6.4               | 8.0      |
| Hisp - born outside                  | 7.5              | 5.4               | 7.3      |
| Other/not Hispanic                   | 8.2              | 7.1               | 8.3      |
| Other/not hispanic                   | 0.2              | 7.1               | 0.5      |
| Region                               |                  |                   |          |
| Northeast                            | 17.9             | 17.3              | 17.4     |
| Midwest                              | 21.1             | 22.0              | 21.5     |
| South                                | 37.4             | 37.7              | 37.9     |
| West                                 | 23.6             | 23.0              | 23.2     |
| West                                 | 23.0             | 23.0              | 23.2     |
| County Don Donaity                   |                  |                   |          |
| County Pop. Density<br>1 - Lowest    | 10.0             | 20.8              | 20.0     |
|                                      | 19.9             |                   | 20.0     |
| 2                                    | 20.0             | 19.6              | 20.3     |
| 3                                    | 20.1             | 20.6              | 20.1     |
| 4                                    | 20.0             | 21.2              | 19.7     |
| 5 - Highest                          | 20.0             | 17.8              | 19.9     |
| 11                                   |                  |                   |          |
| Household Phone Use                  |                  | 0.0               | 4.6      |
| LLO                                  | 5.3              | 2.2               | 4.2      |
| Dual                                 | 41.2             | 53.6              | 42.1     |
| CPO                                  | 53.5             | 44.3              | 53.6     |

Table 2: Phase B Sample Demographics

| Table 2: Phase B Sample Demographics |                  |                   |                 |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
|                                      | <u>Parameter</u> | <u>Unweighted</u> | <u>Weighted</u> |
| <u>Gender</u>                        |                  |                   |                 |
| Male                                 | 48.3             | 54.1              | 49.0            |
| Female                               | 51.7             | 45.9              | 51.0            |
|                                      |                  |                   |                 |
| <u>Age</u>                           |                  |                   |                 |
| 18-24                                | 12.7             | 7.4               | 12.1            |
| 25-34                                | 17.6             | 14.4              | 17.4            |
| 35-44                                | 16.6             | 13.4              | 16.8            |
| 45-54                                | 17.5             | 16.4              | 18.0            |
| 55-64                                | 16.6             | 19.8              | 16.8            |
| 65+                                  | 19.0             | 28.6              | 18.9            |
| 05+                                  | 19.0             | 20.0              | 10.9            |
| Education                            |                  |                   |                 |
| Education                            | 40.0             | 07.0              | 20.0            |
| HS Grad or less                      | 40.3             | 27.3              | 39.8            |
| Some College/Assoc Degree            | 31.3             | 25.5              | 31.4            |
| College Graduate                     | 28.4             | 47.3              | 28.9            |
|                                      |                  |                   |                 |
| Race/Ethnicity                       |                  |                   |                 |
| White/not Hispanic                   | 64.6             | 72.3              | 64.9            |
| Black/not Hispanic                   | 11.7             | 9.9               | 11.8            |
| Hisp - US born                       | 8.0              | 6.3               | 8.1             |
| Hisp - born outside                  | 7.5              | 5.1               | 7.5             |
| Other/not Hispanic                   | 8.2              | 6.3               | 7.8             |
|                                      |                  |                   |                 |
| <u>Region</u>                        |                  |                   |                 |
| Northeast                            | 17.9             | 15.9              | 17.8            |
| Midwest                              | 21.1             | 22.4              | 21.7            |
| South                                | 37.4             | 38.2              | 37.5            |
| West                                 | 23.6             | 23.4              | 23.1            |
|                                      |                  |                   |                 |
| County Pop. Density                  |                  |                   |                 |
| 1 - Lowest                           | 19.9             | 20.3              | 19.7            |
| 2                                    | 20.0             | 20.3              | 20.1            |
| 3                                    | 20.1             | 20.4              | 20.3            |
| 4                                    | 20.0             | 21.8              | 20.3            |
| 5 - Highest                          | 20.0             | 17.1              | 19.5            |
| 5 - Flighest                         | 20.0             | 17.1              | 19.5            |
| Household Phone Lies                 |                  |                   |                 |
| Household Phone Use<br>LLO           | 5.2              | 2.4               | 4.2             |
|                                      | 5.3              |                   |                 |
| Dual                                 | 41.2             | 51.8              | 41.9            |
| СРО                                  | 53.5             | 45.8              | 53.8            |

## **Effects of Sample Design on Statistical Inference**

Post-data collection statistical adjustments require analysis procedures that reflect departures from simple random sampling. PSRAI calculates the effects of these design features so that an appropriate adjustment can be incorporated into tests of statistical significance when using these data. The so-called "design effect" or *deff* represents the loss in statistical efficiency that results from systematic non-response. The total sample design effect for this survey is 1.38.

Table 3: Design Effects and Margins of Error

| Phase    | <u>n</u> | Design Effect | Margin of Error       |
|----------|----------|---------------|-----------------------|
| Α        | 2,504    | 1.40          | 2.3 percentage points |
| В        | 2,505    | 1.35          | 2.3 percentage points |
| Combined | 5,009    | 1.38          | 1.6 percentage points |

PSRAI calculates the composite design effect for a sample of size n, with each case having a weight,  $w_i$  as:

$$deff = \frac{n\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i^2}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i\right)^2}$$
 formula 1

In a wide range of situations, the adjusted *standard error* of a statistic should be calculated by multiplying the usual formula by the square root of the design effect ( $\sqrt{deff}$ ). Thus, the formula for computing the 95% confidence interval around a percentage is:

$$\hat{p} \pm \left(\sqrt{\text{deff}} \times 1.96\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}}\right)$$
 formula 2

where  $\hat{p}$  is the sample estimate and n is the unweighted number of sample cases in the group being considered.

The survey's *margin of error* is the largest 95% confidence interval for any estimated proportion based on the total sample— the one around 50%. For example, the margin of error for the combined sample is  $\pm 1.6$  percentage points. This means that in 95 out every 100 samples drawn using the same methodology, estimated proportions based on the entire sample will be no more than 1.6 percentage points away from their true values in the population. It is important to remember that sampling

fluctuations are only one possible source of error in a survey estimate. Other sources, such as respondent selection bias, questionnaire wording and reporting inaccuracy, may contribute additional error of greater or lesser magnitude.

## **RESPONSE RATE**

Table 4 reports the disposition of all sampled telephone numbers ever dialed from the original telephone number samples for Phase A. Table 5 reports the disposition for Phase B. The response rate estimates the fraction of all eligible sample that was ultimately interviewed. Response rates are computed according to American Association for Public Opinion Research standards.<sup>4</sup>

\_

The American Association for Public Opinion Research. 2016. Standard Definitions: Final Dispositions of Case Codes and Outcome Rates for Surveys. 9th edition. AAPOR.

**Table 4. Phase A Sample Disposition** 

| Landline | <u>Cell</u> |   |
|----------|-------------|---|
| 2,132    | 768         | Non-residential/Business (4.500)  |
| 1,779    |             | Ported numbers identified before dialing (4.420)  |
| 13       |             | Cell in landline frame (4.420)  |
| 3,924    | 768         | OF = Out of Frame   |
| 30,595   | 12,954      | Not working (4.300)   |
| 1,017    | 79          | Computer/fax/modem (4.200)  |
| 31,612   | 13,033      | NWC = Not working/computer  |
| 31,012   | 13,033      |   |
| 3,336    | 602         | NA/Busy all attempts (3.120, 3.130)   |
| 0        | 12,200      | VM not set up/caller out of range (3.100)   |
| 25       | 26          | On DNC list - not dialed (3.90)   |
| 3,361    | 12,828      | UHUO <sub>NC</sub> = Non-contact, unknown if household/unknown other                                  |
| 3,741    | 11,536      | Voice mail (3.140)  |
| 40       | 81          | Other non-contact (deaf/disabled/deceased) (3.211)  |
| 3,781    | 11,617      | UO <sub>NC</sub> = Non-contact, unknown eligibility   |
|          |             |   |
| 3,888    | 12,218      | Refusals (3.211)  |
| 148      | 1,202       | Callbacks (INCLUDE Spanish CBs) (3.211)   |
| 4,036    | 13,420      | UO <sub>R</sub> = Refusal, unknown if eligible  |
| 28       | 154         | O = Other (language) (3.211)  |
| 0        | 835         | Child's cell phone (4.700)  |
| 0        | 835         | SO = Screen out   |
|          |             |   |
| 193      | 468         | R = Refusal, known eligible (breakoffs and qualified CBs) (2.100)                                     |
| 628      | 1,876       | I = Completed interviews (1.0)  |
| 47,563   | 54,999      | T = Total numbers sampled   |
|          |             |   |
| 19.6%    | 67.3%       | e1 = $(I+R+SO+O+UO_R+UO_{NC})/(I+R+SO+O+UO_R+UO_{NC}+OF+NWC)$ - Est. frame eligibility of noncontacts |
| 100.0%   | 73.7%       | e2 = (I+R)/(I+R+SO) - Est. screening eligibility of unscreened contacts                               |
| 100.070  | , 3.770     | ez (, (   |
| 52.4%    | 45.3%       | $CON = [I + R + (e2*[O + UO_R])]/[I + R + (e2*[O + UO_R + UO_{NC}]) + (e1*e2*UHUO_{NC})]$             |
| 12.9%    | 15.2%       | $COOP = I/[I + R + (e2*[O + UO_R])]$  |
| 6.7%     | 6.9%        | AAPOR RR3=I/[I+R+[e2*( $UO_R+UO_{NC}+O$ )]+[e1*e2*UHUO <sub>NC</sub> ]] = CON*COOP                    |

**Table 5. Phase B Sample Disposition** 

| Table 5. Pha    | ise o sam   | ple Disposition  |
|-----------------|-------------|--|
| <u>Landline</u> | <u>Cell</u> |  |
| 1,957           | 708         | Non-residential/Business (4.500)   |
| 1,881           |             | Ported numbers identified before dialing (4.420)   |
| 15              |             | Cell in landline frame (4.420)   |
| 3,853           | 708         | OF = Out of Frame  |
| 28,948          | 13,711      | Not working (4.300)  |
| 1,001           | 82          | Computer/fax/modem (4.200)   |
| 29,949          | 13,793      | NWC = Not working/computer   |
| 23,343          | 13,733      |  |
| 3,353           | 50          | NA/Busy all attempts (3.120, 3.130)  |
| 0               | 11,045      | VM not set up/caller out of range (3.100)  |
| 21              | 21          | On DNC list - not dialed (3.90)  |
| 3,374           | 11,116      | UHUO <sub>NC</sub> = Non-contact, unknown if household/unknown other                           |
| 3,745           | 10,113      | Voice mail (3.140)   |
| 30              | 73          | Other non-contact (deaf/disabled/deceased) (3.211)   |
| 3,775           | 10,186      | UO <sub>NC</sub> = Non-contact, unknown eligibility  |
|                 | ·           |  |
| 3,768           | 12,112      | Refusals (3.211)   |
| 152             | 1,218       | Callbacks (INCLUDE Spanish CBs) (3.211)  |
| 3,920           | 13,330      | UO <sub>R</sub> = Refusal, unknown if eligible   |
| 22              | 158         | O = Other (language) (3.211)   |
|                 | 828         | Child's cell phone (4.700)   |
|                 | 828         | SO = Screen out  |
| 450             | 402         |  |
| 159             | 403         | R = Refusal, known eligible (breakoffs and qualified CBs) (2.100)                              |
| 627             | 1,878       | I = Completed interviews (1.0)   |
| 45,679          | 52,400      | T = Total numbers sampled  |
|                 |             | e1 = $(I+R+SO+O+UO_R+UO_{NC})/(I+R+SO+O+UO_R+UO_{NC}+OF+NWC)$ - Est. frame eligibility of non- |
| 20.1%           | 64.9%       | contacts   |
| 100.0%          | 73.4%       | e2 = (I+R)/(I+R+SO) - Est. screening eligibility of unscreened contacts                        |
|                 |             |  |
| 51.5%           | 48.8%       | $CON = [I + R + (e2*[O + UO_R])]/[I + R + (e2*[O + UO_R + UO_{NC}]) + (e1*e2*UHUO_{NC})]$      |
| 13.3%           | 15.4%       | $COOP = I/[I + R + (e2*[O + UO_R])]$   |
| 6.8%            | 7.5%        | AAPOR RR3= $I/[I+R+[e2*(UO_R+UO_{NC}+O)]+[e1*e2*UHUO_{NC}]] = CON*COOP$                        |