#### Received

Your abstract/proposal submission has been received. Thank you.

Print Print this page now.

You have submitted the following abstract/proposal to the 2018 Alzheimer's Association International Conference. Receipt of this notice does not guarantee that your submission was complete, free of errors, or accepted for

A Frailty Index Based on Routinely Collected Laboratory Safety Data Predicts Adverse Events in a Sex-Specific Manner in Clinical Trial Settings

Taylor Dunn, MSc<sup>1</sup>, Justin Stanley, BSc<sup>1</sup>, Susan E Howlett, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Arnold Mitnitski, PhD<sup>1</sup> and **Kenneth Rockwood, MD**<sup>2</sup>, (1)DGI Clinical Inc., Halifax, NS, Canada, (2)Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada

#### Abstract Text:

Background: Most clinical drug trials aim to exclude frail older adults, so whether they affect the results of such trials is unclear. Our group has shown that frailty can be quantified in outpatients with a frailty index (FI) based the accumulation of deficits in routine blood work and vital signs (FILAB). Our objectives were: 1. To explore sex differences in frailty using laboratory/safety data from the Coalition on Major Diseases (CAMD) database. 2. To evaluate the links between frailty and adverse events. Methods: Subjects (n=6351) were 74.0 ± 8.7 years old (56.4% female). The FI<sub>LAB</sub> was constructed from results of between 22 and 48 standard laboratory tests (e.g. red cell, white cell & platelet counts, liver, kidney & thyroid function) including heart rate and blood pressure. Results within the trial reference normal range were scored as 0; values outside these ranges were scored as 1. Individual deficits were summed and divided by the number measured to yield an FI between 0-1. Results: Mean ( $\pm$ SD) FI<sub>LAB</sub> scores were 0.104  $\pm$  0.077 (n=6381; range=0-0.560) and increased with age. The mean FI for males was 0.107 ± 0.081 (n=3584; range=0-0.560), which was significantly higher than values in females  $(0.101 \pm 0.075; n=2767; range=0-0.536; t=6.52, p<0.001)$ . Fl<sub>LAB</sub> scores increased with age more steeply in males than in females. A cross-over was observed at age 65, after which age-specific mean FI scores were significantly higher in males. The number of adverse events had no association with age for either sex. The number of severe adverse events increased with increasing FI<sub>LAB</sub> scores for both males (r=0.071, p<0.001) and females (r=0.072, p<0.001). Conclusions: In CAMD, males had significantly higher FI<sub>LAB</sub> scores than did females. These findings contrast with the morbidity-mortality paradox seen with clinically-derived FI scores in community samples. There, females have higher mean FI scores, but lower FI lethality. Our data support the hypotheses that cellular and sub-cellular deficits scale up to promote frailty at the organ/organism levels and that males are more susceptible to their adverse effects, including disease risk and expression and death. Approaches to understanding of frailty, even in highly screened clinical trials, are needed.

A Frailty Index Based on Routinely Collected Laboratory Safety Data Predicts Adverse Events in a Sex-Specific Manner in

#### Submitter's F-mail Address:

taylor@dgiclinical.com

## Electronic Signature:

Taylor Dunn

#### **Dual Submission Rules:**

I acknowledge that I cannot also submit this abstract for oral presentation consideration at the Alzheimer's Imaging Consortium (AIC).

### **Preferred Presentation Format:**

Was this research funded by an Alzheimer's Association grant?

#### **Abstract Submission Affirmations:**

I agree to the Abstract Submission Affirmations.

Do you plan to upload figures or tables to supplement your abstract text?

No

# Theme:

Therapeutics

# Topic:

Sub Topic:

Novel outcomes measures

#### Learning Objectives:

- Investigate sex differences in frailty using laboratory/safety data
- Evaluate the links between frailty and adverse events.

## Keywords:

clinical trials and outcomes

## Fellowship:

#### First Author

Taylor Dunn, MSc

Email: taylor@dgiclinical.com

DGI Clinical Inc. Halifax NS B3J 3N9 Canada

#### Second Author

Justin Stanley, BSc **Email:** justin@dgiclinical.com

DGI Clinical Inc. Halifax NS B3J 3N9 Canada

#### Third Author

Susan Howlett, PhD **Email:** Susan.Howlett@dal.ca

DGI Clinical Inc. Halifax NS B3J 3N9 Canada

### Fourth Author

Arnold Mitnitski, PhD **Email:** Arnold.Mitnitski@Dal.Ca

DGI Clinical Inc. Halifax NS Canada

### Fifth Presenting Author

## Presenting Author

Kenneth Rockwood, MD **Email:** ken@dgiclinical.com

Dalhousie University Halifax NS B3H 2E1

# Any relevant financial relationships? Yes

Organization Name	Relationship	Funds paid to
DGI Clinical, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada	Ownership Interest	Self

Signed on 01/29/2018 by Kenneth Rockwood

If necessary, you can make changes to your abstract/proposal between now and the deadline. View <a href="https://www.alz.org/aaic">www.alz.org/aaic</a> for additional conference information.

- To access your submission in the future, use the direct link to your abstract/proposal submission from one of the automatic confirmation emails that were sent to you during the submission.
- Or point your browser to <a href="http://alz.confex.com/alz/reminder.cgi">http://alz.confex.com/alz/reminder.cgi</a> to have that URL mailed to you again. Your username/password are 24769/834585.

Any changes that you make will be reflected instantly in what is seen by the reviewers. You DO NOT need to go through all of the submission steps in order to change one thing. If you want to change the title, for example, just click "Title" in the abstract/proposal control panel and submit the new title.

When you have completed your submission, you may close this browser window.

Tell us what you think of the abstract/proposal submittal

Home Page