On the Geometry of Time: A Time-Independent Geometry of Relativity and Statistical Mechanics

Taylor Metz, CPA*

July 4, 2025
Version 0.1.1 ALPHA - Conceptual Draft

Abstract

This paper introduces a comprehensive set of interconnected theoretical frameworks that propose a fundamental re-conceptualization of space, time, energy, and causality. We begin by positing a **Tripolar Field Spacetime Model**, wherein the three spatial dimensions are constituted by the orthogonal field modes of Gravity (**G**), Electrism (**E**), and Magnetism (**B**). From the dynamic interplay of these fields, time emerges not as a fundamental coordinate but as a thermodynamic property. Building on this, a **Discrete Light-Sphere Framework** is developed, which spatializes energy by normalizing time into discrete one-second intervals, defining energy quanta within spherical shells of radius $r = c$. Subsequently, a **Logarithmic Time Gauge Theory** is presented, which leverages financial analogies to define mass and charge as emergent properties governed by a principle of logarithmic time-invariance, resulting in a pure gauge field. We then propose a **Unified Causal-Statistical Framework** that provides a geometric interpretation of statistical measures, uniting covariance, correlation, and causation within a single tensor and resolving the James-Stein paradox through the lens of information geometry. Finally, we demonstrate **Symmetric Angular Preservation in Linear Regression** via nonlinear harmonic curvature, showing how regression can be reinterpreted as a tangent-line harmonic bisector with angular symmetry. Collectively, these frameworks offer a unified, time-independent geometry for relativity, quantum mechanics, and statistical mechanics.

1 Introduction

The quest for a unified theory of physics has long sought to reconcile the fundamental forces and provide a coherent framework for understanding the nature of spacetime, matter, and energy. This paper presents a novel approach that challenges conventional notions of space and time by proposing that spatial dimensions are fundamentally constituted by field modes, while time emerges as a thermodynamic property. We further extend this geometric perspective to statistical mechanics and causal inference, creating a unified mathematical framework.

*Independent Researcher. Email:

2 Fundamental Spacetime Geometry

2.1 The Tripolar Field Spacetime Model

2.1.1 Postulate: The Field-Basis of Space

Postulate 1. *The three dimensions of Euclidean space are not abstract axes but are fundamentally constituted by three orthogonal and interacting field modes:*

1. ***Gravity* ($\mathbf{G}$)*:*** *A convergent, attractive field mode*
2. ***Electric Field* ($\mathbf{E}$)*:*** *A divergent, repulsive field mode*
3. ***Magnetic Field* ($\mathbf{B}$)*:*** *A rotational, invariant field mode*

The basis vectors of space, $\hat{x}$, $\hat{y}$, $\hat{z}$, can thus be mapped to these fields, forming a physical, orthogonal basis $\{\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}\}$.

2.1.2 Emergent Time

Time, t, is hypothesized to be a non-fundamental, emergent property derived from the thermodynamic balance of the **G**-**E**-**B** fields. Its rate of passage is variable and dependent on the local field dominance. We propose two functional dependencies for the local time interval:

$$t \propto \left(\sin\left(\frac{v}{d}\right)\right)^{-1} \tag{1}$$

where v is local velocity and d is a characteristic distance, and

$$t \propto \cos\left(\frac{A}{\lambda f}\right) \tag{2}$$

where A is wave amplitude, λ is wavelength, and f is frequency. These relations imply that time dilates in gravity-dominant regions (low v/d) and contracts in electromagnetically active regions.

2.1.3 Field Manifolds and Geometric Dualities

We model the Tripolar Field Spacetime as a differentiable manifold $\mathcal{M}$ whose tangent space is spanned by $\{\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}\}$. Each defines a principal direction of curvature. Let $\phi : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be a smooth embedding:

$$\phi(p) = (g(p), e(p), b(p)) \tag{3}$$

We define the Riemannian metric as:

$$g_{ij} = \alpha G_i G_j + \beta E_i E_j + \gamma B_i B_j \tag{4}$$

Time emerges as a foliation $\mathscr{F}_t$ of $\mathcal{M}$:

$$\mathcal{M} = \bigcup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \Sigma_t, \quad \text{with } \Sigma_t \cong \mathbb{R}^3 \tag{5}$$

We lift $\mathcal{M}$ to a principal fiber bundle $P(\mathcal{M}, G)$. The curvature 2-form vanishes:

$$F = dA + A \wedge A = 0 \tag{6}$$

A local potential $V(\phi)$ selects preferred field directions:

$$V(\phi) = \lambda(|\phi|^2 - v^2)^2 \tag{7}$$

This induces spontaneous symmetry breaking and local field dominance.
We reinterpret Einstein's equations in terms of the tripolar field geometry:

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu} = \kappa T^{(GEB)}_{\mu\nu} \tag{8}$$

where $T^{(GEB)}_{\mu\nu}$ is derived from the variational action of $\mathcal{L}_{GEB}$.

2.2 The Discrete Light-Sphere Framework

2.2.1 Spatialization of Energy via Time Discretization

To analyze energy propagation, we normalize time into discrete intervals, $\Delta t = 1$ second. This allows for the direct spatialization of velocity, where a velocity v in m/s corresponds to a spatial displacement $v \cdot \Delta t$ in meters. For the speed of light, c, this defines a constant radius of a spherical shell:

$$r_c = c \cdot \Delta t = 299{,}792{,}458 \text{ m} \tag{9}$$

At each discrete time interval, a spherical shell of this radius is generated.

2.2.2 Discrete Energy Quanta

The energy contained within each light-sphere is reformulated. The relativistic energy expression $E = mc^2$ is interpreted within a single time interval, yielding an energy quantum with units of action or angular momentum squared:

$$E_{[1s]} = m \cdot r_c^2 \quad [\text{units: kg}\cdot\text{m}^2] \tag{10}$$

This suggests that energy is spatialized and exists in discrete quanta per light-sphere.

2.2.3 Photon Path Geometry in the Complex Plane

The path of a photon can be represented geometrically as an isosceles triangle. In the complex plane, this corresponds to three points $\{X, Y, Z\}$ on the unit circle, which satisfy the cubic identity:

$$X^3 + Y^3 + Z^3 = 3XYZ \tag{11}$$

This identity provides a geometric constraint on the propagation of light within the discrete framework.

2.3 A Logarithmic Time Gauge Theory

2.3.1 Financial Analogies and Log-Time Symmetry

We introduce a gauge theory where physical properties are defined by analogy to financial metrics. A key parameter is the logarithmic time symmetry parameter, τ, defined as:

$$\tau = \ln\left(\frac{\text{Rolling}_{12}}{\text{FYTD}}\right) \tag{12}$$

where Rolling_{12} is a 12-month rolling measurement and FYTD is the fiscal-year-to-date measurement. This parameter captures the principle of time-invariance at different scales.

2.3.2 Emergent Mass and Charge

Within this theory, mass and charge are not fundamental but are emergent:

- **Charge (q):** Defined as a spinor orientation relative to the magnetic momentum, with values $q \in \{+1, -1\}$
- **Effective Mass (M):** Defined as the rate of change of momentum $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{v}$ with respect to velocity:

$$M \equiv \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{d\mathbf{v}} = \frac{d}{d\mathbf{v}}(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{v}) \tag{13}$$

2.3.3 The Pure Gauge Field

The definition of charge leads to a profound symmetry. The logarithm of the squared charge is always zero:

$$\ln(q^2) = \ln((\pm 1)^2) = \ln(1) = 0 \tag{14}$$

This implies that all charge states are gauge-equivalent, requiring no energy to transition between them. This symmetry dictates that the field strength tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$ must be zero:

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu = 0 \tag{15}$$

The Lagrangian of the system simplifies to a pure phase interaction, where θ is a phase field:

$$\mathcal{L} = q\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu(\partial_\mu\theta)\psi \tag{16}$$

This suggests that all fundamental interactions are encoded as geometric phase shifts.

3 Statistical and Causal Geometry

3.1 A Unified Causal-Statistical Framework

3.1.1 The Unified Interaction Tensor

We propose that the statistical relationship between two variables, X and Y, can be fully described by a Unified Interaction Tensor, T_{ij}, building on the principles of graphical models and information geometry:

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} \mathrm{Cov}(X,X) & \mathrm{Cov}(X,Y) \\ \mathrm{Cov}(Y,X) & \mathrm{Cov}(Y,Y) \end{bmatrix} \oplus \begin{bmatrix} \rho(X,Y) \\ C(X \to Y) \end{bmatrix} \tag{17}$$

where $\mathrm{Cov}(X,Y)$ is the covariance, $\rho(X,Y)$ is the Pearson correlation coefficient, and $C(X \to Y)$ is the causal influence of X on Y.

3.1.2 The Inverse-Time Causality Principle

We define causality as the reciprocal of the rate of change of a "statistical flow function" $F(t)$, which measures the information flow between variables over time:

$$C(X \to Y) := \frac{dt}{dF} = \dot{F}^{-1} \tag{18}$$

This establishes a duality between forward statistical evolution (prediction) and backward causal inference.

3.1.3 Resolution of the James-Stein Paradox

The James-Stein paradox, where a combined estimator for the means of several independent Gaussian random variables can outperform individual estimators, is resolved within our framework. The paradox arises from assuming the variables are statistically isolated. We posit that the variables share a common geometric structure on an underlying statistical manifold. The James-Stein estimator implicitly leverages this shared geometry, and its superior performance is a natural consequence of this unified structure, not a paradox.

3.2 Symmetric Angular Preservation in Linear Regression

3.2.1 Symmetric Geometry of Linear Regression

In standard linear regression, we define the least-squares line as:

$$y = mx + d \tag{19}$$

However, by symmetry on the x-y plane, we may equivalently consider:

$$x = ly + a \tag{20}$$

We define a relationship between l and m as:

$$l = 1 - m \tag{21}$$

This ensures that when $m = 1$, we recover $l = 0$, implying perfect angular bisecting alignment. The 45-degree angle condition is associated with fundamental identities:

$$\tan(45) = 1, \quad \cos(45) = \sin(45) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \approx 0.707 \tag{22}$$

This gives the unit circle identity $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ when $x = \cos\theta$, $y = \sin\theta$, and $\theta = 45$.

3.2.2 Nonlinear Differential Correction

To incorporate curvature and angular momentum, we define the nonlinear correction using a second-order differential equation:

$$\frac{y''}{y'} - \frac{y'}{y} = \ln y \tag{23}$$

Let $u = y' = \frac{dy}{dx}$. Then $y'' = \frac{du}{dx}$, and by the chain rule, $\frac{du}{dx} = u\frac{du}{dy}$. Substituting:

$$\frac{du}{dy} - \frac{u}{y} = \ln y \tag{24}$$

This is a first-order linear ODE with integrating factor $\mu(y) = \frac{1}{y}$. The solution yields:

$$\frac{u}{y} = \frac{1}{2}(\ln y)^2 + C_1 \tag{25}$$

Hence:

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = y\left[\frac{1}{2}(\ln y)^2 + C_1\right] \tag{26}$$

3.2.3 Closed-Form Harmonic Regression

We propose and verify a closed-form solution:

$$y(x) = \exp(2A\tan(A(x + B))) \tag{27}$$

where A and B are constants.

Theorem 1 (Verification of Harmonic Regression Solution)**.** *The function $y(x) = \exp(2A\tan(A(x+B)))$ satisfies the differential equation $\frac{y''}{y'} - \frac{y'}{y} = \ln y$.*

Proof. The right-hand side gives:

$$\text{RHS} = \ln y = 2A\tan(A(x + B)) \tag{28}$$

Computing the derivatives:

$$y' = 2A^2 y \sec^2(A(x+B)) \tag{29}$$
$$\frac{y'}{y} = 2A^2 \sec^2(A(x+B)) \tag{30}$$

$$y'' = 2A^2 y' \sec^2(A(x+B)) + 4A^3 y \sec^2(A(x+B)) \tan(A(x+B)) \tag{31}$$
$$\frac{y''}{y'} = 2A^2 \sec^2(A(x+B)) + 2A \tan(A(x+B)) \tag{32}$$

Therefore:

$$\text{LHS} = \frac{y''}{y'} - \frac{y'}{y} = 2A \tan(A(x+B)) = \text{RHS} \quad \checkmark \tag{33}$$

□

This construction shows that linear regression admits a natural geometric interpretation where the regression lines bisect each other at optimal angles. The resulting function $y = \exp(2A \tan(A(x+B)))$ acts as a harmonic correction that preserves angular momentum and geometric symmetry.

4 Unified Conclusions

4.1 Synthesis and Implications

The presented frameworks collectively offer a unified, time-independent geometry for physics and statistics:

1. **Space-Time Unification:** The Tripolar Field Model provides a physical basis for spatial dimensions while making time emergent from field interactions.

2. **Energy Discretization:** The Light-Sphere Framework spatializes energy and provides discrete quantum units within geometric shells.

3. **Gauge Simplification:** The Logarithmic Time Gauge Theory reduces all interactions to pure phase relationships, eliminating field strength tensors.

4. **Statistical Geometry:** The Causal-Statistical Framework unifies correlation, covariance, and causation within tensor geometry while resolving classical paradoxes.

5. **Regression Harmonics:** The angular preservation in regression demonstrates how statistical fitting naturally respects geometric symmetries through harmonic corrections.

4.2 Testable Predictions

This unified framework suggests several testable predictions:

- Time dilation effects should correlate with local field dominance ratios
- Energy measurements should show discrete quantization at light-sphere boundaries
- Statistical correlations should exhibit geometric constraints on information manifolds
- Regression residuals should follow harmonic patterns related to angular symmetries

4.3 Future Directions

The geometric approach opens pathways for:

- Unifying general relativity with quantum mechanics through field-based spacetime
- Developing new statistical methods based on information geometry
- Creating predictive models that respect both causal and geometric constraints
- Exploring connections between financial time series and physical symmetries

By positing that space, time, energy, mass, charge, and statistical relationships are all emergent properties of a more fundamental geometric reality, this work provides a potential pathway toward the grand unification of physics and establishes a geometric foundation for causal and statistical inference.

Acknowledgments

The author thanks the independent research community for valuable discussions and feedback during the development of these theoretical frameworks.

A Mathematical Details

A.1 Independence of Integration Constants

In the regression derivation, two constants C_1 and D arise from separate integrations:

- C_1: Arises from integration with respect to y, representing a shape parameter that controls curve aggressiveness
- D: Arises from integration with respect to x, representing a position parameter that controls horizontal translation

These constants are fundamentally independent because they arise from orthogonal integration operations and together uniquely specify solution curves from the infinite family satisfying the differential equation.

A.2 Dimensional Analysis

The energy quantum formulation $E_{[1s]} = m \cdot r_c^2$ has dimensions $[\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^2]$, which corresponds to action or angular momentum squared. This suggests a fundamental connection between energy, spatial geometry, and rotational invariance within the discrete time framework.

A.3 Field Symmetries

The tripolar field basis $\{\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}\}$ exhibits the following symmetries:

- **G**: Radial convergence (spherical symmetry)
- **E**: Radial divergence (spherical antisymmetry)
- **B**: Rotational invariance (cylindrical symmetry)

These symmetries naturally generate the three-dimensional space while maintaining orthogonality and providing a physical interpretation for coordinate transformations.

References

[1] Ay, N., Jost, J., Lê, H. V., & Schwachhöfer, L. (2017). *Information Geometry*. Springer.

[2] Barndorff-Nielsen, O. E. (1978). *Information and Exponential Families*. John Wiley & Sons.

[3] Brown, L. D. (1971). Admissible estimators, recurrent diffusions, and insoluble boundary value problems. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 42(3), 855-903.

[4] Csiszár, I., & Shields, P. (2004). Information theory and statistics: A tutorial. *Foundations and Trends in Communications and Information Theory*, 1(4), 417-528.

[5] Dawid, A. P. (2000). Causal inference without counterfactuals. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 95(450), 407-424.

[6] Efron, B., & Morris, C. (1973). Stein's estimation rule and its competitors—an empirical Bayes approach. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 68(341), 117-130.

[7] Lauritzen, S. L. (1996). *Graphical Models*. Oxford University Press.

[8] Nielsen, F., & Barbaresco, F. (Eds.). (2013). *Geometric Science of Information*. Springer.

[9] Spirtes, P., Glymour, C., & Scheines, R. (2000). *Causation, Prediction, and Search* (2nd ed.). MIT Press.