

**CS 343 Fall 2018 – Assignment 1**  
**Instructor: Peter Buhr**  
**Due Date: Monday, September 24, 2018 at 22:00**  
**Late Date: Wednesday, September 26, 2018 at 22:00**

September 14, 2018

This assignment introduces exception handling and coroutines in  $\mu$ C++. Use it to become familiar with these new facilities, and ensure you use these concepts in your assignment solution, i.e., writing a C-style solution for questions is unacceptable, and will receive little or no marks. (You may freely use the code from these [example programs](#).)

1. (a) Except for the code handling the command-line arguments, transform the C++ program in Figure 1 replacing **throw/catch** with:
  - i. C++ program using global status-flag variables. The return type of routines may NOT be changed to have return codes.
  - ii. C++ program using a C++17 variant return-type as return codes.  
It is possible to use inheritance so that adding more nested calls with errors results in an  $O(N)$  versus  $O(N^2)$  number of program changes.
  - iii. C program using a tagged **union** return-type as return codes.

Output from the transformed programs must be identical to the original program.

- (b)
    - i. Compare the original and transformed programs with respect to performance by doing the following:
      - Time the executions using the time command:

```
$ /usr/bin/time -f "%Uu %Ss %E" ./a.out 100000000 10000 1003
3.21u 0.02s 0:03.32
```

(Output from time differs depending on the shell, so use the system time command.) Compare the *user* time (3.21u) only, which is the CPU time consumed solely by the execution of user code (versus system and real time).
      - If necessary, change the first command-line parameter times to adjust program execution into the range 1 to 100 seconds. (Timing results below 1 second are inaccurate.) Use the same command-line values for all experiments, if possible; otherwise, increase/decrease the arguments as necessary and scale the difference in the answer.
      - Run the experiments again after recompiling the programs with compiler optimization turned on (i.e., compiler flag `-O2`).
      - Include 8 timing results to validate the experiments.
    - ii. State the performance difference (larger/smaller/by how much) between the original and transformed programs, and what caused the difference.
    - iii. State the performance difference (larger/smaller/by how much) between the original and transformed programs when compiler optimization is used.
  - (c)
    - i. Run a similar experiment with compiler optimization turned on but vary the second command-line parameter eperiod with values 1000, 100, and 50.
      - Include 12 timing results to validate the experiments.
    - ii. State the performance difference (larger/smaller/by how much) between the original and transformed programs as the error period decreases, and what caused the difference.
2. (a) Except for the code handling the command-line arguments, transform the C++ program in Figure 2, p. 3 replacing **throw/catch** with `longjmp/setjmp`. No additional parameters may be added to routine Ackermann. No dynamic allocation is allowed, but creation of a global variable is allowed. Note, type `jmp_buf` is an

```

#include <iostream>
#include <cstdlib> // access: rand, srand
#include <cstring> // access: strcmp
using namespace std;
#include <unistd.h> // access: getpid

struct Er1 { short int code; };
struct Er2 { int code; };
struct Er3 { long int code; };

int eperiod = 10000; // error period

double rtn1( double i ) {
    if ( rand() % eperiod == 0 ) throw Er1{ (short int)rand() };
    return i;
}
double rtn2( double i ) {
    if ( rand() % eperiod == 0 ) throw Er2{ rand() };
    return rtn1( i ) + i;
}
double rtn3( double i ) {
    if ( rand() % eperiod == 0 ) throw Er3{ rand() };
    return rtn2( i ) + i;
}
int main( int argc, char * argv[] ) {
    int times = 100000000, seed = getpid(); // default values
    try {
        switch ( argc ) {
            case 4: if ( strcmp( argv[3], "d" ) != 0 ) { // default ?
                    seed = stoi( argv[3] ); if ( seed <= 0 ) throw 1;
                } // if
            case 3: if ( strcmp( argv[2], "d" ) != 0 ) { // default ?
                    eperiod = stoi( argv[2] ); if ( eperiod <= 0 ) throw 1;
                } // if
            case 2: if ( strcmp( argv[1], "d" ) != 0 ) { // default ?
                    times = stoi( argv[1] ); if ( times <= 0 ) throw 1;
                } // if
            case 1: break; // use all defaults
            default: throw 1;
        } // switch
    } catch( ... ) {
        cerr << "Usage: " << argv[0] << " [ times > 0 | d [ eperiod > 0 | d [ seed > 0 ] ] ]" << endl;
        exit( EXIT_FAILURE );
    } // try
    srand( seed );

    double rv = 0.0;
    int ev1 = 0, ev2 = 0, ev3 = 0;
    int rc = 0, ec1 = 0, ec2 = 0, ec3 = 0;

    for ( int i = 0; i < times; i += 1 ) {
        try { rv += rtn3( i ); rc += 1; }
        // analyse error
        catch( Er1 ev ) { ev1 += ev.code; ec1 += 1; }
        catch( Er2 ev ) { ev2 += ev.code; ec2 += 1; }
        catch( Er3 ev ) { ev3 += ev.code; ec3 += 1; }
    } // for
    cout << "normal result " << rv << " exception results " << ev1 << ' ' << ev2 << ' ' << ev3 << endl;
    cout << "calls " << rc << " exceptions " << ec1 << ' ' << ec2 << ' ' << ec3 << endl;
}

```

Figure 1: Dynamic Multi-Level Exit

```

#include <iostream>
#include <cstdlib> // access: rand, srand
#include <cstring> // access: strcmp
using namespace std;
#include <unistd.h> // access: getpid
#ifdef NOOUTPUT
#define PRT( stmt )
#else
#define PRT( stmt ) stmt
#endif // NOOUTPUT
struct E {}; // exception type
PRT( struct T { ~T() { cout << "~"; } }; )
long int eperiod = 100, excepts = 0, calls = 0; // exception period

long int Ackermann( long int m, long int n ) {
    calls += 1;
    if ( m == 0 ) {
        if ( rand() % eperiod == 0 ) { PRT( T t; ) excepts += 1; throw E(); }
        return n + 1;
    } else if ( n == 0 ) {
        try {
            return Ackermann( m - 1, 1 );
        } catch( E ) {
            PRT( cout << "E1 " << m << " " << n << endl );
            if ( rand() % eperiod == 0 ) { PRT( T t; ) excepts += 1; throw E(); }
        } // try
    } else {
        try {
            return Ackermann( m - 1, Ackermann( m, n - 1 ) );
        } catch( E ) {
            PRT( cout << "E2 " << m << " " << n << endl );
        } // try
    } // if
    return 0; // recover by returning 0
}

int main( int argc, char * argv[] ) {
    long int m = 4, n = 6, seed = getpid(); // default values
    try { // process command-line arguments
        switch ( argc ) {
            case 5: if ( strcmp( argv[4], "d" ) != 0 ) { // default ?
                    eperiod = stoi( argv[4] ); if ( eperiod <= 0 ) throw 1; } // if
            case 4: if ( strcmp( argv[3], "d" ) != 0 ) { // default ?
                    seed = stoi( argv[3] ); if ( seed <= 0 ) throw 1; } // if
            case 3: if ( strcmp( argv[2], "d" ) != 0 ) { // default ?
                    n = stoi( argv[2] ); if ( n < 0 ) throw 1; } // if
            case 2: if ( strcmp( argv[1], "d" ) != 0 ) { // default ?
                    m = stoi( argv[1] ); if ( m < 0 ) throw 1; } // if
            case 1: break; // use all defaults
            default: throw 1;
        } // switch
    } catch( ... ) {
        cerr << "Usage: " << argv[0] << " [ m (>= 0) | d [ n (>= 0) | d "
            << " [ seed (> 0) | d [ eperiod (> 0) | d ] ] ]" << endl;
        exit( EXIT_FAILURE );
    } // try
    srand( seed ); // seed random number
    try { // begin program
        PRT( cout << m << " " << n << " " << seed << " " << eperiod << endl );
        long int val = Ackermann( m, n );
        PRT( cout << val << endl );
    } catch( E ) {
        PRT( cout << "E3" << endl );
    } // try
    cout << "calls " << calls << " ' ' << " exceptions " << excepts << endl;
}

```

Figure 2: Throw/Catch

array allowing instances to be passed to `setjmp/longjmp` without having to take the address of the argument. Output from the transformed program must be identical to the original program, **except for one aspect, which you will discover in the transformed program.**

- (b) i. Explain why the output is not the same between the original and transformed program.
- ii. Compare the original and transformed programs with respect to performance by doing the following:
- Recompile both the programs with preprocessor option `-DNOOUTPUT` to suppress output.
  - Time the executions using the time command:  

```
$ /usr/bin/time -f "%Uu %Ss %E" ./a.out 11 11 103 13
3.21u 0.02s 0:03.32
```

(Output from `time` differs depending on the shell, so use the system time command.) Compare the *user* time (3.21u) only, which is the CPU time consumed solely by the execution of user code (versus system and real time).
  - Use the program command-line arguments (as necessary) to adjust program execution into the range 1 to 100 seconds. (Timing results below 1 second are inaccurate.) Use the same command-line values for all experiments, if possible; otherwise, increase/decrease the arguments as necessary and scale the difference in the answer.
  - Run both the experiments again after recompiling the programs with compiler optimization turned on (i.e., compiler flag `-O2`).
  - Include 4 timing results to validate the experiments.
- iii. State the performance difference (larger/smaller/by how much) between the original and transformed programs, and what caused the difference.
- iv. State the performance difference (larger/smaller/by how much) between the original and transformed programs when compiler optimization is used.

3. This question requires the use of  $\mu$ C++, which means compiling the program with the `u++` command.

Write a *semi-coroutine* with the following public interface (you may only add a public destructor and private members):

```
_Coroutine Listing {
    char ch;                                // character passed by caller
    // YOU ADD MEMBERS HERE
    void main();                            // coroutine main
public:
    _Event Match {};                       // last character match
    _Event Error {};                       // last character invalid
    void next( char c ) {
        ch = c;                            // communicate input
        resume();                          // activate
    }
};
```

which verifies a string of characters corresponds to a valid file listing as produced by a simplified form of the shell command `ls -l`. The string is described by the following grammar:

```
listing : permissions whitespace size whitespace filename kindopt '\n'
permissions : fileinfo rwx rwx rwx
fileinfo : 'd' | 'D' | 'b' | 'c' | 'p' | 's' | '-'
rwx : ('r' | '-') ('w' | '-') ('x' | '-')
whitespace : (' ' | '\t')+
size : '0' | ('[1-9]' '[0-9]*')
filename : '[a-zA-Z_.' ] '[0-9a-zA-Z_.' ]*'
kind : '*' | '/'
```

where the parentheses, brackets, quotation marks, plus and star are metasympols and not part of the described language, and <sub>opt</sub> means optional (0 or 1). ' ' denotes a space (blank). For example:

valid	invalid
-rw----- 592 Makefile	-rw----- 0592 Makefile
drwxr-x--- 4096 data/	drwxr-x--- 4096 data\
-rw----- 2958 main.cc	twr----- 2958 main.cc
-rwx----- 339520 listing*	-rwS----- 339520 listing*
-rw----- 1757 listing.cc	-rw----- 1757 2listing.cc
-rw----- 1992 listing.h	-rw----- 1992 listing.h

After creation, the coroutine is resumed with a series of characters from a string (one character at a time). The coroutine raises one of the following exceptions at its resumer:

- Match means the characters form a valid string.
- Error means the last character forms an invalid string.

After the coroutine raises an exception, it must NOT be resumed again; sending more characters to the coroutine after this point is undefined and should generate an error.

Write a program listing that checks if strings are valid listings. The shell interface to the listing program is as follows:

```
listing [ infile ]
```

(Square brackets indicate optional command line parameters, and do not appear on the actual command line.) If no input file name is specified, input comes from standard input. Output is sent to standard output. *For any specified command-line file, check it exists and can be opened. You may assume I/O reading and writing do not result in I/O errors.*

The program should:

- read a line from the file,
- create a Listing coroutine,
- pass characters from the input line to the coroutine one at time.
- print an appropriate message when the coroutine returns exception Match or Error, or if there are no more characters to send.
- check for extra characters,
- terminate the coroutine, and
- repeat these steps for each line in the file.

For every non-empty input line, print the line, how much of the line is parsed, and the string yes if the string is valid and no otherwise. If there are extra characters (including whitespace) on a line after parsing, print these characters with an appropriate warning. Print an appropriate warning for an empty input line, i.e., a line containing only '\n'.

The following is some example output:

```
'-rw----- 592 Makefile' : '-rw----- 592 Makefile' yes
'drwxr-x--- 4096 data/' : 'drwxr-x--- 4096 data/' yes
'-rw----- 2958 main.cc' : '-rw----- 2958 main.cc' yes
'-rwx----- 339520 listing*' : '-rwx----- 339520 listing*' yes
'-rw----- 1757 listing.cc' : '-rw----- 1757 listing.cc' yes
'-rw----- 1992 listing.h' : '-rw----- 1992 listing.h' yes
'' : Warning! Blank line.
'-rw----- 0592 Makefile' : '-rw----- 05' no, extraneous characters '92 Makefile'
'drwxr-x--- 4096 data\' : 'drwxr-x--- 4096 data\' no
'twr----- 2958 main.cc' : 't' no, extraneous characters 'wr----- 2958 main.cc'
'-rwS----- 339520 listing*' : '-rwS' no, extraneous characters '----- 339520 listing*'
'-rw----- 1757 2listing.cc' : '-rw----- 1757 2' no, extraneous characters 'listing.cc'
'-rw----- 1992 listing.h' : '-rw----- ' no, extraneous characters '1992 listing.h'
```

Assume a *valid* string starts at the beginning of the input line, i.e., there is no leading whitespace. See the C library routines `isdigit(d)` and `isalpha(c)` to valid digits and alphabetic characters, respectively.

**WARNING:** When writing coroutines, try to reduce or eliminate execution “state” variables and control-flow statements using them. A state variable contains information that is not part of the computation and exclusively used for control-flow purposes (like flag variables). Use of execution state variables in a coroutine usually indicates you are not using the ability of the coroutine to remember prior execution information. *Little or no marks will be given for solutions explicitly managing “state” variables.* See Section 3.1.3 in the [Course Notes](#) for details on this issue. Also, make sure a coroutine’s public methods are used for passing information to the coroutine, but not for doing the coroutine’s work, which must be done in the coroutine’s main.

## Submission Guidelines

Please follow these guidelines very carefully. Review the [Assignment Guidelines](#) and [C++ Coding Guidelines](#) before starting each assignment. **Each text file, i.e., \*.txt file, must be ASCII text and not exceed 500 lines in length, where a line is a maximum of 120 characters.** Programs should be divided into separate compilation units, i.e., \*.{h,cc,C,cpp} files, where applicable. Use the [submit](#) command to electronically copy the following files to the course account.

1. q1returnglobal.{cc,C,cpp}, q1returntype.{cc,C,cpp}, q1returntypec.c – code for question [1a, p. 1](#). **No program documentation needs to be present in your submitted code. No test, user or system documentation is to be submitted for this question. Output for this question is checked via a marking program, so it must match exactly with the given program.**
2. q1returntype.txt – contains the information required by questions [1b, p. 1](#) and [1c, p. 1](#).
3. q2longjmp.{cc,C,cpp} – code for question [2a, p. 1](#). **No program documentation needs to be present in your submitted code. No test, user or system documentation is to be submitted for this question. Output for this question is checked via a marking program, so it must match exactly with the given program.**
4. q2longjmp.txt – contains the information required by question [2b, p. 4](#).
5. q3\*.h,cc,C,cpp} – code for question [3, p. 4](#). Split your code across \*.h and \*.{cc,C,cpp} files as needed. **Program documentation must be present in your submitted code. No user or system documentation is to be submitted for this question. Output for this question is checked via a marking program, so it must match exactly with the given program.**
6. q3\*.testdoc – test documentation for question 3, which includes the input and output of your tests. **Poor documentation of how and/or what is tested can result in a loss of all marks allocated to testing.**
7. Modify the following Makefile to compile the programs for question [1, p. 1](#), question [2a, p. 1](#), and question [3, p. 4](#) by inserting the object-file names matching your source-file names.

```
CXX = u++                                # compiler
CXXFLAGS = -g -Wall -Wextra -MMD -Wno-implicit-fallthrough # compiler flags
MAKEFILE_NAME = ${firstword ${MAKEFILE_LIST}} # makefile name

OBJECTS01 = q1exception.o                 # optional build of given program
EXEC01 = exception                        # given executable name

OBJECTS1 = # object files forming 1st executable with prefix "q1"
EXEC1 = returnglobal                      # 1st executable name

OBJECTS2 = # object files forming 2nd executable with prefix "q1"
EXEC2 = returntype                        # 2nd executable name

OBJECTS3 = # object files forming 3rd executable with prefix "q1"
EXEC3 = returntypec                      # 3rd executable name

OBJECTS02 = q2throwcatch.o                # optional build of given program
EXEC02 = throwcatch                      # given executable name

OBJECTS4 = # object files forming 4th executable with prefix "q2"
EXEC4 = longjmp                          # 4th executable name
```

```

OBJECTS5 = # object files forming 5th executable with prefix "q3"
EXEC5 = listing                                # 5th executable name

OBJECTS = ${OBJECTS1} ${OBJECTS2} ${OBJECTS3} ${OBJECTS4} ${OBJECTS5}
DEPENDS = ${OBJECTS:.o=.d}
EXECS = ${EXEC1} ${EXEC2} ${EXEC3} ${EXEC4} ${EXEC5}

#####

.PHONY : all clean

all : ${EXECS}                                # build all executables

${EXEC01} : ${OBJECTS01}                      # optional build of given program
    g++-8 ${CXXFLAGS} $^ -o $@

q1%.o : q1%.cc                                # change compiler 1st executable, ADJUST SUFFIX (for .C/.cpp)
    g++-8 ${CXXFLAGS} -std=c++17 -c $< -o $@

${EXEC1} : ${OBJECTS1}                        # compile and link 1st executable
    g++-8 ${CXXFLAGS} $^ -o $@

${EXEC2} : ${OBJECTS2}                        # compile and link 2nd executable
    g++-8 ${CXXFLAGS} $^ -o $@

q1%.o : q1%.c                                # change compiler 2nd executable, ADJUST SUFFIX (for .C/.cpp)
    gcc-8 ${CXXFLAGS} -c $< -o $@

${EXEC02} : ${OBJECTS02}                      # optional build of given program
    g++-8 ${CXXFLAGS} $^ -o $@

${EXEC3} : ${OBJECTS3}                        # compile and link 3rd executable
    g++-8 ${CXXFLAGS} $^ -o $@

q2%.o : q2%.cc                                # change compiler 4th executable, ADJUST SUFFIX (for .C/.cpp)
    g++-8 ${CXXFLAGS} -c $< -o $@

${EXEC4} : ${OBJECTS4}                        # compile and link 4th executable
    g++-8 ${CXXFLAGS} $^ -o $@

${EXEC5} : ${OBJECTS5}                        # compile and link 5th executable
    ${CXX} ${CXXFLAGS} $^ -o $@

#####

${OBJECTS} : ${MAKEFILE_NAME}                 # OPTIONAL : changes to this file => recompile

-include ${DEPENDS}                           # include *.d files containing program dependences

clean :                                         # remove files that can be regenerated
    rm -f *.d *.o ${EXEC01} ${EXEC02} ${EXECS}

```

This makefile is used as follows:

```

$ make returnglobal
$ returntype ...
$ make returntype
$ returntype ...
$ make returntypec
$ returncodes ...
$ make longjmp
$ longjmp ...
$ make listing
$ listing ...

```

Put this Makefile in the directory with the programs, name the source files as specified above, and then type `make returnglobal`, `make returntype`, `make returntypec`, `make longjmp`, or `make listing` in the directory to compile the programs. This Makefile must be submitted with the assignment to build the program, so it must be correct. Use the web tool [Request Test Compilation](#) to ensure you have submitted the appropriate files, your makefile is correct, and your code compiles in the testing environment.

**Follow these guidelines. Your grade depends on it!**