Hello Everyone,

Following is a summary of outstanding requests to LN.  Black text is copied from the most recent LN response (August 28, 2019), additional comments and questions are in blue. Feel free to modify.

Tom

To:  Rosario-Sanchez, Joselyn (LNG-CSP) [joselyn.rosario-sanchez@lexisnexis.com](mailto:joselyn.rosario-sanchez@lexisnexis.com)

Hi Joselyn,

Thank you for your valuable assistance to date.  During a recent conference call, the Duke/Michigan team reviewed the LN responses to our questions, dated August 28, 2019.  Following are excerpts, with a few additional comments.  Can you give us an update on the items that you plan to address?

Items to address:

* Case frequency
  + Are there separate databases (for example, Bankruptcy Courts or Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims) that were created around this time that include cases that were formerly included within the United States Courts of Appeals database?
  + Answer (Suzanne Daitch and Mark Shewhart):  We will gather some data points to identify possible causes, and we will get back to you.
* Panel, opinion by, concur by, dissent by judge IDs
  + Comment (Mark Shewhart): We checked the original XQuery and confirmed that we only extracted judges with IDs – judge names that were resolved (courtcase:judges//person:judge//person:person using the attributes name.family, name.given and name.mid.). To grab a mix of resolved and unresolved judge names in the same element would take some effort.
    - Supplemental comments: If we have not resolved and marked up a judge name, then I cannot safely try to extract it from the online data.
    - Here is my proposal for the missing judge names. It is a new field (CSV format for Duke) but the example is in XML. The new field is <judgestext>. I could provide a similar field for opinionby, concurby and dissentby.
    - I could provide the full text of the elements in addition to the lists of extracted names. I can do nothing else to extract names that are not marked up online already.
  + 2019-09-21 Duke comment:  CSV files as proposed for panel and opinion judges would be great.  In addition, we would like to receive the source XML, to enable further judge identification rules, such as using court, date, and name with known bench members by court and period.
* Authoring judge IDs
  + Answer (Mark Shewhart): We provided judge pguids in the opinion by, concurby, and dissentby section. See example below. However, this information appears available only for the resolved judge names. We only extracted resolved judge names. We will review the data to verify whether we can provide pguids for both resolved and unresolved judge names.
  + 2019-09-21 Duke comment:  XML as shown in the 2019-08-28 LN response would be helpful.
* Shepard’s treatment codes (missing citations)
  + As we noted in item #3 of our May 1 email, there are some cases that are missing Shepard’s treatments. The email has more detail. Below are a few examples.
  + Answer (Suzanne Daitch): We are pulling a supplemental report that captures the types of treatments in examples 1 and 3 below. The treatment data for these examples is in an additional database. We are working now to pull that data and will send the report promptly after the data is gathered.
  + Supplemental answer: See attached Excel file - AutoCite Only Indirect Histories. It includes the “AutoCite” only data (CR0 treatment data that shows online but is not stored in the CDBII database) filtered by federal court of appeals citing decision. Two of the examples from the May 1 e-mail are covered by this data (Rows 158 and 433).
  + We are correcting the parallels so that the CDBII data will reflect that “d” was applied to …
  + 2019-09-21 Duke comment:  Does the referenced Excel file (22,467 rows) contain the stated corrections?  Are all known causes of missing citations resolved?  Should Duke receive an updated, comprehensive list of citations containing all corrections?
* Obsolete Shepard’s treatment codes
  + 2019-09-21 Duke comment:  You explained the mapping of obsolete to current treatment codes (thanks!).  Does LN have a table of all known historical codes and their current accepted mapping?  Does LN recommend reporting the historical, yet obsolete, treatment code or the current mapped code?

Thanks so much for your assistance,

Tom