Initial environmental impacts of Piney Point wastewater discharge into Tampa Bay, Florida

Marcus W. Beck ([mbeck@tbep.org](mailto:mbeck@tbep.org)), Gary E. Raulerson ([graulerson@tbep.org](mailto:graulerson@tbep.org)), Maya C. Burke ([mburke@tbep.org](mailto:mburke@tbep.org)), Joe Whalen ([jwhalen@tbep.org](mailto:jwhalen@tbep.org)), Sheila Scolaro ([sscolar@tbep.org](mailto:sscolar@tbep.org)), Ed T. Sherwood ([esherwood@tbep.org](mailto:esherwood@tbep.org))

Last manuscript build 2021-08-17 13:53:23

# Abstract

From March 30th to April 9th, 2021, 215 million gallons of legacy phosphate mining wastewater from the Piney Point facility were released into Tampa Bay (Florida, USA). An estimated 205 tons of total nitrogen were exported to Lower Tampa Bay, exceeding typical annual nitrogen load estimates in a matter of days. An initial phytoplankton response was observed in samples closest to the discharge site, with chlorophyll concentrations exceeding 50 ug/L. Macroalgae blooms of cyanobacteria (*Lyngbya* spp.) were observed in May, with biomass estimated at 0.5 kg/m2 at some locations. Blooms of *Karenia brevis* were observed beginning in May and continuing through July. Reported fish kills tracked bloom concentrations, prompting local cleanup efforts to remove over 1700 tons of dead fish. Combined, these observations indicate abnormal conditions in Tampa Bay following release of wastewater from Piney Point, which is supported by comparison to the decades of baseline environmental monitoring data for the region.

*Key words*: nitrogen, phosphate mining, Tampa Bay, wastewater, water quality

# Introduction

Ecosystem management paradigms for estuaries of the Gulf Coast of Florida, USA are based primarily on the control of nutrient pollutants from stormwater and wastewater sources. The effects of nitrogen from source inputs are well understood as a limiting nutrient for the growth of algal blooms that can degrade water quality, having a negative effect on inter- and subtidal habitats ([Greening et al., 2014](#ref-Greening14); [Howarth and Marino, 2006](#ref-Howarth06); [Nixon, 1995](#ref-Nixon95); [Parker et al., 2012](#ref-Parker12)). Seagrasses in particular are a primary endpoint for assessing the impacts of nutrient pollution on water quality based on established relationships between nitrogen, phytoplankton growth, water clarity, and light requirements for seagrass species observed in nearshore environments ([Beck et al., 2018b](#ref-Beck18g); [Dixon and Leverone, 1995](#ref-Dixon95); [Greening and Janicki, 2006](#ref-Greening06); [Kenworthy and Fonseca, 1996](#ref-Kenworthy96)). Tampa Bay is the largest estuary in Florida located in a heavily urbanized watershed of nearly 3 million individuals. Historical gains in seagrass coverage in Tampa Bay have been achieved through public-private partnerships and consensus-based approaches to science applications that seek to limit the total nutrient loads into major bay segments ([Greening et al., 2016](#ref-Greening16); [Janicki and Wade, 1996](#ref-Janicki96)). Together, these efforts have resulted in the long-term recovery of Tampa Bay through a reduction in nitrogen loads, improvements in water clarity, and baywide expansion of seagrass coverage to benchmark targets established for the region ([Greening et al., 2014](#ref-Greening14); [Sherwood et al., 2017](#ref-Sherwood17)).

Ongoing threats and challenges to protecting water quality of Gulf Coast estuaries persist despite historical gains in environmental recovery. Although point-source inputs of nutrient loads from wastewater treatment plants into Tampa Bay have been reduced, non-point sources from wastewater and stormwater runoff contribute nutrients to the bay, particularly during the rainy season from June to September ([Janicki Environmental, Inc., 2017](#ref-Janicki17), [2008](#ref-Janicki08)). Atmospheric deposition of nutrients from coal-based power production and automobile traffic further contribute about one-quarter of the total nitrogen inputs to the bay ([Poor et al., 2013](#ref-Poor13)). Climate change stressors, such as sea level rise, changing rainfall patterns, and temperature alterations, may further perturb ecosystem dynamics and assimilative capacity by reducing system resilience to nutrient inputs ([Burke, 2017](#ref-Burke17); [Sherwood and Greening, 2014](#ref-Sherwood14)). Many of these challenges are addressed by ongoing efforts of the US EPA National Estuary Program to implement a science-based resource management plan for the Bay([N. O’Hara, Shafer Consulting, Inc., 2017](#ref-Ohara17)). The Tampa Bay Estuary Program has been instrumental in coordinating efforts among local and regional partners to address legacy pollutants and current threats to the long-term protection of bay resources ([Greening et al., 2016](#ref-Greening16), [2014](#ref-Greening14)).

Wastewater byproducts from mining are a global threat to the quality of surface and groundwater resources worldwide ([Hudson-Edwards et al., 2011](#ref-Hudson11); [Tayibi et al., 2009](#ref-Tayibi09)). Fertilizer is produced through the “wet process” reaction to create phosphoric acid by treating mined phosphate rock with sulfuric acid ([Burnett and Elzerman, 2001](#ref-Burnett01); [Pérez-López et al., 2016](#ref-Perez16)). The process generates large amounts of waste, creating approximately one unit of phosphoric acid per five units of waste precipitate, or phosphogypsum (CaSO HO). Impurities, contaminants, and radionuclides exist in phosphogypsum, making it commercially invaluable and the resulting waste is typically stored on-site in large earthen stacks (gypstacks) or holding ponds ([Burnett and Elzerman, 2001](#ref-Burnett01)). The stacks are usually near distribution centers where fertilizer is shipped elsewhere, such as port facilities close to coastal resources or population centers ([Beck et al., 2018a](#ref-Beck18)). There are obvious environmental and human health risks associated with these stacks, primarily through controlled or uncontrolled discharge to surface waters or groundwater contamination through leaching from unlined or poorly maintained stacks. Examples exist worldwide demonstrating the potential harm of these facilities on the environment ([Beck et al., 2018a](#ref-Beck18); [El Zrelli et al., 2015](#ref-elzrelli15); [Pérez-López et al., 2016](#ref-Perez16); [Sanders et al., 2013](#ref-Sanders13); [Tayibi et al., 2009](#ref-Tayibi09)).

The geology of central Florida is rich in phosphates that have supported a multi-billion dollar mining industry for fertilizer used in food production ([Henderson, 2004](#ref-Henderson04)). By 2001, an estimated 40 million tons of phosphogypsum were created each year in northern and central Florida ([Burnett and Elzerman, 2001](#ref-Burnett01)). Currently, seventeen phosphogypsum stacks (two active, five inactive, ten closed, [Florida Department of Environmental Protection](https://geodata.dep.state.fl.us/datasets/6277c3b1eeae4a818f8683fc29e6b35b_0/about)) exist in the Tampa Bay watershed with no long-term plan for closure or disposal of waste to prevent impacts to the environment. The Piney Point facility located in Palmetto, Florida is a large phosphogypsum stack established in 2001 located less than two miles from the shore of Tampa Bay and near two aquatic preserves ([Henderson, 2004](#ref-Henderson04)). Bankruptcy of the mining company responsible for the stack in 1999 transferred ownership to a third-party, with oversight by the Florida Departmental of Environmental Protection (FLDEP). Decreasing holding capacity of the ponds with seasonal rain events and storage of dredging material from nearby Port Manatee have contributed to degradation of the facility. Discharges of wastewater from the stacks occurred in 2010 to nearby Bishops Harbor connected to Tampa Bay ([Garrett et al., 2011](#ref-Garrett11)). Recently, FLDEP authorized an [emergency order](https://floridadep.gov/sites/default/files/21-0323.pdf) on March 30th, 2021 to release wastewater from the stacks directly into lower Tampa Bay to prevent catastrophic failure of the berms supporting the holding ponds.

This paper provides an initial assessment of environmental conditions in Tampa Bay over five months following the recent release of 215 million gallons of legacy phosphate mining wastewater in April, 2021. The goal is to describe the results of monitoring data of surface waters collected in response to the discharge event to assess deviation of current conditions relative to long-term, seasonal records of water quality, phytoplankton, seagrass, benthic, and nekton datasets available for the region. We provide a brief overview of the history of the Piney Point facility, including past wastewater releases and impacts observed in Tampa Bay. A timeline of events in 2021 is also provided, which is supported by the results from 2021 response-based monitoring of conditions in and around Piney Point. The results of this study provide an initial documentation of impacts to the natural resources of Tampa Bay that can be used to inform long-term assessments of acute wastewater discharge events on the environmental quality of the region. We focus primarily on the perspective of the Tampa Bay Estuary Program in its role in coordinating monitoring and evaluating short-term impacts, particularly in the context of long-term management goals that leverage resources from existing partnerships among local resource management institutions.

# Methods

* Historical timeline: <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1KqaEAYEG7pdcGpWbUjONfVKMHHB6_kOkKCyncp4JYKA/edit>, <https://thebradentontimes.com/piney-point-a-retrospective-p6328-158.htm>
* Initial response, data collection
* Synthesis and Analysis

For total nitrogen, chlorophyll-a, and secchi depth, differences in concentrations between months within each area (Figure 1a) were evaluated using a Kruskal-Wallis one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by multiple comparisons using 2-sided Mann-Whitney U tests ([Hollander et al., 2013](#ref-Hollander13)). Probability values were adjusted using the sequential Bonferroni method described in ([Holm, 1979](#ref-Holm79)) to account for the increased probability of Type I error rates with multiple comparisons. An adjusted p-value < 5% ( = 0.05) was considered a significant difference between months.

# Results

* Figure 1 map
* Figure 2 timeline of events
* Figure 3 map of water quality observations
* Figure 4 boxplots by week with +/-1sd for monthly long-term
* Figure 5 pca and correlations of all wq variables, by area
* Figure 6 seagrass and macroalgae example transect
* Figure 7 seagrass, macroalgae frequency occurrence estimates
* Figure 8 seagrass, macralgae, wq pca and correlation matrix
* Figure 9 red tide historic and current, fish kill reports historic and current
* Table 1 Stack characteristics
* Table 2 water quality data collected and summarized
* Table ?? Multiple comparisons of water quality by month/area, with median/min/max
* Table 4 Seagrass/macroalgae freq. occurrence summaries

# Discussion

* Comparison to other locations/past events - Grand Bay, Bishop Harbor, Huelva estuary ([Pérez-López et al., 2016](#ref-Perez16), [2010](#ref-Perez10)), Dillon report about Grand Bay [link](https://www.wrri.msstate.edu/pdf/2016dillon_finalreport.pdf)
* Analysis limitations: no smoking gun but 2021 is an anomaly, additional info (benthic diversity TBD, nekton diversity TBD, large mammals, etc.), response-based monitoring may be biased
* Potential long-term impacts TBD
* Current challenges in TB/southwest FL - OTB, seagrass loss (possibly linked to 2018 red tide, effects of Hurricanes ([Tomasko et al., 2020](#ref-Tomasko20))), red tide, climate change
* Risk of decline (IRL ex.), regression of past progress

# Acknowledgments

# Figures




Figure 1: Areas of interest (a) for evaluating status and trends in response-based monitoring data and sample locations from March to July 2021 by monitoring data type (b) in response to wastewater discharge from Piney Point. Data types include algae sampling, seagrass and macroalgae, water quality (field-based and laboratory samples), and mixed monitoring (algae, seagrass and macroalgae, water quality). Inset shows location of Tampa Bay on the Gulf coast of Florida, USA.



Figure 2: Graphical timeline of events from the discharge of wastewater effluent at Piney Point starting Mrach 30th, 2021 through the end of July with the gradual decline of red tide in Tampa Bay.




Figure 3: Sampled water quality data for April to July 2021 in response to wastewater discarge from Piney Point for (a) total nitrogen (mg/L), (b) chlorophyll-a (ug/L), and (c) secchi disk depth (meters). Values outside of the normal range (above for total nitrogen and chlorophyll, below for secchi) are outlined in black and those in normal range are outlined in light grey. Color ramps and point sizes show relative values (reversed for Secchi). Normal ranges are defined as within +/-1 standard deviation of the mean for the month of observation from 2006 to 2021 for values collected at the nearest long-term monitoring site to each sample location. Chlorophyll observations were truncated to a maximum of 30 ug/L to remove some outliers.




Figure 4: Sampled water quality data by week for April to July 2021 in response to wastewater discarge from Piney Point for (a) total nitrogen (mg/L), (b) chlorophyll-a (ug/L), and (c) secchi disk depth (meters). Observations are aggregated by week and within assessment areas shown in Figure 1a. Normal ranges for the month of observation (monthly baseline) and area are shown by the blue shaded areas. Normal ranges are defined as within +/-1 standard deviation of the mean for the month of observation from 2006 to 2021 for values collected at long-term monitoring sites within each area.




Figure 5: Principal components analyses (PCA) and correlation matrices for water quality variables by week for April to July 2021 in response to wastewater discharge from Piney Point for (a) area 1, (b) area 2, and (c) area 3 (Figure 1a). All variables were standardized to zero mean and unit variance prior to PCA. Variables with log-normal distribution were log-transformed prior to analysis. Pearson correlations indicate the linear strength of assocation between pairs of variables. \*\* p < 0.005, \* p < 0.05, blank is not signifcant at = 0.05.



Figure 6: Results for (a) seagrass and (b) macroalgae rapid response transect surveys at a site (S3T6, -82.55866 W longitude, 27.64483 N latitude) near Piney Point. Sample dates in 2021 are shown in rows with transect meter results shown in columns. Results show dominance of manatee grass (*Syringodium filiforme*) and red macroalgae groups, with abundances of *Lyngbya sp.* (cyanobacteria) peaking in June and green macroalgae (*Ulva sp.*) increasing in July. Abundances are Braun-Blanquet coverage estimates.



Figure 7: Frquency occurrence estimates for (a) area 1 and (b) area 2 (Figure 1a) for seagrass (top) and macroalgae (bottom) rapid response transect surveys across all transects (n = r nrow(rstrnpts)) near Piney Point. Estimates are grouped by sample months in 2021. Frequency occurrences are absolute for each taxa based on presence/absence.




Figure 8: Principal components analysis (PCA) and correlation matrix for water quality variables, macroalgae, and seagrasses by week for April to July 2021 in response to wastewater discharge from Piney Point. Only areas 1 and 3 (Figure 1a) are included where seagrass transects were surveyed. All variables were standardized to zero mean and unit variance prior to PCA. Variables with log-normal distribution were log-transformed prior to analysis. Thick black lines in the correlation matrix separate water quality, macroalgae, and seagrass variables. Pearson correlations indicate the linear strength of assocation between pairs of variables. \*\* p < 0.005, \* p < 0.05, blank is not signifcant at = 0.05.




Figure 9: *Karenia brevis* concentrations (100k cells/L) and number of fish kill reports for the contiguous record showing cell concentrations (a) by year and (b) by week in 2021 and reported fish kills by city (Tampa, St. Petersburg) (c) by year and (d) by week in 2021. Red tide concentrations show minimum, tenth percentile, median, 90th percentile, and maximum for each year or week for middle and lower Tampa Bay. *K. brevis* cell counts are from NOAA Harmful Algal BloomS Observing System (HABSOS, <https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/maps/habsos>), Fish kill reports are from Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, Fish and Wildlife Research Insitute Fish Kill Database, attributed to *K. brevis* (<https://public.myfwc.com/FWRI/FishKillReport/>).

# Tables

Table 1: Measured concentrations from the phosphogypsum stack (NGS-S) at Piney Point on April 9th, 2021 for relevant water quality variables compared to normal annual means (min, max) for concentrations in lower Tampa Bay. Normal medians are based on data for a baseline period from 2006 to 2020 from long-term monitoring stations in lower Tampa Bay collected monthly by Hillsborough County Environmental Protection Commission.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Water quality variable | Stack value | Normal median (min, max) |
| Nitrate/Nitrite (mg/L) | 0.004 | 0.003 (0.003, 0.018) |
| NH3, NH4+ (mg/L) | 210.000 | 0.019 (0.006, 0.0395) |
| TN (mg/L) | 230.000 | 0.286 (0.199, 0.455) |
| TP (mg/L) | 160.000 | 0.085 (0.058, 0.146) |
| Ortho-P (mg/L) | 150.000 | 0.049 (0.009, 0.055) |
| DO (% sat.) | 107.500 | 91 (86, 92.2) |
| pH | 4.000 | 8.1 (8, 8.1) |

Table 2: Summary of water quality variables collected from March to July 2021 in response to wastewater discharge from Piney Point. Variables are grouped by major areas interest for evaluating status and trends shown in Figure 1a. Summaries are median, maximum, and minimum values. Total observations (N obs.) and values in rnage, above, or below normal ranges are also shown. Normal ranges are defined as within +/-1 standard deviation of the mean for the month of observation from 2006 to 2021 for values collected at the nearest long-term monitoring site to each sample location.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Area | Water quality variable | Med. (Min., Max.) | N obs. | in range | above | below |
| 1 | Chl-a (ug/L) | 4.5 (1.1, 265.01) | 440 | 180 | 223 | 37 |
|  | DO (% sat.) | 98.4 (28.3, 215.3) | 386 | 104 | 192 | 90 |
|  | NH3, NH4+ (mg/L) | 0.008 (0, 14.86) | 430 | 216 | 99 | 115 |
|  | Nitrate/Nitrite (mg/L) | 0.01 (0, 0.14352) | 219 | 104 | 22 | 93 |
|  | pH | 8.1 (7, 9.1) | 432 | 204 | 167 | 61 |
|  | Sal (ppt) | 30.4 (12.9, 34.6) | 397 | 334 | 19 | 44 |
|  | Secchi (m) | 2.4 (0.4, 9.5) | 289 | 111 | 44 | 134 |
|  | Temp (C) | 25.3 (19.6, 32.9) | 398 | 252 | 78 | 68 |
|  | TN (mg/L) | 0.42 (0.178, 5.6) | 371 | 210 | 148 | 13 |
|  | TP (mg/L) | 0.12 (0.019, 3.9) | 439 | 262 | 130 | 47 |
| 2 | Chl-a (ug/L) | 2.5 (1.08, 42) | 66 | 42 | 6 | 18 |
|  | DO (% sat.) | 96.8 (60.6, 153.3) | 63 | 25 | 30 | 8 |
|  | NH3, NH4+ (mg/L) | 0.005 (0.002, 0.035) | 62 | 46 | 0 | 16 |
|  | Nitrate/Nitrite (mg/L) | 0.01 (0.00078, 0.014) | 41 | 37 | 1 | 3 |
|  | pH | 8 (7.3, 8.5) | 82 | 55 | 15 | 12 |
|  | Sal (ppt) | 27.6 (22.3, 32.3) | 63 | 59 | 0 | 4 |
|  | Secchi (m) | 2 (0.5, 3.5) | 33 | 23 | 2 | 8 |
|  | Temp (C) | 25 (19.9, 31.6) | 63 | 44 | 5 | 14 |
|  | TN (mg/L) | 0.35 (0.068, 1.13) | 49 | 35 | 6 | 8 |
|  | TP (mg/L) | 0.096 (0.05, 0.235) | 55 | 11 | 10 | 34 |
| 3 | Chl-a (ug/L) | 2.7 (0.93, 25.9) | 221 | 146 | 53 | 22 |
|  | DO (% sat.) | 99 (42.4, 229.9) | 197 | 103 | 51 | 43 |
|  | NH3, NH4+ (mg/L) | 0.008 (0.002, 0.041) | 206 | 116 | 1 | 89 |
|  | Nitrate/Nitrite (mg/L) | 0.01 (0.00078, 0.043) | 146 | 87 | 9 | 50 |
|  | pH | 8.1 (6.2, 14.4) | 220 | 137 | 65 | 18 |
|  | Sal (ppt) | 32.1 (1.4, 36.5) | 255 | 208 | 22 | 25 |
|  | Secchi (m) | 2 (0.2, 5.1) | 193 | 83 | 26 | 84 |
|  | Temp (C) | 25.8 (19.6, 32.1) | 255 | 150 | 41 | 64 |
|  | TN (mg/L) | 0.334 (0.152, 1.78) | 209 | 153 | 49 | 7 |
|  | TP (mg/L) | 0.061 (0.019, 0.589) | 223 | 159 | 38 | 26 |

Table 3: Comparison of total nitrogen, chlorophyll-a, and secchi depth by areas of interest (Figure 1a) and month. Multiple comparisons with Mann-Whitney U tests were used to evaluate pairwise monthly concentrations for each water quality variable in each area. Rows that share a letter within each area and water quality variable combination have concentrations that are not significantly different at = 0.05. Probability values were adjusted using the Bonferroni method in [Holm](#ref-Holm79) ([1979](#ref-Holm79)).

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Area | Water quality variable | Comp. | Month | N obs. | Med. (Min., Max.) |
| 1 | TN (mg/L) | a | Apr | 135 | 0.39 (0.22, 5.6) |
|  |  | a | May | 32 | 0.36 (0.24, 0.83) |
|  |  | b | Jun | 38 | 0.43 (0.31, 1.1) |
|  |  | c | Jul | 16 | 0.635 (0.43, 1.4) |
|  | Chl-a (ug/L) | a | Apr | 144 | 3.3 (1.1, 41) |
|  |  | b | May | 32 | 2.4 (1.3, 12) |
|  |  | c | Jun | 38 | 6.6 (2.7, 28) |
|  |  | c | Jul | 24 | 5.5 (3, 120) |
|  | Secchi (m) | a | Apr | 117 | 2.9 (0.8, 5.5) |
|  |  | a | May | 28 | 3 (1.6, 3.6) |
|  |  | b | Jun | 34 | 2 (0.5, 3) |
|  |  | b | Jul | 24 | 2.2 (0.7, 3.5) |
| 2 | TN (mg/L) | a | Apr | 18 | 0.39 (0.26, 0.48) |
|  |  | a | May | 4 | 0.39 (0.31, 0.44) |
|  |  | a | Jun | 3 | 0.5 (0.45, 0.67) |
|  |  | a | Jul | 2 | 0.585 (0.51, 0.66) |
|  | Chl-a (ug/L) | a | Apr | 22 | 2.5 (1.5, 4.6) |
|  |  | ab | May | 4 | 2.15 (1.9, 3.6) |
|  |  | b | Jun | 4 | 6 (3.9, 42) |
|  |  | b | Jul | 3 | 7.2 (6, 15) |
|  | Secchi (m) | a | Apr | 14 | 2 (0.5, 3.5) |
|  |  | a | May | 1 | 2 (2, 2) |
|  |  | a | Jun | 3 | 2.1 (1.5, 2.1) |
|  |  | a | Jul | 3 | 1.5 (1.4, 2.2) |
| 3 | TN (mg/L) | a | Apr | 48 | 0.33 (0.22, 0.48) |
|  |  | a | May | 16 | 0.335 (0.26, 0.43) |
|  |  | a | Jun | 10 | 0.35 (0.32, 0.72) |
|  |  | a | Jul | 4 | 0.36 (0.34, 0.41) |
|  | Chl-a (ug/L) | a | Apr | 48 | 1.9 (1, 4.1) |
|  |  | ab | May | 16 | 2.35 (1.7, 3.4) |
|  |  | b | Jun | 12 | 2.8 (1.8, 3.6) |
|  |  | c | Jul | 8 | 4.15 (3.1, 16) |
|  | Secchi (m) | a | Apr | 41 | 2.7 (1.5, 5.1) |
|  |  | b | May | 16 | 2.2 (0.2, 3) |
|  |  | ab | Jun | 12 | 2.2 (1.2, 3.2) |
|  |  | ab | Jul | 12 | 2.2 (1.4, 3.9) |

# References

Beck, M.W., Cressman, K., Griffin, C., Caffrey, J., 2018a. Water quality trends following anomalous phosphorus inputs to Grand Bay. Gulf and Caribbean Research 29, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.18785/gcr.2901.02>

Beck, M.W., Hagy, J.D., III, Le, C., 2018b. Quantifying seagrass light requirements using an algorithm to spatially resolve depth of colonization. Estuaries and Coasts 41, 592–610. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-017-0287-1>

Burke, M., 2017. CCMP Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment (No. 10b-17). Tampa Bay Estuary Program, St. Petersburg, Florida.

Burnett, W.C., Elzerman, A.W., 2001. Nuclide migration and the environmental radiochemistry of florida phosphogypsum. Journal of Environmental Radioactivity 54, 27–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/S0265-931X(00)00164-8>

Dixon, L.K., Leverone, J.R., 1995. Light requirements of *thalassia testudinum* in Tampa Bay, Florida. Number 425, Mote Marine Lab, Sarasota, Florida.

El Zrelli, R., Courjault-Radé, P., Rabaoui, L., Castet, S., Michel, S., Bejaoui, N., 2015. Heavy metal contamination and ecological risk assessment in the surface sediments of the coastal area surrounding the industrial complex of Gabes city, Gulf of Gabes, SE Tunisia. Marine pollution bulletin 101, 922–929. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2015.10.047>

Garrett, M., Wolny, J., Truby, E., Heil, C., Kovach, C., 2011. Harmful algal bloom species and phosphate-processing effluent: Field and laboratory studies. Marine Pollution Bulletin 62, 596–601. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2010.11.017>

Greening, H., Janicki, A., 2006. Toward reversal of eutrophic conditions in a subtropical estuary: Water quality and seagrass response to nitrogen loading reductions in Tampa Bay, Florida, USA. Environmental Management 38, 163–178. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-005-0079-4>

Greening, H., Janicki, A., Sherwood, E., 2016. Seagrass recovery in Tampa Bay, Florida (USA), in: Finlayson, C.M., Milton, G.R., Prentice, R.C., Davidson, N.C. (Eds.), The Wetland Book. Springer, Berlin, Germany, pp. 1–12.

Greening, H., Janicki, A., Sherwood, E., Pribble, R., Johansson, J.O.R., 2014. Ecosystem responses to long-term nutrient management in an urban estuary: Tampa Bay, Florida, USA. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 151, A1–A16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2014.10.003>

Henderson, C.S., 2004. Piney Point phosphate plant: An environmental analysis.

Hollander, M., Wolfe, D.A., Chicken, E., 2013. Nonparametric statistical methods. John Wiley & Sons.

Holm, S., 1979. A simple sequentially rejective multiple test procedure. Scandinavian journal of statistics 65–70.

Howarth, R.W., Marino, R., 2006. Nitrogen as the limiting nutrient for eutrophication in coastal marine ecosystems: Evolving views over three decades. Limnology and oceanography 51, 364–376. https://doi.org/<https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2006.51.1_part_2.0364>

Hudson-Edwards, K.A., Jamieson, H.E., Lottermoser, B.G., 2011. Mine wastes: Past, present, future. Elements 7, 375–380. <https://doi.org/10.2113/gselements.7.6.375>

Janicki, A., Wade, D., 1996. Estimating critical external nitrogen loads for the Tampa Bay estuary: An empirically based approach to setting management targets (No. 06-96). Tampa Bay National Estuary Program, St. Petersburg, Florida.

Janicki Environmental, Inc., 2017. Estimates of total nitrogen, total phosphorus, total suspended solids, and biological oxygen demand loadings to Tampa Bay, Florida: 2012-2016 (No. 04-17). Tampa Bay Estuary Program, St. Petersburg, Florida.

Janicki Environmental, Inc., 2008. Estimation of nitrogen loading from residential irrigation. Tampa Bay Estuary Program, St. Petersburg, Florida.

Kenworthy, W.J., Fonseca, M.S., 1996. Light requirements of seagrasses *halodule wrightii* and *syringodium filiforme* derived from the relationship between diffuse light attenuation and maximum depth distribution. Estuaries 19, 740–750.

N. O’Hara, Shafer Consulting, Inc., 2017. Charting the course: The comprehensive conservation and management plan for Tampa Bay (No. 10-17). Tampa Bay Estuary Program, St. Petersburg, Florida.

Nixon, S.W., 1995. Coastal marine eutrophication: A definition, social causes, and future concerns. Ophelia 41, 199–219. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00785236.1995.10422044>

Parker, A.E., Hogue, V.E., Wilkerson, F.P., Dugdale, R.C., 2012. The effect of inorganic nitrogen speciation on primary production in the San Francisco Estuary. Estuarine, Coastal, and Shelf Science 104, 91–101.

Pérez-López, R., Macı́as, F., Cánovas, C.R., Sarmiento, A.M., Pérez-Moreno, S.M., 2016. Pollutant flows from a phosphogypsum disposal area to an estuarine environment: An insight from geochemical signatures. Science of the Total Environment 553, 42–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.02.070>

Pérez-López, R., Nieto, J.M., López-Coto, I., Aguado, J.L., Bolı́var, J.P., Santisteban, M., 2010. Dynamics of contaminants in phosphogypsum of the fertilizer industry of Huelva (SW Spain): From phosphate rock ore to the environment. Applied Geochemistry 25, 705–715. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2010.02.003>

Poor, N., Cross, L., Dennis, R., 2013. Lessons learned from the Bay Region Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment (BRACE) and implications for nitrogen management of Tampa Bay. Atmospheric Environment 70, 75–83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.12.030>

Sanders, L., Luiz-Silva, W., Machado, W., Sanders, C.J., Marotta, H., Enrich-Prast, A., Bosco-Santos, A., Boden, A., Silva-Filho, E., Santos, I.R., others, 2013. Rare earth element and radionuclide distribution in surface sediments along an estuarine system affected by fertilizer industry contamination. Water, Air, & Soil Pollution 224, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-013-1742-7>

Sherwood, E., Greening, H., 2014. Potential impacts and management implications of climate change on Tampa Bay estuary critical coastal habitats. Environmental Management 53, 401–415. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-013-0179-5>

Sherwood, E., Greening, H., Johansson, J.O.R., Kaufman, K., Raulerson, G., 2017. Tampa Bay (Florida, USA): Documenting seagrass recovery since the 1980’s and reviewing the benefits. Southeastern Geographer 57, 294–319. <https://doi.org/10.1353/sgo.2017.0026>

Tayibi, H., Choura, M., López, F.A., Alguacil, F.J., López-Delgado, A., 2009. Environmental impact and management of phosphogypsum. Journal of Environmental Management 90, 2377–2386. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2009.03.007>

Tomasko, D., Alderson, M., Burnes, R., Hecker, J., Iadevaia, N., Leverone, J., Raulerson, G., Sherwood, E., 2020. The effects of Hurricane Irma on seagrass meadows in previously eutrophic estuaries in Southwest Florida (USA). Marine Pollution Bulletin 156, 111247. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2020.111247>