# C++11 BLWS (Wednesday 2)

#### The STL and Beyond

- 1. STL Containers (recap)
- 2. STL Container Adapters
- 3. C++11: New Containers
- 4. Boost: Unordered
- 5. Boost: BiMap
- 6. Boost: Property Tree
- 7. STL Iterators (recap)
- 8. STL algorithms (recap)
- 9. C++11: New Algorithms
- 10. Boost: Range
- 11. Boost: Algorithm

Short breaks will be inserted as convenient.

Styled with styling.css by Martin Weitzel 1/41

# STL Containers (recap)

The STL and its template-based containers are at the heart of reusable library components standardized with C++98 (besides character strings and I/O-streams).

#### While there originally where

- · three sequential containers, and
- four associative containers,

#### C++11 had extended this by

- two more to a total of five sequential containers, and
- four more to a **total of eight associative containers**.

### Sequential Containers

• Contiguous memory ...

Sequential containers more or less reflect typical basic data structures:

```
... of fixed size: std::array (new in C++11)... with heap allocation: std::vector
```

• Lists were elements point to each other ...

```
... singly linked: std::forward_list (new in C++11)... doubly linked: std::list
```

• Finally a mix of both in form of ...

• ... adjacent memory in distributed chunks: std::deque\*

\*: Abbreviating *Double Ended Queue* but pronounced as "deck".

#### **Choosing from Sequential Containers (1)**

If the choice is based on the required operations and their performance:

- When size can be fixed at compile time, std::array is best.\*
- If insertions and extractions need to occur at both or at different ends, this leaves std::list and std::deque ...
- ... otherwise std::vector would be a good default choice.
- Furthermore std::array and std::vector are the only to choose from when memory layout compatible to native arrays is mandatory.
- If random access is required std::array, std::vector and std::deque are the candidates ...
- ... otherwise, if insertions and extractions need to be efficient everywhere std::list and std::forward\_list.

<sup>\*:</sup> The picture is slightly different if only the *maximum size* can be fixed at compile time: depending on what is to be stored, an std::array may rule out itself when there is no way to tell "valid" from "invalid" elements and there is no suitable (default) constructor for the latter ones.

### **Choosing from Sequential Containers (2)**

If the choice is based on

- frequent sequential traversal
- **not** intermingled with frequent insertions and deletions
- on hardware with of highspeed cache lines in fast memory (close to the CPU) and – in comparison – slow main memory

then the appropriate choice is

- either std::array (size pre-calculated for worst case and fixed compile time)
- or std::vector (infrequent growth, either at startup or in peaks during special loading phases)

Sequentially storing elements adjacent to each other (or more generally in close proximity, with respect to the access pattern) will be a large benefit.

### **Associative Containers**

Associative containers can be grouped around three dimensions:

- Whether they contain a key value pair or just a key:
  - map-types versus set-types
- Whether duplicate keys are allowed:
  - multi-types versus the others.
- Whether the underlying data structure is based on hashing or a tree:
  - unordered-types versus the others.

Viewed pragmatically the data structure rather impacts the following:

- Need lookups be as fast as possible (O(1) even for huge collections)?
- Will there be sequential processing with preferred order of traversal?

If the first is more important as the second, prefer hash-based variants, if vice versa the tree-based ones.

## **Small Container Optimzations**

Optimizations "behind the scenes" for containers holding only few elements is mostly impossible due to the STL specification.

Only for containers **not** giving the guarantee that iterators stay valid over insertions and deletions\* such optimizations can actually be transparently implemented.

Thereforethey are limited to:

- std::vector (depending on the element type)
- std::string (and maybe std::wstring)

\*: Of course with the exception of elements deleted ...

# **STL** Container Adapters

There are a number of use patterns for which the standard STL containers are more powerful as desirable – or in other words:

- where some operations should be completely taken away,
- or be made available in a limited or different form.

This is the problem that adapters are designed to solve.

### **Stacks**

The std::stack adapts a container so that insertions and deletions can take place only at the same end.

- The default underlying container is an std::deque but also std::vector or std::list can be chosen.\*
- Instead of "back" and "front" as conventionally used for a sequential container
  - the most recent element (inserted last) is named "top", and
  - at that end elements are simply "push"-ed and "pop"-ed.
- Also there is no iterator style (or other) interface to iterate over the whole content.

<sup>\*:</sup> Interestingly an std::forward\_list cannot be adapted to a stack, though it implements perfect stack semantics. This is because a stack adapter expects to work at the "back"-side of its underlying container, not the "front"-side that the singly linked list exposes.

### **Stack Code Examples**

The following shows a possible way to fill ...

```
std::stack<int> data;
...
int x;
while (std::cin >> x)
    data.push(x);

... and to extract from a stack;

while (!data.empty()) {
    std::cout << data.top() << ' ';
    data.pop();
}</pre>
```

### Queues

The std::queue adapts a container so that insertions and deletions can take place only at different ends.

- The default underlying container is an std::deque but also std::list can be chosen.
- The "back" and "front" ends as conventionally used for a sequential container are kept, with
  - the most recent element (inserted last) is "push"-ed to the "back",
     and
  - the least recent element (inserted longest ago) may be "pop"-ed from the "front".
- Also there is no iterator style (or other) interface to iterate over the whole content.

### **Queue Code Examples**

The following shows a possible way to fill ...

```
std::queue<int> data;
...
int x;
while (std::cin >> x)
    data.push(x);

... and to extract from a queue;

while (!data.empty()) {
    std::cout << data.front() << ' ';
    data.pop();
}</pre>
```

### **Priority Queues**

Considering its core interface an std::priority\_queue is similar to an std::queue.

- The default underlying container is an std::vector.
- Elements are "push"-ed and "pop"-ed ...
  - ... while any extraction takes place on the "top" ...
  - ... which is the largest element currently contained.
- For flexibility the sort criteria may be specified at construction time.

Priority queues can be – and probably are – implemented based on the STL algorithms for managing heap data structures.\*

<sup>\*:</sup> Here the term heap is used differently from its usual meaning, which is to refer to the *free store area* of the (technical) execution model.

### **Priority Queue Code Examples**

```
A priority queue storing int-s in the default order (extract greatest first) ...

std::priority_queue<int> pq1;

... which is the same as specifying all defaults explicit ...

std::priority_queue<int, std::vector<int>, std::less<int>> pq1;

... and vice versa (extracts smallest first):*

std::priority_queue<int>, std::vector<int>, std::greater<int>> pq2;

Note that the comparison functor orders by what goes to the top last!
```

<sup>\*:</sup> As the sort functor is the third template argument, the second (the container on which the priority queue is based) must be specified too, even if the default applies.

# C++11: New Containers

The following were introduced with C++11:

- std::array a wrapper around native arrays
- std::forward\_list a singly linked list
- std::unordered set the hash-based version of std::set
- std::unordered\_multiset the hash-based version of std::multiset
- std::unordered map the hash-based version of std::map
- std::unordered multimap the hash-based version of std::multimap
- std::bitset is not a new container but has been slightly extended.

The iterator interface of all existing containers has been extended with the member functions cbegin(), cend(), crbegin(), and crend() to obtain const\_iterators from non-const containers.

### std::array

The std::array wrapper makes native arrays look like the other standard conforming containers. It has to be instantiated with a type and a size argument:\*

```
std::array<double, 50> data;
```

#### The wrapper adds:

- Standard embedded type definitions like size type, value type etc.
- Standard member functions like size(), empty() etc.
- Everything required for walking over the content using the standard iterator interface.

The latter not only makes iterating over native arrays no more different from the other containers but also enables range based for loops.

<sup>\*:</sup> The size argument cannot be omitted, even if the array definition is combined with an initialization. There is currently a proposal std::experimental::make\_array (as part of the Library Fundamentals TS v2) which will fix this.

## std::forward\_list

This container makes a minimum-overhead, singly-linked list available.

It has the following differences to the probably well-known std::list:

- An std::forward\_list does not know its size so testing for contained elements must be with empty(), not size() == 0.
- Insertion and extraction take place at the "front"-side, hence
  - member functions front, push\_front, and pop\_front are available
  - but not their counterparts working at the "back"-side.
- When walking over the content with an iterator, it does not allow to insert new elements at the iterator position but only after it.
  - This is technically easy to explain as a minimum-overhead iterator can not know the element prior to the one it refers to.
  - Therefore the member function implementing insertion is not called insert but insert\_after.

#### Hash-based Containers

Hash-based containers will improve lookup-performance at the price that the order, when iterating over the container, is not predictable:

- With a decent hash function most any key will be found in O(1) time or a decision made that a key does not exist.
- When the container is filled by incrementally adding more elements over time, it also will extend its reserved space incrementally and therefore its elements must more or less frequently be re-hashed.
- Differently from std::vector iterators keep valid even when elements are rehashed.
- Though no specific order can be expected when walking over a hashbased container, elements with the same key follow each other (as elements with the same hash-value will do).
- Elements of a set or keys of a map are not required to implement operator< but operator==.\*</li>

<sup>\*:</sup> Though probably not to expect in practice this could have subtle effects if an ordered container were exchanged with its unordered cousin or vice versa.

# **Boost: Unordered**

If a C++ implementation does not (yet) make hash-based containers available Boost.Unordered may be used as drop-in replacement.

The most important difference is that the standard containers are in namespace std while their Boost couterparts are in namespace boost.

# Boost: BiMap

Boost.Bimap allows bidirectional key-value lookup.

#### The interface to

- nameservice.left is like that of a map<IP, Host>;
- nameservice.right is like that of a map<Host, IP>.

# **Boost: Property Tree**

Boost.Property\_Tree is a recursive data structure.

A node (starting with the single root node)

- has some data value (typically an std::string)
- and a list of children (empty for leaf nodes),
  - each of which holds a key (typically an std::string)
  - and a sub-tree starting with a node that
    - has some data value
    - and a list of children
      - each of which holds a key
      - and a sub-tree starting with ...

In other words: a recursive data structure not limited in depth.

### Property Tree (cont.)

When represented in main memory a property tree can be navigated programmatically (e.g. searched for sub-notes).\*

Besides that in can be made persistent in an external representation, i.e. written to a file and read back in a number of serialization formats:

- JSON (JavaScript Object Notation)
- Info-File format (similar to JSON with lesser punctuation)
- Ini-File format (as widely used by MS-Windows "in the old days")
- XML (with a fixed conversion schema, no generic DTD or document object model)

Property trees are nicely suited for storing configuration data or state information between consecutive program executions, though that's not their only possible use.

<sup>\*:</sup> Navigation is only within one level, there is no automatic recursion, i.e. any descending into a sub-tree must be explicit, it will not happen automatically.

### C++11 Extensions to Bitsets

The std::bitset class from C++98 has been extended in C++11 with respect to

- conversion to the (now officially supported) type long long,
- a hash-function so that instances may be used in the unorderedvariants of associative containers,
- optional arguments for the to string conversion, and
- a constructor accepting an std::string argument.

One effect that can be achieved easily with the latter two extensions is to convert numbers to and from a binary representation as text form, with freely chosen characters to denote set and cleared bits.

### C++14 Binary Literals

Binary literals have been officially added in C++14 similar to hexadecimal literals, but the prefix 0b (or 0b) instead of 0x (or 0x):\*

```
assert(0x2A == 0b101010);
```

It may be combined with using apostrophs for structuring literals to make them more readable:

```
assert(0x2A == 0b10'1010); // groups of 4 ... (like hex)
// or also:
assert(0x2A == 0b101'010); // or 3 ... (like octal)
// or even:
assert(0x2A == 0b10'10'10); // or 2 ...
// or whatever:
assert(0x2A == 0b1'0101'0); // or ???
```

<sup>\*:</sup> Be careful when reading the example: the source code highlighter may currently not process the apostrophs as it should!

# **Binary Literals**

With the macro BOOST\_BINARY (defined in <boost/utility.hpp>) it becomes possibility to specify binary literals as series of 0 and 1:

- B00ST\_BINARY(1 1 1 1) yields 0xF or 15
- B00ST\_BINARY(1 0 1 0 1 0 ) yields 0x1A or 42
- B00ST\_BINARY\_UL(1 0 1 0 1 0 ) yields 0x1AL or 42uL
- BOOST\_BINARY(100 0000 0000) yields 0x400 or 1024

# STL Iterators (recap)

The STL was designed around the idea that the glue between C++ Containers and C++ Algorithms should be provided by Iterators which were further categorized by their capabilities, leading to C++ Iterator Categories:

- **Input- and Output-Iterators** same operations as Forward-Iterators (see next) but with restrictions on the order of operations.
- **Forward-Iterators** comparable to each other, operator++ in preand postfix version, operator\* for dereferencing.
- **Bidirectional-Iterators** in addition operator in pre- and postfix version.
- **Random-Access-Iterators** in addition operator+= and operator-= to increment and decrement in steps of more than one and operator-to determine the distance between two iterators.

Containers document the iterator category they provide and Algorithms the category they require.

### STL Iterators Efficiency

To allow a maximally efficient implementation of iterators with respect to

- · Memory Footprint and
- Runtime Performance

safety requirements were not cast into any of the C++ standards but left as a *Quality of Implementation* (QoI) issue.\*

For most containers an iterator can be as efficient as a pointer.

Walking through all elements of a container with an iterator does

- not require any large support data structure, and
- may map to very few machine instructions at assembler level.

<sup>\*:</sup> What is frequently misunderstood is that implementations actually **can** prefer safety over efficiency; some versions of the STL have special macros that can be defined to enable additional tests, e.g. \_STLP\_DEBUG for STLport. If other vendors (or free implementations) of Standard C++ do not exploit the leeway given by the standard to add "safety" features, then presumably because there is not that much pressure from the respective clients to have such features ... or at least not at the price to pay for it in terms of lost efficiency.

# STL algorithms (recap)

Algorithms originally supplied when the STL was standardized with C++98 are too numerous to give them full coverage here.

Instead refer to a good documentation source like named below or equivalent:

- http://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/algorithm
- http://www.cplusplus.com/reference/algorithm/

The following only recapitulates some of the systematic approach and after this highlights a few C++11 extensions.

### Iterator Interface to Containers

Algorithms usually deal with containers but abstract that fact away by taking two iterator arguments:

```
std::vector<int> data;
...
// add all values in container
const auto n = std::accumulate(data.begin(), data.end(), 0);
```

This may seem as a minor inconvenience but easily allows for subranges without the need for specialized algorithms:

```
assert(data.size() > 3);
// add values in container except the first two and the last
const auto n = std::accumulate(data.begin()+2, data.end()-1, 0);
```

Note that a typical requirement of algorithms is that: of the two iterators specifying the range the second must be *reachable* from the first.

This means, after the first is incremented often enough it must – while staying within the container bounds – compare equal to the second.

### Generic Iterator Interface

Another reason that makes the iterator interface to algorithms powerful is that iterators are not required to connect to containers.

It can make sense to define a class that exposes the same interface as an iterator while actually calculating values on the run.

```
class Iota
    : public std::iterator<std::input_iterator_tag, int> {
    int i, s;
public:
    Iota(int i_ = 0, int s_ = 1) : i(i_), s(s_) {}
    int operator*() { return i; }
    Iota operator++() { return Iota(i += s, s); }
    Iota operator++(int) { i += s; return Iota(i - s, s); }
};
```

Given the above class a container can be filled with a number range:

```
std::copy_n(Iota(), 20, std::back_inserter(data));
std::copy_n(Iota(10, 3), 20, std::back_inserter(data));
```

30 / 41

(CC) BY-SA: Dipl.-Ing. Martin Weitzel im Auftrag von MicroConsult Training & Consulting GmbH

## **Algorithm Families**

To the degree to which it makes sense STL algorithms come in families:

- A plain algorithm (say: std::remove) does its work modifying a container, basing its decision (which elements to remove) on a fixed value.
- The \_copy-version (i.e. std::remove\_copy) leaves the original container unmodified, storing the result to another container\*
- The \_if-version (i.e. std::remove\_if) generalizes the predicate through using a *Callable* as last argument (function, functor, lambda).
- If both of the above versions exist they will also be found combined into a \_copy\_if version (i.e. std::remove\_copy\_if).

<sup>\*:</sup> The target for this operation again is specified with an *iterator* that gets used as Output Iterator; usually it will be kind of an inserter, i.e. std::back\_insert\_iterator or std::front\_insert\_iterator for sequential containers, std::insert\_iterator for associative containers, or std::ostream\_iterator for output streams.

### Using Algorithms on Native Array

With native pointers used as iterators, all of the STL algorithms can also be used to process native arrays.

There is a price to pay: any algorithm that logically removes values cannot make a native array smaller – so it returns the new end.\*

<sup>\*:</sup> Which is the address **after** that of the last entry used – an address that is always valid (though it *might* be the address of storage space use for a different purpose).

### Return Values from Removals

Generally algorithms that logically remove elements from a container return the "new end".

This is also true if the physical size of the underlying container could be made smaller, like for the sequential STL containers:

Physically reducing the container size must happen explicitly:

```
x.erase(new_end, x.end());
```

## Return Values from Filling

Many algorithms that fill a container – in case of families particularly the \_copy-version – return an iterator pointing after the last element copied to the result.

This can be useful when the filling state of a native array needs to be tracked:\*

```
const int N = 32767;
static_assert(ckdiv2(N+1), "N must be one less than a power of two");
int data[N], *data_end = data;
for (int n = 1, i = (N+1)/2; i > 0; ++n, i /= 2)
    data_end = std::fill_n(data_end, i, n);
```

<sup>\*:</sup> To figure out how exactly that code initializes the container with which values is left as an exercise for the reader :-) ... if not from a code analysis then go and wrap the above fragment into a main-function, compile, run, and look at the output ... (maybe reduce N to much smaller values, say 7, 15, 31, ...).

# C++11: New Algorithms

Again the following does not intend to be exhaustive, instead it should just highlight C++11 additions for those who know STL algorithms quite well from C++98.

- The largest group is the one in which most algorithms start with is\_.
   These check for certain properties of a container content.\*
- A few algorithms have probably been added because a substantial number of people found them "missing".
  - Some added algorithms will actually improve performance.
  - Others could have been expressed with the available algorithms but an algorithm with an expressive name makes the intent clearer.

<sup>\*:</sup> Or rather sequences, as the interface is of course through iterators, so no underlying container needs to be exposed.

### New Ways to Search through Containers

The following algorithms take a predicate as argument to check for **each** container element. All have the chance to shortcut the evaluation:

- std::all of can return false the first time the predicate is false
- std::any\_of can return true the first time the predicate is true
- std::none\_of can return false the first time the predicate is true

Though any of the above can be written in terms of any other, it is usually clearest to express the intent with the fewest negations.

#### Compare:

<sup>\*:</sup> For a good test whether a condition is clearly expressed imagine you read it out to someone at the telephone. Which one of these do you think will be understood then without any doubt or asking back?

## New Ways to Fill Containers

A new algorithm to copy from one container to another one allows to specify the number of elements instead of an end iterator.

This allows sometime for easier calculations:

```
const std::size_t Ndata = 50, Nresult = 20;
int data[Ndata], *data_filled = data;
int result[Nresult], *result_filled = result;
... // copy to data, assume it is finally filled up to data_filled
... // also assume result has now content up to result_filled
...
// copy from data to result until data exhausted or result full:
const auto available = data_filled - data;
const auto freespace = result + Nresult - result_filled;
std::copy_n(data, std::min(available, freespace), result_filled);
```

Also it is now easily possible to fill a container with increasing values:\*

```
std::iota(data, data+Ndata, 1); // fills in: 1 2 3 4 ...
```

<sup>\*:</sup> Note that std::iota expects container elements to exist. E.g. if an std::vector had to be filled it must be resized first.

## Algorithms Adding Negation

Elements to be copied from one container to another one can now be selected with a predicate.

Given two sequential containers x and result with element type T the following code would do this:

With a negated predicate std::remove\_copy\_if becomes std::copy\_if.

Similarly when searching for the first container element that does not have a given property, checked with a predicate:

```
... std::find_if_not(x.begin(), x.end(), [](T elem) { return ...; });
```

With a negated predicate std::find\_if\_not becomes std::find\_if.

### Algorithms Improving Performance

It is now possible to search for the minimum and maximum value at the same time, passing over the sequence only once with fewer comparisons:

```
auto result_it = std::minmax_sequence(x.begin(), x.end());
... result_it.first ... // iterator denoting minimum element
... result_it.last ... // iterator denoting maximum element
```



Of course, the iterators returned must not be dereferenced without a prior test if the sequence might have been empty!

There is also a simpler version returning a reference to two variables:

```
int a, b;
... // fill in values
auto result = std::min_max(a, b);
... result.first ... // reference to variable holding the smaller value
... result.last ... // reference to variable holding the larger value
// or store into two separate variables:
int min, max;
std::tie(min, max) = std::minmax(a, b);
```

# **Boost: Range**

Boost.Range extends the basic idea to combine iterators to pairs – called ranges – with the goal to eliminate the need to always have to supply two arguments when just a single container is involved.\*

- Additional algorithms accept these ranges instead of the separate arguments their STL counterparts require.
- The concept of ranges is further extended so that algorithms can be glued together with a high degree of flexibility.

<sup>\*:</sup> Because the two arguments style adopted by the original STL has the advantage that subranges do not require additional algorithms, ranges from this library can of course not only be constructed from containers (taking begin() and end() as range) but also from two container iterators!

# **Boost: Algorithm**

Boost.Algorithm is a collection of algorithms to extending the STL.

Parts of this collection have been standardized with C++11, others are intended to become part of C++14, some will still stay "Boost only".