

Computers & Industrial Engineering

Multi-product Batch Processing Time Maximization Problem

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	CAIE-D-23-02866
Article Type:	Research Paper
Keywords:	multiproduct batch; processing time maximization; mathematical model; analytical solution; LINGO
Corresponding Author:	Tatiana Balbi Fraga, Ph.D. Federal University of Pernambuco Caruaru, BRAZIL
First Author:	Tatiana Balbi Fraga, Ph.D.
Order of Authors:	Tatiana Balbi Fraga, Ph.D.
	Ítalo Ruan Barbosa de Aquino
	Regilda da Costa e Silva Menêzes
Abstract:	In the plastic bag extrusion process, it is necessary to determine the optimal processing time for batches formed by different products, which are processed simultaneously by the same extruder, but with different processing rates. The batch processing time must be determined in order to meet a series of known constraints, such as the limitation for the quantity produced for each product and for the quantity produced for the set of all products in the same batch. In this paper we present this problem as a new combinatorial optimization problem named Multi-product Batch Processing Time Maximization (MBPTM) problem. We also present a mathematical model for the MBPTM problem and an analytical solution method with polynomial time complexity, which proved to be able to obtain optimal solutions for several benchmarks in a very short time, even for very large instances.
Suggested Reviewers:	Bin Shi Wuhan University of Technology sbin125@163.com The researcher has a broad knowledge about problems close to the treated problem as well as modeling and mathematical solution.
	Jatinder Gupta The University of Alabama in Huntsville guptaj@uah.edu The researcher has a broad knowledge about problems close to the treated problem as well as modeling and mathematical solution.

Tatiana Balbi Fraga · Avenida Marielle Franco, Bairro Nova Caruaru, Caruaru, PE, Brazil

Computers & Industrial Engineering

Caruaru, August 7, 2023

Cover letter

Dear editor,

Along with this letter, I am sending a paper in which I present the Multi-product Batch Processing Time Maximization (MBPTM) problem, as well as a mathematical model and an analytical solution method with polynomial time complexity.

MBPTM is a combinatorial optimization problem. The complexity of MBPTM is mainly attributed to the fact that there is a production limit for the set of products and not just for each product. This restriction causes the problem to be defined as a non-polynomial problem.

The problem was identified by me during the development of a solver for production planning in extruders. As I am applying a local search heuristic, at each iteration I need to generate a new solution, and therefore I need to solve the MBPTM problem.

However, MBPTM is also a practical problem and its solution directly influences the efficiency of inventory control in some industries, as is the case of industries in the plastic bag sector.

As is widely known, there are few combinatorial optimization problems that can be solved using exact methods. In this paper we present an exact optimization method for solving the MBPTM problem, based on the idea of partitioning the problem into two smaller problems.

I had a vague idea about the proposed method while I was presenting the problem to my co-workers, and I managed to develop the method the same day before going to sleep, as I was really engaged about the idea of the method and about the impact that this method would generate for the performance of the solver I'm developing for production planning in extruders.

According to the bibliographic research carried out by the authors, the problem and exact method proposed in this paper has not yet been presented in the scientific literature.

Also, the language used in the paper is simple, so that many researchers can easily understand the work.

I am then waiting for your final decision with great expectation of approval of the work for publication in this journal.


Sincerely,

Tatiana Balbi Fraga
Founding leader of the Systems Analysis, Modeling and Optimization Group
and Professor of the Production Engineering Course
at the Academic Center of Agreste - Federal University of Pernambuco

Graphical Abstract

Multi-product Batch Processing Time Maximization Problem

Tatiana Balbi Fraga, Ítalo Ruan Barbosa de Aquino, Regilda da Costa e
Silva Menêzes



Highlights

Multi-product Batch Processing Time Maximization Problem

Tatiana Balbi Fraga, Ítalo Ruan Barbosa de Aquino, Regilda da Costa e Silva Menêzes

- brief bibliographic review on multi-product batch problems;
- Multi-product Batch Processing Time Maximization (MBPTM) problem definition;
- linear integer programming model for the MBPTM problem;
- exact optimization method for solving the MBPTM problem.

Multi-product Batch Processing Time Maximization Problem

Tatiana Balbi Fraga¹, Ítalo Ruan Barbosa de Aquino¹, Regilda da Costa e Silva Menêzes¹

^aAgreste Academic Center - Federal University of Pernambuco, Avenida Marielle Franco, Nova Caruaru, Caruaru, 55014-900, PE, Brazil

Abstract

In the plastic bag extrusion process, it is necessary to determine the optimal processing time for batches formed by different products, which are processed simultaneously by the same extruder, but with different processing rates. The batch processing time must be determined in order to meet a series of known constraints, such as the limitation for the quantity produced for each product and for the quantity produced for the set of all products in the same batch. In this paper we present this problem as a new combinatorial optimization problem named Multi-product Batch Processing Time Maximization (MBPTM) problem. We also present a mathematical model for the MBPTM problem and an analytical solution method with polynomial time complexity, which proved to be able to obtain optimal solutions for several benchmarks in a very short time, even for very large instances.

Keywords: multiproduct batch, processing time maximization, mathematical model, analytical solution, LINGO

*corresponding author

1. Introduction

The scientific literature presents several papers that address multi-product batch problems. Many works target the problem of multi-product batch scheduling (MPBS), in which it is necessary to determine the production sequence of the products and the ideal batch size for each product, in a production of several products which share the same production facilities and for which demand is known (?). In this problem, a single product is processed at a time, so it is necessary that the quantity produced is sufficient to meet the demand during the period in which other products are being processed. The solution must be determined in such a way that both setup and storage costs are minimized. Among the authors who addressed this problem are ?, ? and ?. ? and ? present two parallel multi-product batch scheduling (PMPBS) problems, in which production units of the same type are arranged in parallel, forming each production stage. In this case, it is also necessary to define in which units each product will be processed. The authors also take into account other constraints for the problem (*e.g.*, release time and due date for the products, and sequencing constraints due to mismatched product colors sequences) and other goals (*i.e.*, optimize customer satisfaction and/or the plant performance). In the papers mentioned above, production in a single unit or in a serial flowshop plant is considered, in which all products follow a linear flow through the production stages. ? consider the multi-product batch problem in networked processes. As defined by the authors, in this

case, the production units are not arranged in lines, and the connection between the units may or may not exist. Therefore, the production paths in the flowshop network can be different for each product. Also the passing of previous batch can be possible so that the final sequence of the production at the last stage units can be different from the initial sequence. In all papers presented above, each batch contains only one product type, and each machine can process only one batch (or product type) at a time. ? deal with a PMPBS problem involving incompatible families with different job sizes and capacity constraints. In this problem, solutions are accepted in which two or more batches are processed simultaneously on the same machine. However, there are no production limitations for the production of each product group. The paper of ? also presents an extensive literature review on production batch scheduling problems. Other important works deal with the problem of a multi-product batch plant design (MPBPD). In this case the problem is to obtain the configuration of the plant and the equipment that minimize the capital cost of all the equipment items needed to fulfill the production requirements (Ravemark and Rippin, 1998).

In this work, we are concerned with determining the ideal processing time for a single batch consisting of a set of products, each product being or not different from the other products of the same batch. That is, we consider a specific case where different products are processed simultaneously on the same processor. This case occurs, for example, during the processing of plastic bags in extruders, where the resins are melted, then pass through a

cylinder forming a balloon and this balloon, after cooling, is stored in a coil which, in turn, is cut into several smaller coils with different models of plastic bags.

As scientific contributions, in this paper we define a new multi-product batch problem, named multi-product batch processing time maximization (MBPTM) problem, as well as a mathematical model and a polynomial time complexity analytical solution optimization method for solving this problem.

In the next sections, a presentation of problem is made along with an application example. Section ?? presents an interger linear mathematical model for the problem and section ?? presents an analytical method for its solution. Section ?? presents the tests and results obtained by a solver in C++ applying the analytical solution and a solver developed in LINGO to new proposed benchmarks. In sections ?? and ??, the contributions to this work are informed and acknowledgments are given, respectively. Finally, section ?? presents the paper's conclusions and suggestions for future works.

2. Multi-product batch processing time maximization problem

The multi-product batch processing time maximization problem arises when a set of different products are processed simultaneously in a same production batch. In this problem, it is considered that the quantity produced of each product is directly proportional to the processing time, however, with a different constant of proportionality (production rate) for each product. In addition, there is a maximum quantity allowed for the production of batch

products, defined both individually and for the set. The production quantity of each product is mainly defined according to the demand for the product. However, it is still possible to stock the products and/or send them to the outlets. In both cases, there is a stocking/shipping limit for each product and a stocking/shipping limit for the set of products in the batch. Also, there is a time limit available for processing the batch. About the production, the industry manager has as priority to meet demand and he prefer to send the remaining production to outlets rather than stock it in the factory.

Example: A certain machine must process a batch containing 2 different products: A and B. The production rate of A is 60 g/min while the production rate of B is 40 g/min. The factory has free stock for a maximum of 3000 g of any product, and, according to the company's inventory policy, a maximum of 3000 g of product A and 2000 g of product B can be stocked at the factory. There is a demand for 1000 g of product A and 500 g of product B. The factory has an outlet that has free space in stock of 1000 g, which can receive a maximum of 600 g of each product. A maximum time of 100 minutes of this machine can be allocated for processing this batch. When distributing production the priority is to meet demand, then send to outlets and finily stock in factory. What is the maximum possible time for processing this batch and how production must be distributed ?

3. Mathematical model

Given that:

UD_i is the demand for the product i ;

I is the maximum quantity allowed for additional factory storage of all products in the batch;

UI_i is the maximum quantity allowed for stocking the product i in the factory;

O is the maximum quantity allowed for shipment of all products to outlets;

UO_i is the maximum amount of product i that can be shipped to outlets;

p_i is the production rate of product i ;

Z is the timeout for batch processing;

P_i is the amount of product i produced;

D_i is the amount of product i delivered for the demand;

O_i amount of product i shipped to factory outlets;

I_i is the amount of product i that will be stored at the factory;

T is the batch processing time;

We have the problem:

$$\max \quad T \tag{1}$$

s.t.

$$P_i - p_i * T = 0 \quad \forall i \tag{2}$$

$$P_i - D_i - O_i - I_i = 0 \quad \forall i \tag{3}$$

$$D_i \leq \text{UD}_i \quad \forall i \quad (4)$$

$$O_i \leq \text{UO}_i \quad \forall i \quad (5)$$

$$\sum_i O_i \leq \text{O} \quad (6)$$

$$I_i \leq \text{UI}_i \quad \forall i \quad (7)$$

$$\sum_i I_i \leq \text{I} \quad (8)$$

$$T \leq \text{Z} \quad (9)$$

$$\min\{\text{UD}_i - D_i, O_i + I_i\} = 0 \quad \forall i \quad (10)$$

$$\min\{\text{O} - \sum_i \{O_i\}, \text{UO}_i - O_i, I_i\} = 0 \quad \forall i \quad (11)$$

$$T, D_i, O_i, I_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \quad \forall i \quad (12)$$

where:

Constraints in (2) relate the quantity produced, P_i , to batch processing time T . Constraints in (3) calculate the quantity produced, P_i , as a function of the primary variables, D_i , O_i and I_i . Constraints in (4), (5), and (7) state that the quantity delivered to demand, the quantity shipped to the outlets, and the factory-stocked quantity of each product must be less than their respective known limits. Constraints (6) and (8) state that both the sum of product quantities sent to the outlets and the sum of product quantities stocked in the factory must be less than their respective maximum allowed values. The restriction in (9) establishes that there is a batch processing time limit, Z , that must be respected. Equations in (10) and (11) define the production distribution priority. And finally, the constraints in (12) inform the nature of the decision variables.

4. Analytical solution

To solve the MBPTM problem we will start considering that, despite equations in (10) and (11) define a priority for production distribution, T is not affected by the way production is distributed betwing demand, outlets and factory inventory. So we can find T , by ignoring this equations and, after finding T for the relaxed model, solving the production distribution problem based on the defined priorities.

After relaxation of the problem, it is possible to consider the factory stock and the outlets stock as single stock, so we have:

$$E_i = O_i + I_i \quad (13)$$

where E_i is the sum of the quantity stored at the factory and the quantity sent to the outlets of the product i .

So that:

$$E_i \leq \text{UO}_i + \text{UI}_i \quad (14)$$

and

$$\sum_i E_i \leq \text{O} + \text{I} \quad (15)$$

It is also possible to split the batch processing time into two time slots:

$$T = T' + T'' \quad (16)$$

and consider that T' is the maximum processing time used only for production that will meet the demand. Thus, we can find T' , solving the reduced problem:

$$\max \quad T' \quad (17)$$

s.t.

$$D_i - p_i * T' = 0 \quad \forall i \quad (18)$$

$$D_i \leq UD_i \quad \forall i \quad (19)$$

$$D_i, T' \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \quad \forall i \quad (20)$$

This reduced problem can be rewritten in the form:

$$\max \quad T' \quad (21)$$

s.t.

$$T' \leq \lfloor UD_i/p_i \rfloor \quad \forall i \quad (22)$$

So we have that T' will be the smallest of the ratios $\lfloor UD_i/p_i \rfloor$ of all products.

Once we find the value of T' , we can calculate the value of unmet demand for each product after T' , S_i , through the equations in (??).

$$S_i = UD_i - p_i * T' \quad \forall i \quad (23)$$

Now we consider that the time interval T'' will be used for the production of the quantities that will be stored (in the factory and in the outlets), as well as of the demand not met by the production in the first time interval,

S_i .

In this case, we can find T'' by solving the second reduced problem:

$$\max T'' \quad (24)$$

s.t.

$$E_i - p_i * T'' = 0 \quad \forall i \quad (25)$$

$$E_i - S_i \leq UO_i + UI_i \quad \forall i \quad (26)$$

$$\sum_i (E_i - S_i) \leq O + I \quad (27)$$

$$E_i, T'' \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \quad \forall i \quad (28)$$

Again, using a little algebra, we can rewrite this reduced problem in the form:

$$\max T'' \quad (29)$$

s.t.

$$T'' \leq \lfloor (UO_i + UI_i + S_i) / p_i \rfloor \quad \forall i \quad (30)$$

$$T'' \leq \lfloor (O + I + \sum_i S_i) / \sum_i p_i \rfloor \quad (31)$$

So, being N the number of products in the batch, we will have $N + 1$ inequalities that limit the value of T'' by constants and again T'' will be defined by the smallest value.

So, the analytical method can be decomposed into 4 steps:

step 1: find T' , where:

$$T' = \lfloor \min_{\forall i} \{UD_i / p_i\} \rfloor \quad (32)$$

step 2: calculate S_i for all products.

$$S_i = UD_i - p_i * T' \quad \forall i \quad (33)$$

step 3: find T'' , where:

$$T'' = \lfloor \min \{ \min_{\forall i} \{ (UO_i + UI_i + S_i) / p_i \}, (O + I + \sum_i S_i) / \sum_i p_i \} \rfloor \quad (34)$$

step 4: calculate T , where:

$$T = T' + T'' \quad (35)$$

$$T = \min \{T, Z\} \quad (36)$$

Note that there will be no change in the optimal solution if it is considered to T' , a value between 0 and the value found in step 1. Thus it is possible to use a simplification of the method presented, making $T' = 0$. In this case $S_i = UD_i, \forall i$. The simplified version of the method is presented below:

step 1: find T^* , where:

$$T^* = \lfloor \min\{\min_{\forall i}\{(UO_i + UI_i + UD_i)/p_i\}, (O + I + \sum_i UD_i)/\sum_i p_i\} \rfloor \quad (37)$$

step 2: calculate T , where:

$$T = \min\{T^*, Z\} \quad (38)$$

It is probably possible to extend this thinking to the solution of a class of linear optimization problems. However, we will work on this concept in an upcoming paper.

Solution for the example presented before

Applying the method for solving the example presented in section ??, we have:

$$T' \leq \lfloor 1000 \text{ g}/(60 \text{ g/min}) \rfloor$$

$$T' \leq 16 \text{ min} \quad \text{for A}$$

$$T' \leq \lfloor 500 \text{ g}/(40 \text{ g/min}) \rfloor$$

$$T' \leq 12 \text{ min} \quad \text{for B}$$

so:

$$T' = 12 \text{ min}$$

Then:

$$S_A = 1000 \text{ g} - 12 \text{ min} * 60 \text{ g/min} = 280 \text{ g}$$

$$S_B = 500 \text{ g} - 12 \text{ min} * 40 \text{ g/min} = 20 \text{ g}$$

And so we have:

$$T'' \leq \lfloor (3000 \text{ g} + 600 \text{ g} + 280 \text{ g}) / (60 \text{ g/min}) \rfloor$$

$$T'' \leq 64 \text{ min} \quad \text{for A}$$

$$T'' \leq \lfloor (2000 \text{ g} + 600 \text{ g} + 20 \text{ g}) / (40 \text{ g/min}) \rfloor$$

$$T'' \leq 65 \text{ min} \quad \text{for B}$$

$$T'' \leq \lfloor (1000 \text{ g} + 3000 \text{ g} + 300 \text{ g}) / (100 \text{ g/min}) \rfloor$$

$$T'' \leq 43 \text{ min} \quad \text{for A and B}$$

So that:

$$T'' = 43 \text{ min}$$

So we have:

$$T = 55 \text{ min}$$

As $T < Z$, then $T = 55 \text{ min}$ will certainly be the optimal solution.

In this case we will have:

$$P_A = 3300 \text{ g e } P_B = 2200 \text{ g}$$

$$D_A = 1000 \text{ g e } D_B = 500 \text{ g}$$

$$E_A = 2300 \text{ g e } E_B = 1700 \text{ g}$$

After determining a solution, the values of D_i , O_i and I_i , $\forall i$, can be found by taking a solution from the undetermined system of inequalities:

$$D_i + O_i + I_i = p_i * T \quad \forall i \quad (39)$$

$$D_i \leq UD_i \quad \forall i \quad (40)$$

$$O_i \leq UO_i \quad \forall i \quad (41)$$

$$\sum_i O_i \leq O \quad (42)$$

$$I_i \leq UI_i \quad \forall i \quad (43)$$

$$\sum_i I_i \leq I \quad (44)$$

$$\min\{UD_i - D_i, O_i + I_i\} = 0 \quad \forall i \quad (45)$$

$$\min\{O - \sum_i \{O_i\}, UO_i - O_i, I_i\} = 0 \quad \forall i \quad (46)$$

$$D_i, O_i, I_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \quad \forall i \quad (47)$$

We can solve this system and determine the values of D_i , O_i and I_i , $\forall i$, simply by defining the priorities for distribution. The following three algorithms can be used to find a complete solution.

The algorithm (??) is used for finding an optimal value for T .

Algorithm 1 Solving MBPTM problem — Part 01 - find an optimal T to the problem defined in (??) to (??).

Require: $UD_i, UO_i, UI_i, p_i, \forall i, O, I, Z$

$T^* \leftarrow \lfloor \min\{\min_{\forall i}\{(UO_i + UI_i + UD_i)/p_i\}, (O + I + \sum_i UD_i)/\sum_i p_i\} \rfloor$

$T \leftarrow \min\{T^*, Z\}$

Return: T .

Then an initial production distribution can be done by the algorithm (??).

Algorithm 2 Solving MBPTM problem — Part 02 - calculate D_i, O_i and $I_i, \forall i$, ignoring the restrictions in (??) and (??).

Require: $UD_i, UO_i, UI_i, p_i, \forall i, O, I, Z, T$.

for all i **do**

$E_i \leftarrow T * p_i$

$D_i \leftarrow \min\{UD_i, E_i\}$

$E_i \leftarrow E_i - D_i$

$O_i \leftarrow \min\{UO_i, E_i\}$

$E_i = E_i - O_i$

$UO_i = UO_i - O_i$

$I_i = E_i$

$UI_i = UI_i - I_i$

end for

$SO = O - \sum_i O_i$

$SI = I - \sum_i I_i$

Return: $D_i, O_i, I_i, E_i, UO_i, UI_i, \forall i, SO, SI$.

After applying algorithm (??), if $SO \geq 0$ and $SI \geq 0$, solution found is an optimal solution. However, if $SO < 0$ or $SI < 0$, solution is not yet feasible. But as the solution found to T is feasible to the problem defined in (??) to (??), we have the following statements:

Lemma 1. *Let T be a optimal solution to the problem defined in (??) to (??) and SO and SI defined by algorithm (??), so:*

*if $SO < 0$ then $SI > 0$ and $|SO| < SI$
if $SI < 0$ then $SO > 0$ and $|SI| < SO$*

Therefore it is possible to meet the restrictions in (??) and (??) by applying the algorithm (??).

Algorithm 3 Solving MBPTM problem — Part 03: redistribute production to comply with limitation restrictions for the batch products set.

Require: $O_i, I_i, UO_i, UI_i, \forall i, SO, SI$.

```

if  $SO < 0$  then
  for all  $i$  do
     $O_i \leftarrow O_i - \min\{O_i, UI_i, |SO|\}$ 
     $I_i \leftarrow I_i + \min\{O_i, UI_i, |SO|\}$ 
     $SO \leftarrow SO + \min\{O_i, UI_i, |SO|\}$ 
    if  $SO = 0$  then break looping for;
  end if
  end for
end if
if  $SI < 0$  then
  for all  $i$  do
     $I_i \leftarrow I_i - \min\{I_i, UO_i, |SI|\}$ 
     $O_i \leftarrow O_i + \min\{I_i, UO_i, |SI|\}$ 
     $SI \leftarrow SI + \min\{I_i, UO_i, |SI|\}$ 
    if  $SI = 0$  then break looping for;
  end if
  end for
end if
Return:  $O_i, I_i, \forall i$ .

```

5. Tests and results

To test the developed model and analytical solution method, we created a solver in C++ named COPSolver (V01_20230814, lib multiproduct-batch-processing-time-maximization-problem) and a solver in LINGO named MBPTM.lng both available at <https://github.com/tbfraga/COPSolver>. The tests were performed on a notebook with an Intel i7 processor. We tested the solvers developed for the benchmarks presented on Tables ??, ?? and ??, and for random benchmarks generated by the following functions:

$$p_i = \text{rand()} \% 30 + 10 \quad (48)$$

$$UD_i = \text{rand()} \% 3000 + 800; \quad (49)$$

$$\text{seed1} = \text{rand()} \% 3000 + 500; \quad (50)$$

$$\text{seed2} = \text{rand()} \% 5000 + 1000; \quad (51)$$

$$O = N/2 * \text{seed1}; \quad (52)$$

$$UO_i = \text{rand}() \% (\text{seed1} - 500) + 500; \quad (53)$$

$$I = N/2 * \text{seed2}; \quad (54)$$

$$UI_i = \text{rand}() \% (\text{seed2} - 1000) + 1000; \quad (55)$$

$$Z = 100; \quad (56)$$

i	1	2	total
p_i	60	40	
UD_i	1000	500	
UO_i	600	600	1000
UI_i	3000	2000	3000
			Z 100

Table 1: Benchmark MBPTM 2

Randomly generated benchmarks were named RMBPTM N, being N the number of products. Seeking to enable the reproduction of the results, in the computational construction of the benchmarks we used the function `rand((unsigned) source)`, where `source` is a defined value. To build the results presented in this work, we used `source=0`. The benchmarks used for the tests performed can be consulted at github.com/tbfraga/COPSolver.

i	1	2	3	total
p_i	60	40	50	
UD_i	1000	500	800	
UO_i	600	600	600	1500
UI_i	3000	2000	1000	3500

Z 100

Table 2: Benchmark MBPTM 3

i	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	total
p_i	60	40	50	40	30	50	60	10	20	40	
UD_i	1000	500	800	500	400	500	2000	300	500	1000	
UO_i	600	600	600	1500	300	200	500	800	0	200	3000
UI_i	3000	2000	1000	800	3000	1000	400	300	200	0	5000

Z 100

Table 3: Benchmark MBPTM 10

Table ?? presents the results obtained by applying the analytical method (COPSolver) and the LINGO solver for the solution of the previously presented benchmarks.

Tables (??) and (??) shows the production distribution for MBPTM 3 and 10 found by both COPSolver and LINGO solver. Files containing all results can be found at github.com/tbfraga/COPSolver.

It is important to point out that the LINGO solver was developed with the purpose of validating the results found by the proposed analytical method. As the analytical method is a polynomial time complexity method, we did not intend to compare computational costs, however it is possible to verify

problem	LINGO solver	time (s)	analytical method	time (s)
MBPTM 2	55	0.03	55	< 0.01
MBPTM 3	48	0.03	48	< 0.01
MBPTM 10	30	0.05	30	< 0.01
RMBPTM 20	100	0.06	100	< 0.01
RMBPTM 50	98	0.08	98	< 0.01
RMBPTM 100	98	0.11	98	< 0.01
RMBPTM 1,000	78	1.20	78	< 0.01
RMBPTM 2,000	70	3.25	70	< 0.01
RMBPTM 5,000	70	15.12	70	< 0.02
RMBPTM 10,000	70	55.19	70	< 0.04

Table 4: Results obtained with the LINGO solver and the analytical method

product	production	delivered (s)	send to outlets	stocked in factory
P1	2,880	1,000	300	1,580
P2	1,920	500	600	820
P3	2,400	800	600	1,000

Table 5: Results obtained with the LINGO solver and COPSolver for the MBPTM 3

that both solvers are capable of finding optimal solutions for the proposed benchmarks very quickly, even for very large problems.

We have considered here a one-day period problem, so in a future work we will study the complexities of solving a multi-period problem and try to propose new solution methods if needed.

6. Conclusions and suggestions for future works

In this paper we presented the Multi-product Batch Processing Time Maximization problem, as well as a mathematical model and an exact an-

product	production	delivered (s)	send to outlets	stocked in factory
P1	1,800	1,000	400	400
P2	1,200	500	600	100
P3	1,500	800	600	100
P4	1,200	500	700	0
P5	900	400	300	200
P6	1,500	500	200	800
P7	1,800	1800	0	0
P8	300	300	0	0
P9	600	500	0	100
P10	1,200	1000	200	0

Table 6: Results obtained with the LINGO solver and COPSolver for the MBPTM 10

analytical solution method for this problem. The mathematical model and analytical method were tested, respectively, by a solver developed with the LINGO software, from LINDO Systems, and with a solver developed in C++ language. Optimum results were found for all proposed benchmarks, very quickly, even in the case of very large problems, which demonstrates the efficiency of the proposed method. As in this paper we considered a planning period of one day, in a future work we will study the complexities that arise when considering the same problem in a multi-period scenario. We will also verify if there is the possibility of extending the proposed analytical method to solve a class of linear integer programming problems with similar characteristics to the studied problem.

7. CRediT authorship contribution statement

T.B. Fraga: Conceptualization, Project administration, Supervision, Software, Methodology, Validation, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. Í.R.B. Aquino: Data curation. R.C.S. Menêzes: Data curation.

8. Acknowledgments

We are enormously grateful to Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) and to Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) for the financial support provided to our projects. We also thank LINDO systems team for the LINGO software license, without which this work would not have been possible and the to the owner of the company in the plastics sector, who allowed us to learn about his company’s production process. Finally, we would like to thank Pró-reitoria de Extensão e Cultura da UFPE (PROExC) and the Research Director of Propesqi (Pró-reitoria de Pesquisa e Inovação da UFPE) for their support and recognition of our work, and dear Professors Antônio José da Silva Neto and João Flávio Vieira de Vasconcellos from IPRJ/UERJ, who contributed significantly to the formation of essential skills for the development of our projects. We also thank my co-worker Marcos Luiz Henrique, for having helped by evaluating the mathematical model and solution method proposed in this paper.

References

- Eilon. (1985). Multi-product batch production on a single machine - A problem revisited. *OMEGA Int. J. of Mgmt Sci.*, Vol. 13 (5), pp. 453–468.
- Kim, M., Jung, J. H. and Lee, I. (1996). Intelligent scheduling and monitoring for multi-product networked batch processes. *Computers chem. Engn*, Vol. 20 (Suppl.), pp. 1149–1154.
- Li, C., Wang, F., Gupta, J.N.D., Chung, T. (2022). Scheduling identical parallel batch processing machines involving incompatible families with different job sizes and capacity constraints. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, Vol. 169, pp. 108115.
- Liu, G., Li, F., Yang, X., and Qiu. S. (2020). The multi-stage multi-product batch-sizing problem in the steel industry. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, Vol. 369, 124830.
- Méndez, C.A., Henning, G.P., Cerdá, J. (2000). Optimal scheduling of batch plants satisfying multiple product orders with different due-dates. *Computers and Chemical Engineering*, Vol. 24, pp. 2223–2245.
- OMEGA Journal. (1993). Single Machine Multi-product Batch Scheduling: Testing Several Solution Methods. *OMEGA Int. J. of Mgmt Sci.*, Vol. 21 (6), pp. 709–711.
- Ravemark, D. E., and Rippin, D. W. T. (1998). Optimal design of a multi-product batch plant. *Computers chem. Engng*, Vol. 22 (1-2), pp. 177–183.

Shi, B., Qian, X., Sun, S., Yan, L. (2017). Rule-based scheduling of multi-stage multi-product batch plants with parallel units. *Chinese Journal of Chemical Engineering*, in press.

```

This is pdfTeX, Version 3.141592653-2.6-1.40.24 (TeX Live 2022)
(preloaded format=pdflatex 2023.3.8) 14 AUG 2023 10:29
entering extended mode
  restricted \writel8 enabled.
  %&-line parsing enabled.
**manuscript.tex
(./manuscript.tex
LaTeX2e <2022-11-01> patch level 1
L3 programming layer <2023-02-22> (c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-
dist/tex/latex/elsarti
cle/elsarticle.cls
Document Class: elsarticle 2020/11/20, 3.3: Elsevier Ltd
(c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/l3kernel/expl3.sty
Package: expl3 2023-02-22 L3 programming layer (loader)
(c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/l3backend/l3backend-pdftex.def
File: l3backend-pdftex.def 2023-01-16 L3 backend support: PDF output
(pdfTeX)
\l__color_backend_stack_int=\count185
\l__pdf_internal_box=\box51
)) (c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/l3packages/xparse/xparse.sty
Package: xparse 2023-02-02 L3 Experimental document command parser
) (c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/etoolbox/etoolbox.sty
Package: etoolbox 2020/10/05 v2.5k e-TeX tools for LaTeX (JAW)
\etb@tempcnta=\count186
)
\@bls=\dimen140
(c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/base/article.cls
Document Class: article 2022/07/02 v1.4n Standard LaTeX document class
(c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/base/size12.clo
File: size12.clo 2022/07/02 v1.4n Standard LaTeX file (size option)
)
\c@part=\count187
\c@section=\count188
\c@subsection=\count189
\c@subsubsection=\count190
\c@paragraph=\count191
\c@subparagraph=\count192
\c@figure=\count193
\c@table=\count194
\abovecaptionskip=\skip48
\belowcaptionskip=\skip49
\bibindent=\dimen141
) (c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/graphics/graphicx.sty
Package: graphicx 2021/09/16 v1.2d Enhanced LaTeX Graphics (DPC,SPQR)
(c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/graphics/keyval.sty
Package: keyval 2022/05/29 v1.15 key=value parser (DPC)
\KV@toks@=\toks16
) (c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/graphics/graphics.sty
Package: graphics 2022/03/10 v1.4e Standard LaTeX Graphics (DPC,SPQR)
(c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/graphics/trig.sty
Package: trig 2021/08/11 v1.11 sin cos tan (DPC)
) (c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/graphics-cfg/graphics.cfg
File: graphics.cfg 2016/06/04 v1.11 sample graphics configuration
)

```

```

Package graphics Info: Driver file: pdftex.def on input line 107.
(c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/graphics-def/pdftex.def
File: pdftex.def 2022/09/22 v1.2b Graphics/color driver for pdftex
))
\Gin@req@height=\dimen142
\Gin@req@width=\dimen143
)
\c@tnote=\count195
\c@fnote=\count196
\c@cnote=\count197
\c@ead=\count198
\c@author=\count199
\@eadauthor=\toks17
\c@affn=\count266
\absbox=\box52
\elsarticlehighlightsbox=\box53
\elsarticlegrabsbox=\box54
\keybox=\box55
\Columnwidth=\dimen144
\space@left=\dimen145
\els@boxa=\box56
\els@boxb=\box57
\leftMargin=\dimen146
\@enLab=\toks18
\@sep=\skip50
\@@sep=\skip51
(./manuscript.spl) (c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-
dist/tex/latex/natbib/natbib.sty
Package: natbib 2010/09/13 8.31b (PWD, AO)
\bibhang=\skip52
\bibsep=\skip53
LaTeX Info: Redefining \cite on input line 694.
\c@NAT@ctr=\count267
)
\splwrite=\write3
\openout3 = `manuscript.spl'.

\appnamewidth=\dimen147
) (c:/TeXLive/texmf-local/tex/latex/aries/setspace.sty
Package: setspace 2000/12/01 6.7 Contributed and Supported LaTeX2e
package
Package: `setspace' 6.7 <2000/12/01>
) (c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/algorithms/algorithm.sty
Package: algorithm 2009/08/24 v0.1 Document Style `algorithm' - floating
enviro
nment
(c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/float/float.sty
Package: float 2001/11/08 v1.3d Float enhancements (AL)
\c@float@type=\count268
\float@exts=\toks19
\float@box=\box58
\@float@everytoks=\toks20
\@floatcapt=\box59
) (c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/base/iffthen.sty

```



```

Package: ifthen 2022/04/13 v1.1d Standard LaTeX ifthen package (DPC)
)
\@float@every@algorithm=\toks21
\c@algorithm=\count269
) (c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/algorithmicx/algpseudocode.sty
Package: algpseudocode
(c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/algorithmicx/algorithmicx.sty
Package: algorithmicx 2005/04/27 v1.2 Algorithmicx
Document Style algorithmicx 1.2 - a greatly improved `algorithmic' style
\c@ALG@line=\count270
\c@ALG@rem=\count271
\c@ALG@nested=\count272
\ALG@tln=\skip54
\ALG@thistln=\skip55
\c@ALG@Lnr=\count273
\c@ALG@blocknr=\count274
\c@ALG@storecount=\count275
\c@ALG@tmpcounter=\count276
\ALG@tmplength=\skip56
)
Document Style - pseudocode environments for use with the `algorithmicx'
style
)
\c@theorem=\count277
\c@corollary=\count278
(c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/amsfonts/amssymb.sty
Package: amssymb 2013/01/14 v3.01 AMS font symbols
(c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/amsfonts/amsfonts.sty
Package: amsfonts 2013/01/14 v3.01 Basic AMSFonts support
\@emptytoks=\toks22
\symAMSa=\mathgroup4
\symAMSb=\mathgroup5
LaTeX Font Info:    Redeclaring math symbol \hbar on input line 98.
LaTeX Font Info:    Overwriting math alphabet ``\mathfrak' in version
`bold'
(Font)              U/euf/m/n --> U/euf/b/n on input line 106.
))

```

```

LaTeX Warning: Unused global option(s):
[manuscript].

```

```

(./manuscript.aux)
\openout1 = `manuscript.aux'.

```

```

LaTeX Font Info:    Checking defaults for OML/cmm/m/it on input line 61.
LaTeX Font Info:    ... okay on input line 61.
LaTeX Font Info:    Checking defaults for OMS/cmsy/m/n on input line 61.
LaTeX Font Info:    ... okay on input line 61.
LaTeX Font Info:    Checking defaults for OT1/cmr/m/n on input line 61.
LaTeX Font Info:    ... okay on input line 61.
LaTeX Font Info:    Checking defaults for T1/cmr/m/n on input line 61.
LaTeX Font Info:    ... okay on input line 61.
LaTeX Font Info:    Checking defaults for TS1/cmr/m/n on input line 61.
LaTeX Font Info:    ... okay on input line 61.

```

```

LaTeX Font Info:    Checking defaults for OMX/cmex/m/n on input line 61.
LaTeX Font Info:    ... okay on input line 61.
LaTeX Font Info:    Checking defaults for U/cmr/m/n on input line 61.
LaTeX Font Info:    ... okay on input line 61.
(c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/context/base/mkii/supp-pdf.mkii
[Loading MPS to PDF converter (version 2006.09.02).]
\scratchcounter=\count279
\scratchdimen=\dimen148
\scratchbox=\box60
\nofMPsegments=\count280
\nofMParguments=\count281
\everyMPshowfont=\toks23
\MPscratchCnt=\count282
\MPscratchDim=\dimen149
\MPnumerator=\count283
\makeMPintoPDFobject=\count284
\everyMPtoPDFconversion=\toks24
) (c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/epstopdf-pkg/epstopdf-base.sty
Package: epstopdf-base 2020-01-24 v2.11 Base part for package epstopdf
Package epstopdf-base Info: Redefining graphics rule for '.eps' on input
line 4
85.
(c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/latexconfig/epstopdf-sys.cfg
File: epstopdf-sys.cfg 2010/07/13 v1.3 Configuration of (r)epstopdf for
TeX Live
e
))

```

LaTeX Warning: File `graphical.png' not found on input line 140.

! Package pdftex.def Error: File `graphical.png' not found: using draft setting

.

See the pdftex.def package documentation for explanation.
Type H <return> for immediate help.

...

1.140 ...phics[width=0.8\textwidth]{graphical.png}

Try typing <return> to proceed.
If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit.

[1

{c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-var/fonts/map/pdftex/updmap/pdftex.map}] [2

]

LaTeX Font Info: Trying to load font information for U+msa on input
line 154

.

(c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/amsfonts/umsa.fd
File: umsa.fd 2013/01/14 v3.01 AMS symbols A

```

)
LaTeX Font Info:   Trying to load font information for U+msb on input
line 154
.
(c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/amsfonts/umsb.fd
File: umsb.fd 2013/01/14 v3.01 AMS symbols B
)
Overfull \hbox (2.61108pt too wide) has occurred while \output is active
[]
[]

[1

]
Overfull \hbox (1.14038pt too wide) in paragraph at lines 162--163
[]\OT1/cmr/m/n/12 The sci-en-tific lit-er-a-ture presents sev-eral pa-
pers that
  ad-dress multi-product
[]

[2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) in paragraph at lines 323--324

[]

Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) in paragraph at lines 329--330

[]

[10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) in paragraph at lines 556--557

[]

[16]
Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) in paragraph at lines 598--599

[]

[17] [18] [19]
Overfull \hbox (4.68195pt too wide) in paragraph at lines 674--684
[]
[]

LaTeX Warning: `h' float specifier changed to `ht'.

[20]

LaTeX Warning: `h' float specifier changed to `ht'.

```

LaTeX Warning: `h' float specifier changed to `ht'.

[21] [22]
Overfull \hbox (0.77513pt too wide) in paragraph at lines 773--774
[]\OT1/cmr/m/n/12 T.B. Fraga: Con-cep-tu-al-iza-tion, Project ad-min-is-tra-tio
n, Su-per-vi-sion, Soft-
[]

[23] [24] [25] (./manuscript.aux)

LaTeX Warning: Label(s) may have changed. Rerun to get cross-references right.

)
Here is how much of TeX's memory you used:
3864 strings out of 476024
58319 string characters out of 5794017
1870382 words of memory out of 5000000
24268 multiletter control sequences out of 15000+600000
522501 words of font info for 72 fonts, out of 8000000 for 9000
1141 hyphenation exceptions out of 8191
72i,11n,76p,3024b,382s stack positions out of
10000i,1000n,20000p,200000b,200000s
{c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/fonts/enc/dvips/cm-super/cm-super-
ts1.enc}<c:/TeX
Live/2022/texmf-
dist/fonts/typel/public/amsfonts/cm/cmbx12.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2022
/texmf-
dist/fonts/typel/public/amsfonts/cm/cmex10.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-di
st/fonts/typel/public/amsfonts/cm/cmml10.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-
dist/fonts/
typel/public/amsfonts/cm/cmml12.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-
dist/fonts/typel/pub
lic/amsfonts/cm/cmml8.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-
dist/fonts/typel/public/amsfon
ts/cm/cmrl10.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-
dist/fonts/typel/public/amsfonts/cm/cmrl
2.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-
dist/fonts/typel/public/amsfonts/cm/cmrl7.pfb><c:/
TeXLive/2022/texmf-
dist/fonts/typel/public/amsfonts/cm/cmrl8.pfb><c:/TeXLive/202
2/texmf-
dist/fonts/typel/public/amsfonts/cm/cmsy10.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-d
ist/fonts/typel/public/amsfonts/cm/cmsy7.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-
dist/fonts/
typel/public/amsfonts/cm/cmsy8.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-
dist/fonts/typel/publ
ic/amsfonts/cm/cmtil0.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-
dist/fonts/typel/public/amsfon
ts/cm/cmtil2.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-
dist/fonts/typel/public/amsfonts/cm/cmt
i7.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-
dist/fonts/typel/public/amsfonts/cm/cmtt10.pfb><c

```
:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-  
dist/fonts/type1/public/amsfonts/cm/cmtt12.pfb><c:/TeXLive  
/2022/texmf-  
dist/fonts/type1/public/amsfonts/symbols/msbm10.pfb><c:/TeXLive/202  
2/texmf-dist/fonts/type1/public/cm-super/sfrm1200.pfb>  
Output written on manuscript.pdf (27 pages, 254018 bytes).  
PDF statistics:  
188 PDF objects out of 1000 (max. 8388607)  
119 compressed objects within 2 object streams  
0 named destinations out of 1000 (max. 500000)  
1 words of extra memory for PDF output out of 10000 (max. 10000000)
```

Highlights

Multi-product Batch Processing Time Maximization Problem

- brief bibliographic review on multi-product batch problems;
- Multi-product Batch Processing Time Maximization (MBPTM) problem definition;
- linear integer programming model for the MBPTM problem;
- exact optimization method for solving the MBPTM problem.

```
This is pdfTeX, Version 3.141592653-2.6-1.40.24 (TeX Live 2022)
(preloaded format=pdflatex 2023.3.8) 14 AUG 2023 10:29
entering extended mode
restricted \writel8 enabled.
%&-line parsing enabled.
**manuscriptblinded.tex
(./manuscriptBlinded.tex
LaTeX2e <2022-11-01> patch level 1
L3 programming layer <2023-02-22> (c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-
dist/tex/latex/elsarti
cle/elsarticle.cls
Document Class: elsarticle 2020/11/20, 3.3: Elsevier Ltd
(c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/l3kernel/expl3.sty
Package: expl3 2023-02-22 L3 programming layer (loader)
(c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/l3backend/l3backend-pdftex.def
File: l3backend-pdftex.def 2023-01-16 L3 backend support: PDF output
(pdfTeX)
\l__color_backend_stack_int=\count185
\l__pdf_internal_box=\box51
)) (c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/l3packages/xparse/xparse.sty
Package: xparse 2023-02-02 L3 Experimental document command parser
) (c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/etoolbox/etoolbox.sty
Package: etoolbox 2020/10/05 v2.5k e-TeX tools for LaTeX (JAW)
\etb@tempcnta=\count186
)
\@bls=\dimen140
(c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/base/article.cls
Document Class: article 2022/07/02 v1.4n Standard LaTeX document class
(c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/base/size12.clo
File: size12.clo 2022/07/02 v1.4n Standard LaTeX file (size option)
)
\c@part=\count187
\c@section=\count188
\c@subsection=\count189
\c@subsubsection=\count190
\c@paragraph=\count191
\c@subparagraph=\count192
\c@figure=\count193
\c@table=\count194
\abovecaptionskip=\skip48
\belowcaptionskip=\skip49
\bibindent=\dimen141
) (c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/graphics/graphicx.sty
Package: graphicx 2021/09/16 v1.2d Enhanced LaTeX Graphics (DPC,SPQR)
(c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/graphics/keyval.sty
Package: keyval 2022/05/29 v1.15 key=value parser (DPC)
\KV@toks@=\toks16
) (c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/graphics/graphics.sty
Package: graphics 2022/03/10 v1.4e Standard LaTeX Graphics (DPC,SPQR)
(c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/graphics/trig.sty
Package: trig 2021/08/11 v1.11 sin cos tan (DPC)
) (c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/graphics-cfg/graphics.cfg
File: graphics.cfg 2016/06/04 v1.11 sample graphics configuration
)
```

```

Package graphics Info: Driver file: pdftex.def on input line 107.
(c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/graphics-def/pdftex.def
File: pdftex.def 2022/09/22 v1.2b Graphics/color driver for pdftex
))
\Gin@req@height=\dimen142
\Gin@req@width=\dimen143
)
\c@tnote=\count195
\c@fnote=\count196
\c@cnote=\count197
\c@ead=\count198
\c@author=\count199
\@eadauthor=\toks17
\c@affn=\count266
\absbox=\box52
\elsarticlehighlightsbox=\box53
\elsarticlegrabsbox=\box54
\keybox=\box55
\Columnwidth=\dimen144
\space@left=\dimen145
\els@boxa=\box56
\els@boxb=\box57
\leftMargin=\dimen146
\@enLab=\toks18
\@sep=\skip50
\@@sep=\skip51
(./manuscriptBlinded.spl) (c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-
dist/tex/latex/natbib/natbib.s
ty
Package: natbib 2010/09/13 8.31b (PWD, AO)
\bibhang=\skip52
\bibsep=\skip53
LaTeX Info: Redefining \cite on input line 694.
\c@NAT@ctr=\count267
)
\splwrite=\write3
\openout3 = `manuscriptBlinded.spl'.

\appnamewidth=\dimen147
) (c:/TeXLive/texmf-local/tex/latex/aries/setspace.sty
Package: setspace 2000/12/01 6.7 Contributed and Supported LaTeX2e
package
Package: `setspace' 6.7 <2000/12/01>
) (c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/algorithms/algorithm.sty
Package: algorithm 2009/08/24 v0.1 Document Style `algorithm' - floating
enviro
nment
(c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/float/float.sty
Package: float 2001/11/08 v1.3d Float enhancements (AL)
\c@float@type=\count268
\float@exts=\toks19
\float@box=\box58
\@float@everytoks=\toks20
\@floatcapt=\box59

```



```

) (c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/base/ifthen.sty
Package: ifthen 2022/04/13 v1.1d Standard LaTeX ifthen package (DPC)
)
\@float@every@algorithm=\toks21
\c@algorithm=\count269
) (c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/algorithmicx/algpseudocode.sty
Package: algpseudocode
(c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/algorithmicx/algorithmicx.sty
Package: algorithmicx 2005/04/27 v1.2 Algorithmicx
Document Style algorithmicx 1.2 - a greatly improved `algorithmic' style
\c@ALG@line=\count270
\c@ALG@rem=\count271
\c@ALG@nested=\count272
\ALG@tln=\skip54
\ALG@thistln=\skip55
\c@ALG@Lnr=\count273
\c@ALG@blocknr=\count274
\c@ALG@storecount=\count275
\c@ALG@tmpcounter=\count276
\ALG@tmplength=\skip56
)
Document Style - pseudocode environments for use with the `algorithmicx'
style
)
\c@theorem=\count277
\c@corollary=\count278
(c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/amsfonts/amssymb.sty
Package: amssymb 2013/01/14 v3.01 AMS font symbols
(c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/amsfonts/amsfonts.sty
Package: amsfonts 2013/01/14 v3.01 Basic AMSFonts support
\@emptytoks=\toks22
\symAMSa=\mathgroup4
\symAMSb=\mathgroup5
LaTeX Font Info:    Redeclaring math symbol \hbar on input line 98.
LaTeX Font Info:    Overwriting math alphabet ``\mathfrak' in version
`bold'
(Font)              U/euf/m/n --> U/euf/b/n on input line 106.
))

```

LaTeX Warning: Unused global option(s):
[manuscript].

```

(./manuscriptBlinded.aux)
\openout1 = `manuscriptBlinded.aux'.

```

```

LaTeX Font Info:    Checking defaults for OML/cmm/m/it on input line 61.
LaTeX Font Info:    ... okay on input line 61.
LaTeX Font Info:    Checking defaults for OMS/cmsy/m/n on input line 61.
LaTeX Font Info:    ... okay on input line 61.
LaTeX Font Info:    Checking defaults for OT1/cmr/m/n on input line 61.
LaTeX Font Info:    ... okay on input line 61.
LaTeX Font Info:    Checking defaults for T1/cmr/m/n on input line 61.
LaTeX Font Info:    ... okay on input line 61.
LaTeX Font Info:    Checking defaults for TS1/cmr/m/n on input line 61.

```

```

LaTeX Font Info:    ... okay on input line 61.
LaTeX Font Info:    Checking defaults for OMX/cmex/m/n on input line 61.
LaTeX Font Info:    ... okay on input line 61.
LaTeX Font Info:    Checking defaults for U/cmr/m/n on input line 61.
LaTeX Font Info:    ... okay on input line 61.
(c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/context/base/mkii/supp-pdf.mkii
[Loading MPS to PDF converter (version 2006.09.02).]
\scratchcounter=\count279
\scratchdimen=\dimen148
\scratchbox=\box60
\nofMPsegments=\count280
\nofMParguments=\count281
\everyMPshowfont=\toks23
\MPscratchCnt=\count282
\MPscratchDim=\dimen149
\MPnumerator=\count283
\makeMPintoPDFobject=\count284
\everyMPtoPDFconversion=\toks24
) (c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/epstopdf-pkg/epstopdf-base.sty
Package: epstopdf-base 2020-01-24 v2.11 Base part for package epstopdf
Package epstopdf-base Info: Redefining graphics rule for '.eps' on input
line 4
85.
(c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/latexconfig/epstopdf-sys.cfg
File: epstopdf-sys.cfg 2010/07/13 v1.3 Configuration of (r)epstopdf for
TeX Liv
e
))

LaTeX Warning: File `graphical.png' not found on input line 121.

! Package pdftex.def Error: File `graphical.png' not found: using draft
setting
.

See the pdftex.def package documentation for explanation.
Type H <return> for immediate help.
...

1.121 ...phics[width=0.8\textwidth]{graphical.png}

Try typing <return> to proceed.
If that doesn't work, type X <return> to quit.

[1

{c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-var/fonts/map/pdftex/updmap/pdftex.map}] [2

]
LaTeX Font Info:    Trying to load font information for U+msa on input
line 140
.
(c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/amsfonts/umsa.fd

```

File: umsa.fd 2013/01/14 v3.01 AMS symbols A

)

LaTeX Font Info: Trying to load font information for U+msb on input line 140

.

(c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/tex/latex/amsfonts/umsb.fd

File: umsb.fd 2013/01/14 v3.01 AMS symbols B

)

Overfull \hbox (1.14038pt too wide) in paragraph at lines 143--144

[]\OT1/cmr/m/n/12 The sci-en-tific lit-er-a-ture presents sev-eral pa-pers that

ad-dress multi-product

[]

Overfull \hbox (2.61108pt too wide) has occurred while \output is active

[]

[]

[1

] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6] [7] [8] [9]

Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) in paragraph at lines 304--305

[]

Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) in paragraph at lines 310--311

[]

[10] [11] [12] [13] [14] [15]

Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) in paragraph at lines 537--538

[]

[16]

Underfull \hbox (badness 10000) in paragraph at lines 579--580

[]

[17] [18]

Overfull \hbox (4.68195pt too wide) in paragraph at lines 655--665

[]

[]

LaTeX Warning: `h' float specifier changed to `ht'.

[19] [20] [21] [22] [23] [24] (./manuscriptBlinded.aux)

LaTeX Warning: Label(s) may have changed. Rerun to get cross-references right.

```

)
Here is how much of TeX's memory you used:
 3852 strings out of 476024
 58317 string characters out of 5794017
 1866382 words of memory out of 5000000
 24257 multiletter control sequences out of 15000+600000
 521984 words of font info for 70 fonts, out of 8000000 for 9000
 1141 hyphenation exceptions out of 8191
 72i,11n,76p,3031b,320s stack positions out of
10000i,1000n,20000p,200000b,200000s
{c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/fonts/enc/dvips/cm-super/cm-super-
ts1.enc}<c:/TeX
Live/2022/texmf-
dist/fonts/type1/public/amsfonts/cm/cmbx12.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2022
/texmf-
dist/fonts/type1/public/amsfonts/cm/cmex10.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-di
st/fonts/type1/public/amsfonts/cm/cmmi10.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-
dist/fonts/
type1/public/amsfonts/cm/cmmi12.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-
dist/fonts/type1/pub
lic/amsfonts/cm/cmmi8.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-
dist/fonts/type1/public/amsfon
ts/cm/cmr10.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-
dist/fonts/type1/public/amsfonts/cm/cmr1
2.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-
dist/fonts/type1/public/amsfonts/cm/cmr17.pfb><c:/
TeXLive/2022/texmf-
dist/fonts/type1/public/amsfonts/cm/cmr8.pfb><c:/TeXLive/202
2/texmf-
dist/fonts/type1/public/amsfonts/cm/cmsy10.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-d
ist/fonts/type1/public/amsfonts/cm/cmsy8.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-
dist/fonts/
type1/public/amsfonts/cm/cmti10.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-
dist/fonts/type1/pub
lic/amsfonts/cm/cmti12.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-
dist/fonts/type1/public/amsfo
nts/cm/cmtt12.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-
dist/fonts/type1/public/amsfonts/symbo
ls/msbm10.pfb><c:/TeXLive/2022/texmf-dist/fonts/type1/public/cm-
super/sfrml200.
pfb>
Output written on manuscriptBlinded.pdf (26 pages, 216895 bytes).
PDF statistics:
 170 PDF objects out of 1000 (max. 8388607)
 108 compressed objects within 2 object streams
 0 named destinations out of 1000 (max. 500000)
 1 words of extra memory for PDF output out of 10000 (max. 10000000)

```

Graphical Abstract

Multi-product Batch Processing Time Maximization Problem



Highlights

Multi-product Batch Processing Time Maximization Problem

- brief bibliographic review on multi-product batch problems;
- Multi-product Batch Processing Time Maximization (MBPTM) problem definition;
- linear integer programming model for the MBPTM problem;
- exact optimization method for solving the MBPTM problem.

Multi-product Batch Processing Time Maximization Problem

Abstract

In the plastic bag extrusion process, it is necessary to determine the optimal processing time for batches formed by different products, which are processed simultaneously by the same extruder, but with different processing rates. The batch processing time must be determined in order to meet a series of known constraints, such as the limitation for the quantity produced for each product and for the quantity produced for the set of all products in the same batch. In this paper we present this problem as a new combinatorial optimization problem named Multi-product Batch Processing Time Maximization (MBPTM) problem. We also present a mathematical model for the MBPTM problem and an analytical solution method with polynomial time complexity, which proved to be able to obtain optimal solutions for several benchmarks in a very short time, even for very large instances.

Keywords: multiproduct batch, processing time maximization, mathematical model, analytical solution, LINGO

1. Introduction

The scientific literature presents several papers that address multi-product batch problems. Many works target the problem of multi-product batch

scheduling (MPBS), in which it is necessary to determine the production sequence of the products and the ideal batch size for each product, in a production of several products which share the same production facilities and for which demand is known (Eilon, 1985). In this problem, a single product is processed at a time, so it is necessary that the quantity produced is sufficient to meet the demand during the period in which other products are being processed. The solution must be determined in such a way that both setup and storage costs are minimized. Among the authors who addressed this problem are Eilon (1985), Omega Journal (1993) and Liu *et al.* (2020). Méndez *et al.* (2000) and Shi *et al.* (2017) present two parallel multi-product batch scheduling (PMPBS) problems, in which production units of the same type are arranged in parallel, forming each production stage. In this case, it is also necessary to define in which units each product will be processed. The authors also take into account other constraints for the problem (*e.g.*, release time and due date for the products, and sequencing constraints due to mismatched product colors sequences) and other goals (*i.e.*, optimize customer satisfaction and/or the plant performance). In the papers mentioned above, production in a single unit or in a serial flowshop plant is considered, in which all products follow a linear flow through the production stages. Kim *et al.* (1996) consider the multi-product batch problem in networked processes. As defined by the authors, in this case, the production units are not arranged in lines, and the connection between the units may or may not exist. Therefore, the production paths in the flowshop network can be

different for each product. Also the passing of previous batch can be possible so that the final sequence of the production at the last stage units can be different from the initial sequence. In all papers presented above, each batch contains only one product type, and each machine can process only one batch (or product type) at a time. Li et al. (2022) deal with a PMPBS problem involving incompatible families with different job sizes and capacity constraints. In this problem, solutions are accepted in which two or more batches are processed simultaneously on the same machine. However, there are no production limitations for the production of each product group. The paper of Li et al. (2022) also presents an extensive literature review on production batch scheduling problems. Other important works deal with the problem of a multi-product batch plant design (MPBPD). In this case the problem is to obtain the configuration of the plant and the equipment that minimize the capital cost of all the equipment items needed to fulfill the production requirements (Ravemark and Rippin, 1998).

In this work, we are concerned with determining the ideal processing time for a single batch consisting of a set of products, each product being or not different from the other products of the same batch. That is, we consider a specific case where different products are processed simultaneously on the same processor. This case occurs, for example, during the processing of plastic bags in extruders, where the resins are melted, then pass through a cylinder forming a balloon and this balloon, after cooling, is stored in a coil which, in turn, is cut into several smaller coils with different models of plastic

bags.

As scientific contributions, in this paper we define a new multi-product batch problem, named multi-product batch processing time maximization (MBPTM) problem, as well as a mathematical model and a polynomial time complexity analytical solution optimization method for solving this problem.

In the next sections, a presentation of problem is made along with an application example. Section 3 presents an interger linear mathematical model for the problem and section 4 presents an analytical method for its solution. Section 5 presents the tests and results obtained by a solver in C++ applying the analytical solution and a solver developed in LINGO to new proposed benchmarks. In sections 7 and 8, the contributions to this work are informed and acknowledgments are given, respectively. Finally, section 6 presents the paper's conclusions and suggestions for future works.

2. Multi-product batch processing time maximization problem

The multi-product batch processing time maximization problem arises when a set of different products are processed simultaneously in a same production batch. In this problem, it is considered that the quantity produced of each product is directly proportional to the processing time, however, with a different constant of proportionality (production rate) for each product. In addition, there is a maximum quantity allowed for the production of batch products, defined both individually and for the set. The production quantity of each product is mainly defined according to the demand for the product.

However, it is still possible to stock the products and/or send them to the outlets. In both cases, there is a stocking/shipping limit for each product and a stocking/shipping limit for the set of products in the batch. Also, there is a time limit available for processing the batch. About the production, the industry manager has as priority to meet demand and he prefer to send the remaining production to outlets rather than stock it in the factory.

Example: A certain machine must process a batch containing 2 different products: A and B. The production rate of A is 60 g/min while the production rate of B is 40 g/min. The factory has free stock for a maximum of 3000 g of any product, and, according to the company's inventory policy, a maximum of 3000 g of product A and 2000 g of product B can be stocked at the factory. There is a demand for 1000 g of product A and 500 g of product B. The factory has an outlet that has free space in stock of 1000 g, which can receive a maximum of 600 g of each product. A maximum time of 100 minutes of this machine can be allocated for processing this batch. When distributing production the priority is to meet demand, then send to outlets and finily stock in factory. What is the maximum possible time for processing this batch and how production must be distributed ?

3. Mathematical model

Given that:

UD_i is the demand for the product i ;

I is the maximum quantity allowed for additional factory storage of all

products in the batch;

UL_i is the maximum quantity allowed for stocking the product i in the factory;

O is the maximum quantity allowed for shipment of all products to outlets;

UO_i is the maximum amount of product i that can be shipped to outlets;

p_i is the production rate of product i ;

Z is the timeout for batch processing;

P_i is the amount of product i produced;

D_i is the amount of product i delivered for the demand;

O_i amount of product i shipped to factory outlets;

I_i is the amount of product i that will be stored at the factory;

T is the batch processing time;

We have the problem:

$$\max \quad T \tag{1}$$

s.t.

$$P_i - p_i * T = 0 \quad \forall i \tag{2}$$

$$P_i - D_i - O_i - I_i = 0 \quad \forall i \tag{3}$$

$$D_i \leq UD_i \quad \forall i \tag{4}$$

$$O_i \leq \text{U}O_i \quad \forall i \quad (5)$$

$$\sum_i O_i \leq \text{O} \quad (6)$$

$$I_i \leq \text{U}I_i \quad \forall i \quad (7)$$

$$\sum_i I_i \leq \text{I} \quad (8)$$

$$T \leq \text{Z} \quad (9)$$

$$\min\{\text{U}D_i - D_i, O_i + I_i\} = 0 \quad \forall i \quad (10)$$

$$\min\{\text{O} - \sum_i \{O_i\}, \text{U}O_i - O_i, I_i\} = 0 \quad \forall i \quad (11)$$

$$T, D_i, O_i, I_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \quad \forall i \quad (12)$$

where:

Constraints in (2) relate the quantity produced, P_i , to batch processing time T . Constraints in (3) calculate the quantity produced, P_i , as a function

of the primary variables, D_i , O_i and I_i . Constraints in (4), (5), and (7) state that the quantity delivered to demand, the quantity shipped to the outlets, and the factory-stocked quantity of each product must be less than their respective known limits. Constraints (6) and (8) state that both the sum of product quantities sent to the outlets and the sum of product quantities stocked in the factory must be less than their respective maximum allowed values. The restriction in (9) establishes that there is a batch processing time limit, Z , that must be respected. Equations in (10) and (11) define the production distribution priority. And finally, the constraints in (12) inform the nature of the decision variables.

4. Analytical solution

To solve the MBPTM problem we will start considering that, despite equations in (10) and (11) define a priority for production distribution, T is not affected by the way production is distributed betwing demand, outlets and factory inventory. So we can find T , by ignoring this equations and, after finding T for the relaxed model, solving the production distribution problem based on the defined priorities.

After relaxation of the problem, it is possible to consider the factory stock and the outlets stock as single stock, so we have:

$$E_i = O_i + I_i \tag{13}$$

where E_i is the sum of the quantity stored at the factory and the quantity

sent to the outlets of the product i .

So that:

$$E_i \leq \text{UO}_i + \text{UI}_i \quad (14)$$

and

$$\sum_i E_i \leq \text{O} + \text{I} \quad (15)$$

It is also possible to split the batch processing time into two time slots:

$$T = T' + T'' \quad (16)$$

and consider that T' is the maximum processing time used only for production that will meet the demand. Thus, we can find T' , solving the reduced problem:

$$\max \quad T' \quad (17)$$

s.t.

$$D_i - p_i * T' = 0 \quad \forall i \quad (18)$$

$$D_i \leq \text{UD}_i \quad \forall i \quad (19)$$

$$D_i, T' \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \quad \forall i \quad (20)$$

This reduced problem can be rewritten in the form:

$$\max \quad T' \quad (21)$$

s.t.

$$T' \leq \lfloor UD_i/p_i \rfloor \quad \forall i \quad (22)$$

So we have that T' will be the smallest of the ratios $\lfloor UD_i/p_i \rfloor$ of all products.

Once we find the value of T' , we can calculate the value of unmet demand for each product after T' , S_i , through the equations in (23).

$$S_i = UD_i - p_i * T' \quad \forall i \quad (23)$$

Now we consider that the time interval T'' will be used for the production of the quantities that will be stored (in the factory and in the outlets), as well as of the demand not met by the production in the first time interval, S_i .

In this case, we can find T'' by solving the second reduced problem:

$$\max T'' \tag{24}$$

s.t.

$$E_i - p_i * T'' = 0 \quad \forall i \tag{25}$$

$$E_i - S_i \leq UO_i + UI_i \quad \forall i \tag{26}$$

$$\sum_i (E_i - S_i) \leq O + I \tag{27}$$

$$E_i, T'' \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \quad \forall i \tag{28}$$

Again, using a little algebra, we can rewrite this reduced problem in the form:

$$\max T'' \tag{29}$$

s.t.

$$T'' \leq \lfloor (UO_i + UI_i + S_i)/p_i \rfloor \quad \forall i \tag{30}$$

$$T'' \leq \lfloor (O + I + \sum_i S_i) / \sum_i p_i \rfloor \quad (31)$$

So, being N the number of products in the batch, we will have $N + 1$ inequalities that limit the value of T'' by constants and again T'' will be defined by the smallest value.

So, the analytical method can be decomposed into 4 steps:

step 1: find T' , where:

$$T' = \lfloor \min_{\forall i} \{UD_i / p_i\} \rfloor \quad (32)$$

step 2: calculate S_i for all products.

$$S_i = UD_i - p_i * T' \quad \forall i \quad (33)$$

step 3: find T'' , where:

$$T'' = \lfloor \min \{ \min_{\forall i} \{ (UO_i + UI_i + S_i) / p_i \}, (O + I + \sum_i S_i) / \sum_i p_i \} \rfloor \quad (34)$$

step 4: calculate T , where:

$$T = T' + T'' \quad (35)$$

$$T = \min \{T, Z\} \quad (36)$$

Note that there will be no change in the optimal solution if it is considered to T' , a value between 0 and the value found in step 1. Thus it is possible to use a simplification of the method presented, making $T' = 0$. In this case $S_i = UD_i, \forall i$. The simplified version of the method is presented below:

step 1: find T^* , where:

$$T^* = \lfloor \min\{\min_{\forall i}\{(UO_i + UI_i + UD_i)/p_i\}, (O + I + \sum_i UD_i)/\sum_i p_i\} \rfloor \quad (37)$$

step 2: calculate T , where:

$$T = \min\{T^*, Z\} \quad (38)$$

It is probably possible to extend this thinking to the solution of a class of linear optimization problems. However, we will work on this concept in an upcoming paper.

Solution for the example presented before

Applying the method for solving the example presented in section 2, we have:

$$T' \leq \lfloor 1000 \text{ g}/(60 \text{ g/min}) \rfloor$$

$$T' \leq 16 \text{ min} \quad \text{for A}$$

$$T' \leq \lfloor 500 \text{ g}/(40 \text{ g/min}) \rfloor$$

$$T' \leq 12 \text{ min} \quad \text{for B}$$

so:

$$T' = 12 \text{ min}$$

Then:

$$S_A = 1000 \text{ g} - 12 \text{ min} * 60 \text{ g/min} = 280 \text{ g}$$

$$S_B = 500 \text{ g} - 12 \text{ min} * 40 \text{ g/min} = 20 \text{ g}$$

And so we have:

$$T'' \leq \lfloor (3000 \text{ g} + 600 \text{ g} + 280 \text{ g}) / (60 \text{ g/min}) \rfloor$$

$$T'' \leq 64 \text{ min} \quad \text{for A}$$

$$T'' \leq \lfloor (2000 \text{ g} + 600 \text{ g} + 20 \text{ g}) / (40 \text{ g/min}) \rfloor$$

$$T'' \leq 65 \text{ min} \quad \text{for B}$$

$$T'' \leq \lfloor (1000 \text{ g} + 3000 \text{ g} + 300 \text{ g}) / (100 \text{ g/min}) \rfloor$$

$$T'' \leq 43 \text{ min} \quad \text{for A and B}$$

So that:

$$T'' = 43 \text{ min}$$

So we have:

$$T = 55 \text{ min}$$

As $T < Z$, then $T = 55 \text{ min}$ will certainly be the optimal solution.

In this case we will have:

$$P_A = 3300 \text{ g e } P_B = 2200 \text{ g}$$

$$D_A = 1000 \text{ g e } D_B = 500 \text{ g}$$

$$E_A = 2300 \text{ g e } E_B = 1700 \text{ g}$$

After determining a solution, the values of D_i , O_i and I_i , $\forall i$, can be found by taking a solution from the undetermined system of inequalities:

$$D_i + O_i + I_i = p_i * T \quad \forall i \quad (39)$$

$$D_i \leq UD_i \quad \forall i \quad (40)$$

$$O_i \leq UO_i \quad \forall i \quad (41)$$

$$\sum_i O_i \leq O \quad (42)$$

$$I_i \leq UI_i \quad \forall i \quad (43)$$

$$\sum_i I_i \leq I \quad (44)$$

$$\min\{UD_i - D_i, O_i + I_i\} = 0 \quad \forall i \quad (45)$$

$$\min\{O - \sum_i \{O_i\}, UO_i - O_i, I_i\} = 0 \quad \forall i \quad (46)$$

$$D_i, O_i, I_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \quad \forall i \quad (47)$$

We can solve this system and determine the values of D_i , O_i and I_i , $\forall i$, simply by defining the priorities for distribution. The following three algorithms can be used to find a complete solution.

The algorithm (1) is used for finding an optimal value for T .

Algorithm 1 Solving MBPTM problem — Part 01 - find an optimal T to the problem defined in (1) to (12).

Require: $UD_i, UO_i, UI_i, p_i, \forall i, O, I, Z$

$T^* \leftarrow \lfloor \min\{\min_{\forall i}\{(UO_i + UI_i + UD_i)/p_i\}, (O + I + \sum_i UD_i)/\sum_i p_i\} \rfloor$

$T \leftarrow \min\{T^*, Z\}$

Return: T .

Then an initial production distribution can be done by the algorithm (2).

Algorithm 2 Solving MBPTM problem — Part 02 - calculate D_i, O_i and $I_i, \forall i$, ignoring the restrictions in (42) and (44).

Require: $UD_i, UO_i, UI_i, p_i, \forall i, O, I, Z, T$.

for all i **do**

$E_i \leftarrow T * p_i$

$D_i \leftarrow \min\{UD_i, E_i\}$

$E_i \leftarrow E_i - D_i$

$O_i \leftarrow \min\{UO_i, E_i\}$

$E_i = E_i - O_i$

$UO_i = UO_i - O_i$

$I_i = E_i$

$UI_i = UI_i - I_i$

end for

$SO = O - \sum_i O_i$

$SI = I - \sum_i I_i$

Return: $D_i, O_i, I_i, E_i, UO_i, UI_i, \forall i, SO, SI$.

After applying algorithm (2), if $SO \geq 0$ and $SI \geq 0$, solution found is an optimal solution. However, if $SO < 0$ or $SI < 0$, solution is not yet feasible. But as the solution found to T is feasible to the problem defined in (1) to (12), we have the following statements:

Lemma 1. *Let T be a optimal solution to the problem defined in (1) to (12) and SO and SI defined by algorithm (2), so:*

if $SO < 0$ *then* $SI > 0$ *and* $|SO| < SI$
if $SI < 0$ *then* $SO > 0$ *and* $|SI| < SO$

Therefore it is possible to meet the restrictions in (42) and (44) by applying the algorithm (3).

Algorithm 3 Solving MBPTM problem — Part 03: redistribute production to comply with limitation restrictions for the batch products set.

Require: $O_i, I_i, UO_i, UI_i, \forall i, SO, SI$.

```

if  $SO < 0$  then
  for all  $i$  do
     $O_i \leftarrow O_i - \min\{O_i, UI_i, |SO|\}$ 
     $I_i \leftarrow I_i + \min\{O_i, UI_i, |SO|\}$ 
     $SO \leftarrow SO + \min\{O_i, UI_i, |SO|\}$ 
    if  $SO = 0$  then break looping for;
  end if
  end for
end if
if  $SI < 0$  then
  for all  $i$  do
     $I_i \leftarrow I_i - \min\{I_i, UO_i, |SI|\}$ 
     $O_i \leftarrow O_i + \min\{I_i, UO_i, |SI|\}$ 
     $SI \leftarrow SI + \min\{I_i, UO_i, |SI|\}$ 
    if  $SI = 0$  then break looping for;
  end if
  end for
end if
Return:  $O_i, I_i, \forall i$ .

```

5. Tests and results

To test the developed model and analytical solution method, we created a solver in C++ named COPSolver (V01_20230814, lib multiproduct-batch-processing-time-maximization-problem) and a solver in LINGO named

MBPTM.lng both available at <https://github.com/Blinded>. The tests were performed on a notebook with an Intel i7 processor. We tested the solvers developed for the benchmarks presented on Tables 1, 2 and 3, and for random benchmarks generated by the following functions:

$$p_i = \text{rand()} \% 30 + 10 \quad (48)$$

$$UD_i = \text{rand()} \% 3000 + 800; \quad (49)$$

$$\text{seed1} = \text{rand()} \% 3000 + 500; \quad (50)$$

$$\text{seed2} = \text{rand()} \% 5000 + 1000; \quad (51)$$

$$O = N/2 * \text{seed1}; \quad (52)$$

$$UO_i = \text{rand()} \% (\text{seed1} - 500) + 500; \quad (53)$$

$$I = N/2 * \text{seed2}; \quad (54)$$

$$UI_i = \text{rand}() \% (\text{seed2} - 1000) + 1000; \quad (55)$$

$$Z = 100; \quad (56)$$

i	1	2	total
p_i	60	40	
UD_i	1000	500	
UO_i	600	600	1000
UI_i	3000	2000	3000
			Z 100

Table 1: Benchmark MBPTM 2

i	1	2	3	total
p_i	60	40	50	
UD_i	1000	500	800	
UO_i	600	600	600	1500
UI_i	3000	2000	1000	3500
				Z 100

Table 2: Benchmark MBPTM 3

Randomly generated benchmarks were named RMBPTM N, being N the number of products. Seeking to enable the reproduction of the results, in the computational construction of the benchmarks we used the function `srand((unsigned) source)`, where `source` is a defined value. To build the re-

i	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	total
p_i	60	40	50	40	30	50	60	10	20	40	
UD_i	1000	500	800	500	400	500	2000	300	500	1000	
UO_i	600	600	600	1500	300	200	500	800	0	200	3000
UI_i	3000	2000	1000	800	3000	1000	400	300	200	0	5000
										Z	100

Table 3: Benchmark MBPTM 10

sults presented in this work, we used source=0. The benchmarks used for the tests performed can be consulted at github.com/Blinded.

Table 4 presents the results obtained by applying the analytical method (COPSolver) and the LINGO solver for the solution of the previously presented benchmarks.

problem	LINGO solver	time (s)	analytical method	time (s)
MBPTM 2	55	0.03	55	< 0.01
MBPTM 3	48	0.03	48	< 0.01
MBPTM 10	30	0.05	30	< 0.01
RMBPTM 20	100	0.06	100	< 0.01
RMBPTM 50	98	0.08	98	< 0.01
RMBPTM 100	98	0.11	98	< 0.01
RMBPTM 1,000	78	1.20	78	< 0.01
RMBPTM 2,000	70	3.25	70	< 0.01
RMBPTM 5,000	70	15.12	70	< 0.02
RMBPTM 10,000	70	55.19	70	< 0.04

Table 4: Results obtained with the LINGO solver and the analytical method

Tables (5) and (6) shows the production distribution for MBPTM 3 and 10 found by both COPSolver and LINGO solver. Files containing all results

can be found at github.com/Blinded.

product	production	delivered (s)	send to outlets	stocked in factory
P1	2,880	1,000	300	1,580
P2	1,920	500	600	820
P3	2,400	800	600	1,000

Table 5: Results obtained with the LINGO solver and COPSolver for the MBPTM 3

product	production	delivered (s)	send to outlets	stocked in factory
P1	1,800	1,000	400	400
P2	1,200	500	600	100
P3	1,500	800	600	100
P4	1,200	500	700	0
P5	900	400	300	200
P6	1,500	500	200	800
P7	1,800	1800	0	0
P8	300	300	0	0
P9	600	500	0	100
P10	1,200	1000	200	0

Table 6: Results obtained with the LINGO solver and COPSolver for the MBPTM 10

It is important to point out that the LINGO solver was developed with the purpose of validating the results found by the proposed analytical method. As the analytical method is a polynomial time complexity method, we did not intend to compare computational costs, however it is possible to verify that both solvers are capable of finding optimal solutions for the proposed benchmarks very quickly, even for very large problems.

We have considered here a one-day period problem, so in a future work we will study the complexities of solving a multi-period problem and try to

propose new solution methods if needed.

6. Conclusions and suggestions for future works

In this paper we presented the Multi-product Batch Processing Time Maximization problem, as well as a mathematical model and an exact analytical solution method for this problem. The mathematical model and analytical method were tested, respectively, by a solver developed with the LINGO software, from LINDO Systems, and with a solver developed in C++ language. Optimum results were found for all proposed benchmarks, very quickly, even in the case of very large problems, which demonstrates the efficiency of the proposed method. As in this paper we considered a planning period of one day, in a future work we will study the complexities that arise when considering the same problem in a multi-period scenario. We will also verify if there is the possibility of extending the proposed analytical method to solve a class of linear integer programming problems with similar characteristics to the studied problem.

7. CRediT authorship contribution statement

Blinded

8. Acknowledgments

Blinded

References

- Eilon. (1985). Multi-product batch production on a single machine - A problem revisited. *OMEGA Int. J. of Mgmt Sci.*, Vol. 13 (5), pp. 453–468.
- Kim, M., Jung, J. H. and Lee, I. (1996). Intelligent scheduling and monitoring for multi-product networked batch processes. *Computers chem. Engn*, Vol. 20 (Suppl.), pp. 1149–1154.
- Li, C., Wang, F., Gupta, J.N.D., Chung, T. (2022). Scheduling identical parallel batch processing machines involving incompatible families with different job sizes and capacity constraints. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, Vol. 169, pp. 108115.
- Liu, G., Li, F., Yang, X., and Qiu. S. (2020). The multi-stage multi-product batch-sizing problem in the steel industry. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, Vol. 369, 124830.
- Méndez, C.A., Henning, G.P., Cerdá, J. (2000). Optimal scheduling of batch plants satisfying multiple product orders with different due-dates. *Computers and Chemical Engineering*, Vol. 24, pp. 2223–2245.
- OMEGA Journal. (1993). Single Machine Multi-product Batch Scheduling: Testing Several Solution Methods. *OMEGA Int. J. of Mgmt Sci.*, Vol. 21 (6), pp. 709–711.
- Ravemark, D. E., and Rippin, D. W. T. (1998). Optimal design of a multi-product batch plant. *Computers chem. Engng*, Vol. 22 (1-2), pp. 177–183.

Shi, B., Qian, X., Sun, S., Yan, L. (2017). Rule-based scheduling of multi-stage multi-product batch plants with parallel units. *Chinese Journal of Chemical Engineering*, in press.