

Graphical Abstract

Multi-period and Multi-product Batch Processing Time Maximization Problem

Tatiana Balbi Fraga

Highlights

Multi-period and Multi-product Batch Processing Time Maximization Problem

Tatiana Balbi Fraga

- ...;
- ...;
- ...;
-

Multi-period and Multi-product Batch Processing Time Maximization Problem

Tatiana Balbi Fraga^{a,*}

^a*Agreste Academic Center - Federal University of Pernambuco, Avenida Marielle Franco, Nova Caruaru, Caruaru, 55014-900, PE, Brazil*

Abstract

...

Keywords: multiproduct batch, processing time maximization, C++, LINGO

1. Introduction

T....

As scientific contributions,....

In the next sections, a presentation of problem is made along with an application example. Section 3 presents an interger linear mathematical model for the problem and section ?? presents an analytical method for its solution. Section 5 presents the tests and results obtained by a solver in C++ applying the analytical solution and a solver developed in LINGO to new proposed benchmarks. In sections 7 and 8, the contributions to this work are informed and acknowledgments are given, respectively. Finally, section 6 presents the paper's conclusions and suggestions for future works.

2. Multi-period and multi-product batch processing time maximization problem

In MPMPBPTM problem consideramos um horizonte de planejamento com ND dias ($ND \geq 1$) e uma demanda diária ($UD_{id} \geq 0$) durante este período para cada produto do lote considerado. Adicionalmente, já existe

*corresponding author

Email address: `tatiana.balbi@ufpe.br` (Tatiana Balbi Fraga)

um plano de produção prévio, de forma que é possível que já exista uma programação anterior de produção para cada produto do lote. Assim a disponibilidade para estocagem e para envios para as lojas de fábrica ficará sujeita a alteração de acordo com o planejamento prévio de produção. O problema consiste em determinar a tempo máximo de processamento para o lote considerado, levando em conta as restrições de limite consideradas. For a better understanding of the problem, an example is presented below.

Example: A certain machine must process a batch containing 2 different products: A and B. The production rate of A is 60 g/min while the production rate of B is 40 g/min. The factory has free stock for a maximum of 3000 g of any product, and, according to the company's inventory policy, a maximum of 3000 g of product A and 2000 g of product B can be stocked at the factory. For the first day, there is a demand for 1000 g of product A and 500 g of product B, and for the second day, there is a demand for 500 g of product A and 300 g of product B. The factory has an outlet that has free space in stock of 1000 g, which can receive a maximum of 600 g of each product. There is a previous production planning for 1000 units of product A for the first day and 1500 units of product B for the second day. A maximum time of 100 minutes of this machine can be allocated for processing this batch. What is the maximum possible time for processing this batch ?

A seção a seguir apresenta um modelo matemático para este problema.

3. Mathematical model

Given that:

UD_{id} is the demand for the product i on day d ;
 PO_{id} is the planned production of the product i on day d ;
 O is the maximum quantity allowed for shipment of all products to outlets;
 UO_i is the maximum amount of product i that can be shipped to outlets;
 p_i is the production rate of product i ;
 Z is the timeout for batch processing;

UI_{id} is the maximum quantity allowed for stocking in the factory the product i on day d ;

being UI_{i0} the maximum quantity allowed for stocking in the factory the product i on the (*first*) planning day ($d = 1$);

I_d is the free factory storage of all products on the end of the day d ;

being I_0 the initial free inventory for all products on factory on the start of the (*first*) planning day ($d = 1$);

P_i is the amount of product i to be produced on batch;

D_{id} is the amount of product i delivered for the demand on day d ;

O_{id} amount of product i shipped to factory outlets;

T is the batch processing time.

We have the problem:

$$\max \quad T \tag{1}$$

s.t.

$$P_i - p_i * T = 0 \quad \forall i \tag{2}$$

$$P_i + UI_{i1} - D_{i1} - O_{i1} = UI_{i0} - PO_{i1} \tag{3}$$

$$UI_{id} - UI_{i(d-1)} - D_{id} - O_{id} = -PO_{id} \quad \forall i, \forall (d > 1) \tag{4}$$

$$I_1 + \sum_i \{P_i - D_{i1} - O_{i1}\} = I_0 - \sum_i PO_{i1} \tag{5}$$

$$I_d - I_{d-1} + \sum_i \{P_i - D_{id} - O_{id}\} = - \sum_i PO_{id} \quad \forall (d > 1) \tag{6}$$

$$\sum_d O_{id} \leq UI_{i0} \quad \forall i \tag{7}$$

$$\sum_i \sum_d O_{id} \leq O \tag{8}$$

$$D_{i1} \leq UI_{i0} \quad \forall i \tag{9}$$

$$D_{id} \leq \sum_{k=1}^d U D_{ik} - \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} D_{ik} \quad \forall i, \forall d > 1 \quad (10)$$

$$T \leq Z \quad (11)$$

$$U I_{id}, I_d, O_{id}, D_{id} \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \quad \forall i, d \quad (12)$$

$$I_d \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \quad \forall d \quad (13)$$

where:

Constraints in (2) relate the quantity produced, P_i , to batch processing time T . Constraints in (3) calculate the quantity produced, P_i , as a function of the primary variables, D_i , O_i and I_i . Constraints in (4), (5), and (7) state that the quantity delivered to demand, the quantity shipped to the outlets, and the factory-stocked quantity of each product must be less than their respective known limits. Constraints (6) and (8) state that both the sum of product quantities sent to the outlets and the sum of product quantities stocked in the factory must be less than their respective maximum allowed values. The restriction in (9) establishes that there is a batch processing time limit, Z , that must be respected. And finally, the constraints in (10) inform the nature of the decision variables.

4. Exact optimizatin method

Uma solução ótima para este problema pode ser construída através de duas etapas, conforme explicado a seguir:

etapa 1: construção do MPBPTMP

passo 1: Desconsidere a produção a ser planejada:

$$P_i = 0, \forall i \quad (14)$$

passo 2: Calcule os valores D_{id}^+ , D_{id}^- , $\forall i, d$, usando as equações em (15) e (16):

$$D_{id}^+ = \sum_{k=1}^d UD_{ik} - \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} D_{ik} \quad \forall i, \forall d > 1 \quad (15)$$

$$D_{id}^- = \sum_{k=2}^d UD_{ik} - \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} D_{ik} \quad \forall i, \forall d > 1 \quad (16)$$

Calcule D_{i1} usando as equações em (19):

$$D_{i1} = \min\{UD_{i1}, PO_{i1}\} \quad \forall i \quad (17)$$

queremos encontrar D_{id} , tal que a equação em (19) seja respeitada:

$$D_{id} = \min x \in \{D_{id}^-, D_{id}^+\} \text{ tal que } UI_{id} \geq 0 \quad (18)$$

Contudo, inicialmente iremos adotar:

$$D_{id} = D_{id}^- \quad \forall i, \forall d > 1 \quad (19)$$

e calcule os valores de D_{id} e O_{id} , $\forall i, d$.

Inicialmente desconsidere a produção a ser planejada fazendo $P_i = 0$, $\forall i$,

e

Tais valores podem ser calculados da seguinte forma:

- distribua a produção previamente planejada para atender à demanda seguindo as restrições em (9) e para as duas equações derivadas de (10): as restrições em (14), (15) e (16) foram criadas porque queremos permitir que a demanda referente ao dia atual seja atendida pela produção planejada para o dia atual e não por produções futuras.
- distribua o restante da produção previamente planejada, se houver, para os outlests respeitando as restrições em (7) e (8).
- faça $D_{id} = D_{id}^- \quad \forall i$;
- calcule os valores para UI_{id} , $\forall i, d$, usando as equações em (3) e (4).
- calcule os valores para I_i , $\forall i$, usando as equações em (5) e (6).
- recalcule o valor de D_{id} , usando:

$$D_{id} = D_{id}^- - \max\{0, UI_{id}\} \quad \forall i, d > 0 \quad (20)$$

E então recalcule UI_{id} , $\forall i, (d > 1)$, usando as equações em (4).

Então, com base nos valores claculado, construímo o problema MPBPTM, usando as equações:

$$UD_i = UD_{i1} - D_{i1}, \quad \forall i \quad (21)$$

$$I = \min_d \{I_d\} \quad (22)$$

$$UI_i = \min_d \{UI_{id}\}, \quad \forall i \quad (23)$$

$$O = O - \sum_i \sum_d O_{id} \quad (24)$$

$$UO_i = UO_i - \sum_d O_{id}, \quad \forall i \quad (25)$$

Sendo p_i e Z definidos de acordo com o problema apresentado.

E resolvemos esse novo problema usando o método de partição do tempo proposto por Fraga (Fraga et al., 2023).

Solution for the example presented before

Applying the method for solving the example presented in section 2, we have:

Como planejamento prévio de produção, temos:

$P_{11} = 1000$, $P_{12} = 0$, $P_{21} = 0$, $P_{22} = 1500$.

Como demanda, temos:

$UD_{11} = 1000$, $UD_{12} = 500$, $UD_{21} = 500$, $UD_{22} = 300$.

Distribuindo a produção para atender à demanda de acordo com as restrições em (9) e (10), temos:

$D_{11} = 1000$, $D_{12} = 0$, $D_{21} = 0$, $D_{22} = 300$.

Não há nenhuma sobra relativa a produção de A. E há uma sobra de produção de 1200 unidades de B no segundo dia.

Como estoque livre nos outlets, temos:

$$UO_1 = 600; UO_2 = 600; O = 1000;$$

Assim, distribuindo a sobra da produção para os outlets de acordo com as restrições em (7) e (8), temos:

$$O_{11} = 0, O_{12} = 0, O_{21} = 0, O_{22} = 600.$$

Com relação ao estoque livre em fábrica, temos:

$$UI_{10} = 3000, UI_{20} = 2000, I_0 = 3000.$$

Portanto, calculando os valores disponíveis para produção de acordo com as equações em (3), (4) e (5), temos:

$$UI_{11} = 3000 - 1000 + 1000 + 0 = 3000, UI_{12} = 3000 - 0 + 0 + 0 = 3000, \\ UI_{21} = 2000 - 0 + 0 + 0 = 2000, UI_{22} = 2000 - 1500 + 300 + 600 = 1400.$$

$$I_1 = 3000 - 1000 + 1000 + 0 = 3000, I_2 = 3000 - 1500 + 300 + 600 = 2400.$$

Portanto temos agora as informações referentes aos limites estocagem em fábrica diário para cada produto assim como o limite de estocagem em fábrica diário para todos os produtos.

Definindo o problema MPBPTM, temos:

$$UD_1 = 1000 - 1000 = 0, UD_2 = 0 .$$

$$I = \min_d\{3000, 2400\} = 2400.$$

$$UI_1 = \min_d\{3000, 3000\} = 3000, UI_2 = \min_d\{2000, 1400\} = 1400.$$

$$O = 1000 - 600 = 400.$$

$$UO_1 = 600 - 0 = 600, UO_2 = 600 - 600 = 0.$$

$$p_1 = 60, p_2 = 40.$$

$$Z = 100.$$

Então, aplicando o método de partição do tempo proposto pro Fraga, temos:

$$T' = 0 \text{ min}$$

Then:

$$S_A = 0 \text{ g}$$

$$S_B = 0 \text{ g}$$

And so we have:

$$T'' \leq \lfloor (3000 \text{ g} + 600 \text{ g} + 0 \text{ g}) / (60 \text{ g/min}) \rfloor$$

$$T'' \leq 60 \text{ min} \quad \text{for A}$$

$$T'' \leq \lfloor (1400 \text{ g} + 0 \text{ g} + 0 \text{ g}) / (40 \text{ g/min}) \rfloor$$

$$T'' \leq 35 \text{ min} \quad \text{for B}$$

$$T'' \leq \lfloor (400 \text{ g} + 2400 \text{ g} + 0 \text{ g}) / (100 \text{ g/min}) \rfloor$$

$$T'' \leq 28 \text{ min} \quad \text{for A and B}$$

So that:

$$T'' = 28 \text{ min}$$

So we have:

$$T = 28 \text{ min}$$

As $T < Z$, then $T = 28 \text{ min}$ will certainly be the optimal solution.

In this case we will have:

$$P_A = 1,680 \text{ g e } P_B = 1,120 \text{ g}$$

$$D_A = 0 \text{ g e } D_B = 0 \text{ g}$$

$$E_A = 1,680 \text{ g e } E_B = 1,120 \text{ g}$$

5. Tests and results

To test the developed model and analytical solution method, we created a solver in C++ and a solver in LINGO (<https://github.com/tbfraga/COPSolver>). The tests were performed on a notebook with an Intel i7 processor. We tested the solvers developed for the benchmarks presented on Tables 1, 2 and 3, and for random benchmarks generated by the following functions:

$$p_i = \text{rand()} \% 30 + 10 \tag{26}$$

$$UD_i = \text{rand()} \% 3000 + 800; \tag{27}$$

$$\text{seed1} = \text{rand()} \% 3000 + 500; \tag{28}$$

$$\text{seed2} = \text{rand()} \% 5000 + 1000; \tag{29}$$

$$O = N/2 * \text{seed1}; \tag{30}$$

$$UO_i = \text{rand()} \% (\text{seed1} - 500) + 500; \tag{31}$$

$$I = N/2 * \text{seed2}; \tag{32}$$

$$UI_i = \text{rand()} \% (\text{seed2} - 1000) + 1000; \tag{33}$$

$$Z = 100; \tag{34}$$

| i | 1 | 2 | total |
|--------|------|------|-------|
| p_i | 60 | 40 | |
| UD_i | 1000 | 500 | |
| UO_i | 600 | 600 | 1000 |
| UI_i | 3000 | 2000 | 3000 |

Z 100

Table 1: Benchmark MPBPTMP 001

| i | 1 | 2 | 3 | total |
|--------|------|------|------|-------|
| p_i | 60 | 40 | 50 | |
| UD_i | 1000 | 500 | 800 | |
| UO_i | 600 | 600 | 600 | 1500 |
| UI_i | 3000 | 2000 | 1000 | 3500 |

Z 100

Table 2: Benchmark MPBPTMP 002

Randomly generated benchmarks were named RMPBPTMP N, being N the number of products. Seeking to enable the reproduction of the results, in the computational construction of the benchmarks we used the function `srand((unsigned) source)`, where source is a defined value. To build the results presented in this work, we used `source=0`. The benchmarks used for the tests performed can be consulted at github.com/blinded.

Table 4 presents the results obtained by applying the analytical method (C++ solver) and the LINGO solver for the solution of the previously presented benchmarks.

It is important to point out that the LINGO solver was developed with the purpose of validating the results found by the proposed analytical method. As the analytical method is a polynomial time complexity method, we did not intend to compare computational costs, however it is possible to verify that both solvers are capable of finding optimal solutions for the proposed benchmarks very quickly, even for very large problems.

| i | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | total |
|--------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-----|-----|------|-------|
| p_i | 60 | 40 | 50 | 40 | 30 | 50 | 60 | 10 | 20 | 40 | |
| UD_i | 1000 | 500 | 800 | 500 | 400 | 500 | 2000 | 300 | 500 | 1000 | |
| UO_i | 600 | 600 | 600 | 1500 | 300 | 200 | 500 | 800 | 0 | 200 | 3000 |
| UI_i | 3000 | 2000 | 1000 | 800 | 3000 | 1000 | 400 | 300 | 200 | 0 | 5000 |
| | | | | | | | | | | Z | 100 |

Table 3: Benchmark MPBPTMP 003

| problem | LINGO solver | time (s) | analytical method | time (s) |
|-----------------|--------------|----------|-------------------|----------|
| MPBPTMP 1 | 55 | 0.04 | 55 | 0.001 |
| MPBPTMP 2 | 48 | 0.06 | 48 | 0.001 |
| MPBPTMP 3 | 30 | 0.09 | 30 | 0.001 |
| RMPBPTMP 20 | 100 | 0.08 | 100 | 0.001 |
| RMPBPTMP 50 | 98 | 0.12 | 98 | 0.001 |
| RMPBPTMP 100 | 98 | 0.12 | 98 | 0.002 |
| RMPBPTMP 1,000 | 78 | 3.10 | 78 | 0.002 |
| RMPBPTMP 10,000 | 70 | 168.87 | 70 | 0.006 |

Table 4: Results obtained with the LINGO solver and the analytical method

We have considered here a one-day period problem, so in a future work we will study the complexities of solving a multi-period problem and try to propose new solution methods if needed.

6. Conclusions and suggestions for future works

In this paper we presented the Multi-product Batch Processing Time Maximization problem, as well as a mathematical model and an analytical solution method for this problem. The mathematical model and analytical method were tested, respectively, by a solver developed with the LINGO software, from LINDO Systems, and with a solver developed in C++ language. Optimum results were found for all proposed benchmarks, very quickly, even in the case of very large problems, which demonstrates the efficiency of the proposed method. As in this paper we considered a planning period of one

day, in a future work we will study the complexities that arise when considering the same problem in a multi-period scenario. We will also verify if there is the possibility of extending the proposed analytical method to solve a class of linear integer programming problems with similar characteristics to the studied problem.

7. CRediT authorship contribution statement

T.B. Fraga: Conceptualization, Project administration, Supervision, Software, Methodology, Validation, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. Í.R.B. Aquino: Data curation.

8. Acknowledgments

We are enormously grateful to Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES) and to Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) for the financial support provided to our projects. We also thank LINDO systems team for the LINGO software license, without which this work would not have been possible and the to the owner of the company in the plastics sector, who allowed us to learn about his company’s production process. Finally, we would like to thank Pró-reitoria de Extensão e Cultura da UFPE (PROExC) and the Research Director of Propesqi (Pró-reitoria de Pesquisa e Inovação da UFPE) for their support and recognition of our work, and dear Professors Antônio José da Silva Neto and João Flávio Vieira de Vasconcellos from IPRJ/UERJ, who contributed significantly to the formation of essential skills for the development of our projects.

References

- Eilon. (1985). Multi-product batch production on a single machine - A problem revisited. *OMEGA Int. J. of Mgmt Sci.*, Vol. 13 (5), pp. 453–468.
- Kim, M., Jung, J. H. and Lee, I. (1996). Intelligent scheduling and monitoring for multi-product networked batch processes. *Computers chem. Engn.*, Vol. 20 (Suppl.), pp. 1149–1154.
- Li, C., Wang, F., Gupta, J.N.D., Chung, T. (2022). Scheduling identical parallel batch processing machines involving incompatible families with

- different job sizes and capacity constraints. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, Vol. 169, pp. 108115.
- Liu, G., Li, F., Yang, X., and Qiu, S. (2020). The multi-stage multi-product batch-sizing problem in the steel industry. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, Vol. 369, 124830.
- Méndez, C.A., Henning, G.P., Cerdá, J. (2000). Optimal scheduling of batch plants satisfying multiple product orders with different due-dates. *Computers and Chemical Engineering*, Vol. 24, pp. 2223–2245.
- OMEGA Journal. (1993). Single Machine Multi-product Batch Scheduling: Testing Several Solution Methods. *OMEGA Int. J. of Mgmt Sci.*, Vol. 21 (6), pp. 709–711.
- Ravemark, D. E., and Rippin, D. W. T. (1998). Optimal design of a multi-product batch plant. *Computers chem. Engng*, Vol. 22 (1-2), pp. 177–183.
- Shi, B., Qian, X., Sun, S., Yan, L. (2017). Rule-based scheduling of multi-stage multi-product batch plants with parallel units. *Chinese Journal of Chemical Engineering*, in press.