I pledge my honor that I have abided by the Stevens Honor System

Problem #1

Moment Estimation
Let X2 VEO, O] F(x) = o for O < x < B
$\mu_1 = E[\times] = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^0 \times dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^0 \times$
The state of the s
D=24, (200 400 0.2, 231.2) 15 1929
Maximum Likelihood Estimator 200 352.5
16:(10) (5 DEXA DOCO) TO 8888
f(×10) = (0,0 = ×6 0,0) 10 818
$L(\theta) = \iint f(x, 1\theta) = \int_{0}^{\infty} (x, \times_{n} G[0, \theta]) = \int_{0}^{\infty} (x, \times_{n} G[0, $
L(0) =] + (x,10) - Oh (,
0 = 1 (max (x), x) = 0)
$h(\theta) = 0$ if $\theta \in \max(k_1, \ldots, k_n)$ $L(\theta) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(\theta) \geq \max(k_1, \ldots, k_n)$
$I(A) = \frac{1}{16} I(A) = \frac{1}{$
Lion - du li o = max (ri, / rh)
MUE: 0 = max (x,,xn)
1/66 = max 1/1,, ch)
wet to the status of white the war heren in this
1) the sample size is pretty large (n=1200)
To we sound my that it approximates

6.17 n=340 M=5-4 Grap=2.3 m = 2.0 a) $m = 1.96 \times \frac{2.3}{1340} = .244$ 95% ci (5.155,5.6 54 445) 6) 2.576. 2.3 = 3213 99% CI (5.0787,5.7213) 6.27 n = 1200 = = 11.5 0 = 4.3 a) 7 + 1.96 · 8.3 = .4696 95% (I: (11.03,11.77) b) No, it means that we are 95% confident that the average time is in this interval, not 95% of Andents one listening in this () the sample size is pretty large (n=1200) so we could say that it approximates the normal distribution

6.28

2 = 690 min 0 = 498 min

6) = ± 1.96 · 498 = 28.177 95% LI (6.61.82, 718.10) c) you could gette this form 6.27 by multiplying the answer by 60. 6:58 Z=1.77 ho: n=M. ha: M7Mo p(2 = 2) = p(2 = 1.77) = 1 - .9616 = .0384 b) ho: M=Mo, ha: MEMO p (2 ≤ 1.77) = .9416 c) ho: M= Mo, ha: M = Mo 2P(ZZ 1.77) = Z (1-.9616) = .0768 ho & M= Mo Z= -1.69 a) ha: 4 > 40 = 5 = 9 P(22-1.69) = .0455 |- .0455 = .9545 b) ha: MZMo P(23-1.69) = 0.0455 () ha: MXMO 2(P(Z < 1.69)) = .01659

6.71 µ=115 n=25 0=30 7=129.8 a) == 127.8-115 = 2.13 ha: 4 > 115 p(222.13)=1-.9836=0.01659 6) assumption: 5RS war mong! hormal distribution no shews or outliers 6.73 a) ho: n=Ompg
ha: n x Onyg b) = 1 = 1 = 2 × 13 = 2 = 22.73.1 = 5 = 9 = (5 = 6)4 2=2.73-6 = 4.07 p=2p(224.07)=.6000 3111 = (FF.12 F) a Since the PValue is so small, we night the hall hipotheris. There is sufficient evidence to conclude there is a significant difference between congutino and drivers calculations. Z= 2453.7 - 2403.7 = .57 ha: M> 2403.7 P(22.57) = 1-,7157=.0

	7.23
7.22	F5=N 10.5=>
a) d.f. = 4-1 = 15	h8:1 = 8 N=16
	1 -28 L=2.15
b) based on the t	ha: M>8
distribution table, the con	tica l values.
[2.131,2.249]	tical values.
113 between (005 & 025)	our ghower, the
() based on the previ	and 0.02)
e) based on the previ Pralus are (.UZS	01. Iona 20.0
1 - + 5% comitioner	level, the Pralm 18 which will be los than .05,
1 hach (0.07, 0.025)	which will be los than .00,
Il fare we reject	the 10.02, 0.025
In me to a circular agreed	level, with Prylin (0.02, 0.025) on 0.01. Since the Prylin
	1 . 11 1 1 1 9 line (
which will be more the	an 0.01. Since the Pralm ignificance level, we tail to
is anighter than the s	an 0.01. State the fail to regnificance level, we tail to
here It the ho at the	
to at 15 smitrages line	1 1 100 100 100 100
1) Hu exact P.	value can be calculated ibution command on the
write the to-dist	ribution command
ealculator	1 (2 12 121) - 074137
to	(ist (2.15, 15,1) = .024137
	2119
	PYZO.O = MANT
· ·	

7.23 L= 2.01 n= 27 a) dif. =n-1 =27-1= 26 6) bared on the t-distribution table at t=2.01, the critical value an 1.706, 2.056 c) the one sided t-test fails between (0.05 & .025) However, this is a 2-sided t-test, the probabilities are 2(.05) & 2(.025), the Pralm is between 0.05 and .10 d) At 5% significance level, the critical refue for 0.05 and 26 d.f. is ±2.056 since t=2.01 22.056 we fail to riged the null hypothesis as there 15 no significance. At 1% significance, the critical value for 0.01 and 26 d.4. 15 ± 2.779. Since 2.0162.0779 he fail to preset the Ho at 1% significance level. e) Un exact Pralum was eglunde bid

Pralu = 0.0549

tdist (2.01,26,2)