

(/registries  
/osf/discover)  
REGISTRIES  
ES

Add (/registries  
New  
/osf/new)

My (/registries  
Registrations  
/my-registrations)

Help (https://openscience.zendesk.com/hc/en-us/categories/360001550953)

Donate (https://conats.io/donate)



# War Films quality after and before 2010

Public registration

Updates



Overview (/kjxca)

Files (/kjxca/files/)

Wiki (/kjxca/wiki/)

Components (/kjxca/components)

Links (/kjxca/links)

Analytics (/kjxca/analytics)

Comments (/kjxca/comments)

Collapse

## Study Information

### Hypotheses

There is no difference in rating distribution of war films before and after 2010.

## Design Plan

### Study type

Experiment - A researcher randomly assigns treatments to study subjects, this includes field or lab experiments. This is also known as an intervention experiment and includes randomized controlled trials.

### Blinding

No blinding is involved in this study.

### Is there any additional blinding in this study?

No response



## Contributors (/kjxca/contributors)

Tiago Beltrão Lacerda (/bjega)

## Description



In the example preregistration assignment, I want to investigate if there is a significance difference in quality between films made before and after 2010 using IMDB scores database.

## Registration type

OSF Preregistration

## Date registered

December 12, 2021

## Date created

December 12, 2021

## Registered from

osf.io/brg9y (/brg9y)

**Study design**

This is a test assignment. My hypothesis is that there is no difference in rating distribution of war films before and after 2010 in IMDB scores.

*No files selected*

**Randomization**

*No response*

**Sampling Plan****Existing Data**

Registration prior to accessing the data

**Explanation of existing data**

I haven't seen the data yet, besides it exists freely on IMDB website.

**Data collection procedures**

IMDB queries

*No files selected*

**Sample size**

powerTOSTtwo(alpha = 0.05, statistical\_power = 0.90, low\_eqbound\_d = -0.8, high\_eqbound\_d = 0.8)

The required sample size to achieve 90 % power with equivalence bounds of -0.8 and 0.8 is 34 per group, or 68 in total.

**Sample size rationale**

this is the minimum amount of data needed so, as long as this is an

**Internet Archive link**

<https://archive.org/details/osf-registrations-kjxca-v1>  
(<https://archive.org/details/osf-registrations-kjxca-v1>)

**Category**

 Hypothesis

**Registration DOI**

10.17605/OSF.IO/KJXCA (<https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/KJXCA>)

**Publication DOI**

No publication DOI

**Subjects**

Engineering   Education

Arts and Humanities

**Affiliated institutions**

This registration has no affiliated institutions

**License**

GNU Lesser General Public License (LGPL) 3.0

**Tags**

No tags



test assignment where the priority is the procedure not the result, I am going to follow this value.

### Stopping rule

none

## Variables

### Manipulated variables

*No response*

*No files selected*

### Measured variables

scores in IMDB films database.

*No files selected*

### Indices

*No response*

*No files selected*

## Analysis Plan

### Statistical models

will do a power analysis for an equivalent test. I want to be pretty sure I can reject my smallest effect size of interest, so I 'll design a study with 90% power. I am going to do a TOST to test my hypothesis.

*No files selected*

### Transformations

### Citation

[osf.io/kjxca](https://osf.io/kjxca) ▼

*No response***Inference criteria***No response***Data exclusion***No response***Missing data***No response***Exploratory analysis***No response***Other****Other***No response*

Copyright © 2011-2021 Center for Open Science (<https://cos.io>) | Terms of Use ([https://github.com/CenterForOpenScience/centerforopenscience.org/blob/master/TERMS\\_OF\\_USE.md](https://github.com/CenterForOpenScience/centerforopenscience.org/blob/master/TERMS_OF_USE.md)) | Privacy Policy ([https://github.com/CenterForOpenScience/centerforopenscience.org/blob/master/PRIVACY\\_POLICY.md](https://github.com/CenterForOpenScience/centerforopenscience.org/blob/master/PRIVACY_POLICY.md)) | Status (<https://status.cos.io/>) | API (<https://developer.osf.io/>) TOP Guidelines (<http://cos.io/top/>) | Reproducibility Project: Psychology (<https://osf.io/ezcu7/wiki/home/>) | Reproducibility Project: Cancer Biology (<https://osf.io/collections/rpcb/discover>)

(<http://twitter.com/OSFramework>)(<https://www.facebook.com/CenterForOpenScience/>)(<https://groups.google.com/forum/#!forum/openscienceframework>)(<https://www.github.com/centerforopenscience>)