
Diagnosing Git Repository Health

Tyler Brown
NUID: 001684955

1 Introduction

Understanding the health of open source projects is important to industry because it helps them assess risk associated with their technology stack. Forecasting health is important to investors because this information can help them make profitable investments in open source. Academia is interested to know if there are links between theory, such as programming language design [1], and the health of open source software. A previous metric used to assess open source software health is the Truck Factor [2]. Truck Factor is the smallest subset size of developers who contributed 50% of the code in an open source project. The underlying intuition is that open source projects with a lower Truck Factor are more susceptible to project disruption in the event of adverse circumstances.

By borrowing from Physics, this paper contributes to the advancement of understanding the health of open source projects with the following:

- Introducing a health measure that can be assessed at time $t_{i \pm k}$ where k is a multiple of i .
- New health measure can be used in forecasting as well as description
- Health measure is rooted in Physics so we can derive related measures using preexisting theory.

2 Related Work

Truck Factor reflects robustness of project [2] by computing the minimum number of developers required to comprise 50% of file ownership. The underlying intuition is that a project with a low number of dominant developers will be more susceptible to failing due to external shocks. Projects where file ownership is shared by a large number of developers are interpreted as more healthy with this approach.

Not all projects reflect software which requires support. Researchers have classified many project types such as 'software development projects', 'solutions for homework', 'projects with educational purposes', 'data sets', and 'personal web sites' [3]. The observation that many projects are not software development is also a noted peril when analyzing GitHub [4]. We may also find repositories containing code duplicates [5]. These factors may comprise threats to validity which are important to address in this analysis.

3 Methods

The Truck Factor assesses Git repository health at time t_i . We would like to better understand health at different points in time $t_{i \pm k}$ where $k \geq 1$. We can borrow from Physics to find such a measure. Velocity, $v(t)$, is a measure of distance over time. What is a proxy for distance in the context of Git repository health? Total lines of code changed in a project during time t_i can tell us how quickly the code base is changing. We apply this definition of velocity, $v(t)$:

$$v(t) = \frac{d}{t} = \frac{\text{lines added} - \text{lines deleted}}{t} \quad (1)$$

3.1 Descriptive Baseline

got some trends

3.2 Predictive Baseline

using a simple prediction

3.3 Collecting Data

used kubernetes and “being nice”

3.4 Processing Data

using Apache spark, using “hack/reduce”

4 Experiment

4.1 Results from Predictive Baseline

4.2 Results from Scaled up Prediction

4.2.1 Modeling Outliers

bad

5 Discussion

yup

References

- [1] Baishakhi Ray, Daryl Posnett, Vladimir Filkov, and Premkumar Devanbu. A large scale study of programming languages and code quality in github. In *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGSOFT International Symposium on Foundations of Software Engineering*, pages 155–165. ACM, 2014.
- [2] Guilherme Avelino, Marco Tulio Valente, and Andre Hora. What is the truck factor of popular github applications? a first assessment. Technical report, PeerJ PrePrints, 2015.
- [3] Marcus Soll and Malte Vosgerau. Classifyhub: An algorithm to classify github repositories. In *Joint German/Austrian Conference on Artificial Intelligence (Künstliche Intelligenz)*, pages 373–379. Springer, 2017.
- [4] Eirini Kalliamvakou, Georgios Gousios, Kelly Blincoe, Leif Singer, Daniel M German, and Daniela Damian. An in-depth study of the promises and perils of mining github. *Empirical Software Engineering*, 21(5):2035–2071, 2016.
- [5] Cristina V Lopes, Petr Maj, Pedro Martins, Vaibhav Saini, Di Yang, Jakub Zitny, Hitesh Sajnani, and Jan Vitek. Déjàvu: a map of code duplicates on github. *Proceedings of the ACM on Programming Languages*, 1(OOPSLA):84, 2017.