Correlations between Ca II H&K Emission and the Gaia M dwarf Gap

EMILY M. BOUDREAUX[1] AND BRIAN C. CHABOYER[1]

[1]*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH 03755, USA*

ABSTRACT

Previous work has demonstrated a paucity of Hydrogen Alpha emission at the same Gaia G Magnitude as the Jao Gap in the solar neighborhood. The exact mechanism which results in this paucity is as yet unknown; however, the authors of the originating paper suggestion that it may be the result of complex variations to a stars magnetic topology driven by the Jao Gaps characteristic formation and breakdown of stars radiative transition zones. Here I present a brief summary of a potential extension to this work looking at Ca II H&K emission lines. Preliminary work with archival data shows a much stronger correlation between the calcium emission lines and the Jao Gap than was observed between hydrogen emission and the Jao Gap. If this observation withstands further testing then it may provide a new way to locate the gap in populations which lack the large counting statistics currently required — and which are only practically available from Gaia data at moment.

Keywords: Stellar Evolution (1599) — Stellar Evolutionary Models (2046)

1. INTRODUCTION

Due to the initial mass requirements of the molecular clouds which collapse to form stars, star formation is strongly biased towards lower mass, later spectral class stars when compared to higher mass stars. Partly as a result of this bias and partly as a result of their extremely long main-sequence lifetimes, M Dwarfs make up approximately 70 percent of all stars in the galaxy. Moreover, some planet search campaigns have focused on M Dwarfs due to the relative ease of detecting small planets in their habitable zones (e.g. Nutzman & Charbonneau 2008). M Dwarfs then represent both a key component of the galactic stellar population as well as the possible set of stars which may host habitable exoplanets. Given this key location M Dwarfs occupy in modern astronomy it is important to have a thorough understanding of their structure and evolution.

Jao et al. (2018) discovered a novel feature in the Gaia Data Release 2 (DR2) $G_{BP} - G_{RP}$ color-magnitude-diagram. Around $M_G = 10$ there is an approximately

17 percent decrease in stellar density of the sample of stars Jao et al. (2018) considered. Subsequently, this has become known as either the Jao Gap, or Gaia M Dwarf Gap. Following the initial detection of the Gap in DR2 the Gap has also potentially been observed in 2MASS (Skrutskie et al. 2006; Jao et al. 2018); however, the significance of this detection is quite weak and it relies on the prior of the Gap's location from Gaia data. Further, the Gap is also present in Gaia Early Data Release 3 (EDR3) (Jao & Feiden 2021). These EDR3 and 2MASS data sets then indicate that this feature is not a bias inherent to DR2.

The Gap is generally attributed to convective instabilities in the cores of stars straddling the fully convective transition mass (0.3 - 0.35 $M_\odot$) (Baraffe & Chabrier 2018). These instabilities interrupt the normal, slow, main sequence luminosity evolution of a star and result in luminosities lower than expected from the main sequence mass-luminosity relation (Jao & Feiden 2020).

The Jao Gap, inherently a feature of M Dwarf populations, provides an enticing and unique view into the interior physics of these stars (Feiden et al. 2021). This is especially important as, unlike more massive stars, M Dwarf seismology is infeasible due to the short periods and extremely small magnitudes which both radial

Corresponding author: Emily M. Boudreaux
thomas.m.boudreaux.gr@dartmouth.edu,
thomas@boudreauxmail.com

and low-order low-degree non-radial seismic waves are predicted to have in such low mass stars (Rodríguez-López 2019). The Jao Gap therefore provides one of the only current methods to probe the interior physics of M Dwarfs.

(Jao et al. 2023) identify the Jao gap as a strong discontinuity point for activity in M dwarfs. Two primary observations from their work are that the Gap serves as a boundary where very few active stars in their sample of 640 M dwarfs exist below the gap and that the overall downward trend of activity moving to fainter magnitudes is anomalously high in within the 0.2 mag range of the gap. Their figures 3 and 13 are of particular relevance here and have been included below for convince. Based on previous work from Spada & Lanzafam 2020, Curtis et al. 2020, and Dungee et al. 2022 the authors propose that the mechanism resulting in the reduced fraction of active stars within the gap is that as the radiaive zone disipates due to core expansion, angular momentum from the outer convective zone is dumped into the core resulting in a faster spin down than would otherwise be possible. Effectively the core of the star acts as a sink, reducing the amount of angular momentum which needs to be lost by magnetic breaking for the outer convective region to reach the same angular velocity. Given that $H\alpha$ emission is strongly coupled magnetic activity in the lower photosphere and that a stars angular velocity is a primary factor in its magnetic activity, a faster spin down will serve to more quickly dampen $H\alpha$ activity.

2. CORRELATION

Using Ca II H&K emission data from ? and Perdelwitz et al. (2021) (quantified using the R_{HK} metric) we investigate the correlation between the Jao Gap magnitude and stellar magnetic activity. We are more statistically limited here than past authors have been due to therequirment for high resolution spectoscopic data when measuring Calcium emission; however, this is balanced by the aparent stronger correlation between Cacium emission and the Jao gap when compared to $H\alpha$ emission.

The merged dataset is presented in Figure 1. There is a visual discontinuity around the Jao Gap mangitude; however, this manifests as an increase in the spread of the emission measurments rather than a change in the mean value. In order to quantify the signifigance of this discontinuity we measure the false alarm probaility of the change in standard deviation.

First bin the merged dataset into bins with a width of 0.5 mag. In each bin we measure the standard deviation about the mean of the data. The results of this are


Figure 1. Merged Dataset from ?Perdelwitz et al. (2021). Note the increase in the spread of R'_{HK} around the Jao Gap Magnitude.

Figure 2. Standard deviation of Calcium emission data within each bin. Note the discontinuity near the Jao Gap Magnitude.

shown in Figure 2. In order to measure the false alarm probabililty of this discontinuity we first resample the merged calcium emission data based on the associated uncertanties for each datapoint as presented in their respective publications. Then, for each of these "resample trials" we measure the probility that a change in the standard deviation of the size seen would happen purley due to noise. Results of this test are showin in Figure 3.

Figure 3. Probability distribution of the false alarm probability for the discontinuity seen in Figure 2. The mean of this distribution is $0.341\%\pm^{0.08}_{0.08}$.

Software: The Dartmouth Stellar Evolution Program (DSEP) (Dotter et al. 2008), `BeautifulSoup` (Richardson 2007), `mechanize` (Chandra & Varanasi 2015), `FreeEOS` (Irwin 2012), `pyTOPSScrape` (Boudreaux 2022)

REFERENCES

Baraffe, I., & Chabrier, G. 2018, A&A, 619, A177, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201834062

Boudreaux, T. 2022, tboudreaux/pytopsscrape: pyTOPSScrape v1.0, v1.0, Zenodo, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.7094198

Chandra, R. V., & Varanasi, B. S. 2015, Python requests essentials (Packt Publishing Ltd)

Dotter, A., Chaboyer, B., Jevremović, D., et al. 2008, The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series, 178, 89

Feiden, G. A., Skidmore, K., & Jao, W.-C. 2021, ApJ, 907, 53, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/abcc03

Irwin, A. W. 2012, FreeEOS: Equation of State for stellar interiors calculations, Astrophysics Source Code Library, record ascl:1211.002. http://ascl.net/1211.002

Jao, W.-C., & Feiden, G. A. 2020, AJ, 160, 102, doi: 10.3847/1538-3881/aba192

—. 2021, Research Notes of the American Astronomical Society, 5, 124, doi: 10.3847/2515-5172/ac053a

Jao, W.-C., Henry, T. J., Gies, D. R., & Hambly, N. C. 2018, ApJL, 861, L11, doi: 10.3847/2041-8213/aacdf6

Jao, W.-C., Henry, T. J., White, R. J., et al. 2023, AJ, 166, 63, doi: 10.3847/1538-3881/ace2bb

Nutzman, P., & Charbonneau, D. 2008, PASP, 120, 317, doi: 10.1086/533420

Perdelwitz, V., Mittag, M., Tal-Or, L., et al. 2021, VizieR Online Data Catalog, J/A+A/652/A116, doi: 10.26093/cds/vizier.36520116

Richardson, L. 2007, April

Rodríguez-López, C. 2019, Frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sciences, 6, 76, doi: 10.3389/fspas.2019.00076

Skrutskie, M. F., Cutri, R. M., Stiening, R., et al. 2006, AJ, 131, 1163, doi: 10.1086/498708