

# STAT 7200

## Introduction to Advanced Probability

### Lecture 6

Taylor R. Brown

## 1 Probability Triples

- Extension Theorem
- Application of Extension Theorem: Uniform Measure on  $[0,1]$
- Lebesgue Measure
- Variation of Extension Theorem

“A First Look at Rigorous Probability Theory” (Jeffrey Rosenthal) Section 2.4, 2.5

# Extension Theorem

## Theorem 1 (2.3.1.)

**The Extension Theorem** Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a semialgebra of subsets of  $\Omega$ ,  $\mathbf{P}$  a function from  $\mathcal{J}$  to  $[0,1]$  with the following properties:

a)  $\mathbf{P}(\emptyset) = 0, \mathbf{P}(\Omega) = 1.$

b)  $\mathbf{P}(\bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{P}(A_i)$  whenever  $A_1, \dots, A_k \in \mathcal{J}$ ,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i \in \mathcal{J}$ , and  $A_1, \dots, A_k$  are pairwise disjoint (finite superadditivity).

c)  $\mathbf{P}(A) \leq \sum_n \mathbf{P}(A_n)$  whenever  $A, A_1, \dots, A_n, \dots \in \mathcal{J}$ , and  $A \subseteq \bigcup_n A_n$  (countable monotonicity).

Then there is a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M} \supseteq \mathcal{J}$  and a proper probability measure  $\mathbf{P}^*$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  so that  $\mathbf{P}^*(A) = \mathbf{P}(A)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{J}$ .

# Application of Extension Theorem: Uniform Measure on $[0,1]$

- To construct the uniform measure on  $[0,1]$ , first we choose  $\mathcal{J}$  as the collection of all intervals  $I$  in  $[0,1]$ , then we define  $\mathbf{P}$  as  $\mathbf{P}(I) =$  the length of  $I$ . Now it is easy to verify  $\mathcal{J}$  is a semialgebra. If we can also verify  $\mathbf{P}$  satisfies the conditions listed in the extension theorem, we can apply the extension theorem to show that we may extend  $\mathbf{P}$  to the uniform measure  $\mathbf{P}^*$  over  $\mathcal{M} \supseteq \mathcal{J}$ .
- We have  $\mathbf{P}(\emptyset) = 0$  and  $\mathbf{P}([0,1]) = 1$ .
- For finite superadditivity, we need to show: for disjoint intervals  $I_1, \dots, I_k$  whose union  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k I_i := I_0 = (a_0, b_0)$  is also an interval, we have  $\mathbf{P}(\bigcup_{i=1}^k I_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{P}(I_i)$ . Note that we can always reorder these intervals so that their end points (denoted by  $a_k, b_k$ ) satisfy:  
$$a_0 = a_1 \leq b_1 = a_2 \leq b_2 = \dots = a_k \leq b_k = b_0.$$
Then  $\sum_{i=1}^k P(I_i) = \sum_{i=1}^k (b_i - a_i) = b_k - a_1 = b_0 - a_0 = P(I_0)$ .

## Application of Extension Theorem: Uniform Measure on $[0,1]$ (Exercise 2.4.3 a)

- For countable monotonicity: we should prove  $\mathbf{P}(I) \leq \sum_n \mathbf{P}(I_n)$  whenever  $I, I_1, I_2, \dots$  are intervals and  $I \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i$ . First, we prove for the finite case.
- Let us suppose  $\mathbf{P}(I) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{P}(I_i)$  holds for  $n = k - 1$ . Then when  $n = k$ , without loss of generality, we may assume that the length of  $I$  is positive and  $a_k \leq b \leq b_k$ . If  $a_k \leq a$ , the result follows immediately.
  - Otherwise,  $a < a_k \leq b \leq b_k$ ,  
then  $I \cap I_k^c$  is still an interval and  $I \cap I_k^c \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} I_i$ ,  
 $\mathbf{P}(I \cap I_k^c) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathbf{P}(I_i)$ .
  - Thus,  $\mathbf{P}(I \cap I_k^c) = a_k - a \geq a_k - a - (b_k - b) = \mathbf{P}(I) - \mathbf{P}(I_k)$ ,  
we have  $\mathbf{P}(I) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{P}(I_i)$ .

## Application of Extension Theorem: Uniform Measure on $[0,1]$ (Exercise 2.4.3 b)

- Let  $I, I_1, I_2, \dots \in \mathcal{J}$  and assume  $I := [a, b]$  is closed,  $\{I_i\}_i$  are all open and  $I \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i$ . We want to show that  $\mathbf{P}(I) \leq \sum_{i \geq 1} \mathbf{P}(I_i)$ .
- By the Heine-Borel Theorem, there exists  $\{I_{i_j}\}_{j=1}^n$  such that  $I \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^n I_{i_j}$
- By finite monotonicity (the previous slide),  $\mathbf{P}(I) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{P}(I_{i_j}) \leq \sum_{i \geq 1} \mathbf{P}(I_i)$ .

## Application of Extension Theorem: Uniform Measure on $[0,1]$ (Exercise 2.4.3 c)

- Let  $I, I_1, I_2, \dots \in \mathcal{J}$  and assume  $I \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i$ . We want to show that  $\mathbf{P}(I) \leq \sum_{i \geq 1} \mathbf{P}(I_i)$ . Here  $I$  may not be closed, and the rest may not be open.
- Assume WLOG that the length of interval  $I$  is positive. Pick any  $\varepsilon < (b - a)/2$ . Then  $[a + \varepsilon, b - \varepsilon]$  is closed and has positive length. Also, enlarge each  $I_k$  to the open interval  $(a_k - \varepsilon/2^k, b_k + \varepsilon/2^k)$ . Clearly  $[a_0 + \varepsilon, b_0 - \varepsilon] \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_i - \varepsilon/2^i, b_i + \varepsilon/2^i)$ .
  - By the previous slide,
$$b - a - 2\varepsilon \leq \sum_{i \geq 1} (b_i - a_i + \varepsilon/2^{i-1}) = \sum_{i \geq 1} \mathbf{P}(I_i) + 2\varepsilon$$
  - As the choice of  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, we have  $\mathbf{P}(I) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(I_i)$ .

# Lebesgue Measure

- The previous discussion allows us to apply the extension theorem to construct a probability triple  $(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, \mathbf{P}^*)$  over  $\Omega = [0, 1]$ . The  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  contains all intervals and the probability measure of any interval with endpoints  $a, b$  equals  $b - a$ .
- This probability triple is known as the uniform distribution or the **Lebesgue Measure**, often denoted by  $\lambda$ . The set in  $\mathcal{M}$  is called the **Lebesgue Measurable Sets**.
- On the other hand, the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  is defined as smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra that contains all the intervals  $\mathcal{J}$  (denoted as  $\mathcal{B} = \sigma(\mathcal{J})$ ). Then  $\mathcal{B}$  must be a subset of  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- The main difference between  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  is that  $\mathcal{M}$  is complete but  $\mathcal{B}$  is not. The completeness is defined as if  $A \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathbf{P}^*(A) = 0$ , then any subset  $B$  of  $A$  must belong to  $\mathcal{M}$  as well.



## Variation of Extension Theorem

- Here we provide an alternative formulation of the Extension Theorem

### Corollary 2 (2.5.4)

*In the original extension theorem, the finite superadditivity condition and the countable monotonicity condition of  $\mathbf{P}$  can be replaced by the following countable additivity condition:*

$$\mathbf{P}(\bigcup_n A_n) = \sum_n \mathbf{P}(A_n) \text{ for disjoint } A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{J} \text{ with } \bigcup_n A_n \in \mathcal{J}.$$

**Proof:** We only need to show that the countable additivity implies both finite superadditivity and countable monotonicity.

Finite superadditivity follows immediately, so we just need to worry about the countable monotonicity.

## Variation of Extension Theorem: continued

**Proof (continued):** To prove countable monotonicity based on finite additivity, we first prove a useful property of the semialgebra:

*Property of Semialgebra:* If  $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_m \in \mathcal{J}$  are disjoint, then we can find  $J_1, J_2, \dots, J_K \in \mathcal{J}$  so that  $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_m, J_1, J_2, \dots, J_K$  forms a partition of  $\Omega$ .

- $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_m, (\bigcup_{i=1}^m K_i)^c$  forms a partition of  $\Omega$ . Furthermore,  $(\bigcup_{i=1}^m K_i)^c = \bigcap_{i=1}^m K_i^c$ , and according to the definition of semialgebra, each  $K_i^c$  is the union of finite number of disjoint subsets of  $\mathcal{J}$ . Here we denote  $K_i^c = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_i} I_{ij}$  where  $I_{ij} \in \mathcal{J}$ , and  $\{I_{ij} : 1 \leq j \leq n_i\}$  are disjoint.
- $\bigcap_{i=1}^m K_i^c = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_i} I_{ij} = \bigcup_{f \in F, 1 \leq f(i) \leq n_i} \bigcap_{i=1}^m I_{i,f(i)}$ . By the second property of semialgebras,  $\bigcap_{i=1}^m I_{i,f(i)} \in \mathcal{J}$ . Also notice that the union is disjoint.
- Let  $K = |F|$ , list out functions  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_K$ , and define  $J_j := \bigcap_{i=1}^m I_{i,f_j(i)}$ .
- Then  $\bigcap_{i=1}^m K_i^c = \bigcup_{j=1}^K J_j$ ,  $J_j \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_m, J_1, J_2, \dots, J_K$  forms a partition of  $\Omega$ .

## Variation of Extension Theorem: continued

**Proof (continued):** Then we have the following statement:

If  $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_m \in \mathcal{J}$  are disjoint and  $\bigcup_{i=1}^m K_i \subseteq B \in \mathcal{J}$ , then  $\mathbf{P}(B) \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{P}(K_i)$ .

- By the result in the previous slide, there exist  $\{J_j\}_{j=1}^K \in \mathcal{J}$  such that  $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_m, J_1, \dots, J_K$  forms a partition of  $\Omega$ .
- $\mathbf{P}(B) = \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{P}(B \cap K_i) + \sum_{j=1}^K \mathbf{P}(B \cap J_j) \geq \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{P}(B \cap K_i) = \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{P}(K_i)$ . The last equality follows from our countable additivity hypothesis.

## Variation of Extension Theorem: continued

**Proof (continued):** Now let  $A, A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{J}$  such that  $A \subseteq \bigcup_n A_n$ . To prove countable monotonicity, we need to show  $\mathbf{P}(A) \leq \sum_n \mathbf{P}(A_n)$ .

- Define  $B_n := A \cap A_n$ , then  $B_n \in \mathcal{J}$  and  $A = \bigcup_n B_n$ ,
- Define  $C_n := B_n \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} B_i)^c$ , then  $C_1, C_2, \dots$  are disjoint and  $\bigcup_n B_n = \bigcup_n C_n = A$ .
- As  $(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} B_i)^c$  can be represented as the unions of finite disjoint subsets from  $\mathcal{J}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  is closed under intersection. We should also be able to represent  $C_n = \bigcup_{j=1}^{k_n} J_{nj}$  where  $J_{nj} \in \mathcal{J}$  are disjoint.
- Consequently,  $\mathbf{P}(A) = \mathbf{P}(\bigcup_n B_n) = \mathbf{P}(\bigcup_n C_n) = \mathbf{P}(\bigcup_{n,j} J_{nj})$ . By the countable additivity,  $\mathbf{P}(A) = \sum_{n,j} \mathbf{P}(J_{nj}) = \sum_n (\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \mathbf{P}(J_{nj}))$ .
- By the result we derived from previous slide,  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{k_n} J_{nj} = C_n \subseteq B_n$  implies  $\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \mathbf{P}(J_{nj}) \leq \mathbf{P}(B_n)$ , and  $B_n \subseteq A_n$  implies  $\mathbf{P}(B_n) \leq \mathbf{P}(A_n)$ .
- $\mathbf{P}(A) = \sum_n (\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \mathbf{P}(J_{nj})) \leq \sum_n \mathbf{P}(B_n) \leq \sum_n \mathbf{P}(A_n)$