

STAT 7200

Introduction to Advanced Probability

Lecture 14

Taylor R. Brown

- 1 Theory of Convergence I
 - Convergence Almost Surely
 - Convergence in Probability
 - Law of Large Number

- 2 Foundation of Probability II
 - Distribution

Distribution

- **Distribution** For a random variable X on probability triple (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , its distribution (law) is a function μ defined on all Borel subsets \mathcal{B} :

$$\mu(B) = P(X \in B) = P(\{\omega : \omega \in X^{-1}(B)\})$$

- We can then verify that (R, \mathcal{B}, μ) is a valid probability triple. This probability triple is sometimes called the probability triple induced by random variable X .
- Notation-wise, we may write μ as $\mathcal{L}(X)$ and use $X \sim \mu$ to represent that μ is the distribution of X .
- **Remark** Generally speaking, if we want to compare two random variables X and Y directly, we need to make sure that they are defined on the same probability triple. However, such requirement is not necessary when we compare the distributions of two random variables, as both $\mathcal{L}(X)$ and $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ can be regarded as probability measures over the same sample space R and σ -algebra \mathcal{B} .

CDF

- **CDF** We define the cumulative distribution function of a random variable X as $F_X(x) = P(X \leq x)$.
- A CDF is a right-continuous, non-decreasing function, and $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} F_X(x) = 0, \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F_X(x) = 1$
- **CDF specifies the Law (Prop. 6.0.2)**. It is clear that, for two random variables X and Y , if $\mathcal{L}(X) = \mathcal{L}(Y)$, then $F_X(x) = F_Y(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand, by the uniqueness of extension theorem, if $F_X(x) = F_Y(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\mathcal{L}(X) = \mathcal{L}(Y)$ as well.

Distribution and Expectation

- When we discuss expectation of a random variable X , we define the expectation over the probability triple (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . The following theorem shows that, the corresponding expectation defined over the probability triple induced by X would be the same.

Theorem 1 (Change of Variable Theorem)

On a probability triple (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , let X be random variable with distribution μ , and f be any Borel-measurable function from $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Assuming that all necessary expectations exist, we have:

$$E_P[f(X)] := \int_{\Omega} f(X(\omega))P(d\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)\mu(dt) := E_{\mu}[f(X)]$$

Proof of the Change of Variable Theorem

- 1) When f is an indicator function: $f = 1_B$ where B is a Borel set:
$$E_P(f) = \int_{\Omega} f(X(\omega))P(d\omega) = \int_{\Omega} 1_{X(\omega) \in B}P(d\omega) = P(X \in B)$$
$$E_{\mu}(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)\mu(dt) = \int_{\Omega} 1_{t \in B}\mu(dt) = \mu(B) = P(X \in B)$$
- 2) For simple function f (a finite linear combination of indicator function), the theorem holds as the expectation is linear.
- 3) For non-negative functions f , we can always construct a sequence of non-negative simple functions $f_n \nearrow f$ (e.g. define $f_n(\omega) = \Psi_n(f(\omega))$). Then by the monotone convergence theorem:
$$E_P(f) = \lim_n E_P(f_n) = \lim_n E_{\mu}(f_n) = E_{\mu}(f).$$
- 4) For general function f , we can write $f = f^+ - f^-$, then by the linearity of expectation
$$E_P(f) = E_P(f^+) - E_P(f^-) = E_{\mu}(f^+) - E_{\mu}(f^-) = E_{\mu}(f).$$

Distribution and Expectation

- Based on the previous theorem, the expectation of a function of random variable X is determined by the distribution of X . Thus, for two random variables X, Y , if $\mathcal{L}(X) = \mathcal{L}(Y)$, then $E[f(X)] = E[f(Y)]$ for any measurable function f (assuming the expectations exist).
- On the other hand, if $E[f(X)] = E[f(Y)]$ for any measurable function f , by setting $f = 1_B$ for any Borel set B , we have $P(X \in B) = E[f(X)] = E[f(Y)] = P(Y \in B)$, that is $\mathcal{L}(X) = \mathcal{L}(Y)$

Corollary 2 (6.1.3.)

For two random variables X and Y , $\mathcal{L}(X) = \mathcal{L}(Y)$ if and only if $E[f(X)] = E[f(Y)]$ for any measurable function f (assuming the expectations exist).

- Another useful result is: if $P(X = Y) = 1$, we have $\mathcal{L}(X) = \mathcal{L}(Y)$, so $E[f(X)] = E[f(Y)]$ for any measurable function f .

Distribution: Point Mass

- If a random variable X equals a constant c with probability 1 ($P(X = c) = 1$), the distribution of X is called a point mass distribution δ_c .
- For any Borel set B , $\delta_c(B) = P(X \in B) = P(c \in B) = 1_B(c)$, which equals 1 if $c \in B$ but 0 otherwise.
- The CDF of X , $F_X(x) = \delta_c((-\infty, x])$ equals 0 for $x < c$ and equals 1 for $x \geq c$.
- For any measurable function f , as $P(X = c) = 1$, $E[f(X)] = E[f(c)] = f(c)$.

Distribution: Mixture Distribution

- Given a sequence of probability distribution $\{\mu_i\}$, we can define the mixture distribution as $\mu(B) = \sum_i \beta_i \mu_i(B)$ where $\{\beta_i\}$ is a sequence of non-negative constants summing to 1, and B is any Borel set.
- It is easy to verify that μ is a proper probability measure on \mathbb{R} and the Borel σ -algebra \mathcal{B} . We have the following results regarding the expectation with respect to a mixture distribution:

Proposition 3 (6.2.1)

For the mixture distribution defined above, let f be any Borel measurable function and assuming all the necessary expectations exist, we have:

$$E_{\mu}(f) = \int f d\mu = \sum_i \beta_i \int f d\mu_i = \sum_i \beta_i E_{\mu_i}(f)$$

- Proof** For $f = 1_B$, the above equality is equivalent to $\mu(B) = \sum_i \beta_i \mu_i(B)$, which is true by definition. The general case follows by the linearity and the MCT.

Distribution: Discrete Distributions

- Any discrete distribution can be viewed as the mixture distribution of at most countable point mass distribution. If X can take value from the set $\{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ and $P(X = a_i) = p_i$, then the distribution of X can be represented as

$$\mathcal{L}(X) = \sum p_i \delta_{a_i}$$

- Thus, for any function f , $E[f(X)] = \sum p_i E_{\delta_{a_i}}[f(X)] = \sum p_i f(a_i)$.
- For instance, if $X \sim \text{Bin}(n, p)$, then
 $\mathcal{L}(X) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} \delta_k$, and
 $E[f(X)] = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} f(k)$.

Distribution: Absolutely Continuous Distributions

- For Borel-measurable function $f \geq 0$ so that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)\lambda(dt) = 1$ (λ represents the (non/sigma-) finite Lebesgue measure), we can define a distribution μ as:

$$\mu(B) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)1_B\lambda(dt) = \int_B f(t)\lambda(dt), \quad B \in \mathcal{B}$$

- Such a distribution is known as an absolutely continuous distribution, and we usually use notations such as $\mu(dt) = f(t)\lambda(dt)$ or $\frac{d\mu}{d\lambda} = f$. f is either called a Radon-Nikodym derivative or a density.

Distribution: Absolutely Continuous Distributions

Proposition 4

For an absolutely continuous distribution μ with density f , let g be any Borel measurable function; then we have:

$$E_{\mu}(g) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t)\mu(dt) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t)f(t)\lambda(dt)$$

- **Proof** For $g = 1_B$, the equality holds by definition. The general case follows by the linearity and monotone convergence theorem.

Distribution: Practical Calculations and Examples

- The expectation of discrete random variables can be calculated as sums.
- The expectations of absolutely continuous random variables can be calculated using a Riemann integral. Thus, for absolutely continuous random variable X with density f :

$$E_{\mu}(g(X)) = \int g(t)\mu(dt) = \int g(t)f(t)\lambda(dt) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t)f(t)dt$$

- Both are special cases of a Lebesgue integrals.
- Neither ac nor discrete: let $X \sim \mu = \frac{1}{2}\delta_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mu_N$ where μ_N is the distribution of standard normal $N(0, 1)$, then
 $E_{\mu}(X) = \frac{1}{2}1 + \frac{1}{2}0 = \frac{1}{2}$, $E_{\mu}(X^2) = \frac{1}{2}1 + \frac{1}{2}1 = 1$ and
 $\text{Var}_{\mu}(X) = E_{\mu}(X^2) - [E_{\mu}(X)]^2 = \frac{3}{4}$.