

# STAT 7200

## Introduction to Advanced Probability

### Lecture 7

Taylor R. Brown

## 1 Probability Triple

- Extension Theorem
- Application of Extension Theorem: Uniform Measure on  $[0,1]$
- Variation of Extension Theorem
- Uniqueness of Extension Theorem
- Extension Theorem: Application
  - Extension Theorem: Tossing an Infinite Number of Coins
  - Extension Theorem: Product Measure

## 2 Foundation of Probability

- Random Variable
  - Random Variable: Definition
  - Random Variable: Property
- Independence
  - Independence: Definition

“A First Look at Rigorous Probability Theory” (Jeffrey Rosenthal)  
Sections 2.5 (continued), 2.6, 3.1, and 3.2

# Extension Theorem

## Theorem 1

The Extension Theorem *Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a semialgebra of subsets of  $\Omega$ ,  $P$  a function from  $\mathcal{J}$  to  $[0,1]$  with the following properties:*

a)  $P(\emptyset) = 0, P(\Omega) = 1.$

b)  $P(\bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^k P(A_i)$  whenever  $A_1, \dots, A_k \in \mathcal{J}$ ,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i \in \mathcal{J}$ , and  $A_1, \dots, A_k$  are pairwise disjoint (finite superadditivity).

c)  $P(A) \leq \sum_n P(A_n)$  whenever  $A, A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{J}$ , and  $A \subseteq \bigcup_n A_n$  (countable monotonicity).

*Then there is a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M} \supseteq \mathcal{J}$  and a proper probability measure  $P^*$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $P^*(A) = P(A)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{J}$ .*

# Variation of Extension Theorem

## Proposition 2

*In the original extension theorem, the finite superadditivity condition and the countable monotonicity condition of  $P$  can be replaced by the following countable additivity condition:*

*$P(\bigcup_n A_n) = \sum_n P(A_n)$  for disjoint  $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{J}$  with  $\bigcup_n A_n \in \mathcal{J}$ .*

# Uniqueness of Extension Theorem

## Theorem 3 (Proposition 2.5.7)

Uniqueness of Extension *In the extension theorem (or variation), the extended probability measure  $P^*$  over  $\mathcal{M}$  is unique in the sense that: For  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  and another probability measure  $Q$  over  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $Q(A) = P(A)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{J}$ . Then  $Q(A) = P^*(A)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ .*

• **Proof:** For any  $A \in \mathcal{F}$

$$\begin{aligned} P^*(A) &= \inf_{A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{J}, A \subseteq \bigcup_i A_i} \sum_i P(A_i) = \inf_{A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{J}, A \subseteq \bigcup_i A_i} \sum_i Q(A_i) \\ &\geq \inf_{A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{J}, A \subseteq \bigcup_i A_i} Q\left(\bigcup_i A_i\right) \text{ (countable subadditivity) } \\ &\geq \inf_{A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{J}, A \subseteq \bigcup_i A_i} Q(A) \text{ (by monotonicity) } = Q(A). \end{aligned}$$

## Uniqueness of Extension Theorem: continued

- **Proof (continued):** The previous derivation shows that  $P^*(A) \geq Q(A)$  for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ . Similarly,  $P^*(A^c) \geq Q(A^c)$ . But as the probability of complement equals 1 minus the probability, we have  $P^*(A) \leq Q(A)$ , thus  $P^*(A) = Q(A)$ . The extension is unique over  $\mathcal{F}$ .

### Corollary 4 (Proposition 2.5.8)

*Let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a semi-algebra and  $\mathcal{F}$  be the  $\sigma$  – algebra generated by  $\mathcal{J}$ . Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be two probability measures over  $\mathcal{F}$ , such that  $P(A) = Q(A)$  for any  $A \in \mathcal{J}$ . Then  $P(A) = Q(A)$  for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ .*

### Corollary 5 (2.5.9)

*Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be two probability measures over  $\mathcal{B}$ , the collection of Borel sets, such that  $P((-\infty, x]) = Q((-\infty, x])$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $P(A) = Q(A)$  for any  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ .*

# Tossing an Infinite Number of Coins

- The sample space of tossing a fair coin an infinite number of times can be denoted as:  $\Omega = \{(r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots) : r_i = 0 \text{ or } 1\}$ .
- Each outcome in this sample space consists of an infinite number of tosses, and each toss equals 0 or 1 with probability 0.5. Then intuitively the probability of each outcome should be 0. However, just as “the probability of  $X = x$  should equal 0 if  $X \sim Unif$ ”, this result does not help us much in understanding this particular sample space.
- Denote  $A_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}$  ( $a_i = 0 \text{ or } 1$ ) as the event that the results of the first  $n$  tosses are exactly  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ , then the collection  $\mathcal{J} = \{A_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}, a_i = 0 \text{ or } 1\} \cup \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$  is a semi-algebra. The probability function  $P$  over  $\mathcal{J}$  can be defined as  $P(A_{a_1 a_2 \dots a_n}) = 1/2^n$ . And we can verify that  $P$  satisfies the variation of extension theorem.
- By the extension theorem, we may extend both  $\mathcal{J}$  and  $P$  to a proper probability triple.
- This probability triple is actually equivalent to the uniform measure (Lebesgue Measure) as each  $x \in [0, 1]$  can be represented as:  $x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{2^k}$  in a binary representation.

# Product Measure

- The extension theorem is not limited to one-dimensional sample spaces. We just used it on infinite-dimensional coin-flip spaces, and we can also use it to define a uniform measure over  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ .
- We may construct the semi-algebra as the collection of all the rectangles (may be closed or open on any of the four borders), and define  $P$  as the area of any rectangle. We then verify the conditions of the extension theorem as we had done for the uniform measure over  $[0, 1]$  and apply the extension theorem to construct a probability triple.



## Product Measure: continued

- Suppose we have two probability measures  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, P_1)$  and  $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, P_2)$ . To define a probability measure over  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ , we may choose  $\mathcal{J}$  as:

$$\mathcal{J} = \{A \times B : A \in \mathcal{F}_1, B \in \mathcal{F}_2\}$$

and define  $P(A \times B) = P(A) \times P(B)$  .

- It is quite easy to verify that  $\mathcal{J}$  is a semi-algebra.
- We will show that  $P(A \times B)$  is countably additive later. Then we may apply the extension theorem to show that it would be possible to construct a product measure based on two marginal measures.

## Random Variable: Definition

- On the probability triple  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , we define a random variable  $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  if
$$\{\omega : X(\omega) \leq x\} \in \mathcal{F} \text{ for any } x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ or}$$
$$\{\omega : X^{-1}(B)\} \in \mathcal{F} \text{ for any } B \in \mathcal{B}.$$
- The second condition certainly implies the first condition. To see why the first condition implies the second condition, define  $\mathcal{A} = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{R} : X^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{F}\}$ .  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Moreover, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(-\infty, x] \in \mathcal{A}$ . Last, by definition of the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ . So, for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $X^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- $X$  is a “measurable” function from  $\Omega$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Generally speaking, we say a function from  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, P_1)$  to  $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, P_2)$  is measurable if the inverse image of any measurable set in  $\Omega_2$  is also measurable in  $\Omega_1$ .

## Proposition 3.1.5

- The indicator of a measurable set  $A$  (written  $1_A(\omega)$ ) is a random variable. Take any  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . 1 can be in  $B$  and/or 0 can be in  $B$ , or neither.  $X^{-1}(B)$  is then either  $A$ ,  $A^c$ ,  $\Omega$  or  $\emptyset$ , all of which are in  $\mathcal{F}$ .
- $X + c$  and  $cX$  are random variables if  $X$  is.
- $X^2$  is an rv if  $X$  is.  $\{X^2 \leq y\} = \{-\sqrt{y} \leq X \leq \sqrt{y}\} \in \mathcal{F}$ .

## Proposition 3.1.5

- If  $X$  and  $Y$  are r.v.s., then  $X + Y$  is still random variable as:  
 $\{X + Y \leq z\} = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} \{X \leq r\} \cap \{Y \leq z - r\}$
- If  $Z_1, Z_2, \dots$  are r.v.s., and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Z_n(\omega)$  exists for every  $\omega$ , then  $Z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Z_n$  is also a random variable

-

$$\begin{aligned}\{Z \leq z\} &= \{\limsup_n Z_n(\omega) \leq z\} \\ &= \{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{k \geq n} Z_k(\omega) \leq z\} \\ &= \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\sup_{k \geq n} Z_k(\omega) \leq z\} \\ &= \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} \{Z_k(\omega) \leq z\}\end{aligned}$$

# Independence: Definition

- A collection of events  $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  (can be finite, countable or uncountable) are independent if and only if for all finite subsets  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_J) \subseteq I$ ,  $P(\bigcap_{j=1}^J A_{\alpha_j}) = \prod_{j=1}^J P(A_{\alpha_j})$ .
- It is possible to have any two of the three events to be independent but the three events together are not. For instance, throw a fair dice twice, let  $A = \{\text{First toss is even}\}$ ,  $B = \{\text{Second toss is odd}\}$  and  $C = \{\text{Sum of the first and second dices is even}\}$ .
- A collection of random variable  $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  are independent if and only if for all finite subsets  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_J) \subseteq I$ , and all Borel sets  $S_1, \dots, S_J$ ,  $P(X_{\alpha_1} \in S_1, \dots, X_{\alpha_J} \in S_J) = P(X_{\alpha_1} \in S_1) \dots P(X_{\alpha_J} \in S_J)$ .
- If random variable  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent, then  $f(X)$  and  $g(Y)$  are also independent for measurable functions  $f$  and  $g$ .