STAT 7200

Introduction to Advanced Probability
Lecture 14

Taylor R. Brown

- Theory of Convergence I
 - Convergence Almost Surely
 - Convergence in Probability
 - Law of Large Number

- Poundation of Probability II
 - Distribution

Distribution

• **Distribution** For a random variable X on probability triple (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , its distribution (law) is a function μ defined on all Borel subsets \mathcal{B} :

$$\mu(B) = \mathsf{P}(X \in B) = \mathsf{P}(\{\omega : \omega \in X^{-1}(B)\})$$

- We can then verify that (R, \mathcal{B}, μ) is a valid probability triple. This probability triple is sometimes called the probability triple induced by random variable X.
- Notation-wise, we may write μ as $\mathcal{L}(X)$ and use $X \sim \mu$ to represent that μ is the distribution of X.
- Remark Generally speaking, if we want to compare two random variables X and Y directly, we need to make sure that they are defined on the same probability triple. However, such requirement is not necessary when we compare the distributions of two random variables, as both $\mathcal{L}(X)$ and $\mathcal{L}(Y)$ can be regarded as probability measures over the same sample space R and σ -algebra \mathcal{B} .

CDF

- **CDF** We define the cumulative distribution function of a random variable X as $F_X(x) = P(X \le x)$.
- A CDF is a right-continuous, non-decreasing function, and $\lim_{x\to -\infty} F_X(x) = 0$, $\lim_{x\to \infty} F_X(x) = 1$
- CDF specifies the Law (Prop. 6.0.2). It is clear that, for two random variables X and Y, if $\mathcal{L}(X) = \mathcal{L}(Y)$, then $F_X(x) = F_Y(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand, by the uniqueness of extension theorem, if $F_X(x) = F_Y(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\mathcal{L}(X) = \mathcal{L}(Y)$ as well.

Distribution and Expectation

• When we discuss expectation of a random variable X, we define the expectation over the probability triple $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathsf{P})$. The following theorem shows that, the corresponding expectation defined over the probability triple induced by X would be the same.

Theorem 1 (Change of Variable Theorem)

On a probability triple $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathsf{P})$, let X be random variable with distribution μ , and f be any Borel-measurable function from $\mathsf{R} \to \mathsf{R}$. Assuming that all necessary expectations exist, we have:

$$\mathsf{E}_\mathsf{P}[f(X)] := \int_\Omega f(X(\omega)) \mathsf{P}(d\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(t) \mu(dt) := \mathsf{E}_\mu[f(X)]$$

Proof of the Change of Variable Theorem

- 1) When f is an indicator function: $f = 1_B$ where B is a Borel set: $\mathsf{E}_\mathsf{P}(f) = \int_\Omega f(X(\omega)) \mathsf{P}(d\omega) = \int_\Omega 1_{X(\omega) \in B} \mathsf{P}(d\omega) = \mathsf{P}(X \in B)$ $\mathsf{E}_\mu(f) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(t) \mu(dt) = \int_\mathsf{R} 1_{t \in B} \mu(dt) = \mu(B) = \mathsf{P}(X \in B)$
- 2) For simple function f (a finite linear combination of indicator function), the theorem holds as the expectation is linear.
- 3) For non-negative functions f, we can always construct a sequence of non-negative simple functions $f_n \nearrow f$ (e.g. define $f_n(X(\omega)) = \Psi_n(f(X(\omega)))$). Then by the monotone convergence theorem:

$$\mathsf{E}_\mathsf{P}(f) = \mathsf{lim}_n \, \mathsf{E}_\mathsf{P}(f_n) = \mathsf{lim}_n \, \mathsf{E}_\mu(f_n) = \mathsf{E}_\mu(f).$$

4) For general function f, we can write f = f⁺ - f⁻, then by the linearity of expectation
E_P(f) = E_P(f⁺) - E_P(f⁻) = E_u(f⁺) - E_u(f⁻) = E_u(f).

Distribution and Expectation

- Based on the previous theorem, the expectation of a function of random variable X is determined by the distribution of X. Thus, for two random variables X, Y, if $\mathcal{L}(X) = \mathcal{L}(Y)$, then $\mathsf{E}[f(X)] = \mathsf{E}[f(Y)]$ for any measurable function f (assuming the expectations exist).
- On the other hand, if E[f(X)] = E[f(Y)] for any measurable function f, by setting $f = 1_B$ for any Borel set B, we have $P(X \in B) = E[f(X)] = E[f(Y)] = P(Y \in B)$, that is $\mathcal{L}(X) = \mathcal{L}(Y)$

Corollary 2 (6.1.3.)

For two random variables X and Y, $\mathcal{L}(X) = \mathcal{L}(Y)$ if and only if $\mathsf{E}[f(X)] = \mathsf{E}[f(Y)]$ for any measurable function f (assuming the expectations exist.

• Another useful result is: if P(X = Y) = 1, we have $\mathcal{L}(X) = \mathcal{L}(Y)$, so E[f(X)] = E[f(Y)] for any measurable function f.

Distribution: Point Mass

- If a random variable X equals a constant c with probability 1 (P(X=c)=1), the distribution of X is called a point mass distribution δ_c .
- For any Borel set B, $\delta_c(B) = P(X \in B) = P(c \in B) = 1_B(c)$, which equals 1 if $c \in B$ but 0 otherwise.
- The CDF of X, $F_X(x) = \delta_c((-\infty, x])$ equals 0 for x < c and equals 1 for $x \ge c$.
- For any measurable function f, as P(X = c) = 1, E[f(X)] = E[f(c)] = f(c).

Distribution: Mixture Distribution

- Given a sequence of probability distribution $\{\mu_i\}$, we can define the mixture distribution as $\mu(B) = \sum_i \beta_i \mu_i(B)$ where $\{\beta_i\}$ is a sequence of non-negative constants summing to 1, and B is any Borel set.
- It is easy to verify that μ is a proper probability measure on R and the Borel σ -algebra \mathcal{B} . We have the following results regarding the expectation with respect to a mixture distribution:

Proposition 3 (6.2.1)

For the mixture distribution defined above, let f be any Borel measurable function and assuming all the necessary expectations exist, we have:

$$\mathsf{E}_{\mu}(f) = \int f d\mu = \sum_{i} \beta_{i} \int f d\mu_{i} = \sum_{i} \beta_{i} \mathsf{E}_{\mu_{i}}(f)$$

• **Proof** For $f = 1_B$, the above equality is equivalent to $\mu(B) = \sum_i \beta_i \mu_i(B)$, which is true by definition. The general case follows by the linearity and the MCT.

Taylor R. Brown STAT 7200 9 / 13

Distribution: Discrete Distributions

• Any discrete distribution can be viewed as the mixture distribution of at most countable point mass distribution. If X can take value from the set $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots\}$ and $P(X = a_i) = p_i$, then the distribution of X can be represented as

$$\mathcal{L}(X) = \sum p_i \delta_{a_i}$$

- Thus, for any function f, $E[f(X)] = \sum p_i E_{\delta_{a_i}}[f(X)] = \sum p_i f(a_i)$.
- For instance, if $X \sim Bin(n,p)$, then $\mathcal{L}(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} \delta_k$, and $\mathrm{E}[f(X)] = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} f(k)$.

Distribution: Absolutely Continuous Distributions

• For Borel-measurable function $f \geq 0$ so that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)\lambda(dt) = 1$ (λ represents the (non/sigma-) finite Lebesque measure), we can define a distribution μ as:

$$\mu(B) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) 1_B \lambda(dt) = \int_B f(t) \lambda(dt), \ B \in \mathcal{B}$$

• Such a distribution is known as an absolutely continuous distribution, and we usually use notations such as $\mu(dt) = f(t)\lambda(dt)$ or $\frac{d\mu}{d\lambda} = f$. f is either called a Radon-Nikodym derivative or a density.

Taylor R. Brown STAT 7200 11 / 13

Distribution: Absolutely Continuous Distributions

Proposition 4

For an absolutely continuous distribution μ with density f, let g be any Borel measurable function; then we have:

$$\mathsf{E}_{\mu}(g) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t) \mu(dt) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t) f(t) \lambda(dt)$$

• **Proof** For $g=1_B$, the equality holds by definition. The general case follows by the linearity and monotone convergence theorem.

Distribution: Practical Calculations and Examples

- The expectation of discrete random variables can be calculated as sums.
- The expectations of absolutely continuous random variables can be calculated using a Riemann integral. Thus, for absolutely continuous random variable X with density f:

$$\mathsf{E}_{\mu}(g(X)) = \int g(t)\mu(dt) = \int g(t)f(t)\lambda(dt) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t)f(t)dt$$

- Both are special cases of a Lebesgue integrals.
- Neither ac nor discrete: let $X \sim \mu = \frac{1}{2}\delta_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mu_N$ where μ_N is the distribution of standard normal N(0,1), then $\mathsf{E}_\mu(X) = \frac{1}{2}1 + \frac{1}{2}0 = \frac{1}{2}, \; \mathsf{E}_\mu(X^2) = \frac{1}{2}1 + \frac{1}{2}1 = 1 \; \mathsf{and} \; \mathsf{Var}_\mu(X) = \mathsf{E}_\mu(X^2) [\mathsf{E}_\mu(X)]^2 = \frac{3}{4}.$