

# STAT 7200

## Introduction to Advanced Probability

### Lecture 12

Taylor R. Brown

- Almost Sure Convergence
- Convergence in Probability
- Law of Large Numbers

# Almost Sure Convergence

- We say that  $\{Z_n\}$  converges to  $Z$  almost surely (or a.s., or with probability 1), if  $P(\{\omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Z_n(\omega) = Z(\omega), \omega \in \Omega\}) = 1$
- $P(Z_n \rightarrow Z) = 1$  is equivalent to  
For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $P(|Z_n - Z| \geq \varepsilon \text{ i.o.}) = 0$  (or  
 $P(|Z_n - Z| < \varepsilon \text{ a.a.}) = 1$ )
- For r.v.s.  $Z, Z_1, Z_2, \dots$ , we have that  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\sum_n P(|Z_n - Z| \geq \varepsilon) < \infty$  implies  $P(Z_n \rightarrow Z) = 1$  by the Borel-Cantelli lemma.

# Convergence in Probability

- **Convergence in Probability** For r.v.s.  $Z, Z_1, Z_2, \dots$ , we say that  $\{Z_n\}$  converges to  $Z$  in probability, if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $P(|Z_n - Z| \geq \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .
- **Example** Let  $Z_1, Z_2, \dots$  be random variables such that  $P(Z_n = 1) = \frac{1}{2^n}$  and  $P(Z_n = 0) = 1 - \frac{1}{2^n}$ . Then for  $1 > \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $P(|Z_n| \geq \varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2^n} \rightarrow 0$ , so we must have  $Z_n \rightarrow 0$  in probability.

## Proposition 1

*Almost Sure Convergence implies convergence in probability.*

- **Proof** If  $\{Z_n\}$  converges to  $Z$  almost surely, then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $P(|Z_n - Z| \geq \varepsilon \text{ i.o.}) = 0$ . That is,  $P(\limsup_n \{|Z_n - Z| \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0$ .
- $0 \leq \liminf_n P(|Z_n - Z| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \limsup_n P(|Z_n - Z| \geq \varepsilon) \leq P(\limsup_n \{|Z_n - Z| \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0$ .
- Thus,  $\lim_n P(|Z_n - Z| \geq \varepsilon) = 0$ ,  $\{Z_n\}$  converges to  $Z$  in probability.

# Convergence in Probability and Almost Sure Convergence

On the other hand, even if we know  $P(|Z_n - Z| \geq \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ , we still have no idea regarding the probability of  $\{|Z_n - Z| \geq \varepsilon \text{ i.o.}\}$  is greater than 0.

If  $P(|Z_n - Z| \geq \varepsilon)$  went to 0 fast enough, then the  $\sum_n P(|Z_n - Z| \geq \varepsilon) < \infty$ , and we could invoke Borel-Cantelli. If it goes to 0 slowly, then we can come up with counter-examples:

# Convergence in Probability and Almost Sure Convergence

- For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $Z_n$  be such that  $P(Z_n = 1) = 1 - P(Z_n = 0) = \frac{1}{n}$ . Also, suppose these r.v.s are independent.
- Pick  $1 > \epsilon > 0$ . Then  $P(|Z_n - 0| > \epsilon) = P(Z_n = 1) = n^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ . So it converges \*in probability\*.
- On the other hand,  $\sum_n P(|Z_n - 0| > \epsilon) = \infty$ , so by the second Borel-Cantelli lemma,  $P(|Z_n| > \epsilon \text{ i.o.}) = 1$ . Not only does it not converge almost surely to 0, but it converges to 0 almost nowhere!

# Convergence in Probability and Almost Sure Convergence

- **Example** Consider the uniform measure  $([0, 1], \mathcal{M}, \lambda)$  and define

$$Z_1 = 1_{[0, 1/2)}, Z_2 = 1_{[1/2, 1)},$$

$$Z_3 = 1_{[0, 1/4)}, Z_4 = 1_{[1/4, 1/2)}, Z_5 = 1_{[1/2, 3/4)}, Z_6 = 1_{[3/4, 1)},$$

$$Z_7 = 1_{[0, 1/8)}, Z_8 = 1_{[1/8, 2/8)}, \dots, Z_{14} = 1_{[7/8, 1)},$$

...

- In general  $Z_n = 1_{[\frac{k}{2^m}, \frac{k+1}{2^m})}$  where  $m = \lfloor \log_2(n+1) \rfloor$  and  $k = n + 1 - 2^m$
- $\{Z_n\}$  converges to 0 in probability because  $2^{-\lfloor \log_2(n+1) \rfloor} \rightarrow 0$  because  $2^{\lfloor \log_2(n+1) \rfloor} \rightarrow \infty$ .
- But  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{|Z_n| > \epsilon\} = \bigcap_{a=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n \geq a} [\frac{k}{2^m}, \frac{k+1}{2^m}) = \bigcap_{a=1}^{\infty} [0, 1]$ .
- For each  $\omega$ , the sequence  $Z_1(\omega), Z_2(\omega), \dots$  contains infinitely number of 1s.

# Weak Law of Large Numbers Version 1

## Theorem 2 (WLLN V1)

*For a sequence of independent random variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  with the same mean  $\mu$  and finite variance bounded by  $\sigma^2$ , define  $S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$ , then  $S_n/n$  converges to  $\mu$  in probability.*

- **Proof** We need to prove that, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  
 $\lim_n P(|S_n/n - \mu| \geq \varepsilon) = 0$ .
- Since  $E(S_n/n) = \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i)/n = \mu$ , by Chebychev's inequality, we have:

$$P(|\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu| \geq \varepsilon) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(S_n/n)}{\varepsilon^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(X_i)}{n^2 \varepsilon^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{n \varepsilon^2} \rightarrow 0$$

- **Note** Since  $\sum_n \frac{1}{n} = \infty$ , the above proof does not suggest that  $S_n/n$  converges to  $\mu$  almost surely.



# Strong Law of Large Numbers Version 1

## Theorem 3 (SLLN V1)

*For a sequence of independent random variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  with the same mean  $\mu$  and bounded finite fourth central moments ( $E(X_i - \mu)^4 \leq a < \infty$ ), define  $S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$ , then  $S_n/n$  converges to  $\mu$  almost surely.*

- **Proof** Without loss of generality, let us assume that  $\mu = 0$  (otherwise we can set  $X'_i = X_i - \mu$ ).
- We want to show that, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\sum_n P(|S_n/n| \geq \varepsilon) < \infty$ , and then invoke BCL. Note that

$$P(|\frac{S_n}{n}| \geq \varepsilon) = P(S_n^4 \geq n^4 \varepsilon^4) \leq \frac{E(S_n^4)}{n^4 \varepsilon^4}$$

If we can show that,  $E(S_n^4) \leq Kn^2$ , where  $K$  is a constant, then  $\sum_n P(|S_n/n| \geq \varepsilon) \leq K\varepsilon^{-4} \sum_n \frac{1}{n^2} < \infty$ , and we should have  $S_n/n$  converges to  $\mu$  almost surely.

## Strong Law of Large Number Version 1: continued

- **Proof: continued** As  $S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n$ , the expansion of  $S_n^4$  would contain four different terms: 1)  $X_i^4$ , whose expectation is bounded by constant  $a$ ; 2)  $X_i(X_j^3)$ , whose expectation equals 0 as we assume  $\mu = 0$ ; 3)  $X_i X_j X_k^2$ , whose expectation also equals 0. 4)  $X_i^2 X_j^2$ .
  - For the expectation of  $X_i^2 X_j^2$  ( $i \neq j$ ). Note that as  $X^2 \leq X^4 + 1$  (considering  $X > 1$  and  $X \leq 1$ ), we have  $EX_i^2 \leq EX_i^4 + 1 \leq a + 1$ , so  $E(X_i^2 X_j^2) \leq (a + 1)^2$ .
  - Furthermore, there are  $n$  different terms in the form of  $X_j^4$  in the expansion of  $S_n$ , and  $\binom{4}{2} \binom{n}{2} = 3n(n-1)$  different terms in the form of  $X_i^2 X_j^2$ . Thus:

$$E(S_n^4) = \sum_i E(X_i^4) + \sum_{i \neq j} E(X_i^2 X_j^2) \leq na + 3n(n-1)(a+1)^2 \leq Kn^2.$$

We then have  $S_n/n$  converges to  $\mu$  almost surely.

# Relax the Conditions in the Law of Large Number

- In the discussion above, to ensure the law of large numbers, we required that  $X_i$ s are independent random variables with finite high-order moments.
- One way to relax this condition is to only require the first moment to be finite. In this situation, we would need to add an extra condition: that the  $X_i$ s are identically distributed (in addition to independent).
- **Identically Distributed:** A collection of random variable  $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  is identically distributed if for any measurable function  $f$ , the expectation  $E(f(X_\alpha))$  is the same for all  $\alpha \in I$ . This condition is equivalent to: for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $P(X_\alpha \leq x)$  does not depend on  $\alpha$ .
- **i.i.d.:** A collection of random variable  $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  are i.i.d. if they are independent and identically distributed.

# Strong Law of Large Number Version 2

## Theorem 4 (SLLN V2)

*Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with a finite mean  $\mu$ . Define  $S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$ . Then  $S_n/n$  converges to  $\mu$  almost surely.*

## Corollary 5 (Weak Law of Large Number (WLLN) V2)

*Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with a finite mean  $\mu$ . Define  $S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$ . Then  $S_n/n$  converges to  $\mu$  in probability.*

The second version of WLLN follows from the fact that Almost Sure Convergence implies convergence in probability.

# Proof to Strong Law of Large Number Version 2: Part I

- First, without loss of generality, we may assume that  $X > 0$ . Otherwise, we can let  $X_i = X_i^+ - X_i^-$ , and apply the law of large number to  $X_i^+$  and  $X_i^-$  respectively.
- Second, to prove almost sure convergence, the most reliable route is to use Chebchev's inequality to obtain an upper bound of  $P(|S_n/n - \mu| \geq \varepsilon)$  and then apply Borel-Cantelli Lemma to show that the probability of event  $\{|S_n/n - \mu| \geq \varepsilon \text{ i.o.}\}$  equals 0. However, the condition of applying Chebchev's inequality is that the variance of  $X_i$  exists. For this purpose, we need to construct a truncated version of  $X_i$ .

## Proof to Strong Law of Large Number Version 2: Part II

- Let  $Y_i = X_i 1_{X_i \leq i}$ . Then  $0 \leq Y_i \leq i$ ,  $E(Y_i^k) \leq i^k < \infty$  for any  $k$ .

### Lemma 6

*Define  $T_n = Y_1 + \cdots + Y_n$ , if  $T_n/n$  converges to  $\mu$  almost surely,  $S_n/n$  also converges to  $\mu$  almost surely*

- **Proof:** We only need to show that  $(T_n - S_n)/n \rightarrow 0$  almost surely.
  - As  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(X_k \neq Y_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(X_k > k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(X_1 \geq k) \leq E(X_1) = \mu < \infty$  (see Proposition 4.2.9), by the Borel-Cantelli Lemma,  $P(X_k \neq Y_k \text{ i.o.}) = 0$ . Thus  $P(X_k - Y_k = 0 \text{ a.a.}) = 1$ .
  - For any  $\omega \in \{\omega : X_k(\omega) - Y_k(\omega) = 0 \text{ a.a.}\}$ , there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $n > N$ ,  $X_n(\omega) = Y_n(\omega)$ . Correspondingly, for  $n > N$ ,  $(T_n(\omega) - S_n(\omega))/n = \sum_{i=1}^N (Y_i(\omega) - X_i(\omega))/n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus  $P(\lim_n (T_n - S_n)/n = 0) \leq P(X_k - Y_k = 0 \text{ a.a.}) = 1$ .