STAT 7200
Introduction to Advanced Probability
Lecture 2

Taylor R. Brown

"A First Look at Rigorous Probability Theory" (Jeffrey Rosenthal)
Sections A.3 and A.4

Limits: Limits of Sequences of Real Numbers

- **Limit of A Sequence of Real Numbers** A sequence of real numbers $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n, \cdots$ converges to another real number x if, given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $N \in \mathbf{N}$, so that for any $n > N$, $|x_n - x| < \varepsilon$. We denote this as $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$.

- Intuition: imagine a small interval (or ball) centered at x with radius ε. As long as the x is the limit of the sequence, no matter how small you choose ε, there will only be a finite number of x_n outside of this interval.

- **Example** Show that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^k} = 0$.

- **Proof** First, choose an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$.

  Set $N := \left\lceil \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{1/k}} \right\rceil$. Then $n > N$ guarantees $|\frac{1}{n^k} - 0| < \varepsilon$.

Sequences that Converge to Infinity and Sequences without Limits

- **Converges to Infinity** A sequence of real numbers $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n, \cdots$ converges to infinity if for any $M \in \mathbf{R}$, there is a $N \in \mathbf{N}$, so that for any $n > N$, $X_n > M$. We denote this as $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \infty$. We can also define the convergence to negative infinity in a similar fashion.

- **Example** $\lim_{n \to \infty} n = \infty$.

- There are also sequences that do not have a finite or infinite limit. For instance, the sequence $0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, \cdots$ oscillates between 0 and 1. Thus It does not converge to either 1 or 0.

- Still, for any real sequence, there is always at least a subsequence that converges to a finite value or infinity. This is reflected in the following facts: 1) For any sequence, you can always find a monotone subsequence, which converges to a finite value or infinity. 2) Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem: if the original sequence is bounded, you will always be able to find a subsequence that converges (to a finite value).

Bounds and Limits

- A set $A \subset R$ is **bounded above (or below)** if there is a real number M such that $a \leq M$ (or $a \geq M$) for all $a \in A$. A set that is bounded above and blow is called **bounded**.

Proposition 1

If $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$, then the set $\{x_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is bounded.

- **Proof** Choose $\varepsilon = 1$. Because $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$, we can find a large N where $|x_n - x| < 1$ for any $n > N$.

  Let $M = \max\{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_N, x+1\}$, $L = \min\{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_N, x-1\}$. Clearly $L \leq x_n \leq M$ for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

Properties of Limits

Theorem 2

If $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$, *and* $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n = y$, *then*
1) For any a, $\lim_{n\to\infty} ax_n = ax$; *2)* $\lim_{n\to\infty}(x_n + y_n) = x + y$;
3) $\lim_{n\to\infty}(x_n y_n) = xy$; *4) If* $x > 0$, *then* $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{x_n} = \frac{1}{x}$.

- **Proof** We only consider the situation in which both limits are finite.

  2): By definition, given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there are $N_1, N_2 \in \mathbf{N}$, so that
  $|x_n - x| < \varepsilon/2$ for $n > N_1$ and $|y_n - y| < \varepsilon/2$ for $n > N_2$.

  Now we let $N^* = \max(N_1, N_2)$, then for any $n > N^*$, $|x_n - x| < \varepsilon/2$
  and $|y_n - y| < \varepsilon/2$.

  Furthermore, for $n > N^*$, we have,
  $|x_n + y_n - x - y| \le |x_n - x| + |y_n - y| < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon$.
  Thus, $\lim_{n\to\infty}(x_n + y_n) = x + y$.

Properties of Limits (continued)

- 3) $\lim_{n\to\infty}(x_n y_n) = xy$
- **Proof:** The intuition is to show $|x_n y_n - xy|$ can be arbitrarily small for large enough n. This can be shown by the following inequality: $|x_n y_n - xy| = |x_n y_n - xy_n + xy_n - xy| \le |y_n||x_n - x| + |x||y_n - y|$, in which $|y_n|$ approaches y, and $|x_n - x|$, $|y_n - y|$ approaches 0 for large n. A rigorous proof for the case $x \neq 0$ is shown below:

  a) For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $N_1 \in \mathbf{N}$ so that for any $n > N_1$, $|y_n - y| < \varepsilon/(2|x|)$.

  b) Choose any constant $\delta > 0$. Then there is $N_2 \in \mathbf{N}$ so that for any $n > N_2$, $|y_n - y| < \delta$, which further implies $|y_n| < |y| + \delta$.

  c) For the same $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $N_3 \in \mathbf{N}$ so that for any $n > N_3$, $|x_n - x| < \varepsilon/(2(|y| + \delta))$.

  d) Now we let $N^* = \max(N_1, N_2, N_3)$, then for any $n > N^*$,

$$|x_n y_n - xy| = |x_n y_n - xy_n + xy_n - xy| \le |y_n||x_n - x| + |x||y_n - y|$$
$$\le (|y| + \delta)\varepsilon/(2(|y| + \delta)) + |x|\varepsilon/(2|x|) = \varepsilon$$

Thus, $\lim_{n\to\infty}(x_n y_n) = xy$.

Sum of Infinite Sequences

- For sequence $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n, \cdots$, we define its sum as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$$

- For nonnegative sequences, the limit is either finite or infinity.
- **Examples**

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} = \infty; \ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} = e.$$

Sums of Infinite Sequences

- Recall that the sum of an infinite sequence $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n, \cdots$ is:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$$

$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ converges if the limit of the partial sum is finite.

Theorem 3

1) If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ converges, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an $N \in \mathbf{N}$ so that $|\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} x_k| < \varepsilon$ for all $n > N$
2) Let $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ and $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ be two sequences of real numbers with $|x_n| < y_n$ for all n. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n$ converges, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ also converges and $|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n| < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n$

Squeeze Theorem

Theorem 4

Suppose that we have three sequences $\{a_n\}$, $\{b_n\}$, $\{c_n\}$ that satisfy $a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n$ for all n and $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} c_n = L$. Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n = L$

- **Proof** For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there are $N_1, N_2 \in \mathbf{N}$, so that $|a_n - L| < \varepsilon$ for $n > N_1$ and $|c_n - L| < \varepsilon$ for $n > N_2$.

  Now we let $N^* = \max(N_1, N_2)$, then for any $n > N^*$, $|a_n - L| < \varepsilon$ and $|c_n - L| < \varepsilon$. These two inequalities further imply $L - \varepsilon < a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n < L + \varepsilon$.

  Thus, for $n > N^*$, $|b_n - L| < \varepsilon$. We have $\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n = L$

- **Example** $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sin n}{n} = 0$ since $-\frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{\sin n}{n} \leq \frac{1}{n}$

Limits Preserve Order

Theorem 5

Suppose that we have two sequences $\{a_n\}$, $\{b_n\}$ that satisfy $a_n \leq b_n$ for all n. If $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = L$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} b_n = M$. Then $L \leq M$.

- **Proof** Assume to the contrary that $L > M$. Pick $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $M + \varepsilon < L - \varepsilon$ (e.g. $\varepsilon = (L - M)/4$)

  For this same $\varepsilon > 0$, pick $N \in \mathbf{N}$ so that $|a_n - L| < \varepsilon$ and $|b_n - M| < \varepsilon$ for $n > N$. However, these two inequalities imply $a_n > L - \varepsilon > M + \varepsilon > b_n$ when $n > N$, which contradicts the hypothesis that $a_n \leq b_n$ for all n. Thus, $L \leq M$.

Supremum and Infimum

- **Supremum** For any nonempty subset A of **R** that is bounded above, the **supremum** or **least upper bound** is the number L so that
  1) $a \leq L$ for all $a \in A$. 2) For any other upper bound L' of A, $L' \geq L$. The supremum of A is denoted by $\sup A$.

- **Infimum** Similarly, we can also define the **infimum** or **greatest lower bound** for any nonempty subset A of **R** that is bounded below as $\inf A$

- **Example**
  1) $\inf\{0, 1, 2, 3, \cdots, n \cdots\} = 0$;
  2) $\sup\{1/2, 2/3, 3/4, \cdots, n/(n+1), \cdots\} = 1$.

- **Exercise** Show that, if A and B are two nonempty subset of **R**, $A \subset B$, and if the corresponding suprema and infima exist, then $\sup A \leq \sup B$ and $\inf A \geq \inf B$.

Properties of Supremum and Infimum

- Every nonempty subset of **R** that is bounded above has a supremum. Similarly, every nonempty subset **R** that is bounded below has an infimum.

- If a nonempty set A is not bounded below, we will denote $\inf A = -\infty$. Similarly, if A is not bounded above, $\sup A = \infty$.

Proposition 6

If A is a non-empty set that is bounded below. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $a \in A$ with $\inf A \leq a < \inf A + \varepsilon$

- **Proof** If such a does not exist, then for all $a \in A$, we have $a \geq \inf A + \varepsilon$. That is, $\inf A + \varepsilon$ is a lower bound of A. However, by definition $\inf A$ is the greatest lower bound of A and we reach a contradiction.

Monotone Convergence Theorem

Theorem 7

A monotone increasing sequence that is bounded above converges (to a finite value). A monotone decreasing sequence that is bounded below converges (to a finite value).

- **Proof** Suppose that sequence $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n, \cdots$ is a monotone increasing sequence that is bounded above, and denote $L = \sup\{x_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$. We will show that $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = L$.

  For any $\varepsilon > 0$, since $L - \varepsilon$ can not be an upper bound of $\{x_n\}$, there must be a natural number N so that $x_N > L - \varepsilon$.

  However, since $\{x_n\}$ is a increasing sequence, for all $n > N$, $L \geq x_n \geq x_N > L - \varepsilon$.

  The inequality above suggests that $|x_n - L| < \varepsilon$ for all $n > N$. Thus, $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = L$.

Limit Superior and Limit Inferior

- **Limit Superior and Limit Inferior** For $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n, \cdots$, the **limit inferior** is defined as $\liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty}(\inf_{m \geq n} x_m)$ the **limit superior** is defined as $\limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \to \infty}(\sup_{m \geq n} x_m)$

- **Exercise** Find the limit superior and limit inferior for $0, 1, 0, 1, \cdots$?

- Both limit superior and limit inferior exist (maybe infinity). For this, note that both $\{v_n : v_n = \inf_{m \geq n} x_m\}$ and $\{u_n : u_n = \sup_{m \geq n} x_m\}$ are monotone squences.

Proposition 8

$\inf_n x_n \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} x_n \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} x_n \leq \sup_n x_n$

Limit Superior, Limit Inferior and Limit

Theorem 9

$\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n$ exists if and only if $\liminf_{n\to\infty} x_n = \limsup_{n\to\infty} x_n$

- **Proof** Let $\{v_n : v_n = \inf_{m\geq n} x_m\}$ and $\{u_n : u_n = \sup_{m\geq n} x_m\}$, then $\liminf_{n\to\infty} x_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} v_n$ and $\limsup_{n\to\infty} x_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} u_n$. Note that for all n, we have $v_n \leq x_n \leq u_n$.

  1) "if" part: By the Squeeze Theorem,
  if $\lim_{n\to\infty} v_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} u_n = x$, we must have $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$.

  2) "only if" part: If $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$, then for any ε, there is a $N \in \mathbf{N}$, so that for $n > N$, $x - \varepsilon < x_n < x + \varepsilon$.

  Consequently, we deduce that, for $n > N$, $x - \varepsilon \leq v_n \leq u_n \leq x + \varepsilon$. Thus, $x - \varepsilon \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} v_n \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} u_n \leq x + \varepsilon$. Furthermore, since ε is arbitrary. we must have $x \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} v_n \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} u_n \leq x$. Thus, $\liminf_{n\to\infty} x_n = \limsup_{n\to\infty} x_n = x$.

Example

- **Problem:** Let $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ and $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ be two sequences of real numbers with $y_n \geq 0$ for all n so that $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|x_n|}{y_n} < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n < \infty$, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ converges .

- **Proof:** The key here is to show that $|x_n|$ is bounded by y_n times a positive constant.

  Since $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{|x_n|}{y_n} = \lim_{n \to \infty}(\sup_{m \geq n} \frac{|x_m|}{y_m})$ converges, $\sup_n \frac{|x_n|}{y_n}$ must be finite and positive.

  Assuming that $\sup_n \frac{|x_n|}{y_n} = M > 0$, then for any n, $\frac{|x_n|}{y_n} \leq M$, and $|x_n| \leq My_n$.

  However, as $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n < \infty$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} My_n$ is also finite. That is, $|x_n|$ is bounded by a sequence whose sum converges, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ also converges and $|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n| \leq M \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n$.

Exchange Summation and Limit

Theorem 10

Let $\{x_{nk}\}_{n,k \in \mathbf{N}}$ be a collection of real numbers, so that $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{nk} = a_k$ for each fixed k. If $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sup_n |x_{nk}| < \infty$, then
$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_{nk} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} x_{nk}$

- **Proof** For any fixed k, $|a_k| = |\lim_{n \to \infty} x_{nk}| \leq \sup_n |x_{nk}|$, so $\sum_{k=1}^{n} |a_k| < \infty$.

  We now need to prove that
  $|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_{nk} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k| = |\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (x_{nk} - a_k)|$ is smaller than any $\varepsilon > 0$ for large n. To achieve this, we should break this sum into two parts:
  $|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (x_{nk} - a_k)| \leq |\sum_{k=1}^{K} (x_{nk} - a_k)| + |\sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} (x_{nk} - a_k)|$.
  1) For the second sum, note that
  $|\sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} (x_{nk} - a_k)| \leq \sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} |x_{nk} - a_k| \leq 2 \sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} \sup_n |x_{nk}|$.
  However, since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sup_n |x_{nk}| < \infty$, we should be able to choose K big enough so that $\sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty} \sup_n |x_{nk}| < \varepsilon/4$.

Exchange Sum and Limit: continued

- **Proof: continued** Our goal is to show that
  $|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(x_{nk} - a_k)| \leq |\sum_{k=1}^{K}(x_{nk} - a_k)| + |\sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty}(x_{nk} - a_k)| < \varepsilon$
  for big n, and we have already proved that we can choose K big
  enought so that $|\sum_{k=K+1}^{\infty}(x_{nk} - a_k)| < \varepsilon/2$.

  2) For the first sum, since $|\sum_{k=1}^{K}(x_{nk} - a_k)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{K}|(x_{nk} - a_k)|$,
  and $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_{nk} = a_k$. Then for each $1 \leq k \leq K$, we can find
  $N_K \in \mathbf{N}$ so that for $n > N_k$, $|x_{nk} - a_k| < \varepsilon/(2K)$.

  If we choose $N^* = \max(N_1, N_2, \cdots, N_K)$, then for all $n > N^*$,
  $\sum_{k=1}^{K}|(x_{nk} - a_k)| < \sum_{k=1}^{K}\varepsilon/(2K) = \varepsilon/2$.

  3) Now combine the results in both 1) and 2), we conclude that
  $|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(x_{nk} - a_k)| < \varepsilon$ for $n > N^*$. Thus,
  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_{nk} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lim_{n\to\infty} x_{nk}$. That is, the
  exact order of taking limit with respect to n and summing over k
  does not matter.