#### VE475 Homework 7

#### Liu Yihao 515370910207

#### Ex. 1 — Cramer-Shoup cryptosystem

- 1. Cramer–Shoup cryptosystem consists of three algorithms: the key generator, the encryption algorithm, and the decryption algorithm.
  - a) The key generator First, Alice generates a cyclic group G of order q and finds two generators  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  for it. Then she randomly chooses  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z$  from  $\{0, \ldots, q-1\}$  and computes  $c = g_1^{x_1} g_2^{x_2}$ ,  $d = g_1^{y_1} g_2^{y_2}$  and  $h = g_1^z$ . At last, she publishes  $(c, d, h, G, q, g_1, g_2)$  as the public key and keeps  $(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z)$  as the private key.
  - b) The encryption algorithm First, Bob converts m into an element of G and choose a random k from  $\{0, \ldots, q-1\}$ . Then he computes  $u_1 = g_1^k$ ,  $u_2 = g_2^k$ ,  $e = h^k m$ ,  $\alpha = H(u_1, u_2, e)$  where H(x) is a collision-resistant cryptographic hash function, and  $v = c^k d^{k\alpha}$  At last, he sends the ciphertext  $(u_1, u_2, e, v)$  to Alice.
  - c) The decryption algorithm First, Alice computes  $\alpha = H(u_1, u_2, e)$  and verifies that  $u_1^{x_1} u_2^{x_2} (u_1^{y_1} u_2^{y_2})^{\alpha} = v$ . If the verification fails, the decryption algorithm ends with failure output. Otherwise, she computes the plaintext  $m = e/h^k$ . The decryption stage correctly decrypts any properly-formed ciphertext, since  $u_1^z = g_1^{kz} = h^k$ .
- 2. Adaptive chosen ciphertext attacks can be applied if a ciphertext can be modified in specific ways that will have a predictable effect on the decryption of that message. However, The decryption algorithm of Cramer-Shoup cryptosystem rejects all invalid ciphertexts constructed by an attacker through verifying the result generated by a collision-resistant cryptographic hash function. It limits ciphertext malleability so that it can be considered secure under this kind of attack.
- 3. a) Similarities: Both are public key cryptosystems computed in a cyclic group G, the private keys are both based on the difficulty of solving Discrete Logarithm Problem.
  - b) Differences: Cramer–Shoup cryptosystem consists a collision-resistant cryptographic hash function which is used to verify the ciphertext while Elgamal cryptosystem doesn't.

## Ex. 2 — Simple questions

1. Since p is a prime and  $p \nmid \alpha$ , we can find  $gcd(p,\alpha) = 1$ , so  $\alpha^{p-1} \equiv 1 \mod p$ . First, h(x) isn't second pre-image resistant. Given x, we can simply find x' = x + p - 1 so that h(x) = h(x'). Second, h(x) isn't collision resistant. For any x, we can simply find x' = x + p - 1 so that h(x) = h(x'). So it is not a good cryptographic hash function.

2.

$$\begin{split} \lfloor 2^{30}\sqrt{2} \rfloor &= \lfloor 40000000 \cdot \sqrt{2} \rfloor = 5A827999 \\ \lfloor 2^{30}\sqrt{3} \rfloor &= \lfloor 40000000 \cdot \sqrt{3} \rfloor = 6ED9EBA1 \\ \lfloor 2^{30}\sqrt{5} \rfloor &= \lfloor 40000000 \cdot \sqrt{5} \rfloor = 8F1BBCDC \\ |2^{30}\sqrt{10}| &= \lfloor 40000000 \cdot \sqrt{10} | = CA62C1D6 \end{split}$$

I found the results identical to  $K_0||\cdots||K_{19}, K_{20}||\cdots||K_{39}, K_{40}||\cdots||K_{59}$  and  $K_{60}||\cdots||K_{79}$ .

### Ex. 3 — Birthday paradox

1. Since  $g(x) = \ln(1 - x) + x + x^2$ , we know

$$g'(x) = -\frac{1}{1-x} + 1 + 2x$$

When g'(x) = 0,

$$1 + x - 1 + 2x(x - 1) = 0$$
$$x_1 = 0, x_2 = \frac{1}{2}$$
$$g''(x) = -\frac{1}{(x - 1)^2} + 2$$

g(0) = 1, it is a local minimum point

$$g\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = -2$$
, it is a local maximum point

So we can conclude that when  $x \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right], g(x) \in \left[g(0), g\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right] \geqslant 0$ 

Similarly, let  $h(x) = \ln(1-x) + x$ , we know

$$h'(x) = -\frac{1}{1-x} + 1$$

When h'(x) = 0,

$$1 + x - 1 = 0$$
$$x = 0$$
$$h''(x) = -\frac{1}{(x-1)^2}$$

h(0) = -1, it is a local maximum point

So we can conclude that when  $x\in\left[0,\frac{1}{2}\right],\,h(x)\in\left[h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right),h(0)\right]\leqslant0$ 

According to the above,

$$-x - x^2 \leqslant \ln(1 - x) \leqslant -x$$

2. Since 
$$j \in [1, r-1]$$
 and  $r \leqslant \frac{n}{2}$ , we can find that  $\frac{j}{n} \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ , so

$$-\frac{j}{n} - \left(\frac{j}{n}\right)^{2} \leqslant \ln\left(1 - \frac{j}{n}\right) \leqslant -\frac{j}{n}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \left[ -\frac{j}{n} - \left(\frac{j}{n}\right)^{2} \right] \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \ln\left(1 - \frac{j}{n}\right) \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} -\frac{j}{n}$$

$$-\frac{(r-1)r}{2n} - \frac{(r-1)r(2r-1)}{6n^{2}} \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \ln\left(1 - \frac{j}{n}\right) \leqslant -\frac{(r-1)r}{2n}$$

When r > 1,

$$\frac{(r-1)r(2r-1)}{6n^2} = \frac{r^3 - \frac{3}{2}r^2 + r}{3n^2} < \frac{r^3}{3n^2}$$
$$-\frac{(r-1)r}{2n} - \frac{r^3}{3n^2} \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \ln\left(1 - \frac{j}{n}\right) \leqslant -\frac{(r-1)r}{2n}$$

3. Exponentiate the inequation above, we can get

$$\exp\left(-\frac{(r-1)r}{2n}-\frac{r^3}{3n^2}\right)\leqslant \prod_{j=1}^{r-1}\left(1-\frac{j}{n}\right)\leqslant \exp\left(-\frac{(r-1)r}{2n}\right)$$

Let 
$$\lambda = \frac{r^2}{2n}$$
,  $c_1 = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}} - \frac{(2\lambda)^{3/2}}{3}$  and  $c_2 = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}}$ .  

$$-\lambda + \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{n}} = -\frac{r^2}{2n} + \frac{r}{2n} - \frac{r^3}{n^2} = -\frac{(r-1)r}{2n} - \frac{r^3}{3n^2}$$

$$-\lambda + \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{n}} = -\frac{r^2}{2n} + \frac{r}{2n} = -\frac{(r-1)r}{2n}$$

So

$$e^{-\lambda}e^{c_1/\sqrt{n}}\leqslant \prod_{j=1}^{r-1}\left(1-\frac{j}{n}\right)\leqslant e^{-\lambda}e^{c_2/\sqrt{n}}$$

4. If n is large and  $\lambda < \frac{n}{8}$ 

$$\lambda = \frac{r^2}{2n} < \frac{n}{8}$$
$$r < \frac{n}{2}$$

Since  $\lambda$  is a constant,  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are also constants.

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} e^{c_1/\sqrt{n}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} e^0 = 1$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} e^{c_2/\sqrt{n}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} e^0 = 1$$

Then we can conclude that

$$\prod_{j=1}^{r-1} \left( 1 - \frac{j}{n} \right) \approx e^{-\lambda}$$

# Ex. 4 — Birthday attack

1.

$$P = 1 - \prod_{j=1}^{39} \left( 1 - \frac{j}{1000} \right) \approx 0.5464$$

2.

$$P = 40 \left(\frac{1}{1000}\right) \left(\frac{999}{1000}\right)^{39} \approx 0.0385$$

3. We can find that although there is possibility of the existence of collision in a random set, it's very difficult to find a message having the same hash as the origin message in that. So if Alice use a collision resistant hash function (which can be seen as a function that generates random result when changing the input even a little) to validate the message, she can overcome the problem.

## Ex. 5 — Faster multiple modular exponentiation

- 1. The complexity of computing  $\alpha^a \mod n$  is  $O(\log a)$ , the complexity of computing  $\beta^b \mod n$  is  $O(\log b)$ , so the total time complexity is  $O(\log ab)$ .
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.