

# **Numerics** Methods and Useful Facts

Tyler Chen

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Calculus</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1	Gradient and Jacobian . . . . .	5
1.2	Gradients of Matrix Vector Forms . . . . .	5
1.3	Taylor Expansions . . . . .	5
1.3.1	Computing Expansions in Mathematica . . . . .	5
1.4	Newton's Method . . . . .	6
1.5	Richardson Extrapolation . . . . .	6
<b>2</b>	<b>Basic Linear Algebra</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	Useful Inequalities . . . . .	7
2.2	Invertible Matrix Theorem . . . . .	7
2.3	Similar Matrices . . . . .	7
<b>3</b>	<b>Projectors</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1	Orthogonal Projector . . . . .	8
3.2	Constructing Projectors . . . . .	8
3.3	Gershgorin's Theorem . . . . .	8
<b>4</b>	<b>Scalar Functions of Matrices</b>	<b>9</b>
4.1	Matrix Norms . . . . .	9
4.1.1	Inequalities . . . . .	9
4.1.2	Specific Properties . . . . .	9
4.2	Spectral Radius . . . . .	9
4.3	Condition number . . . . .	10
4.4	Rayleigh Quotients . . . . .	10
<b>5</b>	<b>Classification of Matrices</b>	<b>11</b>
5.1	Banded . . . . .	11
5.2	Upper Triangular . . . . .	11
5.3	Unitary . . . . .	11
5.4	Hermitian . . . . .	11
5.5	Skew symmetric . . . . .	12
5.6	Normal (Unitarily Diagonalizable) . . . . .	12
5.7	Positive definite . . . . .	12
5.8	Hermitian Positive definite . . . . .	12
5.9	Diagonalizable . . . . .	12
5.10	Toeplitz . . . . .	12
<b>6</b>	<b>Matrix Decompositions</b>	<b>13</b>
6.1	SVD . . . . .	13
6.1.1	Reduced SVD . . . . .	13
6.1.2	Rank Reduced SVD . . . . .	13

6.2	(P)LU . . . . .	14
6.2.1	Partial Pivoting . . . . .	14
6.2.2	Cholesky . . . . .	14
6.3	QR . . . . .	14
6.4	Eigen . . . . .	14
6.5	Shur . . . . .	15
6.6	Jordan Normal . . . . .	15
<b>7</b>	<b>The Eigenproblem</b>	<b>16</b>
7.1	Direct Methods . . . . .	16
7.2	Power iteration . . . . .	16
7.3	Simultaneous Power Iteration . . . . .	16
<b>8</b>	<b>Direct Methods for Linear Systems</b>	<b>17</b>
8.1	QR . . . . .	17
8.2	Gaussian Elimination . . . . .	17
8.3	SVD . . . . .	17
<b>9</b>	<b>Iterative Methods for Linear Systems</b>	<b>18</b>
9.1	Simple Iteration . . . . .	18
9.1.1	Algorithm . . . . .	18
9.1.2	Convergence . . . . .	18
9.2	Multigrid Methods . . . . .	18
9.3	Conjugate Gradient . . . . .	18
9.4	When/why it is used . . . . .	18
9.4.1	Algorithm . . . . .	19
9.4.2	Convergence . . . . .	19
9.5	GMRES . . . . .	19
9.6	Other methods . . . . .	19
<b>10</b>	<b>Solving Least Squares</b>	<b>20</b>
10.1	Derivations of Normal Equations . . . . .	20
10.2	Solving Least Squares Numerically . . . . .	20
<b>11</b>	<b>Boundary Value Problems</b>	<b>21</b>
11.1	Error and Convergence . . . . .	21
11.1.1	Local Truncation Error . . . . .	21
11.1.2	Global Error . . . . .	21
11.1.3	Stability . . . . .	21
11.1.4	Convergence . . . . .	21
11.2	Green's Functions . . . . .	22
11.3	Laplacian . . . . .	22
11.4	Finite Element Methods . . . . .	22

<b>12 Integrators and IVPs</b>	<b>23</b>
12.1 Runge-Kutta Methods . . . . .	23
12.2 Linear Multistep Methods . . . . .	23
12.2.1 Characteristic Polynomials . . . . .	23
12.3 Stability . . . . .	23
12.4 Zero Stable . . . . .	23
12.5 Absolute Stability . . . . .	24
12.5.1 Regions of Absolute Stability of Common Methods . . . . .	24
12.5.2 Plotting Regions of Stability . . . . .	24
12.6 Stiff ODEs . . . . .	24
<b>13 PDEs</b>	<b>24</b>
13.1 Method of Lines . . . . .	24
13.2 Von Neumann Analysis . . . . .	24
13.2.1 Von Neumann Analysis Using Mathematica . . . . .	24

# 1 Calculus

## 1.1 Gradient and Jacobian

For  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we define the gradient as,

$$\nabla f = \left[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right]$$

For  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  we define the Jacobian as,

$$J_f = \begin{bmatrix} \nabla f_1 \\ \nabla f_2 \\ \vdots \\ \nabla f_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that the best linear approximation to  $f$  at  $x_0$  is given by,

$$f(x_0) + J_f x$$

## 1.2 Gradients of Matrix Vector Forms

This can be useful for minimizing/maximizing expressions involving matrix vector quantities.

$$\nabla b^T A x = A^T b$$

$$\nabla x^T A x = (A + A^T)x$$

## 1.3 Taylor Expansions

$$f(t+k, x+h) = f + k f_t + h f_x + \frac{k^2}{2} f_{tt} + k h f_{tx} + \frac{h^2}{2} f_{xx} + \mathcal{O}(k^2 + h^2)$$

### 1.3.1 Computing Expansions in Mathematica

Compute Taylor expansion of  $f(t+k, x+h)$  to  $d$ -th order.

```
Normal[Series[f[t + z k, x + z h], {z, 0, d}]] /. {z->1}
```

This can be written into a function like,

```
F[n_, j_] := Normal[Series[f[t + z n k, x + z j h], {z, 0, d}]] /. {z->1}
```

Then  $F[n, j]$  computes the Taylor expansion of  $f(t+nk, x+jh)$  about  $(t, x)$ . This is useful for compute local truncation errors. For instance, to compute the LTE of a second order centered difference approximation  $f'(x) \approx (f(t+k, x) - f(t-k, x))/2k$  we set  $d = 3$  and use,

```
FullSimplify[(F[1, 0] - F[-1, 0])/(2 k)]
```

This gives that the difference methods is like  $f_t(t, x) + \mathcal{O}(k^2)$ .

## 1.4 Newton's Method

Suppose we wish to solve  $G(x) = 0$  for some  $G : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . One standard way to do this is using Newton's method, which iteratively finds the root of the first order linear approximation to  $G(x)$  at points near the solution.

That is, we iteratively solve,

$$G(x_k) + J_G(x_k)(x_{k+1} - x_k) = 0$$

Explicitly,

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - J_G(x_k)^{-1}G(x_k)$$

## 1.5 Richardson Extrapolation

Suppose  $\varphi(h)$  approximates quantity  $u$  to  $\mathcal{O}(h^n)$ . That is,

$$\varphi(h) = u + ch^n + \mathcal{O}(h^{n+k})$$

Then,

$$\varphi\left(\frac{h}{t}\right) = u + c\left(\frac{h}{t}\right)^n + \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{h}{t}\right)^{n+k}\right) = u + t^{-n}ch^n + \mathcal{O}(h^{n+k})$$

Therefore,

$$t^n \varphi\left(\frac{h}{t}\right) = t^n u + ch^n + \mathcal{O}(h^{n+k})$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{t^n \varphi(h/t) - \varphi(h)}{t^n - 1} = \frac{(t^n - 1)u + \mathcal{O}(h^{n+k})}{t^n - 1} = u + \mathcal{O}(h^{n+k})$$

## 2 Basic Linear Algebra

### 2.1 Useful Inequalities

*Triangle Inequality:*

$$\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$$

*Reverse Triangle Inequality:*

$$|\|x\| - \|y\|| \leq \|x - y\|$$

*Hölder's Inequality:*

$$\|fg\|_1 \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q, \quad 1/p + 1/q = 1, \quad p, q \geq 1$$

*Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality:*

$$|\langle u, v \rangle|^2 \leq \langle u, u \rangle \langle v, v \rangle = \|u\| \|v\|$$

Note that this is the Hölder Inequality with  $p = q = 2$ .

### 2.2 Invertible Matrix Theorem

The following are equivalent:

- $A$  is invertible
- Exists  $B$  such that  $BA = AB = I$
- $\det(A) \neq 0$
- $A$  has full rank
- The columns of  $A$  are linearly independent
- The null space of  $A$  is zero.
- $A$  is surjective
- $Ax = 0$  implies  $x = 0$

### 2.3 Similar Matrices

*Definition:* Two matrices  $A$  and  $B$  are similar if  $A = XBX^{-1}$  for some  $X$ .

*Why it is useful:* The eigenvalues of similar matrices are the same.

### 3 Projectors

*Definition:* A matrix  $P$  is a projector if  $P^2 = P$

If  $P$  is a projector then  $I - P$  is a projector onto the null space of  $P$ .

Given any projector,

$$\text{range}(P) \cap \ker(P) = \{0\}, \quad \text{range}(P) + \ker(P) = \mathbb{C}^m$$

Conversely, given any two subspaces  $S_1, S_2$  of  $\mathbb{C}^m$  satisfying,  $S_1 \cup S_2 = \{0\}$  and  $S_1 + S_2 = \mathbb{C}^m$ , there is a projector  $P$  such that,

$$\text{range}(P) = S_1, \quad \ker(P) = S_2$$

#### 3.1 Orthogonal Projector

*Definition:* A projector is called orthogonal if its range and null space are orthogonal. Equivalently, if  $P = P^*$ .

In general  $\|P\|_2 \geq 1$ , and equality is attained if and only if  $P$  is orthogonal.

#### 3.2 Constructing Projectors

Given a matrix  $A$ , the orthogonal projector onto the range of  $A$  is given by,

$$P_A = A(A^*A)^{-1}A^*$$

In the case that  $A$  has orthonormal columns, this reduces to  $P_A = AA^*$

#### 3.3 Gershgorin's Theorem

*Note:* TODO



## 4 Scalar Functions of Matrices

### 4.1 Matrix Norms

*Definition:* Given a matrix  $A$ , and vector norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , the induced matrix norm is defined as,

$$\|A\| = \sup_{u \neq 0} \frac{\|Au\|}{\|u\|} = \sup_{\|u\|=1} \|Au\|$$

Note that we could really use two different norms (one for the domain of  $A$ , and one for the range), but this is not common.

Equivalent definition:

$$\|A\| = \sup_{u, v \neq 0} \frac{\langle Au, v \rangle}{\|u\| \|v\|} = \sup_{\|u\|=\|v\|=1} \langle Au, v \rangle$$

If  $A$  is Hermitian,

$$\|A\| = \sup_{u \neq 0} \frac{\langle Au, u \rangle}{\|u\|^2} = \sup_{\|u\|=1} \langle Au, u \rangle$$

#### 4.1.1 Inequalities

All norms are similar over finite dimensional vector spaces.

Give bounds.

For certain definitoin of matrix norm

$$\|AB\| \leq \|A\| \|B\|$$

#### 4.1.2 Specific Properties

$$\|A\|_2 = \sigma_{\max}$$

$$\|A\|_F = \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 + \cdots + \sigma_m^2}$$

### 4.2 Spectral Radius

*Definition:* Given a matrix  $A$ , the spectral radius is defined as,

$$\rho(A) = \max\{\lambda : \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } A\}$$

The spectral radius is bounded above by any matrix norm. Equality with the 2-norm when  $A$  is Hermitian.

$$\rho(I - M^{-1}A) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(I - M^{-1}A)^k\|^{1/k}$$

### 4.3 Condition number

*Definition:* Given a matrix  $A$ , the condition number is defined as,

$$\kappa(A) = \frac{\|A\|}{\|A^{-1}\|}$$

We always have  $\kappa(A) = \sigma_{\max}/\sigma_{\min}$ , where  $\sigma_{\max}$  and  $\sigma_{\min}$  are the largest and smallest singular values.

### 4.4 Rayleigh Quotients

*Definition:* For a Hermitian matrix  $A$  and vector  $x$ , the Rayleigh quotient is defined as,

$$r(x) = \frac{x^*Ax}{x^*x}$$

*Why it is useful:*

- Gives an estimate of eigenvalues.
  - If  $x$  is an eigenvector, then  $r(x)$  is the corresponding eigenvalue.
  - Specifically, if  $q$  is an eigenvector,  $r(x) - r(q) = \mathcal{O}(\|x - q\|^2)$  as  $x \rightarrow q$ . That is, the Rayleigh quotient is a quadratically accurate estimate to eigenvalues.
  - For any  $z \in [\lambda_{\min}, \lambda_{\max}]$  there exists  $x$  such that  $r(x) = z$ .
- Eigenvectors are stationary points of  $r(x)$ . That is,  $\nabla r(x) = 0$  when  $Ax = r(x)x$ .
- Can be used to estimate eigenvalues in inverse iteration (called Rayleigh quotient iteration)

## 5 Classification of Matrices

Matrices are assumed to be complex and unless specified otherwise.

### 5.1 Banded

*Definition:* A matrix  $A$  is banded with bandwidth  $2k + 1$  (semi-bandwidth  $k$ ) if  $a_{ij} = 0$  whenever  $|i - j| > k$ .

*Properties:*

- $\Rightarrow$  Eigenvalues are diagonal entries
- $\Rightarrow$  Inverse, product, and sum of upper triangular matrices are upper triangular
- $\Rightarrow$  Can solve linear systems in  $\mathcal{O}((2k + 1)m)$  time

### 5.2 Upper Triangular

*Definition:* A matrix  $R$  is upper triangular if  $r_{ij} = 0$  for  $i > j$ . If  $r_{ii} = 0$  the matrix is called strictly upper triangular.

*Properties:*

- $\Rightarrow$  Eigenvalues are diagonal entries
- $\Rightarrow$  Inverse, product, and sum of upper triangular matrices are upper triangular
- $\Rightarrow$  Can solve linear systems in  $\mathcal{O}(m^2)$  time with back substitution

### 5.3 Unitary

*Definition:* A matrix  $U$  is unitary if  $U^*U = UU^* = I$ .

*Properties:*

- $\Leftrightarrow$  Columns are an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{C}^n$
- $\Rightarrow \|AU\|_2 = \|UA\|_2 = \|A\|_2$

### 5.4 Hermitian

*Definition:* A matrix  $A$  is Hermitian if  $A^* = A$

*Properties:*

- $\Rightarrow$  Real eigenvalues
- $\Rightarrow$  Normal

## 5.5 Skew symmetric

*Definition:* A real matrix  $A$  is skew symmetric if  $A^T = -A$

*Properties:*

- $\Rightarrow$  pure imaginary eigenvalues
- $\Rightarrow I + A$  is invertible

## 5.6 Normal (Unitarily Diagonalizable)

*Definition:* A matrix  $A$  is normal if  $A^*A = AA^*$

*Properties:*

- $\Leftrightarrow$  Unitarily diagonalizable (similar to a diagonal matrix by unitary similarity transform)
- $\Rightarrow$  **Hermitian** if all eigenvalues are real
- $\Rightarrow \|A\|_2 = \rho(A)$

## 5.7 Positive definite

## 5.8 Hermitian Positive definite

*Definition:* A Hermitian matrix  $A$  is positive definite if  $v^*Av > 0$  for all  $v$ .

*Properties:*

- $\Leftrightarrow$  All eigenvalues are positive
- $\Leftrightarrow$  Has **Cholesky** factorization

## 5.9 Diagonalizable

*Definition:* A matrix  $A$  is diagonalizable if it is similar to a diagonal matrix

## 5.10 Toeplitz

*Definition:* A matrix  $A$  is Toeplitz if each diagonal is constant.

*Properties:*

- $\Rightarrow$  Can solve linear systems in  $\mathcal{O}(m^2)$  time
- $\Rightarrow$  If  $A$  is tridiagonal,  $y_j = \sin(kj\pi/(m+1))$  is an eigenvector for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$

## 6 Matrix Decompositions

### 6.1 SVD

*Definition:* For any matrix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^m$ , the singular value decomposition (SVD) is a decomposition,

$$A = U\Sigma V^* = \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_i u_i v_i^*$$

- $U$  unitary
- $\Sigma$  diagonal, with real positive entries in non-increasing order
- $V$  unitary

*Existence:* Always

*Uniqueness:* **Note: double check** Unique up to complex sign of columns of  $U$  and  $V$

*Computing:*

*Why it is useful:*

- Gives geometric interpretation for linear transforms on  $\mathbb{C}^n$
- Rank revealing
- Numerical stability of algorithms using SVD

#### 6.1.1 Reduced SVD

If  $A$  is rank deficient some singular values will be zero. We can drop these singular values and the corresponding singular vectors.

*Why it is useful:*

- Saves storage compared to regular SVD

#### 6.1.2 Rank Reduced SVD

We can always define a new matrix  $A_k$  by,

$$A_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i u_i v_i^*$$

This gives the best rank- $k$  approximation to  $A$  in the sense that when  $\|\cdot\|$  is the 2-norm or Frobenius norm,

$$\|A - A_k\| \leq \inf\{\|A - B\| : B \text{ is rank } k\}$$

## 6.2 (P)LU

*Definition:*

*Existence:*

*Uniqueness:*

*Computing:*

*Why it is useful:*

- 

Gaussian Elimination

### 6.2.1 Partial Pivoting

When is pivoting needed?

### 6.2.2 Cholesky

*Definition:*

*Existence:* If  $A$  is Hermitian positive definite

*Uniqueness:* Unique up to sign

*Computing:* Same as LU decomposition, except don't make  $L$  unit lower triangular.

*Why it is useful:*

- Save storage space vs. LU decomposition

## 6.3 QR

*Definition:*

*Existence:*

*Uniqueness:*

*Computing:*

*Why it is useful:*

- 

## 6.4 Eigen

*Definition:*

*Existence:*

*Uniqueness:*

*Computing:*

*Why it is useful:*

- 

## **6.5 Shur**

## **6.6 Jordan Normal**

## **7 The Eigenproblem**

### **7.1 Direct Methods**

Do we even have direct methods?

### **7.2 Power iteration**

### **7.3 Simultaneous Power Iteration**



## **8 Direct Methods for Linear Systems**

### **8.1 QR**

### **8.2 Gaussian Elimination**

### **8.3 SVD**

## 9 Iterative Methods for Linear Systems

### 9.1 Simple Iteration

Simple iteration can be described as,

$$x_{k+1} = x_k + M^{-1}r_k = x_k + M^{-1}(b - Ax_k) = M^{-1}b - (I - M^{-1}A)x_k$$

where  $M^{-1}$  is some matrix which approximates  $A^{-1}$ .

#### 9.1.1 Algorithm

Pick  $M$  as one of,

$$M = \begin{cases} \text{diag}(A) & \text{Jacobi Iteration} \\ \text{tril}(A) & \text{GS Iteration} \\ \omega^{-1} \text{diag}(A) - \text{tril}(A, k = -1) & \text{SOR} \end{cases}$$

Iteratively, compute the residual  $r_k = b - Ax_k$ , solve the system  $Mz_k = r_k$  for  $z_k$ , and update  $x_{k+1} = x_k + z_k$

#### 9.1.2 Convergence

Simple iteration converges if and only if  $\rho(I - M^{-1}A) < 1$ .

To prove “if” direction, use theorem on spectral radius as limit of matrix norms. To prove “only if” direction, look at largest eigenvector of  $I - M^{-1}A$ .

### 9.2 Multigrid Methods

Simple iteration converges really slowly for low frequency components. However, by adjusting the mesh size we can solve an approximation to the low frequency components much quicker.

### 9.3 Conjugate Gradient

At each step the  $A$ -norm of the error is minimized over successive Krylov spaces,

$$\mathcal{K}_k = \text{span}\{r_0, Ar_0, \dots, A^k r_0\}$$

### 9.4 When/why it is used

CG is the standard method for Hermitian positive definite systems  $Ax = b$ .

Lower storage and computation cost than GMRES

Good for use with PDE methods.

#### 9.4.1 Algorithm

#### 9.4.2 Convergence

In exact arithmetic CG will converge in at most  $m$  steps. In finite precision arithmetic, orthogonality of search directions is *not* maintained, so exact convergence  $\Leftrightarrow$  Has Cholesky factorization convergence may never be obtained.

### 9.5 GMRES

Minimizes 2-norm of the residual over successive Krylov spaces.

### 9.6 Other methods

## 10 Solving Least Squares

The linear least squares problem is,

$$\min_x \|b - Ax\|_2$$

This is solved when  $x$  solve the linear system (called the normal equations),

$$A^T Ax = A^T b$$

### 10.1 Derivations of Normal Equations

*Using Projectors:* We know that the image of  $x$  solving the least squares problem will be the orthogonal projection of  $b$  onto the range of  $A$ . That is,

$$Ax = A(A^*A)^{-1}A^*b$$

Multiplying both sides on the left by  $A^*$  yields the normal equations.

*Using Calculus:* Note that

$$\|b - Ax\| = (b - Ax)^*(b - Ax) = b^*b + -2b^*Ax + x^*(A^*A)x$$

Therefore, since  $A^*A$  is Hermitian, solving  $\nabla \|b - Ax\| = 0$  gives  $2A^*Ax - 2A^*b = 0$ .

### 10.2 Solving Least Squares Numerically

## 11 Boundary Value Problems

How do we solve boundary value ODEs?

### 11.1 Error and Convergence

#### 11.1.1 Local Truncation Error

*Definition:* The LTE of a method is defined by replacing  $U_j$  in the method with the true solution  $u(x_j)$ . The discrepancy is the local truncation error. Denoting the true solution evaluated on the mesh by  $\hat{U}$  we have,

$$\tau = A\hat{U} - F$$

#### 11.1.2 Global Error

*Definition:* The global error of a method is defined as  $E = U - \hat{U}$ .

#### 11.1.3 Stability

Explicitly denoting the dependence of the equations on the mesh spacing  $h$  we have,

$$A^h E^h = -\tau^h$$

Therefore,

$$\|E^h\| = \|(A^h)^{-1}\tau^h\| \leq \|(A^h)^{-1}\| \|\tau^h\|$$

If  $\|(A^h)^{-1}\|$  is bounded for  $h$  sufficiently small, then the global error will go to zero provided the LTE goes to zero.

*Definition:* A method is stable if  $(A^h)^{-1}$  exists and is bounded in norm for all  $h$  sufficiently small.

#### 11.1.4 Convergence

*Definition:* A method is said to be convergent if  $\|E^h\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$ .

We have condition,

$$\text{consistency} + \text{stability} \implies \text{convergence}$$

**11.2 Green's Functions****11.3 Laplacian****11.4 Finite Element Methods**

## 12 Integrators and IVPs

How do we solve  $u'(t) = f(t, u(t))$  given  $u(0)$ ?

### 12.1 Runge-Kutta Methods

### 12.2 Linear Multistep Methods

A linear multistep method is a method of the form,

$$\sum_{j=0}^r \alpha_j U^{n+r} = k \sum_{j=1}^r \beta_j f(t_{n+r}, U^{n+r})$$

The local truncation error is,

$$\tau_n = \frac{1}{k} \left( \sum_{j=0}^r \alpha_j \right) u(t_n) + \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} k^{q-1} \left( \sum_{j=0}^r \left( \frac{1}{q!} j^q \alpha_j - \frac{1}{(q-1)!} j^{q-1} \beta_j \right) \right) u^{(q)}(t_n)$$

Therefore the method is consistent if,

$$\sum_{j=0}^r \alpha_j = 0, \quad \sum_{j=0}^r j \alpha_j = \sum_{j=0}^r \beta_j$$

The method is  $p$ -th order accurate if,

$$\sum_{j=0}^r (j^q \alpha_j - q j^{q-1} \beta_j) = 0, \quad q = 1, 2, \dots, p$$

#### 12.2.1 Characteristic Polynomials

The characteristic polynomials for a LMM are defined as,

$$\rho(\zeta) = \sum_{j=0}^r \alpha_j \zeta^j, \quad \sigma(\zeta) = \sum_{j=0}^r \beta_j \zeta^j$$

### 12.3 Stability

### 12.4 Zero Stable

An  $r$ -step LMM is said to be zero-stable if the roots of the characteristic polynomial  $\rho(\zeta)$  all have modulus at most one, and are simple if they have modulus one.

$$\text{consistency} + \text{zero-stability} \iff \text{convergence}$$

## 12.5 Absolute Stability

The region of absolute stability for a method is the values of  $k\lambda$ , if when applied to the test equation  $u' = \lambda u$ , the solution doesn't blow up. That is,  $\{U^n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is bounded.

*Note: double check this*

The region of absolute stability for a LMM is the set of points  $z$  for which  $\pi(\zeta, z) = \rho(\zeta) - z\sigma(\zeta)$  satisfy the root condition.

### 12.5.1 Regions of Absolute Stability of Common Methods

Forward Euler :  $\{z : |z + 1| \leq 1\}$

Backward Euler :  $\{z : |z - 1| \geq 1\}$

Trapezoid :  $\{z : \operatorname{Re}(z) \leq 0\}$

Midpoint :  $\{z : \operatorname{Im}(z) \in (-1, 1)\}$

### 12.5.2 Plotting Regions of Stability

boundary locus method for LMM

contour method for one step methods

## 12.6 Stiff ODEs

A stable L stable etc

## 13 PDEs

### 13.1 Method of Lines

### 13.2 Von Neumann Analysis

1. Replace  $U_j^n$  with  $g(\xi)^n e^{i\xi j \Delta x}$
2. Solve for  $g(\xi)$  and compute  $|g(\xi)|$
3. Method is stable if and only if for all  $\xi$ ,  $|g(\xi)| \leq 1 + \mathcal{O}(\Delta x)$

#### 13.2.1 Von Neumann Analysis Using Mathematica

We illustrate how to apply Von Neumann Analysis to the Lax–Wendroff method using Mathematica.



Define our replacement,

```
U[n_, j_] := g[\[Xi]]^n Exp[I \[Xi] j h]
```

Now solve for  $g(\xi)$  after replacement in the method.

```
gxi = FullSimplify[ Solve[U[1, 0] == U[0, 0] - a k (U[0, 1] - U[0, -1])/(2 h) + (a^2 k^2)/2 (U[0, -1] - 2 U[0, 0] + U[0, 1])/h^2, g[\[Xi]]]]
```

We would like to figure out what values of  $\nu$  give  $|g(\xi)| \leq 1$ . To do this we will replace  $ak/h$  with  $\nu$  and  $h\xi$  with a continuous parameter  $t$  and plot in the complex plane. If the entire parametric plot is contained in the unit circle, then each  $|g(\xi)|$  will have modulus at most one.

```
g[\[Nu]_, t_] := ReplaceRepeated[g[\[Xi]] /. gxi[[1]], {a -> (h \[Nu])/k, h \[Xi] -> t}]
```

```
Manipulate[ParametricPlot[ReIm[g[\[Nu], t]], {t, 0, 2 \[Pi]}, PlotRange -> {-1, 1}], {\[Nu], -2, 2}]
```

This reveals we need  $|\nu| \leq 1$ . This agrees with the CFL condition. We now prove this symbolically by,

```
FullSimplify[Conjugate[g[\[Nu], t]] g[\[Nu], t] <= 1, Assumptions -> {0 <= t <= 2 \[Pi], -1 <= \[Nu] <= 1}]
```