CS 325 Winter 2019

HW 1 – 30 points

1) (6 pts) For each of the following pairs of functions, either f(n) is O(g(n)), f(n) is Ω(g(n)), or f(n) is

Θ(g(n)) best describes the relationship. Select one and explain.

a. f(n) = n0.75; g(n) = n0.5

f(n) is Ω(g(n))

limit method:

the limit as n approaches infinity for the fraction (n0.75)/( n0.5)

(n0.75)/( n0.5) = n0.75-0.50 = n0.25 which will trend towards ∞

∞ 𝑡ℎ𝑒𝑛 𝑓 (𝑛) 𝑖𝑠 Ω(𝑔 (𝑛) )

f(n)= Ω (g(n))

n0.75 is always greater than n’’0.5 when n is a positive integer greater than 1

f(n) “≥” g(n) implies: f(n)= Ω (g(n))

b. f(n) = log n; g(n) = ln n

f(n) is Θ(g(n))



C1 =0.2C2 =1 n0 = 2 satisfies the above proposition

0 ≤ C1ln(n) ≤ log(n) ≤ C2ln(n)

limit method:

the limit as n approaches infinity for the fraction (log n)/(ln n)

(log n)/(ln n)

This was my initial answer:

~~f(n)=O(g(n))~~

~~log(n) is always less than than ln(n) when n is a positive integer greater than 1~~

~~f(n) “≤” g(n)~~

c. f(n) = nlog n; g(n) =n(sqrt(n))

f(n)=O(g(n))

log(n) will always be less than sqrt(n)

multiplying by n is a linear change

so n log(n) will always be less than n sqrt(n)

f(n) “≤” g(n)

d. f(n) = en; g(n) = 3N

f(n) is O(g(n))

limit method:

the limit as n approaches infinity for the fraction (en)/( 3n)

(en)/( 3n)= (e/3)n ~= (2.71828182845904523536028/3)n which will trend towards 0

Same as (2/3)n

0 𝑡ℎ𝑒𝑛 𝑓 (𝑛) 𝑖𝑠 𝑂(𝑔 (𝑛) )

e. f(n) = 2n; g(n) = 2n-1

f(n) is Θ(g(n))

Okay so, g(n) will always be half of f(n) right? Because what ever g(n) is f(n) is nothing more than g(n) times 2. If n is 9 then we have 2\*2\*2\*2\*2\*2\*2\*2\*2 for f and g(n) is the same but one less \*2. That would mean that the difference is constant and not expanding. So I would guess f(n) is Θ(g(n)).

If we take the limit method:

as n approaches infinity for (2n)/(2n-1)

(2n)/(2n-1) = (2 \* 2n-1)/(2n-1) = 2

thus we have a c constant greater than 0 so

𝑐 > 0 𝑡ℎ𝑒𝑛 𝑓 𝑛 𝑖𝑠 Θ(𝑔 𝑛 )

f. f(n) = 4n; g(n) = n!

f(n) is O(g(n))

Based on big Oh Classes

G(n) is factorial which is a much faster rate of growth compared exponential especially 4n

So f is upper bounded by g

2) (4 pts) Let f1 and f2 be asymptotically positive non-decreasing functions. Prove or disprove each

of the following conjectures. To disprove give a counter example.



False

Counter Example:

g(n) for the counter example will be n

f1(n) will be n^2

this allows f1(n) = Ω(g(n)) based on Big Oh class

f2(n) will be log n

this allows f2(n) = O(g(n))

f1(n) = theta (f2(n)) is impossible given all this because it at large n values log n will never be greater than n and n will never be greater than n^2

given the dramatic differences in functions and the basic premise it is clear that there are many counter examples to disprove conjecture ‘a’ above. And there are no examples to attempt to prove this case at all when n is larger than 1 or 3 or so.



True

Proof:

1. f1(n) = O(g1(n)) by definition of Big Oh this means that f1(n) ≤ c1\*g(n) for all n >=n0

for some n0 and c1 that is greater than 0

1. f2(n) = O(g2(n)) by definition of Big Oh this means that f2(n) ≤ c2\*g(n) for all n >=n0

for some n0 and c2 that is greater than 0

1. This means that by simple rules of addition f1(n) + f2(n) ≤ c1\*g1(n) + c2\*g2(n)
2. This is because if a is less than b and c is less than d. And we say x is b minus a, and we knows that because a is less than b x must be positive. The same is true for c and d and y, instead of x.

Thus a + c will always be exactly x+y less than b and d. Because x and y must be positive always here we can say that the conjecture is certainly true.

4) (10 pts) Merge Sort vs Insertion Sort Running time analysis

a) Modify code- Now that you have verified that your code runs correctly using the data.txt

input file, you can modify the code to collect running time data. Instead of reading arrays from

the file data.txt and sorting, you will now generate arrays of size n containing random integer

values from 0 to 10,000 to sort. Use the system clock to record the running times of each

algorithm for ten different values of n for example: n = 5000, 10000, 15000, 20,000, …, 50,000.

You may need to modify the values of n if an algorithm runs too fast or too slow to collect the

running time data (do not collect times over a minute). Output the array size n and time to the

terminal. Name these new programs insertTime and mergeTime.

Submit a copy of the timing programs to TEACH in the Zip file from problem 3, also include a

“text” copy of the modified timing code in the written HW submitted in Canvas.

insertTime.cpp

#include <fstream>

#include <iostream>

#include <chrono>

// C program for insertion sort

#include <stdio.h>

#include <math.h>

using std::chrono::system\_clock;

/\* Adapted from www.geeksforgeeks.org/insertion-sort \*/

/\* Function to sort an array using insertion sort\*/

void insertionSort(int arr[], int n)

{

int i, key, j;

for (i = 1; i < n; i++)

{

key = arr[i];

j = i - 1;

/\* Move elements of arr[0..i-1], that are

greater than key, to one position ahead

of their current position \*/

while (j >= 0 && arr[j] > key)

{

arr[j + 1] = arr[j];

j = j - 1;

}

arr[j + 1] = key;

}

}

// A utility function to print an array of size n

void printArray(std::ostream &stream, int arr[], int n)

{

for (int i = 0; i < (n - 1); i++)

{

stream << arr[i] << " ";

}

stream << arr[n - 1];

stream << std::endl;

}

int main()

{

srand(time(NULL));

for (size\_t size = 5000;size <= 50000;size=size+5000)

{

int\* array = new int[size];

for (size\_t j = 0; j < size; j++)

{

array[j] = rand() % 10001;

}

system\_clock::time\_point start = system\_clock::now();

insertionSort(array, size);

system\_clock::time\_point end = system\_clock::now();

std::chrono::duration<double> duration = end - start;

std::cout << size << " " << duration.count() <<std::endl;

}

std::cin.get();

}

Mergetime.cpp

#include <fstream>

#include <iostream>

#include <chrono>

// C program for insertion sort

#include <stdio.h>

#include <math.h>

using std::chrono::system\_clock;

/\* Adapted from www.geeksforgeeks.org/merge-sort/ \*/

// Merges two subarrays of arr[].

// First subarray is arr[l..m]

// Second subarray is arr[m+1..r]

void merge(int arr[], int l, int m, int r)

{

int i, j, k;

int n1 = m - l + 1;

int n2 = r - m;

/\* create temp arrays \*/

int\* L = new int[n1];

int\* R = new int[n2];

/\* Copy data to temp arrays L[] and R[] \*/

for (i = 0; i < n1; i++)

L[i] = arr[l + i];

for (j = 0; j < n2; j++)

R[j] = arr[m + 1 + j];

/\* Merge the temp arrays back into arr[l..r]\*/

i = 0; // Initial index of first subarray

j = 0; // Initial index of second subarray

k = l; // Initial index of merged subarray

while (i < n1 && j < n2)

{

if (L[i] <= R[j])

{

arr[k] = L[i];

i++;

}

else

{

arr[k] = R[j];

j++;

}

k++;

}

/\* Copy the remaining elements of L[], if there

are any \*/

while (i < n1)

{

arr[k] = L[i];

i++;

k++;

}

/\* Copy the remaining elements of R[], if there

are any \*/

while (j < n2)

{

arr[k] = R[j];

j++;

k++;

}

}

/\* l is for left index and r is right index of the

sub-array of arr to be sorted \*/

void mergesort(int arr[], int l, int r)

{

if (l < r)

{

// Same as (l+r)/2, but avoids overflow for

// large l and h

int m = l + (r - l) / 2;

// Sort first and second halves

mergesort(arr, l, m);

mergesort(arr, m + 1, r);

merge(arr, l, m, r);

}

}

// A utility function to print an array of size n

void printArray(std::ostream &stream, int arr[], int n)

{

for (int i = 0; i < (n - 1); i++)

{

stream << arr[i] << " ";

}

stream << arr[n - 1];

stream << std::endl;

}

int main()

{

srand(time(NULL));

for (size\_t size = 5000; size <= 50000; size = size + 5000)

{

int\* array = new int[size];

for (size\_t j = 0; j < size; j++)

{

array[j] = rand() % 10001;

}

system\_clock::time\_point start = system\_clock::now();

mergesort(array, 0,size-1);

system\_clock::time\_point end = system\_clock::now();

std::chrono::duration<double> duration = end - start;

std::cout << size << " " << duration.count() << std::endl;

}

std::cin.get();

}

b) Collect running times - Collect your timing data on the engineering server. You will need at

least eight values of t (time) greater than 0. If there is variability in the times between runs of

the same algorithm you may want to take the average time of several runs for each value of n.

Create a table of running times for each algorithm.

insertion sort

5000 0.0286228

10000 0.114225

15000 0.253971

20000 0.448621

25000 0.689177

30000 1.01623

35000 1.35893

40000 1.78979

45000 2.25759

50000 2.77542

Merge sort

5000 0.00151828

10000 0.00329631

15000 0.00502149

20000 0.00681149

25000 0.00862973

30000 0.0104942

35000 0.0133825

40000 0.0148964

45000 0.0169792

50000 0.0188256

c) Plot data and fit a curve - For each algorithm plot the running time data you collected on an

individual graph with n on the x-axis and time on the y-axis. You may use Excel, Matlab, R or any

other software. What type of curve best fits each data set? Give the equation of the curves that

best “fits” the data and draw that curves on the graphs.

BELOW

d) Combine - Plot the data from both algorithms together on a combined graph. If the scales

are different you may want to use a log-log plot.

e) Comparison - Compare your experimental running times to the theoretical running times of

the algorithms? Remember, the experimental running times were the “average case” since the

input arrays contained random integers.

Given that the experimental data should be an average case scenario and the theoretical run times are worst case scenario the data seems to be what was expected.

For insert sort we have f(n) = 0.0276x2 + 0.0025x - 0.0021 which is essentially O(n2)

For merge sort we have f(n) = 0.002x - 0.0008 which is essentially O(n)

Thus the experimental data agrees with the theoretic.