ICCS 313: Assignment 3

Tawan Chaeyklinthes u5980963 Date: 07 Oct 2018

Problem 1

A tree is an acyclic and connected undirected graph which infer that it must have n-1 edges.

```
def isTree(V,E):
  n = |V|, m = |E|
  if(m != n-1):
      return False
  else:
      Run bfs on the graph.
      Keep track of all visited nodes in a set, S.
      if len(S) == n:
          return True
      else:
      return False
```

Therefor, the running time of this algorithm is = O(m+n).

Problem 2

Lemma: If G is a DAG, then G has a node with no entering edges.

Proof:

For the sake of contradiction, suppose that G is a DAG and every node has at least one incoming edges. Pick a node v and follow an edge backward to u. Repeat this step for node u and follow an edge backwards to some node x. Keep doing this until we meet the same node twice, say w. Now, we have a sequence $w \to w$ which is a cycle. Thus, graph G is not a DAG .Hence we have our contradiction. Therefore, a DAG has at least one node with no incoming edges.

Lemma: If G is a DAG, then G has a node with no outgoing edges.

Proof:

For the sake of contradiction, suppose that G is a DAG and every node has at least one outgoing edges. Pick a node v and follow an edge forwards to u. Repeat this step for node u and follow an edge forwards to some node x. Keep doing this until we meet the same node twice, say w. Now, we have a sequence $w \to w$ which is a cycle. Thus, graph G is not a DAG .Hence we have our contradiction. Therefore, a DAG has at least one node with no outgoing edges.

Using the two lemmas, we can conclude that if G is a DAG, G has a node with no outgoing edges and a node with no incoming edges.

Problem 3

Obs: For some node v, if deg(v) = n/2, v must be connected to n/2 + 1 nodes.

<u>Lemma</u>: Let G be a graph of n nodes, where n is an even number. If every node of G has degree at least n/2, then G is connected.

Proof:

For the sake of contradiction, let assume that G is a disconnected graph comprise of graphs G_1 and G_2 and every node has degree at least n/2. In G_1 , since every node has to has a degree at least n/2, $|V_1| \ge n/2 + 1$ and therefore $|V_2| = |V| - |V_1|$ which is $\le n/2 - 1$. With $\le n/2 - 1$ nodes in G_2 , the maximum degree of the nodes in G_2 is (n/2 - 1) - 1 = n/2 - 2. Hence we have a contradiction. Therefore if every node has degree at least n/2, the graph has to be connected.

Problem 4

We can find the order in which to serve the customer by sorting their service time, t_i so that $t_1 \leq t_2 \leq t_3... \leq t_n$ whereby customer with t_1 will be served first and customer with t_n will be served last.

Problem 5

- (a) Let's look at this problem as a graph where each node represent a person and each edge shows the friendship between any 2 persons.
- Firstly, looping through the list edges ,we find out the degree of each node.
- Keep the degree and name of each person as a pair.
- Sort these pairs according to their degrees in ascending order.
- Iterate if the first pair has degree < 5, we removed it and update its neighbors.
- Sort these pairs.
- Repeat the previous 2 steps until first pair have degree ≥ 5 .
- Now invite all remaining pairs.

Let S be the set that is produced by greedy and T be the set of optimal solution. And let the set that is removed from S and T be $q_1, q_2, ..., q_n$ and $r_1, r_2, ..., r_m$ respectively, where q_n and r_m are just some pairs.

<u>Lemma 1</u>: At the end of each iteration degree of $q_1, q_2, ..., q_k < 5$.

Proof:

Initialized: The removed set is empty. Thus the claim is trivially true.

Maintenance: Assuming that the in iteration k, the claim is true. In iteration k+1, if the degree of first element in the remaining set is ≥ 5 then the loop will terminate.

However, if degree > 5, then it will be removed from S. Thus the q_k will be add to removed set and now degree of $q_1, q_2, ..., q_{k-1}, q_k < 5$.

Terminate: The loop will terminate when the degree first pair element ≥ 5 . Thus, $q_1, q_2, ..., q_n < 5$.

<u>Lemma 2</u>: The greedy will returns an optimal set S.

Proof:

For the sake of contradiction, let assume that S is not optimal which means that the number of pairs removed from S is more than that of T. In other word lets assume that m = k, the n = k + 1. From the lemma above, we know that $deg(i_{k+1}) < 5$. Thus it can be said that in T there are still pairs with degree < 5 left. Thus we have a contradiction since T is supposed to be an optimal solution.

(b) Let E be the list of edges:

```
def invite(E):
Make adjTable ,map name to neighbours.
Make degTable , map name to degree.
Make removedSet ,store removed pairs.
Make PriorityQueue<Pair>, pq , higher priority for lower degree pairs.
for name in degTable.key():
   p=(name,degTable.get(name))
   pq.add(p)
while (!pq.isEmpty && pq.peek < 5):</pre>
   name, deg = pq.poll()
   removedSet.add(name)
   for neighbour in adjTable.get(name):
      if !(removedSet.contains(neighbour)):
         Update degree of neighbour in degTable.
         Create pair (neighbour,degTable.get(neightbour))
         Add new pair to pq.
invited = []
for name in adjTable.key():
   if(!(removed.contains(name))):
      invited.append(name)
return invited
```

Runtime:

The first for-loop take $O(n \log n)$ to make first priority queue. In the while-loop, the maximum number of poll pq has to make is n times. This is because of the if-condition in the for-loop which make sure that a removed node is never added back to pq. Therefore, polling will take a total of $O(n \log n)$. In the second for-loop, the maximum number of iteration is $\sum deg(neighbour) = O(m)$ and pq.add() takes $O(\log n)$. Thus, the cost of this for-loop is $O(m \log n)$. Lastly, the last for-loop will cost O(n). Thus, the total running time is $O(n \log n) + O(n \log n) + O(m \log n) = O((n \log n)) + O(m \log n) = O((n \log n))$.