

**Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Young, Timothy ECON 132A LEC A (62180),  
Fall Qtr 2014**

Responses: 41/136 (30.15%)

**ATTENDANCE**

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- 4 Never
- 2 Once
- 2 2 - 3 times
- 14 4 - 5 times
- 7 6 - 7 times
- 3 8 times
- 9 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- 20 Never
- 8 Once
- 7 2 - 3 times
- 3 4 - 5 times
- 1 6 - 7 times
- 0 8 times
- 2 More than 8 times

**PRESENTATION**

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 11 4 (OK or Average)
- 5 5
- 9 6
- 13 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 10 4 (OK or Average)
- 6 5
- 9 6
- 14 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- 4 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 8 4 (OK or Average)
- 5 5
- 8 6
- 15 7 (Among Best)

## UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Young, Timothy ECON 132A LEC A (62180), Fall Qtr 2014

---

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 1 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 9 4 (OK or Average)
- 6 5
- 9 6
- 15 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 5 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 8 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 11 6
- 15 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 0 3
- 8 4 (OK or Average)
- 5 5
- 11 6
- 13 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 9 4 (OK or Average)
- 4 5
- 10 6
- 15 7 (Among Best)

## EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 0 Less than adequate
- 7 Adequate
- 11 More than adequate
- 10 Very good
- 12 Excellent

## COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

- -discussing what we needed to know for the quizzes, midterm and final -teaching us how to do the problems in the best possible way -going over material that we needed to know -helping us understand the material -being funny and enthusiastic
  - clear. concise cared about the course material good at lecturing
  - Covered challenging topics from lecture and very responsive to questions from students. Very helpful review sessions
  - Everything! Tim was the best TA I've had at UCI in my 4 years of attending the school. He helped students with whatever questions they had, and covered important topics that were relevant to what we were learning in class, as well as what we were tested on. Thank you Tim for a great quarter!
  - From the few minutes that he has spoken in class I can see that he has a clear voice. Furthermore his emails show effective teaching strategies such as a fun attitude.
  - good in summery and giving reviews
  - He was very helpful when I asked questions and responsive to emails. Also, his discussion section was organized and covered important materials.
  - Knowledgeable, personable, and extremely helpful. Never have I felt like a TA wanted me to succeed as much as Tim did. He did a wonderful job preparing us as well as connecting with us as students.
  - none
  - Tim has great energy and really wanted us to learn the material which I appreciated. Both TAs always did extra by sending us a study guide to help us with preparing for the exam.
  - Very engaging with the students.
  - Very gentle and helpful. Every quiz or exam, he and another TA will provide us study guides!
  - Very good presentation and funny.
  - 28 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- Keep doing what you are doing! Don't turn into other TA's who are cynical and condescending! I appreciate how your diverse education career has shaped you and made you a much more approachable TA than a lot of the other TA's I've had!
  - n/a
  - n/a
  - n/a
  - No.
  - none
  - None.
  - 34 blank answer(s).

**Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Young, Timothy ECON 132A LEC A (62160),  
Spring Qtr 2015**

Responses: 49/233 (21.03%)

**ATTENDANCE**

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- 4 Never
- 4 Once
- 7 2 - 3 times
- 5 4 - 5 times
- 5 6 - 7 times
- 4 8 times
- 12 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- 25 Never
- 7 Once
- 6 2 - 3 times
- 0 4 - 5 times
- 1 6 - 7 times
- 0 8 times
- 1 More than 8 times

**PRESENTATION**

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- 8 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 1 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 5 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 9 6
- 18 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- 8 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 1 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 3 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 9 6
- 20 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- 8 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 1 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 3 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 9 6
- 18 7 (Among Best)

## UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Young, Timothy ECON 132A LEC A (62160), Spring Qtr 2015

---

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

8 0 (N/A or Unsure)  
1 1 (Among Worst)  
0 2  
0 3  
3 4 (OK or Average)  
0 5  
9 6  
21 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

8 0 (N/A or Unsure)  
1 1 (Among Worst)  
0 2  
0 3  
3 4 (OK or Average)  
3 5  
9 6  
18 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

8 0 (N/A or Unsure)  
1 1 (Among Worst)  
0 2  
0 3  
4 4 (OK or Average)  
2 5  
7 6  
20 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

9 0 (N/A or Unsure)  
1 1 (Among Worst)  
0 2  
0 3  
5 4 (OK or Average)  
0 5  
8 6  
18 7 (Among Best)

## EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

1 Poor  
0 Inadequate  
1 Less than adequate  
8 Adequate  
5 More than adequate  
13 Very good  
12 Excellent

## COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

- ...
  - Chill
  - clear and helpful
  - Explanations and relation of concepts to the real world.
  - going over lecture material in your own words actually helped a lot more than being in the lecture. While this wasn't your major, you were still able to clearly explain concepts in a way an economists would which made my learning process a lot easier. Also you have really awesome style. Wish you the best!! Thank you for actually having helpful discussion sections
  - He's good at explaining examples.
  - He is the best TA I have ever. I like his teaching
  - He knew how to answer all my questions! VERY KNOWLEDGABLE!
  - He was so kind
  - His teaching and explanation are very clear. He knows the materials well and are able to answer questions clearly.
  - TA was very clear and helpful in explaining concepts and calculations.
  - Very knowledgable.
  - Very straightforward. Talked about the subjects that were explained in class and was able to simplify those concepts. One of the best TAs I've ever had.
  - 36 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- Good!!! Thank you
  - N/A
  - n/a
  - no
  - No comment.
  - Nope
  - nothing
  - try to be easier to follow
  - 41 blank answer(s).

**Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Young, Timothy ECON 132A DIS A1 (62181),  
Fall Qtr 2015**

Responses: 14/46 (30.43%)

**ATTENDANCE**

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- 0 Never
- 0 Once
- 2 2 - 3 times
- 2 4 - 5 times
- 1 6 - 7 times
- 3 8 times
- 4 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- 5 Never
- 2 Once
- 0 2 - 3 times
- 0 4 - 5 times
- 0 6 - 7 times
- 1 8 times
- 4 More than 8 times

**PRESENTATION**

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 4 5
- 2 6
- 6 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 0 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 2 6
- 6 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 1 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

## UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Young, Timothy ECON 132A DIS A1 (62181), Fall Qtr 2015

---

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 3 6
- 5 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 2 6
- 6 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 2 6
- 6 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 3 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

## EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 0 Less than adequate
- 2 Adequate
- 2 More than adequate
- 3 Very good
- 4 Excellent

## COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?



## UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Young, Timothy ECON 132A DIS A1 (62181), Fall Qtr 2015

---

- he is a approachable person
  - His explanation is clear, and it is helpful for the exam
  - Reviewed the main points that were needed to comprehend the class material.
  - Useful, knowledgeable, and fun.
  - 10 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- A+
  - nope
  - 12 blank answer(s).

**Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Young, Timothy ECON 132A DIS A4 (62174),  
Spring Qtr 2016**

Responses: 20/60 (33.33%)

**ATTENDANCE**

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?
  - 2 Never
  - 0 Once
  - 2 2 - 3 times
  - 0 4 - 5 times
  - 3 6 - 7 times
  - 2 8 times
  - 11 More than 8 times
2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?
  - 9 Never
  - 4 Once
  - 1 2 - 3 times
  - 0 4 - 5 times
  - 1 6 - 7 times
  - 1 8 times
  - 4 More than 8 times

**PRESENTATION**

3. T.A. was competent in course material:
  - 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
  - 0 1 (Among Worst)
  - 0 2
  - 0 3
  - 3 4 (OK or Average)
  - 3 5
  - 4 6
  - 8 7 (Among Best)
4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:
  - 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
  - 0 1 (Among Worst)
  - 0 2
  - 0 3
  - 3 4 (OK or Average)
  - 1 5
  - 4 6
  - 10 7 (Among Best)
5. T.A. was responsive to students:
  - 2 0 (N/A or Unsure)
  - 0 1 (Among Worst)
  - 0 2
  - 0 3
  - 2 4 (OK or Average)
  - 2 5
  - 6 6
  - 8 7 (Among Best)

## UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Young, Timothy ECON 132A DIS A4 (62174), Spring Qtr 2016

---

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

2 0 (N/A or Unsure)  
0 1 (Among Worst)  
0 2  
0 3  
3 4 (OK or Average)  
1 5  
4 6  
10 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

3 0 (N/A or Unsure)  
0 1 (Among Worst)  
0 2  
0 3  
3 4 (OK or Average)  
1 5  
3 6  
10 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

2 0 (N/A or Unsure)  
0 1 (Among Worst)  
0 2  
0 3  
2 4 (OK or Average)  
2 5  
5 6  
9 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

2 0 (N/A or Unsure)  
0 1 (Among Worst)  
0 2  
0 3  
2 4 (OK or Average)  
2 5  
4 6  
9 7 (Among Best)

## EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

0 Poor  
0 Inadequate  
0 Less than adequate  
4 Adequate  
1 More than adequate  
9 Very good  
6 Excellent

## COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

## UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Young, Timothy ECON 132A DIS A4 (62174), Spring Qtr 2016

---

- He came his discussion with materials that he was going to teach, and the materials were related to the lectures.
  - He explained the concept clearly. The example he discussed in class is useful. And the study guide is really helpful.
  - He is clear.
  - 17 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- He can be more patient.
  - N/A
  - 18 blank answer(s).

**Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Young, Timothy ECON 132A DIS A5 (62175),  
Spring Qtr 2016**

Responses: 14/59 (23.73%)

**ATTENDANCE**

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- ☐ 0 Never
- ☐ 1 Once
- ☐ 0 2 - 3 times
- ☐ 2 4 - 5 times
- ☐ 2 6 - 7 times
- ☐ 4 8 times
- ☐ 3 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- ☐ 4 Never
- ☐ 2 Once
- ☐ 2 2 - 3 times
- ☐ 1 4 - 5 times
- ☐ 1 6 - 7 times
- ☐ 2 8 times
- ☐ 0 More than 8 times

**PRESENTATION**

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- ☐ 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- ☐ 0 1 (Among Worst)
- ☐ 0 2
- ☐ 0 3
- ☐ 0 4 (OK or Average)
- ☐ 3 5
- ☐ 3 6
- ☐ 6 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- ☐ 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- ☐ 0 1 (Among Worst)
- ☐ 0 2
- ☐ 0 3
- ☐ 0 4 (OK or Average)
- ☐ 1 5
- ☐ 5 6
- ☐ 6 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- ☐ 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- ☐ 0 1 (Among Worst)
- ☐ 0 2
- ☐ 0 3
- ☐ 0 4 (OK or Average)
- ☐ 1 5
- ☐ 5 6
- ☐ 6 7 (Among Best)

## UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Young, Timothy ECON 132A DIS A5 (62175), Spring Qtr 2016

---

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 3 5
- 3 6
- 6 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 5 6
- 5 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 1 5
- 4 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 0 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 3 6
- 7 7 (Among Best)

## EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

- 0 Poor
- 0 Inadequate
- 0 Less than adequate
- 1 Adequate
- 1 More than adequate
- 6 Very good
- 4 Excellent

## COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

## UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Young, Timothy ECON 132A DIS A5 (62175), Spring Qtr 2016

---

- clear and organized
  - he gives a lot of examples and notes during discussions and clearly explains the concepts and the problems.
  - Patience and clearness.
  - 11 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- No
  - overall, he is a very good and helpful TA
  - Prepare some guidelines for students to do the study guide.
  - 11 blank answer(s).