

**Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Young, Timothy ECON 15B DIS A7 (62037),
Winter Qtr 2016**

Responses: 25/38 (65.79%)

ATTENDANCE

1. How often did you attend discussion sections?

- 0 Never
- 0 Once
- 1 2 - 3 times
- 1 4 - 5 times
- 0 6 - 7 times
- 8 8 times
- 14 More than 8 times

2. How often did you meet with the T.A., outside of the section time, to discuss the course material?

- 14 Never
- 4 Once
- 3 2 - 3 times
- 1 4 - 5 times
- 0 6 - 7 times
- 1 8 times
- 1 More than 8 times

PRESENTATION

3. T.A. was competent in course material:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 1 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 1 3
- 2 4 (OK or Average)
- 3 5
- 8 6
- 9 7 (Among Best)

4. T.A. was able to make presentations clearly:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 1 2
- 2 3
- 0 4 (OK or Average)
- 4 5
- 8 6
- 8 7 (Among Best)

5. T.A. was responsive to students:

- 0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
- 0 1 (Among Worst)
- 0 2
- 3 3
- 1 4 (OK or Average)
- 2 5
- 8 6
- 10 7 (Among Best)

UCI EEE Evaluations

Social Sciences TA Evaluation for Young, Timothy ECON 15B DIS A7 (62037), Winter Qtr 2016

6. T.A. was able to integrate the lecture and discussion material:

0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
0 1 (Among Worst)
1 2
2 3
1 4 (OK or Average)
0 5
10 6
10 7 (Among Best)

7. T.A. was present and on time for discussion sections/office hours:

0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
0 1 (Among Worst)
1 2
1 3
0 4 (OK or Average)
1 5
8 6
13 7 (Among Best)

8. The discussion sections were useful to the success of the course:

0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
0 1 (Among Worst)
1 2
2 3
2 4 (OK or Average)
2 5
9 6
8 7 (Among Best)

9. I would expect another course with this T.A. to be:

0 0 (N/A or Unsure)
0 1 (Among Worst)
1 2
2 3
2 4 (OK or Average)
3 5
8 6
8 7 (Among Best)

EFFECTIVENESS

10. Rate your T.A.'s general teaching effectiveness:

0 Poor
0 Inadequate
1 Less than adequate
3 Adequate
7 More than adequate
7 Very good
6 Excellent

COMMENTS

11. *<No question text>*

1. What were the strongest points of the TA in discussion section or office hours?

- clear
 - He is a really nice guy that responds well and empathizes with students. It was nice how he would field questions from practice exams prior to discussion and then during lab before the test he would dedicate all of the time to going over students' questions.
 - He was nice and responsive to students who had questions.
 - He was very patient and he was very willing to answer our questions. I appreciated a lot.
 - I thought that Tim was super great! He had very clear presentations. I appreciated the fact that he went out of his way to ask the class what we wanted to go over before discussion so he could adjust his discussion section to our needs.
 - Kind and handsome
 - Knows what he teaches and is very responsive to any students who need help
 - The TA focused on what we needed help in, and kinda based discussion sections on the points we were struggling on. He also was charismatic and didn't make sections boring or miserable to be at.
 - Ties discussion material to lecture really well.
 - Very approachable and knowledgeable
 - Very responsive to student's needs. Clears up confusions like a boss.
 - 14 blank answer(s).
2. Do you have any recommendations for your TA that would help that person be a more effective TA in the future?
- Enjoyed this TA very much
 - go over more of the exams
 - Make more clear and concise powerpoints and use the discussions to actually explain what's going to be on the next test and not teach useless lab stuff that has no correlation to the course.
 - n/a
 - No, he is a great TA
 - No he's doing great.
 - Nothing, it is good enough
 - Prepare more and make sure that you really understand peoples' questions.
 - You're a great t.a.
 - 16 blank answer(s).