



Machine Learning CS342

Lecture 5: Decision Tree Learning

Dr. Theo Damoulas

T.Damoulas@warwick.ac.uk

Office hours: Mon & Fri 10-11am @ CS 307




Recap: Instance based learning

Instance-based learners are all the machine learning algorithms that **do not** construct an explicit description of the target function but store the training examples (instances) and use them, **and a notion of distance from them**, to generalise to unseen data.

Regression example

Univariate (x is 1D)

Multivariate (x is 2D)



X₁



Recap: Distances

Hamming distance


Categorical variables

$$d(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = \sum_{d=1}^{D} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_{id} \neq x_{jd} \\ 0 & \text{if } x_{id} = x_{jd} \end{cases}$$


Euclidean (L2) Distance between x_i and x_i?

Euclidean
$$d(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = \sqrt{\sum_{d=1}^{D} (x_{id} - x_{jd})^2}$$

Input space Univariate (x is 1-D scalar)




Input space Multivariate (**x** is 2-D vector)




Manhattan (L1) Distance between x_i and x_i?

$$d(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) = \sum_{d=1}^{D} |x_{id} - x_{jd}|$$

Input space Univariate (x is 1-D scalar)



Input space Multivariate (**x** is 2-D vector)





Recap: k-NN for Regression and Classification

Training:

• For each training example (input-output pair \mathbf{x}_n , t_n), add the example to the list training examples

Prediction:

- · Choose k, the number of neighbours we want
- Choose the distance function (e.g. Euclidean distance)
- Given a query instance x* to predict its output t*
 - Find $\mathbf{x}_1...\mathbf{x}_k$ the k instances that are **nearest** to \mathbf{x}^* using the selected distance
 - Return prediction:


$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Regression} & t^* \leftarrow \frac{\sum_{k=1}^K t_k}{k} \\ \text{(Binary) Classification} & t_n^* \leftarrow \mathop{\mathrm{argmax}}_{u \; \in \; \{-1,1\}} \sum_{i=1}^k \delta(u,t_i) & \text{where } \delta(a,b) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if } a = b \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. \\ \end{array}$$

$$t_n^* \leftarrow \underset{u \in \{-1,1\}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} \delta(u, t_i)$$

where
$$\delta(a, b) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a = b \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



Recap: k-NN for Regression and Classification



Piece-wise linear

Use cross-validation to choose k



Recap: Distance-Weighted k-NN

- Weigh the vote of each neighbour by its distance to the observation
- Can help break ties when k is even
- Can help deal with noisy data and outliers

Regression:

$$t^* \leftarrow \frac{\sum_{k=1}^K w_i t_k}{\sum_{i=1}^k w_i}$$

$$w_i = \frac{1}{d(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}^*)^2}$$

Classification:

$$t_n^* \leftarrow \underset{u \in \{-1,1\}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{i=1}^k w_i \delta(u, t_i)$$

where
$$\delta(a, b) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a = b \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



Recap: k-NN

- Vanilla k-NN will not perform well in high-D as distances "break" in high-D
- Assign weights for every dimension/attribute and learn via e.g. CV
- Tree data structures (e.g. k-d tree) to improve search time for neighbours
- High computational cost to store all the training data in big data settings

Naive

k-d tree

NN Search: O(ND)

 $O(\log N)$



Decision Tree Learning

T. Mitchell Ch. 3. See module website

Task: Classify Saturday mornings as suitable or not for playing tennis

Nominal data: Discrete-valued attributes

Play Tennis?	Weather Outlook	Humidity	Wind	Temperature
Yes	Sunny	Normal	Weak	Medium
Yes	Overcast	High	Strong	Medium
No	Sunny	High	Weak	Medium
Yes	Overcast	Normal	Weak	Medium
No	Rain	High	Strong	Medium
No	Rain	Normal	Strong	Medium
Yes	Rain	High	Weak	Medium
No	Sunny	High	Weak	Medium

What should we predict if:


<Outlook = Sunny, Temperature = Hot, Humidity = High, Wind = Strong>





Decision Tree Learning

Lets say we have learned the following rules (somehow) and formed a tree



What about now? easier?

<Outlook = Sunny, Temperature = Hot, Humidity = High, Wind = Strong>

This is a DT. It represents a disjunction of conjunctions


But how do we learn such a tree from data? what do we need to learn?





Decision Tree Learning

Imagine splitting our training data through this tree



We need to learn:

- Which attribute goes to which node? "best" attributes go higher up
- How many attributes to use (the depth of the tree)
- What are the splitting criteria e.g. continuous attributes?
- How many splits at each node?
- When should we place a leaf node? Can a leaf node be "impure"?
 Further issues:
- If a leaf node is "impure" (non-zero training error) how is the target decided?
- If the tree is too large how do we "prune" it back?
- How can we handle missing data (an observation/sample with missing attributes)





Decision Tree Learning: ID3 (Iterative Dichotomiser 3)


One of the first DT algorithms focused on *nominal attributes* and classification

ID3 (Observations, Targets, Attributes)

- Create a Root node for the tree
- If all observations are class +1, return single-node tree Root with label +1
- If all observations are class -1, return single-node tree Root with label -1
- If Attributes is empty, return the single-node tree Root with label the most common value in Targets
- Else begin:
 - A ← best attribute from Attributes (highest Information Gain)
 - The decision attribute for Root ← A
 - For each possible vale ui of A:
 - Add a new tree branch below Root for $A = u_i$
 - S_u_i Subset of Observations with $A = u_i$
 - If S u_i is empty:
 - Add leaf node with label the most common value in Targets
 - Else add below branch
 ID3(S u_i, Targets, Attributes {A}))



Recursive greedy top-down algorithm so "best" attribute at top



- Our training examples $\{\mathbf{x}_n, t_n\}_{n=1}^N$ are used to learn the tree
- Classification so in theory we want leaf nodes that are pure (e.g. only observations that belong to the same class, e.g. "Yes")
- A good attribute is one that is discriminative for the classes
- Subset of observations in each branch are as "homogeneous" as possible in their targets






Entropy as a measure of "homogeneity" of a set S of examples that can take one of C values

$$\text{Entropy}(S) = -\sum_{i=1}^{C} p_i \log_2 p_i$$


where p_i frequency of items with type i in set S






We could use Entropy directly as a measure of how good an attribute is to create "homogeneity" but we can do something even better

We want to see the improvement in homogeneity at every node so we compute the **reduction in Entropy** between 2 consecutive nodes!








Information Gain = Relative Entropy = Kullback-Leibler (KL) Divergence

I.Gain
$$(S, A) = \text{Entropy}(S) - \sum_{i} \frac{|S_{u_i}|}{|S|} \text{Entropy}(S_{u_i})$$

where A is the attribute, u_i is the possible values of A, S_{u_i} is the subset of S where $A = u_i$









Decision Tree Learning: An example

I.Gain
$$(S, A) = \text{Entropy}(S) - \sum_{i} \frac{|S_{u_i}|}{|S|} \text{Entropy}(S_{u_i})$$

Day	Outlook	Temperature	Humidity	Wind	PlayTennis
D1	Sunny	Hot	High	Weak	No
D2	Sunny	Hot	High	Strong	No
D3	Overcast	Hot	High	Weak	Yes
D4	Rain	Mild	High	Weak	Yes
D5	Rain	Cool	Normal	Weak	Yes
D6	Rain	Cool	Normal	Strong	No
D7	Overcast	Cool	Normal	Strong	Yes
D8	Sunny	Mild	High	Weak	No
D9	Sunny	Cool	Normal	Weak	Yes
D10	Rain	Mild	Normal	Weak	Yes
D11	Sunny	Mild	Normal	Strong	Yes
D12	Overcast	Mild	High	Strong	Yes
D13	Overcast	Hot	Normal	Weak	Yes
D14	Rain	Mild	High	Strong	No





We choose the attribute with the **highest** Information Gain = biggest reduction in Entropy







<u>Decision Tree Learning: Hypothesis space</u>

What is the hypothesis space? Is ID3 optimal?

- Hypothesis space: Set of possible decision trees on attributes
- Hypothesis space is a complete space that includes target function
- Complete: one capable of describing any finite discrete valued function
- Hill-climbing (greedy) search with IG as the evaluation function
- ID3 is greedy so the search is *incomplete* and only retains current best hypothesis
- ID3 does not perform any back-tracking to avoid local solutions
- So the *inductive bias* (set of assumptions leading to a priori preferences) of ID3 is due to the suboptimal search strategy
- The inductive bias of ID3 leads to a preference of shorter trees over larger trees and high IG attributes closer to the root.
- Shorter trees = simpler hypothesis. Prefer the simplest hypothesis that explains the data. *Occam's razor principle*:


Among competing hypotheses, prefer the one with the fewest assumptions.





Decision Tree Learning: Complexity & Overfitting

So how do we vary the complexity of our DTs?




Two general approaches to avoid overfitting in trees:

- 1) Stop growing the tree earlier, before perfect classification on training data
- 2) Allow the tree to overfit the training data and then *post-prune* it



Decision Tree Learning: Complexity & Overfitting



Stopping Approach:

- Stop growing the tree when no statistical significant change in Entropy
- In other words when IG is "small" and not statistically significant.
- Can use a statistical test known as chi-square test (next lectures)


Pruning Approaches:

- "Reduced error pruning": Propose nodes for removal and use (cross-)validation set to examine effect. Node removals that are found to improve performance on validation set are removed iteratively.
- "Rule post-pruning": Convert tree into set of rules and prune each rule.



<u>Decision Tree Learning: from Discrete to Continous</u>

So far ID3 on discrete-valued attributes (e.g. Humidity: high or low)



Most real-world data has continuous attributes (e.g. Temperature)

What would you do?

Main idea: **Threshold** the continuous attribute to transform to discrete! Next lecture on this, more decision tree algorithms and classification metrics