

INF587 Exercise sheet 5

Exercise 1. Consider a function

$$f : \{0, 1\}^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$$

for which there exists a unique \mathbf{x}_1 such that $f(\mathbf{x}_1) = 1$. Apply one step of Grover's algorithm (i.e. construct the original state $|\psi\rangle$ and then perform a reflexion over $|\psi_{\text{bad}}\rangle$ and then over $|\psi\rangle$). More precisely:

1. Write the different states $|\psi_{\text{good}}\rangle, |\psi_{\text{bad}}\rangle, |\psi\rangle$ as defined in the lecture in this setting.
2. Write $|\psi\rangle = \cos(\theta) |\psi_{\text{bad}}\rangle + \sin(\theta) |\psi_{\text{good}}\rangle$. What is the value of θ ?
3. Show the different steps of the computation – you don't need to reprove how to perform the reflexions – and show that the algorithm succeeds with probability 1 after 1 step of Grover's algorithm.

Exercise 2 (Grover's algorithm when the number of solution is unknown). Our aim in this exercise is to give a variation of Grover's algorithm that can find solutions in expected time $\sqrt{\frac{N}{t}}$ even when the number of solutions t is unknown. This exercise describes the idea of the following article <https://arxiv.org/pdf/quant-ph/9605034.pdf>. Roughly speaking, the idea basically consists in running Grover's algorithm with exponentially increasing guesses for the number of iterations.

Recall that we study the following problem:

- **Input:** a function $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$,
- **Goal:** find $\mathbf{x} \in \{0, 1\}^n$ be such that $f(\mathbf{x}) = 1$.

Let,

$$t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \# \{ \mathbf{x} \in \{0, 1\}^n : f(\mathbf{x}) = 1 \}.$$

1. Let t be the unknown number of solutions and let $\theta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{t}{2^n}}$. Let j be chosen uniformly at random in $\llbracket 0, m-1 \rrbracket$. Show that the probability P_m to measure a solution after j iterations of Grover's algorithm verifies

$$P_m \geq \frac{1}{4} \quad \text{when } m \geq \frac{1}{\sin 2\theta}$$

Hint: recall that $\sin^2 a = \frac{1 - \cos 2a}{2}$ and $\sin 2a = 2 \cos a \sin a$

2. Let j be chosen uniformly at random in $\llbracket 0, m-1 \rrbracket$. Show that j is expected to be equal to $(m-1)/2$, namely:

$$\mathbb{E}(j) = \frac{m-1}{2}$$

3. Let $m_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\sin 2\theta}$. Let us consider the following algorithm:

1. $u \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 0$, $\lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{6}{5}$ and $m \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda^{\lceil \log_\lambda m_0 \rceil}$.
2. Pick j uniformly at random in $\llbracket 0, m-1 \rrbracket$.
3. Apply j iterations of Grover's algorithm starting from initial state $|\psi\rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \{0,1\}^n} |\mathbf{x}\rangle |f(\mathbf{x})\rangle$.
4. Measure, if the last register is one, exit.
5. Otherwise, set m to $\min(\sqrt{2^n}, \lambda m)$ and go back to Step 2.

Show that the expected number of iterations of this algorithm before ending and therefore finding a solution is a

$$O(m_0).$$

4. Suppose that the number t of solution is $\leq \frac{3}{4}2^n$ and $t > 0$. Give an algorithm that finds a solution in expected time $O\left(\sqrt{\frac{2^n}{t}} \max(n, T_f)\right)$ where T_f is the classical running time of f .
5. How treating the case $t > \frac{3}{4}2^n$ or $t = 0$? In particular, what is the expected running time of the algorithm when there are no solutions?

Exercise 3. Let,

$$f : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, m\}$$

be a function classically computable in time T_f . Construct a quantum algorithm using Grover's algorithm that finds the minimum of f in time $O(\sqrt{n} \log_2(m) \max(\log n, T_f))$.

Hint: You can consider different thresholds T and try to find values x such that $f(x) \leq T$, and use Grover's algorithm without proving it.

Exercise 4 (Grover with probability one). *We claimed during the lecture (without proof) that Grover's algorithm can be tweaked to work with probability 1 if we know the number of solutions exactly. The goal of this exercise is to provide such an exact algorithm. Roughly, the idea is to increase the dimension (adding a qubit!) in order to slightly change the angle θ of Grover's algorithm in order to have a "perfect" number of iterations, namely for which it is not necessary to round up.*

Let,

$f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ such that there exists a unique \mathbf{x}_0 verifying $f(\mathbf{x}_0) = 1$.

Our aim is to recover \mathbf{x}_0 with probability one.

1. Give the success probability of the basic version of Grover's algorithm after k iterations.
2. Suppose that the optimal number of iterations $\tilde{k} = \frac{\pi}{4 \arcsin\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}}\right)} - \frac{1}{2}$ is not an integer. Show that if we round \tilde{k} up to the nearest integer, doing $\lceil \tilde{k} \rceil$ iterations, then the algorithm will have success probability strictly less than 1.
3. Define now the following function:

$$g : \mathbf{y} \in \{0, 1\}^{n+1} \mapsto \begin{cases} f(\mathbf{x}) & \text{if } \mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{x}|0) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Show how you can implement the following $(n + 1)$ -qubit unitary

$$\mathbf{S}_g : |\mathbf{y}\rangle \mapsto (-1)^{g(\mathbf{y})} |\mathbf{y}\rangle$$

using one query to f (of the usual form $\mathbf{U}_f : |\mathbf{x}, b\rangle \mapsto |\mathbf{x}, f(\mathbf{x}) \oplus b\rangle$) and a few elementary gates.

4. Let $\gamma \in [0, 2\pi)$ and let $\mathbf{U}_\gamma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \gamma & -\sin \gamma \\ \sin \gamma & \cos \gamma \end{pmatrix}$ be the corresponding rotation matrix. Let

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{H}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathbf{U}_\gamma$$

be an $(n + 1)$ -qubit unitary. What is the probability (as a function of γ) that measuring the state $\mathbf{A} |0^{n+1}\rangle$ in the computational basis gives a solution $\mathbf{y} \in \{0, 1\}^{n+1}$ such that $g(\mathbf{y}) = 1$?

5. Give a quantum algorithm that finds the unique solution \mathbf{x}_0 with probability one using $O(\sqrt{N})$ queries to f .

Exercise 5. Consider an efficiently computable function (to simplify formulas suppose that $T_f = 1$) $f : \{0, \dots, 2^n - 1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$. We also consider a string $s = s_0, \dots, s_{S-1} \in \{0, 1\}^S$. The goal is to find S consecutive values of $f(x)$ that are equal to s . More formally, we want to find $x \in \{0, \dots, 2^n - S\}$ st. $f(x) = s_0, f(x+1) = s_1, \dots, f(x+S-1) = s_{S-1}$. We assume there exists a single x_0 that satisfies this property.

1. Find a quantum algorithm that finds x_0 in time $O(S2^{n/2})$.
2. Assume now we have an efficiently computable function $g : \{0, \dots, S-1\} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ such that $g(i) = s_i$.
 - (a) Assume you have access to a version of Grover's algorithm, that outputs a solution to a search problem for a function $\ell : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ if there is a solution and \perp if there is no solution. Assume also that this routine works with probability 1 and takes time $O(\sqrt{|\mathcal{I}|})$. Construct an algorithm \mathcal{A} that for any input x , outputs 1 if $x = x_0$ and 0 otherwise in time $O(\sqrt{S})$.
 - (b) Construct a quantum algorithm that finds x_0 in time $O(\sqrt{S}2^{n/2})$.

Comment: this exercise illustrates that amplitude amplification can provide an exponential improvement over Grover's algorithm.

Exercise 6. Let $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^n$ that we can query in the usual way. We are promised that this function is 2-to-1: for all $\mathbf{x} \in \{0, 1\}^n$ there exists a unique $\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{x}$ such that $f(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{y})$.

1. Choose S uniformly at random among the sets of size s in $\{0, 1\}^n$. What is the expected number of solutions in S ?
2. Give a classical randomized algorithm that finds a collision with probability $\geq 1/2$ using $O(\sqrt{2^n})$ queries to f .
3. Give a quantum algorithm that finds a collision with $O(\sqrt{2^n})$ queries to f .

4. Give a quantum algorithm that finds a collision using $O(2^{n/3})$ queries to f . In this question you recover the algorithm given in <https://arxiv.org/pdf/quant-ph/9705002.pdf>.

Hint: Combine both classical and quantum approaches

Exercise 7 (Approximating Unitary Operators). Let \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{V} be two unitaries. Let,

$$E(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}) = \max_{|\psi\rangle : \|\psi\|=1} \|(\mathbf{U} - \mathbf{V})|\psi\rangle\|$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm of the considered Hilbert space for quantum states. $E(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V})$ is known as the operator norm of $\mathbf{U} - \mathbf{V}$.

The distance between two unitaries \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} is defined as $E(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B})$.

1. Let M be a POVM element associated with the measurement, and let $P_{\mathbf{U}}$ (or $P_{\mathbf{V}}$) be the probability of obtaining the corresponding measurement outcome if the operation \mathbf{U} (or \mathbf{V}) was performed. Show that

$$|P_{\mathbf{U}} - P_{\mathbf{V}}| \leq 2E(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V})$$

2. Show that

$$E(\mathbf{U}_m \mathbf{U}_{m-1} \cdots \mathbf{U}_1, \mathbf{V}_m \mathbf{V}_{m-1} \cdots \mathbf{V}_1) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m E(\mathbf{U}_i, \mathbf{V}_i)$$

3. Deduce that if $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}$ are unitaries, then

$$|P_{\mathbf{AU}} - P_{\mathbf{AV}}| \leq 2E(\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V})$$

4. (i) What is the distance between the 2×2 identity matrix and the phase-gate $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix}$?
- (ii) What is the distance between the 4×4 identity matrix and the controlled version of the phase gate of (i)?
- (iii) What is the distance between the $2^n \times 2^n$ identity matrix \mathbf{I}_{2^n} and the controlled phase gate of (ii) tensored with $\mathbf{I}_{2^{n-2}}$?

- (iv) Give a quantum circuit with $O(n \log n)$ elementary gates that has distance less than C/n (for some constant C) from the Fourier transform $\text{QFT}_{\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}}$.

Hint: you can use that $\frac{1}{z^x} = \frac{1}{z} \frac{1}{z^{x-1}}$

Exercise 8 (About characters).

Let G be a finite group.

1. Prove that for any character $\chi \in \widehat{G}$,

$$\sum_{g \in G} \chi(g) = \begin{cases} \#G & \text{if } \chi = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2. How do you deduce from that

$$\sum_{g \in G} \chi_x(g) \overline{\chi_y}(g) = \begin{cases} \#G & \text{if } \chi_x = \chi_y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

3. Consider the function f_x

$$\begin{aligned} f_g : \widehat{G} &\longrightarrow G \\ \chi &\longmapsto \chi(g), \text{ such that} \end{aligned}$$

What can you say about f_g ?

4. How can you deduce from the previous point that we also have

$$\sum_{\chi \in \widehat{G}} \chi(x) \overline{\chi}(y) = \begin{cases} \#G & \text{if } x = y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

5. Let H be a subgroup of G . Show that

$$\sum_{h \in H} \chi_g(h) = \begin{cases} \#H & \text{if } g \in H^\perp \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{h^\perp \in H^\perp} \chi_g(h^\perp) = \begin{cases} \#H^\perp & \text{if } g \in H \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Exercise 9 (Poisson summation formula and application).

1. Let G be a finite group and H be a subgroup. Show the Poisson summation formula, for any function $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\#H}} \sum_{h \in H} f(h) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\#H^\perp}} \sum_{h^\perp \in H^\perp} \widehat{f}(h)$$

You can admit that $\#H^\perp \#H = \#G$.

2. Recall that the characters of $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$ are given by the χ_x 's where $\chi_x(y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{-\frac{2i\pi xy}{2^n}}$. Let $i \in \llbracket 0, n-1 \rrbracket$

$$(2^i) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x2^i : x \in \mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}\}$$

is the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$ generated by 2^i . Determine $(2^i)^\perp$.

3. Given a function $f : \mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which is 2^i -periodic. Show that it vanishes on $(2^i)^\perp$.
4. Suppose that you have \widehat{f} for free. Is it easy to find its period (here 2^i)? What do you conclude?

Exercise 10. Is computing the Quantum Fourier Transform in $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$ or \mathbb{F}_2^n helps to compute the classical Fourier transform?