

# GooseSolid/ElasticPlasticPotential

T.W.J. de Geus

\*Contact: [tom@geus.me](mailto:tom@geus.me) – [www.geus.me](http://www.geus.me) – <https://github.com/tdegeus/GooseSolid>

## Abstract

A microscopic model of plasticity in amorphous solids is proposed. This model uses a strain energy with multiple minima to capture the effect of plasticity. This model is taken from the work of Jagla (2017).

**Keywords:** elasto-plasticity; linear elasticity

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Constitutive model</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Linear elasticity . . . . .	2
1.2	Plastic potential . . . . .	4
<b>2</b>	<b>Stress response</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Nomenclature</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Strain measures</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>Derivatives</b>	<b>6</b>

## 1 Constitutive model

We consider a strain energy  $W$  which is composed of two parts, a volumetric (or hydrostatic) part  $U$  related to the hydrostatic strain  $\varepsilon_m$ , and a shear (or deviatoric) part  $V$  related to the equivalent strain  $\varepsilon_{eq}$ , i.e.

$$W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = U(\varepsilon_m) + V(\varepsilon_{eq}) \quad (1)$$

Our nomenclature can be found in Appendix A and the definition of the strain measures in Appendix B. The stress response  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$  is the derivative of this energy with respect to the strain tensor  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ . Before specializing  $U$  and  $V$  we can already say that

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial \varepsilon_m} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_m}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \varepsilon_{eq}} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{eq}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial \varepsilon_m} \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{I} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \varepsilon_{eq}} \frac{2}{3} \frac{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_d}{\varepsilon_{eq}} \quad (2)$$

From which we can identify the hydrostatic stress

$$\sigma_m = \frac{1}{3} \frac{\partial U}{\partial \varepsilon_m} \quad (3)$$

and the deviatoric stress tensor

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_d = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \varepsilon_{eq}} \frac{2}{3} \frac{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_d}{\varepsilon_{eq}} \quad (4)$$

From the latter it follows that the equivalent stress

$$\sigma_{eq} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_d : \boldsymbol{\sigma}_d} = \left| \frac{\partial V}{\partial \varepsilon_{eq}} \right| \quad (5)$$

### 1.1 Linear elasticity

We start simple by considering linear elasticity. In this case the volumetric strain energy  $U$  and the shear strain energy  $V$  read

$$U(\varepsilon_m) = \frac{9}{2} K \varepsilon_m^2 \quad (6)$$

and

$$V(\varepsilon_{eq}) = \frac{3}{2} G \varepsilon_{eq}^2 \quad (7)$$

The two potentials are plotted in Fig. 1 (in which we show only  $\varepsilon_{eq} \geq 0$ , as it is by definition non-negative). It is trivial to obtain that

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial \varepsilon_m} = 9 K \varepsilon_m \quad (8)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial \varepsilon_{eq}} = 3 G \varepsilon_{eq} \quad (9)$$

Which corresponds to the well known expression for the stress


$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = 3K \varepsilon_m \mathbf{I} + 2G \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_d \quad (10)$$

Note that it is also quite common to express Eq. (10) as follows


$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \mathbb{C} : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \quad (11)$$

where  $\mathbb{C}$  is the fourth-order the elastic stiffness tensor, defined as

$$\mathbb{C} = K \mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{I} + 2G \mathbb{I}_d \quad (12)$$



**Figure 1.** Strain energy  $W(\varepsilon) = U(\varepsilon_m) + V(\varepsilon_{eq})$  for linear elasticity.



**Figure 2.** The multi-minima shear strain energy,  $V(\varepsilon_{eq})$ , that models the effect of plasticity. The multi-parabolic strain energy is shown in (a), while its smoothed equivalent is shown in (b).

## 1.2 Plastic potential

We now extend the model to account for plasticity. For this we strive for a model that is volumetrically purely elastic, while in shear, the model is governed by multiple minima. These minima have the effect that when the material reaches a certain yield stress it jumps to the next minimum. Around this minimum the elasticity is always the same. When loading is continued the material again jumps to a new minimum when a yield stress is reached. The magnitudes of the jumps and of the yield stress are thereby related.

### Parabolic potential with multiple minima

As described, the volumetric behavior is give by Eq. (6) and plotted in Fig. 1a. To attain the desired behavior in shear we divide the equivalent strain space in a finite number of yield strains  $\varepsilon_y^{(0)}, \varepsilon_y^{(1)}, \varepsilon_y^{(2)}, \dots$  and set the shear strain energy to

$$V(\varepsilon_{eq}) = \frac{3}{2} G \left[ \left[ \varepsilon_{eq} - \varepsilon_{min}^{(i)} \right]^2 - \left[ \Delta \varepsilon_y^{(i)} \right]^2 \right] \quad (13)$$

which is plotted in Fig. 2a. As indicated in the Figure, the potential is set by the two maxima  $\varepsilon_y^{(i)}$  and  $\varepsilon_y^{(i+1)}$  that satisfy  $\varepsilon_y^{(i)} \leq \varepsilon_{eq} < \varepsilon_y^{(i+1)}$ . In particular, it set by their mean

$$\varepsilon_{min}^{(i)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \varepsilon_y^{(i+1)} + \varepsilon_y^{(i)} \right] \quad (14)$$

and the distance between the minima and their mean

$$\Delta \varepsilon_y^{(i)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \varepsilon_y^{(i+1)} - \varepsilon_y^{(i)} \right] \quad (15)$$

To compute the stress we need

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial \varepsilon_{eq}} = 3 G \left[ \varepsilon_{eq} - \varepsilon_{min}^{(i)} \right] \quad (16)$$

For which we can observe that around  $\varepsilon_{min}^{(i)}$  the elastic response is similar to linear elasticity. If we take  $\varepsilon_y^{(0)} = -\varepsilon_y^{(1)}$  we even find exactly linear elasticity until the initial yield stress is reached. For completeness, the stress reads

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = 3K \varepsilon_m \mathbf{I} + 2G \left[ 1 - \varepsilon_{min}^{(i)} / \varepsilon_{eq} \right] \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_d \quad (17)$$

whereby we make the assumption that when  $\varepsilon_{eq} = 0$  also  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_d = 0$ .

### Smooth parabolic potential with multiple minima

Next to this we also define a smoothened equivalent of Eq. (13):

$$V(\varepsilon_{eq}) = -3 G \left[ \frac{\Delta \varepsilon_y^{(i)}}{\pi} \right]^2 \left[ 1 + \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{\Delta \varepsilon_y^{(i)}} \left[ \varepsilon_{eq} - \varepsilon_{min}^{(i)} \right] \right) \right] \quad (18)$$

which is plotted in Fig. 2b. In this case we obtain

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial \varepsilon_{eq}} = 3 G \left[ \frac{\Delta \varepsilon_y^{(i)}}{\pi} \right] \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{\Delta \varepsilon_y^{(i)}} \left[ \varepsilon_{eq} - \varepsilon_{min}^{(i)} \right] \right) \quad (19)$$

Which is to the first order equal to linear elasticity around its minimum  $\varepsilon_{min}^{(i)}$ . Indeed, the first order Taylor series of Eq. (19) around  $\varepsilon_{eq} = \varepsilon_{min}^{(i)}$ ,

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial \varepsilon_{eq}} \approx 3 G \left[ \varepsilon_{eq} - \varepsilon_{min}^{(i)} \right] \quad (20)$$

is identical to Eq. (16).

For completeness also in case the stress tensor


$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = 3K \varepsilon_m \mathbf{I} + 2G \left[ \frac{\Delta \varepsilon_y^{(i)}}{\pi} \right] \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{\Delta \varepsilon_y^{(i)}} \left[ \varepsilon_{eq} - \varepsilon_{min}^{(i)} \right] \right) \frac{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_d}{\varepsilon_{eq}} \quad (21)$$

whereby we again make the assumption that when  $\varepsilon_{eq} = 0$  also  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_d = 0$ .


## 2 Stress response

We next investigate the stress response for a monotonically increasing  $\varepsilon_{eq}$ . The idea is to get a feeling for the behavior of a material. But one must realize that for a specimen the stress response is determined from mechanical equilibrium, which implies that the material's response is also determined from it's surroundings. A typical macroscopic stress–strain curves will look quite different to what is presented here.

To obtain the stress response we start from the derivative of the shear strain energy, Eqs. (16,19). These are plotted in Fig. 3. The equivalent stress  $\sigma_{eq}$  can now be obtained using Eq. (5); the result of which is plotted in Fig. 4.



**Figure 3.** Derivate of the shear strain energy  $V$ .



**Figure 4.** Stress–strain response. The equivalent (shear) stress  $\sigma_{eq}$  is plotted as a function of the equivalent strain  $\varepsilon_{eq}$  for both the multi-parabolic shear strain energy and its smoothened equivalent. Although not important for the result here, note that this response is actually obtained from the implementation by applying monotonically increasing simple shear.

## A Nomenclature

- Dyadic tensor product

$$\mathbb{C} = \mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B} \quad (22)$$

$$C_{ijkl} = A_{ij} B_{kl} \quad (23)$$

- Double tensor contraction

$$C = \mathbf{A} : \mathbf{B} \quad (24)$$

$$= A_{ij} B_{ji} \quad (25)$$

- Deviatoric projection tensor

$$\mathbb{I}_d = \mathbb{I}_s - \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{I} \quad (26)$$

## B Strain measures

- Mean strain

$$\varepsilon_m = \frac{1}{3} \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = \frac{1}{3} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} : \mathbf{I} \quad (27)$$

- Strain deviator

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_d = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} - \varepsilon_m \mathbf{I} = \mathbb{I}_d : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \quad (28)$$

- Equivalent strain

$$\varepsilon_{eq} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_d : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_d} \quad (29)$$

## C Derivatives

- Strain deviator

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_d}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \mathbb{I}_d \quad (30)$$

- Mean equivalent strain

$$\frac{\partial \varepsilon_m}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{I} : \mathbb{I} = \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{I} \quad (31)$$

- Von Mises equivalent strain

$$\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{eq}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_{eq}} \frac{2}{3} [\mathbb{I}_d : \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_d + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_d : \mathbb{I}_d] = \frac{2}{3} \frac{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_d}{\varepsilon_{eq}} \quad (32)$$

## References

Jagla, E. (2017). Different universality classes at the yielding transition of amorphous systems. *Phys. Rev. E*, 96(2):023006.