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Summary

Multivariate implicit differentiation is used to compute partial derivatives for implicitly defined functions using the multivariate chain rule. It allows you to examine how changes in one variable affect others without explicitly solving for the other variables.

*Before reading this guide, it is recommended that you read* [*Guide: Multivariate chain rule*](multivariatechainrule.qmd) *and [Guide: Introduction to implicit differentiation].*

# What is multivariate implicit differentiation?

In [Guide: Multivariate chain rule](multivariatechainrule.qmd), you learned how to apply the multivariate chain rule to many different functions, however there are some cases where this rule is impossible to apply. **Implicit differentiation** is a technique used in calculus for when you have a relationship between two variables and that would make it difficult to solve for one in terms of the other. Instead of isolating explicitly (writing the equation as ), you differentiate the entire expression using the **chain rule** and **partial derivatives**.

For example, consider the surface of a sphere defined by . This equation implicitly relates , and . If you want to know how the height changes as you move along the -direction, you can use multivariate implicit differentiation without having to even solve for .

The fuel efficiency of a car depends on speed, tire pressures, and engine temperature. These variables are all related, but there is no specific formula that tells you how one affects another directly. To find how one variable changes with respect to another, you need to use multivariate implicit differentiation.

To understand the idea of multivariate implicit differentiation, it is important to define what the differences are between explicit and implicit functions first.

# Explicit and implicit functions

An **explicit function** expresses one variable directly in terms of the other variables. The method to compute the dependent variable from the independent variables is consistent and often requires rearranging the equation for the dependent variable of interest.

|  |
| --- |
| Explicit function |
| An explicit function takes the form .  It is a function that can be rearranged into a form where is distinctly written as a function of only . |

|  |
| --- |
| Tip |
| A handy way to think of this functional form is as . |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Example 1**  An example of an explicit function is the function . The function has been written in the form and you can directly compute for any value of .  Another example of an explicit function is the function which can be rearranged into its explicit form . |

An **implicit function** defines a relationship between variables without necessarily expressing one variable directly in terms of the others. For example, unlike explicit functions where you can write as a function of , implicit functions may not allow you to isolate . In some cases, isolating algebraically might be very difficult or impossible, or doing so may give multiple values of for a single meaning the result is not a function.

|  |
| --- |
| Implicit function |
| An implicit function takes the form .  It is a function where the variables are so entangled that either you cannot rearrange the equation to write distinctly as a function of , or the rearranged form would violate the definition of a function (for example, by producing multiple values for a single ). In such cases, you need to treat the relationship implicitly. |

|  |
| --- |
| Tip |
| A handy way to think of this functional form is as , where solving explicitly for either leads to multiple values or requires techniques (like implicit differentiation) that do not involve isolating algebraically. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Example 2**  An example of an implicit function is the equation . The equation has been written in the functional form . Rearranging it explicitly for is not straightforward, and in some cases may not even be possible using standard algebraic techniques.  Another example of an implicit function is which is the equation of a circle of radius . This has a solution , which is not a function, as a function cannot take on two values of for the same value of . So, even though you can rearrange the equation, the result is not an explicit function. Therefore, when written in the form , it has been written implicitly. |

# Paths and contours in the -plane

Imagine you are walking on a surface defined by a function that specifies the -coordinate height above or below the -plane. The horizontal coordinates are and , and the height at each point is .

A **path** is a curve you take as you move over the surface. As you move along a path, the -coordinate height changes. The total rate of change of the height as you move is given by

As seen in [Guide: Multivariate chain rule](multivariatechainrule.qmd), this is the **multivariate chain rule** for two dependent variables and with one independent variable .

A **contour** is a path where the height (or **function value**) on this surface you are walking along stays the same. On a map, contour lines show regions of constant elevation. Walking along a contour line means you remain at the same height, whereas walking across contour lines means you are either going up or going down.

This means that a contour of a surface defined by is the set of all points for which the function value is constant. This is often written as .

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Example 3**  Consider the function . This function represents all the points on a paraboloid (a three-dimensional shape which is shown below).  If you specify , you are defining a contour at height . This contour traces out a flat circle of radius . The height is the same for all points on this circle.  3D plot of the paraboloid z=g(x,y)=x^2+y^2-25.  3D plot of the paraboloid .  Contour plot of the centre of the paraboloid with the contour at height z=11 in yellow.  Contour plot of the centre of the paraboloid with the contour at height in yellow. |

# Implicit differentiation for one variable

In [Guide: Introduction to implicit differentiation], you saw how the method of implicit differentiation works. This section looks at an alternative way of thinking about this when considering partial differentiation.

Often it is more convenient to redefine to be (a contour of zero height) by including the constant as part of the function.

With the example of the paraboloid, this would involve redefining the circular contour of radius and height from to a circular contour of radius and height as .

Since the height is constant (at zero) along the contour, the height neither increases nor decreases as you walk along the contour so the rate of change of height is zero. This means the derivative of with respect to the independent variable is defined as

This result can be substituted into the multivariate chain rule:

Since , the total derivative of the function with respect to must also be zero:

The function depends on both of the dependent variables and , so when you differentiate with respect to you must use the multivariate chain rule.

The final step is to rearrange for .

This final result is the multivariate equation for implicit differentiation (for one dependent variable) with the chain rule.

|  |
| --- |
| Implicit differentiation with the chain rule |
| If defines implicitly then |

|  |
| --- |
| Warning |
| Since appears on the denominator, this requires . |

To apply this equation, the function must be written in the form .

The following example shows how to use implicit differentiation to find when is defined implicitly by .

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Example 4**  Let and define implicitly.  Determine .  Firstly, calculate the derivatives required for the implicit differentiation equation.  Apply the implicit differentiation equation and substitute for the derivatives. |

The important point is that implicit differentiation allows you to find without solving explicitly for , which is often difficult or impossible to do.

While it may still be possible to solve for using standard techniques, it could still be quite difficult to find a way to write explicitly in terms of . In many cases like these, using the implicit differentiation rule is more practical.

# Implicit differentiation for two or more variables

Suppose you have a function of variables and that is defined by

It is often impractical to express as an explicit function of and . Instead, the function is defined implicitly by an equation of the form

This defines a surface in four-dimensional space. Since this surface implicitly defines in terms of and , you can use the chain rule to differentiate implicitly and find the partial derivatives and without explicitly solving for .

Since the function value is constant (at zero) along the contour, the function value neither increases nor decreases as you move along the contour so the rate of change of the function value is zero. This means the partial derivatives of with respect to the independent variables and are defined as

You can now use the chain rule to differentiate implicitly with using a similar method to the last section.

Apply the multivariate chain rule to differentiate with respect to .

The next step is to rearrange for .

Apply the multivariate chain rule to differentiate with respect to .

The next step is to rearrange for .

|  |
| --- |
| Implicit differentiation with the chain rule |
| If defines implicitly then |

|  |
| --- |
| Warning |
| Since appears on the denominator of both equations, this requires . |

To apply this equation, the function must be written in the form

The following example shows you how to use implicit differentiation to find and when is defined implicitly by .

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Example 5**  Let and define implicitly.  Determine and .  First, calculate the derivatives required for the implicit differentiation equations.  Apply the implicit differentiation equation and substitute for the derivatives.  Calculate the partial derivative of with respect to .  Calculate the partial derivative of with respect to . |

Implicit differentiation allows you to find and without solving explicitly for which is often difficult or impossible to do.

While it may still be possible to solve for using standard techniques, it could still be quite difficult to find a way to write explicitly in terms of and . In many cases like these, using the implicit differentiation rule is more practical.

# Quick check problems

1. Which of the following best represents an implicit function?
2. Let be defined implicitly by . Is it possible to find without solving for ?
3. Consider the function where is defined implicitly.
4. Use the multivariate implicit differentiation rule to find the partial derivative of with respect to given by .
5. Use the multivariate implicit differentiation rule to find the partial derivative of with respect to given by .

# Further reading

[For more questions on the subject, please go to Questions: Multivariate implicit differentiation.](../questions/qs-multivariateimplicitdifferentiation.qmd)

[For a way to consider derivatives with a defined direction, please see Guide: Directional derivatives.]
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