## Lab 5 – MATH 243

Theodore Dounias
October 17, 2017

```
1
#a
d <- d %>%
  mutate(MAPE = Price/Earnings_10MA_back)
summary(d[7])
         MAPE
##
           : 4.785
##
   Min.
   1st Qu.:11.708
## Median :15.947
## Mean
           :16.554
## 3rd Qu.:19.959
## Max.
           :44.196
## NA's
           :120
summary(d[4])
## Earnings_10MA_back
         : 8.51
## Min.
## 1st Qu.:13.89
## Median :17.48
           :25.70
## Mean
## 3rd Qu.:37.19
## Max.
           :77.00
## NA's
           :120
d <- na.omit(d)
attach(d)
## The following objects are masked from d (pos = 3):
##
##
       Date, Earnings, Earnings_10MA_back, Price, Return_10_fwd,
##
       Return_cumul
Here Earnings_10MA_back had 120 NA values which caused the same number of NA's in MAPE.
lm_MAPE <- lm(Return_10_fwd ~ MAPE, data = d)</pre>
coef(summary(lm_MAPE))[2, -3]
         Estimate
                      Std. Error
                                        Pr(>|t|)
## -4.588536e-03
                    1.727170e-04 1.641337e-127
MAPE is significant in this model.
z<- (length(Price) +1)/5
x <- rep(1, length(Price))
```

```
x[z:(2*z)] <- 2
x[(2*z):(3*z)] <- 3
x[(3*z):(4*z)] <- 4
x[(4*z):(5*z - 1)] < -5
d <- d %>%
  mutate(fold = x)
mse \leftarrow rep(0, 5)
for(i in 1:5){
  d_train <- d %>%
    filter(fold != i)
  d_test <- d %>%
    filter(fold == i)
  lm_cv5 <- lm(Return_10_fwd ~ MAPE, data = d_train)</pre>
 mse[i] <- mean((d_test$Return_10_fwd - predict(lm_cv5, newdata = d_test))^2)</pre>
}
cv_mse <- .2 * sum(mse)
cv_mse
## [1] 0.002508343
2
d <- d %>%
  mutate(inv MAPE = 1/MAPE)
attach(d)
## The following objects are masked from d (pos = 3):
##
##
       Date, Earnings, Earnings_10MA_back, MAPE, Price,
##
       Return_10_fwd, Return_cumul
## The following objects are masked from d (pos = 4):
##
##
       Date, Earnings, Earnings_10MA_back, Price, Return_10_fwd,
       Return_cumul
lm_invMAPE <- lm(Return_10_fwd ~ inv_MAPE, data = d)</pre>
summary(lm_invMAPE)
##
## lm(formula = Return_10_fwd ~ inv_MAPE, data = d)
##
## Residuals:
##
         Min
                     1Q
                           Median
                                          ЗQ
                                                   Max
## -0.106298 -0.030839 0.002955 0.028179 0.103866
##
## Coefficients:
##
                Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
```

```
## (Intercept) -0.007659
                           0.002878 -2.661 0.00788 **
                0.995904
## inv_MAPE
                           0.036513 27.275 < 2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 0.04284 on 1482 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.3342, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3338
## F-statistic: 743.9 on 1 and 1482 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
coef(summary(lm_invMAPE))[2, -3]
##
       Estimate
                    Std. Error
                                    Pr(>|t|)
## 9.959036e-01 3.651296e-02 4.408311e-133
Again, yes.
#b
for(i in 1:5){
  d_train <- d %>%
   filter(fold != i)
 d_test <- d %>%
   filter(fold == i)
 lm_cv5 <- lm(Return_10_fwd ~ inv_MAPE, data = d_train)</pre>
 mse[i] <- mean((d_test$Return_10_fwd - predict(lm_cv5, newdata = d_test))^2)</pre>
cv_mse <- .2 * sum(mse)
cv_mse
## [1] 0.002260043
The MSE here is somewhat smaller than the MSE using the non-inverted model.
3
```

```
mse_3a <- mean((d$Return_10_fwd - d$inv_MAPE)^2)</pre>
mse_3a
```

## ## [1] 0.001896346

We are essentially not training the model, but just assuming its form. Therefore the training MSE is an estimate of the test MSE in the same way that we would have when cross validating.

```
4
```

```
boot.fn <- function(data, index){</pre>
  return(coef(lm(Return_10_fwd ~ inv_MAPE, data = data, subset = index)))
}
boot_coef <- rep(0, 1000)
for(i in 1:1000){
  boot_coef[i] <- boot.fn(d, sample(1484, 1484, replace = TRUE))[2]
}
```

## Histogram of boot\_coef

