## MATH 836: Elliptic Partial Differential Equations

Spring 2013 (F.-J. Sayas) Problems III. The non-homogeneous Dirichlet problem

- 1. If  $\Omega$  is a Lipschitz domain, show that  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \overline{\Omega}$  also satisfies the  $H^1$ -extension property.
- 2. The symmetry argument. Let  $h: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth version of the Heaviside function

$$h \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), \quad 0 \le h \le 1, \quad \text{supp } h = [0, \infty), \quad \text{supp } (1 - h) = (-\infty, 1]$$

and let  $h_n(\mathbf{x}) := h(n x_d - 1)$ . We will write

$$\mathbb{R}^d \ni \mathbf{x} = (\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}, x_d) \longmapsto \check{\mathbf{x}} := (\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}, -x_d)$$

and consider the extension operator for functions  $u: \mathbb{R}^d_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$(Eu)(\mathbf{x}) := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} u(\mathbf{x}), & \text{if } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d_+, \\ u(\check{\mathbf{x}}), & \text{if } x_d < 0. \end{array} \right.$$

(a) Make a plot of the functions  $h_n$  and show that

$$h_n \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d_+), \qquad h_n \psi \to \psi \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^d_+) \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

(b) Show that if  $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d_+)$ , then

$$\langle Eu, \psi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_+} u(\mathbf{x}) (\psi(\mathbf{x}) + \psi(\check{\mathbf{x}})) d\mathbf{x} \qquad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

(c) By carefully playing with the functions  $h_n$ , show that if  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d_+)$ , then

$$\partial_{x_j}(Eu) = E(\partial_{x_j}u) \qquad 1 \le j \le d-1.$$

(d) Show that

$$(\partial_{x_d} h_n)(\varphi - \varphi(\check{\cdot})) \to 0 \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^d_+) \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

(e) Finally, using (a) and (d), show that if  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d_+)$ , then

$$\langle \partial_{x_d}(Eu), \varphi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_{x_d} u(\mathbf{x}) (\varphi(\mathbf{x}) - \varphi(\check{\mathbf{x}})) d\mathbf{x} \qquad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

The previous results show that if  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , then  $Eu \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Why?

3. An extension operator for  $H^2(\mathbb{R}^d_+)$ . Given  $u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^d_+)$ , we define

$$(Eu)(\mathbf{x}) = (Eu)(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}, x_d) := \begin{cases} u(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}, x_d) & \text{if } x_d > 0, \\ 4u(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}, -\frac{1}{2}x_d) - 3u(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}, -\frac{1}{3}x_d), & \text{if } x_d < 0. \end{cases}$$

- (a) Show that  $Eu \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .
- (b) Show that  $||Eu||_{\mathbb{R}^d} \le C_0 ||u||_{\mathbb{R}^d_+}$  for all  $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d_+)$ .

- (c) Show that  $||Eu||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le C_1 ||u||_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}$  for all  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d_+)$ .
- (d) Show that  $||Eu||_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \le C_2 ||u||_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$  for all  $u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^d_+)$ .
- 4. Understanding  $H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$ .
  - (a) Assume that  $\partial\Omega$  is composed of two disjoint connected parts,  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ , each of them the boundary of a Lipschitz domain (think of an annular domain). Show that

$$H^{1/2}(\Gamma) \equiv H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1) \times H^{1/2}(\Gamma_2).$$

(**Hint.** Use  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $\varphi_1 + \varphi_2 \equiv 1$  in a neighborhood of  $\Omega$  and such that

$$\operatorname{supp} \varphi_2 \cap \Gamma_1 = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{supp} \varphi_2 \cap \Gamma_1 = \emptyset,$$

to separate the boundaries.)

(b) Let  $\Omega$  be a Lipschitz domain and  $\Gamma_{\rm pc} \subset \partial \Omega$  a subset of its boundary such that it is possible to integrate on it. Consider the operator  $\gamma_{\rm pc}: H^1(\Omega) \to L^2(\Gamma_{\rm pc})$  given by

$$\gamma_{\rm pc}u := (\gamma u)|_{\Gamma_{\rm pc}}.$$

Show that this operator is the only possible extension of the operator

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}(\overline{\Omega}) & \longrightarrow & L^2(\Gamma_{\mathrm{pc}}) \\ u & \longmapsto & u|_{\Gamma_{\mathrm{pc}}}. \end{array}$$

(Note that the restriction operators in the previous formulas are different to each other. Why?)

5. The trace from an exterior domain. Let  $\Omega_{-}$  be a bounded Lipschitz domain and  $\Omega_{+} := \mathbb{R}^{d} \setminus \overline{\Omega_{-}}$ . Since both  $\Omega_{\pm}$  satisfy the extension property, we can define different trace operators

$$\gamma^{\pm}: H^1(\Omega_{\pm}) \to L^2(\Gamma).$$

- (a) Show that if  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , then  $\gamma^+ u = \gamma^- u$ .
- (b) Show that the range of both trace operators is the same.
- (c) Show that if  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \Gamma)$  and  $\gamma^+ u = \gamma^- u$ , then  $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . (**Hint.** Let  $u_{\pm} := u|_{\Omega_{\pm}}$ . Extend  $u_+$  to an element of  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and show that this extension minus  $u_-$  is in  $H^1_0(\Omega_-)$ .)
- 6. **Reaction-diffusion problems.** On a bounded Lipschitz domain, we consider two coefficients

$$\kappa, c \in L^{\infty}(\Omega), \qquad \kappa \ge \kappa_0 > 0, \qquad c \ge 0 \qquad \text{(almost everywhere)}$$

and two data functions  $(f,g) \in L^2(\Omega) \times H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$ . Consider the problem

$$\begin{bmatrix} u \in H^1(\Omega), & \gamma u = g, \\ -\operatorname{div}(\kappa \nabla u) + c u = f & \text{in } \Omega. \end{bmatrix}$$

- (a) Write its equivalent variational formulation ad the associated minimization problem.
- (b) Show well-posedness of this problem.

7. **The optimal lifting.** Consider the operator  $\gamma^{\dagger}: H^{1/2}(\Gamma) \to H^1(\Omega)$ , given by  $u = \gamma^{\dagger}g$  is the solution of

$$\left[\begin{array}{ll} u\in H^1(\Omega), & \gamma u=g, \\ -\Delta u+u=0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{array}\right.$$

Show that it is well defined, linear, bounded. Write the associated minimization problem and show that  $\gamma^{\dagger}$  is the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse of the trace  $\gamma: H^1(\Omega) \to H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$ .