${ {\rm NUEN~647} \atop {\rm Uncertainty~Quantification~for~Nuclear~Engineering} \atop {\rm Homework~3} }$

Due on Saturday, December 10, 2016

Dr. McClarren

Paul Mendoza

Paul Mendoza	ıl Mendoza NUEN 647 UQ for Nuclear Engineering (Dr. McClarren)	
Contents		
Problem 1		3
Problem 2		14
Problem 3		16

Fit the data in Table 1 to a linear model using

- (a) Least squares
- (b) Ridge Regression
- (c) Lasso Regression

Table 1: Data to fit linear model $y = a + bx_1 + cx_2$

	x_1	x_2	У
1	0.99	0.98	6.42
2	-0.75	-0.76	0.20
3	-0.50	-0.48	0.80
4	-1.08	-1.08	-0.57
5	0.09	0.09	4.75
6	-1.28	-1.27	-1.42
7	-0.79	-0.79	1.07
8	-1.17	-1.17	0.20
9	-0.57	-0.57	1.08
10	-1.62	-1.62	-0.15
11	0.34	0.35	2.90
12	0.51	0.51	3.37
13	-0.91	-0.92	0.05
14	1.85	1.86	5.50
15	-1.12	-1.12	0.17
16	-0.70	-0.70	1.72
17	1.19	1.18	3.97
18	1.24	1.23	6.38
19	-0.52	-0.52	3.29
20	-1.41	-1.41	-1.49

Be sure to do cross-validation for each fit, and for each method present your best estimate of the model.

Did this problem in R, and appended the PDF on the following pages.

Paul Mendoza

December 9, 2016

```
require(magrittr)
require(dplyr)
require(ggplot2)
require(glmnet)
```

Fit the data in Table 1 to a linear model using:

Table1

```
##
        x1
              x2
## 1
      0.99 0.98 6.42
## 2 -0.75 -0.76 0.20
## 3 -0.50 -0.48 0.80
## 4 -1.08 -1.08 -0.57
     0.09 0.09 4.75
## 6 -1.28 -1.27 -1.42
## 7 -0.79 -0.79 1.07
## 8 -1.17 -1.17 0.20
## 9 -0.57 -0.57 1.08
## 10 -1.62 -1.62 -0.15
## 11 0.34 0.35 2.90
## 12 0.51 0.51 3.37
## 13 -0.91 -0.92 0.05
## 14 1.85 1.86 5.50
## 15 -1.12 -1.12 0.17
## 16 -0.70 -0.70 1.72
## 17 1.19 1.18 3.97
## 18 1.24 1.23 6.38
## 19 -0.52 -0.52 3.29
## 20 -1.41 -1.41 -1.49
```

1. Least Squares

```
LeastFit<-lm(formula = y~x1+x2,data=Table1)
LeastFit</pre>
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = y ~ x1 + x2, data = Table1)
##
## Coefficients:
## (Intercept) x1 x2
## 2.606 38.133 -35.900
```

```
plotDF<-Table1
plotDF[,'Type']<-'Train'
plotDF$Predict<-predict(LeastFit,plotDF[,1:2])
plotDF$Error<-plotDF$y-plotDF$Predict
ggplot(plotDF,aes(x=y,y=Predict,size=abs(Error)))+geom_point()+
    scale_size("Absolute Error")+geom_smooth(method="lm",se=F,size=1)</pre>
```



```
sqrt(var(data.frame(plotDF %>% filter(Type=="Train") %>% select(Error))))/20
```

```
## Error 0.04902635
```

```
ggplot(plotDF,aes(x=Error)) + geom_histogram()
```



```
sensitivities <- coef(LeastFit)
sensDF <- data.frame(Method = 0, Var = 0, Value=0)
sensDF[1:length(sensitivities), 'Method'] <- "Least-Squares"
sensDF[1:length(sensitivities), 'Var'] <- names(sensitivities)
sensDF[1:length(sensitivities), 'Value'] <- (sensitivities)
rowStart <- length(sensitivities)+1</pre>
```

2. Ridge Regression

Ridge regression sets alpha=0, which adds damping to the coefficients





```
sqrt(var(data.frame(plotDF %>% filter(Type=="Train") %>% select(Error))))/20
```

```
## Error 0.05982956
```

ggplot(plotDF,aes(x=Error)) + geom_histogram()



```
sensDF[rowStart:(rowStart + length(sensitivities)-1),'Method'] <- "Ridge"
sensDF[rowStart:(rowStart+length(sensitivities)-1),'Var']<-t(t(rownames(sensitivities)))
sensDF[rowStart:(rowStart +length(sensitivities)-1),'Value']<-as.numeric(sensitivities)
rowStart <- rowStart + length(sensitivities)</pre>
```

3. Lasso Regression

Lasso regression sets alpha=1

```
crossValid <- cv.glmnet(as.matrix(Table1[,1:2]),as.matrix(Table1$y),alpha = 1)
plot(crossValid)</pre>
```





```
sqrt(var(data.frame(plotDF %>% filter(Type=="Train") %>% select(Error))))/20
```

```
## Error 0.05832321
```

ggplot(plotDF,aes(x=Error)) + geom_histogram()



```
sensDF[rowStart:(rowStart + length(sensitivities)-1),'Method'] <- "Lasso"
sensDF[rowStart:(rowStart+length(sensitivities)-1),'Var']<-t(t(rownames(sensitivities)))
sensDF[rowStart:(rowStart +length(sensitivities)-1),'Value']<-as.numeric(sensitivities)
rowStart <- rowStart + length(sensitivities)</pre>
```

Compare Methods



The Lasso and Ridge are both more bounded in their coefficents.

Derive the adjoint operator for the equation

$$-\nabla^2 \phi(x, y, z) + \frac{1}{L^2} \phi(x, y, z) = \frac{Q}{D}$$

$$\phi(0, y, z) = \phi(x, 0, z) = \phi(x, y, 0) = \phi(X, y, z) = \phi(x, Y, z) = \phi(x, y, Z) = C$$

Compute the sensitivity to the QOI:

$$QoI = \int_0^X dx \int_0^Y dy \int_0^Z dz \frac{D}{L^2} \phi(x, y, z)$$

for X,Y,Z,L,, and Q.

Derive the adjoint operator

Define the operator \mathcal{L} as

$$\mathcal{L} = \nabla^2 + \frac{1}{L^2}$$

and the adjoint \mathcal{L}^{\dagger} as

$$\mathcal{L}^{\dagger} = \nabla^2 + \frac{1}{L^2}$$

$$\phi^{\dagger}(0,y,z) = \phi^{\dagger}(x,0,z) = \phi^{\dagger}(x,y,0) = \phi^{\dagger}(X,y,z) = \phi^{\dagger}(x,Y,z) = \phi^{\dagger}(x,y,Z) = C$$

Setting:

$$\left| \frac{\delta \phi^{\dagger}}{\delta x} \right|_{x=0} = \left| \frac{\delta \phi}{\delta x} \right|_{x=0}$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\delta \phi^{\dagger}}{\delta x} \right|_{x=X} = \left| \frac{\delta \phi}{\delta x} \right|_{x=X}$$

and similar for the other two dimentions. Also define the inner product as:

$$(u,v) = \int_0^X dx \int_0^Y dy \int_0^Z dz \ uv$$

Proof, in order to prove that this is an adjoint operator for the above equation, it needs to be shown that $(\mathcal{L}\phi, \phi^{\dagger}) = (\phi, \mathcal{L}^{\dagger}\phi^{\dagger}).$

Equivalent to:

$$\int_0^X dx \int_0^Y dy \int_0^Z dz \left(\phi^\dagger \bigtriangledown^2 \phi + \phi^\dagger \frac{\phi}{L^2}\right) = \int_0^X dx \int_0^Y dy \int_0^Z dz \left(\phi \bigtriangledown^2 \phi^\dagger + \phi \frac{\phi^\dagger}{L^2}\right) \tag{1}$$

The terms

$$\int_0^X dx \int_0^Y dy \int_0^Z dz \left(\phi^\dagger \frac{\phi}{L^2}\right) = \int_0^X dx \int_0^Y dy \int_0^Z dz \left(\phi \frac{\phi^\dagger}{L^2}\right)$$

are equal. For the other term with, ∇^2 , we can expand to:

$$\int_0^X dx \int_0^Y dy \int_0^Z dz \left(\phi^\dagger \left[\frac{\delta^2 \phi}{\delta x^2} + \frac{\delta^2 \phi}{\delta y^2} + \frac{\delta^2 \phi}{\delta z^2}\right]\right)$$

Focusing on the x terms, noting that y and z will have the same derivation. Integration by parts, with $u=\phi^{\dagger},\ du=\frac{\delta\phi^{\dagger}}{\delta x}dx,\ {\rm and}\ v=\frac{\delta\phi}{dx},\ dv=\frac{\delta^2\phi}{\delta x^2}dx$ yields.

$$\int_0^Y dy \int_0^Z dz \left(\int_0^X dx \ \phi^\dagger \frac{\delta^2 \phi}{\delta x^2} \right) = \int_0^Y dy \int_0^Z dz \left(\left| \phi^\dagger \frac{\delta \phi}{\delta x} \right|_{x=0}^{x=X} - \int_0^X dx \ \frac{\delta \phi}{\delta x} \frac{\delta \phi^\dagger}{\delta x} dx \right)$$

Performing another integration by parts, with $u = \frac{\delta \phi^{\dagger}}{\delta x}$, $du = \frac{\delta^2 \phi^d ag}{\delta x} dx$ and, $v = \phi$, $dv = \frac{\delta \phi}{\delta x} dx$.

$$= \int_0^Y dy \int_0^Z dz \left(\left| \phi^\dagger \frac{\delta \phi}{\delta x} \right|_{x=0}^{x=X} - \left| \phi \frac{\delta \phi^\dagger}{\delta x} \right|_{x=0}^{x=X} + \int_0^X dx \ \phi \frac{\delta^2 \phi^\dagger}{\delta x^2} dx \right)$$

At the boundaries, both ϕ and ϕ^{\dagger} are a constant, and the derivatives of both at the boundaries are equal, and therefore those terms cancel, leaving

$$\int_0^Y dy \int_0^Z dz \left(\int_0^X dx \ \phi \frac{\delta^2 \phi^{\dagger}}{\delta x^2} dx \right)$$

which is equal to the x component of the ∇^2 term of the RHS of equation 1 above.

Compute the sensitivity to the QoI:

I don't know if this is the right way to go about this, but if you modify the first equation listed in this problem to:

$$\phi = L^2 \left[\frac{Q}{D} + \bigtriangledown^2 \phi \right]$$

and use it as a substitution, then we get something like this,

$$QoI = \int_0^X dx \int_0^Y dy \int_0^Z dz \, \frac{D}{L^2} \phi$$

$$= \int_0^X dx \int_0^Y dy \int_0^Z dz \, \frac{D}{L^2} \left(L^2 \left[\frac{Q}{D} + \bigtriangledown^2 \phi \right] \right)$$

$$= \int_0^X dx \int_0^Y dy \int_0^Z dz \, \left[Q + D \bigtriangledown^2 \phi \right]$$

If Q and D both are independent of space, then

$$QoI = QXYZ + D\left[\int_0^Y dy \int_0^Z dz \left| \frac{\delta \phi}{\delta x} \right|_{x=0}^{x=X} + \int_0^X dx \int_0^Z dz \left| \frac{\delta \phi}{\delta y} \right|_{y=0}^{y=Y} + \int_0^X dx \int_0^Z dz \left| \frac{\delta \phi}{\delta z} \right|_{z=0}^{z=Z} \right]$$

I'm not sure how the adjoint helps me though.

For the random variable $X \sim N(0,1)$ draw fifty samples and generate histograms using the following sampling techniques

- (a) Simple random sampling
- (b) Stratifid sampling

Listing 1: Script for Problem

```
#!/usr/bin/env python3
##################### Import packages #############################
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import time
start_time = time.time()
from scipy.stats import norm
N=1000
Nbins=30
RandomNumbers=np.random.uniform(low=0,high=1,size=N)
#Sample the inverse of the CDF of the standard normal
#distribution
Samples=norm.ppf(RandomNumbers)
#Generate histogram
fig=plt.figure()
ax=fig.add_subplot(111)
ax.set_xlabel('Normal Sampling', fontsize=16)
ax.set_ylabel('Count out of '+str(N), fontsize=18)
ax.hist(Samples,Nbins,color='green',alpha=0.7,edgecolor='black')
#ax.set_xlim(-500,500)
plt.savefig("NNorm.pdf")
#Strat sampling...
print("--- %s seconds ---" % (time.time() - start_time))
```

