

THE CANONICAL REPRESENTATION HAS A_k DISJOINT, $\alpha_i \neq \alpha_j, \neq 0$

$\mathcal{A} \subset 2^X$ SIGMA ALGEBRA

CH4

PREIMAGE
 $f^{-1}(J) = \{x \in X : f(x) \in J\}$
 $= \{f \in J\}$

EXTENDED FUNCTION
 $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
 $\mathbb{R} := [-\infty, \infty]$

CHARACTERISTIC FUNCTION
 $\chi_A(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & x \in A \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$
ASSUMING $A \in \mathcal{A}$
 $\int_X \chi_A(x) d\mu = \mu(A)$

SIMPLE FUNCTION
 $\varphi(x) = \sum_{k=1}^m \alpha_k \chi_{A_k}(x)$
 $m \in \mathbb{N}, \{A_k\} \subset \mathcal{A}, \{\alpha_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}$
• HAS A FINITE RANGE
• ARE MEASURABLE
(UNIQUE) CANONICAL REPRESENTATION:
 $\{A_i\}$ PAIRWISE DISJOINT, $\{\alpha_i\}$ PAIRWISE DIFFERENT

\mathcal{A} -MEASURABLE
 $\forall a \in \mathbb{R} \{f > a\} \in \mathcal{A}$
 $\chi_A(x)$ FITS:
 $\begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 1 < a \\ 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq a \leq 1 \\ x & \text{if } a < 0 \end{cases}$

$\in \mathcal{A}$
 $\{f \geq a\} = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \{f > a - \frac{1}{k}\}$
 $\{f \geq \infty\} = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \{f > k\}$
 $f^{-1}(\text{BOREL SET}) \in \mathcal{A}$

MEASURE
 $\mu: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ ST.
 $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$
FOR A, B DISJOINT

$f_h \rightarrow f$
• POINTWISE:
 $\forall x \in X, \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} f_h(x) = f(x)$
• UNIFORM (STRONGER)
 $\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in X} |f_h(x) - f(x)| = 0$

$f \in C^0 \Rightarrow f$ IS \mathcal{M}^n MEASURABLE
PROOF:
 $f^{-1}((a, \infty]) = \text{OPEN SET IN } \mathbb{R} \text{ TOPOLOGY}$
IS OPEN BY CONTINUITY

STABLE UNDER:
→ POINTWISE LIMIT:
 $\{f_k\} \rightarrow f \Rightarrow f$ \mathcal{A} -MEAS.
→ SUM/PRODUCT (WHEN DEFINED)
→ COMPOSITION WITH C^0 (INVERSION PRESERVES OPENNESS)

f IS \mathcal{A} -MEASURABLE
 \Leftrightarrow
 f IS POINTWISE LIMIT OF SIMPLE FUNCTIONS

$f: X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$
 $f \wedge \varphi := \sup \{ \psi d\mu : \psi \leq f \}$
 $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \int_X |f| d\mu < \infty$
 $\int_X f d\mu = \int_X f_+ d\mu - \int_X f_- d\mu$

INTEGRABILITY ASSUMPTION

f \mathcal{A} -MEASURABLE $\Rightarrow \exists \{f_h\}$ POINTWISE CONVERGENT
 \hookrightarrow BOUNDED $\Rightarrow \exists \{f_h\}$ SIMPLE, UNIFORMLY CONVERGENT
(2x MONOTONE EXIST: INCREASING, DECREASING)

$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k(x) = \inf_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{m \geq k} f_m(x)$
 $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k(x) = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{m \geq k} f_m(x)$

LEBESGUE INTEGRAL MAKES NO ASSUMPTION OF CONTINUITY / OTHER REGULARITY

YOU CAN PROVE PROPERTIES THROUGH $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f_k d\mu = \int f d\mu$

YOU CAN USE SIMPLE FUNCTIONS TO APPROX. \mathcal{A} -MEASUR. FUNCTIONS

POSITIVE FUNCTION $f: X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$
 $\int f d\mu < \infty \Rightarrow \mu(\{f = \infty\}) = 0$
MARKOV INEQUALITY:
 $\mu(\{f \geq \lambda\}) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \int f d\mu, \lambda > 0$
 $\int f d\mu = 0 \Rightarrow \mu(\{f > 0\}) = 0$

$\left| \int f d\mu \right| \leq \int |f| d\mu$
 $\int |f| d\mu \leq \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)| \cdot \mu(\{f > 0\})$

THE LEBESGUE INTEGRAL IS INVARIANT TO MODIFICATIONS OVER A SET WITH MEASURE ZERO:
 $\mu, \nu \text{ a.e.} \Rightarrow \mu(\{ \text{THAT THING HAPPENS} \}) = 0$

$f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ BOUNDED,
 f IS RIEMANN INTEGRABLE $\Leftrightarrow \mu$ a.e.
LEBESGUE INTEGRABLE IS A SUPERSET OF RIEMANN INT. WHEN IT EXISTS, THE RIEMANN INTEGRAL IS EQUAL

FATOU'S LEMMA
 $\{f_k\}: X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$
 $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f_k d\mu \geq \int \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k d\mu$

THE VALUE OF THE INTEGRAL IS INDEPENDENT OF REPRESENTATION

• LINEARITY
• MONOTONICITY
• UNIFORM BOUND:
 $\int_X \varphi d\mu \leq (\sup_{x \in X} \varphi(x)) \mu(\{ \varphi > 0 \})$

$f_+ := \max\{f, 0\}$
 $f_- := \max\{-f, 0\}$
 $|f| = f_+ + f_-$

f INTEGRABLE:
 $\int_X |f| d\mu < \infty$

THE RESTRICTION $f \mapsto f|_E$ IS ALSO INTEGRABLE:
 $\int_E f d\mu = \int_X f \chi_E d\mu$

μ a.e. INDEPENDENT
 $f = g \Rightarrow \int f d\mu = \int g d\mu$
 $f \leq g \Rightarrow \int f d\mu \leq \int g d\mu$
 $|f| \leq 2 \Rightarrow \left| \int f d\mu \right| \leq 2 \mu(\{ |f| > 0 \})$
 f ACTUALLY STANDS FOR EQUIV. CLASS
 $[f] = \{g : g = f \text{ a.e.}\}$

MONOTONE CONVERGENCE
 $f_k: X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ SEQUENCE MEASURABLE, $f_k \leq f_{k+1}$ THEN
 $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f_k d\mu = \int \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k d\mu$
 f MEASURABLE,
 $\nu(A) = \int f d\mu$ IS MEASURE

DOMINATED CONVERGENCE
 $\{f_k\}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ MEASURABLE
 $f_k \rightarrow f$ POINTWISE μ a.e.
 $|f_k| \leq g \mu$ a.e. g MEASURABLE, NONNEGATIVE, INTEGRABLE
 $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int f_k d\mu = \int f d\mu$

NOTE THAT f BEING MEASURABLE IS A PREREQUISITE TO INTEGRABILITY

FOR INTEGRABILITY YOU JUST CHECK $\int |f| d\mu < \infty$

L^p NORM
 $\|f\|_{L^p(X, \mu)} = \left(\int_X |f|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, p \in (0, \infty)$
 $\|f\|_{L^\infty(X, \mu)} = \inf \{ \lambda \in [0, \infty] : |f(x)| \leq \lambda \mu \text{ a.e.} \}$
 $\|f\|_1 \leq \|f\|_2 \leq \|f\|_\infty$
 $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_X |f(x)|$
 $\|\lambda f\|_p = \lambda \|f\|_p, \lambda > 0$
 $\|f - g\|_p = 0 \Rightarrow f = g \mu \text{ a.e.}$
THE L^p EQUIVALENCE CLASS IS ALWAYS THAT OF μ a.e.

$(p, q) \in [1, \infty]^2$ CONJUGATE:
 $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, USING $\frac{1}{\infty} = 0$

L^p -SPACE IS A VECTOR SPACE OF EQUIVALENCE CLASSES
 $L^p(X, \mu) := \{ [f] : \|f\|_p < \infty \}$ ASSUMING $p \in [1, \infty]$

HOLDER INEQUALITY
 f, g MEASURABLE
 $\left| \int fg d\mu \right| \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_q$

CHECK THAT ALL OPERATIONS ARE ALWAYS INDEPENDENT OF CLASS REPRESENTATIVE

MINKOWSKI INEQUALITY
 $p \in [1, \infty], \|f\|_p, \|g\|_p < \infty$
 $\|f + g\|_p \leq \|f\|_p + \|g\|_p$
TRIANGLE INEQUALITY HOLDS ONLY IF $p \in [1, \infty]$

CONVERGENCE IN L^p
 $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|f_k - f\|_p = 0$
 $(p = \infty) \Rightarrow$ UNIFORM CONVERGENCE μ a.e.

$f_k \rightarrow f$ IN L^p ,
 $\exists k_m \uparrow \infty$ SUBSEQUENCE ST.
 $f_{k_m} \rightarrow f$ POINTWISE μ a.e.

SINCE $\left| \int f d\mu \right| \leq \int |f| d\mu$, IT MAKES SENSE IN THE L^p NORM TO USE THE MODULUS, AS IT IMPOSES A STRONGER REGULARITY ON f BEING FINITE: BOTH f^+ AND f^- MUST BE INTEGRABLE

HILBERT SPACE:
YOU CAN OBTAIN NORM FROM DOT BECAUSE THEY ARE TIGHTLY RELATED

METRIC/NORMED SPACE
• CAUCHY SEQUENCES CONVERGE
• COMPLETE SPACE
• VS. STRUCTURE
• BANACH SPACE
• INNER PRODUCT
• HILBERT SPACE

CAUCHY SEQUENCE:
 $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists h_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ ST.
 $\forall h_1, h_2 \geq h_0$
 $\|u_{h_1} - u_{h_2}\| \leq \epsilon$

COMPLETE SPACE:
ALL CAUCHY SEQ. CONVERGE IN THE SPACE
• FINITE DIM. NORMED IS ALWAYS COMPLETE
• $p \in [1, \infty] L^p(X, \mu)$ IS COMPLETE

HILBERT SPACE
BANACH SPACE WITH NATURAL INDUCED INNER PRODUCT (AKA. YOU CAN DEFINE ANGLES AND MAGNITUDE)

HILBERT BASIS (COUNTABLE SET)
 $\rightarrow \dim < \infty : \exists$ ORTHONORMAL $\{e_i\}$
 $v = \sum \langle v, e_i \rangle e_i$
PARSEVAL:
 $\|v\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle v, e_i \rangle^2$
CONSEQUENCE OF HILBERT STRUCTURE
• NORM IS BASIS INDEPENDENT
 $\rightarrow \dim = \infty$
 $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{H}$ COUNTABLE: ORTHO. + DENSE
 $\langle v, w \rangle = 0, v \neq w, v, w \in \mathcal{F}$
 $\|v\| = 1, v \in \mathcal{F}$
• $\forall v \in \mathcal{F}, \exists \{v_k\} \subset \text{SPAN}(\mathcal{F})$ ST. $v_k \rightarrow v$
AKA. \mathcal{H} IS THE CLOSURE OF \mathcal{F}

HERMITIAN INNER PRODUCT
 $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
• $v \mapsto \langle v, w \rangle$ (C-LINEAR) IN \mathcal{W}
• $\langle v, w \rangle = \overline{\langle w, v \rangle}$
• $\langle v, v \rangle \geq 0$ (=0 IFF $v=0$)
 $\|v\|^2 \in \mathbb{R}$, A NORM

HILBERT BASIS THM
 $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ WITH \mathcal{F}
 $\forall v \in \mathcal{H}, v_k := \langle v, e_k \rangle$
 $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v_k e_k \rightarrow v$
 $\|v\|^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |v_k|^2$

COMPLEX HILBERT SPACE
 $(\mathbb{C}^n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$
 \downarrow
HILBERT BASIS THM HOLDS

$L^2(X, \mu)$ IS ALSO (NOT ONLY) HILBERT SPACE:
 $\langle f, g \rangle = \int fg d\mu \rightarrow$ NORM
CAUCHY-SCHWARTZ
 $\langle f, g \rangle \leq \|f\| \|g\|$
POLARISATION IDENTITIES
 $\langle v, w \rangle = \frac{1}{4} (\|v+w\|^2 - \|v-w\|^2)$

X, \mathcal{A} σ -ALG ON X ,
 $\mu: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ MEASURE
 $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 $f(x) = u(x) + i v(x)$
 f MEASURABLE IFF u, v MEAS.

THE EXTENSION IS NATURAL:
 $\int f d\mu = \int u d\mu + i \int v d\mu$
 $\in \mathbb{C}$
• C-LINEAR
 $\left| \int f d\mu \right| \leq \int |f| d\mu$

IN $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{R}^2$, PARSEVAL SAYS $\|x\|^2$ IS PARALLELISATION INDEPENDENT, AKA. RADIUS IS CONSTANT IF YOU ROTATE THE VECTOR

THE RADIUS CHANGES IF YOU ROTATE THE VECTOR

$p=2$ IS QUITE SPECIAL BECAUSE THE SPACE IS HILBERT

$\{ [f] \}$ $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ MEASURABLE, $\int |f| d\mu < \infty$

CM8

FOURIER DECOMPOSITION

$f(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(k) e^{ikx}$ ($\hat{f}(k)$ BEING A COEFFICIENT)

$\left\{ \frac{e^{ikx}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} : k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \rightarrow$ HILBERT BASIS OF $L^2([-\pi, \pi], L^2(\mathbb{C}))$

USING THE L^2 INNER PRODUCT

$\sum_{k=-N}^N \hat{f}(k) e^{ikx} \rightarrow f$ $\in C^\infty$

- (L^p) POINTWISE L^2 a.e. UP TO TAKING A SUBSEQUENCE
- (L^2) POINTWISE L^2 a.e.
- (L^2) UNIFORMLY

$f(x) \in L^2([-\pi, \pi])$
 $\hat{f}(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) e^{-ikx} dx$
 $\bullet |\hat{f}(k)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|f\|_{L^2}$

PARSEVAL
 $\|f\|_{L^2}^2 = 2\pi \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\hat{f}(k)|^2$

TRICKS:
 \rightarrow REPLACE $\cos(x) = \frac{e^{ix} + e^{-ix}}{2}$
 \rightarrow USE PARSEVAL TO RELATE AN INFINITE SUM TO AN INTEGRAL

$f: [-\pi, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
 $\hat{f}(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) e^{-ikx} dx$
 $= \hat{f}(-k)$
 (A.K.A. $\lim(\hat{f}(k))$ IS AN ODD FUNCTION)

YOU CAN ALSO SEE THE SPAN OF \mathcal{F} AS $f(x) = p(e^{ix}) + q(e^{-ix})$, p, q COMPLEX POLYNOMIALS

FOURIER AND DERIVATIVES
 $\hat{f}'(k) = ik \hat{f}(k)$
 $\hat{f}^{(m)}(k) = (ik)^m \hat{f}(k)$

$\left. \begin{array}{l} \hat{f}'(k) = ik \hat{f}(k) \\ \hat{f}^{(m)}(k) = (ik)^m \hat{f}(k) \end{array} \right\} \text{ IS REGULAR ENOUGH}$

THE SHOOTER f , THE FASTER COEFFICIENTS DECAY:
 1. $f \in L^2$ HAS $2\pi \sum |\hat{f}(k)|^2 = \|f\|_{L^2}^2 < \infty$
 SO $\lim_{k \rightarrow \pm\infty} \hat{f}(k) = 0$
 2. $|\hat{f}(k)| \leq \frac{C}{|k|^n}$ IMPLIES $\sum |k|^m |\hat{f}(k)| < \infty$

ALSO HOLDS FOR L^1 BUT NOT FROM PARSEVAL'S IDENTITY

IT RELATES TO THE FINITENESS OF INFINITE SUMS

$\mathcal{A} \subset C(X, \mathbb{C})$ IS AN ALGEBRA: VS UNDER PRODUCT. ALSO,
 $\rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ CLOSED IN CONJUGATION: $f \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \bar{f} \in \mathcal{A}$
 $\rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ SEPARATES POINTS: $\forall x, y \in X, \exists f \in \mathcal{A}$ ST. $f(x) \neq f(y)$

STONE-WEIERSTRASS:
 $\bullet K$ COMPACT METRIC SPACE
 $\bullet \mathcal{A} \subset C(K, \mathbb{C})$ ALGEBRA
 $\bullet \mathcal{A}$ CONTAINS CONSTANTS, SEPARATES POINTS, CLOSED IN CONJUGATION
 \mathcal{A} IS DENSE IN $C(K, \mathbb{C})$

DENSITY OF C^0 IN L^p
 $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ OPEN, $1 \leq p < \infty$.
 $\forall f \in L^p(\Omega, L^1, \mathbb{C})$
 $\exists (f_n)_n \subset C(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C})$ ST. $f_n|_{\Omega} \rightarrow f$ IN L^p

N-VARIABLES
 $f(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \hat{f}(k) e^{ik \cdot x} \quad |x \in \mathbb{R}^n$
 $\hat{f}(k) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^n} f(x) e^{-ik \cdot x} dx$
 $\|f\|_{L^2}^2 = (2\pi)^n \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\hat{f}(k)|^2$
 $\frac{\partial \hat{f}}{\partial x_i}(k) = ik_i \hat{f}(k)$

EXPAND THE DOMAIN FROM $[-\pi, \pi]$ TO \mathbb{R}

FOURIER TRANSFORM

$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-i\xi x} dx$
 $\bullet \|\hat{f}\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|f\|_{L^1}$ (THE MAX COEFF. IN FOURIER IS BOUNDED BY THE MAX DEVIATION FROM 0 OF $f(x)$)
 $\mathcal{F}: L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C_0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$
 FOURIER OPERATOR IS 1-LIPSCHITZ

$C_c = \{ \text{COMPACTLY-SUPPORTED } f \}$
 $\forall f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}), \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ ST. $\|f - g\|_{L^1} \leq \epsilon$

ISOMETRIES (PRESERVE $\|f\|_{L^2}$)
 $\tau_h f: f(x-h) \rightarrow$ TRANSLATION
 $\sigma_\delta f: f(x/\delta) \rightarrow$ DILATION
 $\hat{\tau}_h f = e^{-i\xi h} \hat{f}(\xi)$
 $\hat{\sigma}_\delta f = \hat{f}(\xi/\delta)$

EXAMPLES
 $\bullet e^{-|x|} \leftrightarrow \frac{2}{1+\xi^2}$
 $\bullet e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} \leftrightarrow e^{-\frac{\xi^2}{2}}$
 \bullet YOU SHOULD TRY TO WRITE $f(x)$ AS A SUM OF e^{ikx} TO GET THE COEFFICIENTS DIRECTLY

CONVOLUTION
 $(f * g)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) g(x-y) dy$
 $\rightarrow \|f * g\|_{L^1} \leq \|f\|_{L^1} \|g\|_{L^1}$
 $\rightarrow (\frac{f}{\delta} * \frac{g}{\delta}) = \frac{1}{\delta} (f * g)$
 $\|f * g\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty} \|g\|_{L^1}$
 $f \in L^1, g \in C^\infty \rightarrow f * g \in C^\infty$ (ALSO IF COMPACTLY SUPPORTED)
 $\widehat{f * g} = \hat{f} \cdot \hat{g}(\xi)$

APPROXIMATION OF IDENTITIES
 $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ST. $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) dx = 1$
 $\sigma_\delta \varphi = \frac{1}{\delta} \varphi(\frac{x}{\delta})$ AS $\delta \rightarrow 0$, MASS GOES TO 0
 $f_\delta(x) = (f * \sigma_\delta \varphi)(x)$
 $f_\delta \rightarrow f$ IN L^1 AS $\delta \rightarrow 0$
 IF YOU TAKE THE LIMIT YOU GET THE DIRAC DISTRIBUTION, WITH $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \delta(x) dx = f(x)$

IF $f \in L^2$, THEN \hat{f} HAS NOT BE WELL-DEFINED, WITH $f(x) e^{ikx} \notin L^2$

IF THE FUNCTION IS L^2 , YOU SHOULD CHECK THAT THE FT IS INTEGRABLE

ADJOINT
 $\mathcal{F}^T = \mathcal{F}^{-1}$

FOURIER ANTI-TRANSFORM

$\mathcal{F}^* f = \hat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{i\xi x} d\xi$
 $\mathcal{F}^* \hat{f}(\xi) = f(x - \xi)$

PICK A CONVENTION FOR THE NORMALIZATION:
 A. $\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-i\xi x} dx$ (IN THE COURSE)
 $\hat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-i\xi x} dx \rightarrow \|\hat{f}\|_{L^2} = 2\pi \|f\|_{L^2}$
 B. $\hat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-i\xi x} dx$ RESULTS IN
 $\hat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-i\xi x} dx \rightarrow \|\hat{f}\|_{L^2} = \|f\|_{L^2}$

INNER PRODUCT
 \mathcal{F} IS ADJOINT OPERATOR
 $\{f, g\} \in L^2 \cap L^2 \Rightarrow \langle \mathcal{F}f, g \rangle = \langle f, \mathcal{F}g \rangle$
 $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{F}^* f = \mathcal{F}^* \mathcal{F} f = 2\pi f$ ASSUMING $f \in L^2 \cap L^2$
 $\|\mathcal{F}f\|_{L^2}^2 = 2\pi \|f\|_{L^2}^2$
 $\langle \hat{f}, \hat{g} \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}f, \mathcal{F}g \rangle = \langle f, \mathcal{F}^* \mathcal{F}g \rangle = \langle f, 2\pi g \rangle = 2\pi \langle f, g \rangle$
 \mathcal{F} ACTS LIKE A CHANGE OF BASIS

$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \bar{g}(x) dx$

IN THE FOURIER TRANSFORM,
 $e^{ix} \rightarrow e^{-i\xi x}$

OPERATOR PROPERTIES

$\mathcal{F}: L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow C_0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ INJECTIVE.
 $\hat{g} = \hat{f} \Rightarrow f = g$ L^1 a.e.
 $\mathcal{F}: L^2 \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$
 \mathcal{F} IS AN ENDOMORPHISM

\mathcal{F} OF DERIVATIVE

$f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ HAS $f, f' \in L^1$
 $\hat{f}'(\xi) = i\xi \hat{f}(\xi)$
 (IF YOU ADD $\|f'\|_{L^1}^2 = \|\hat{f}'\|_{L^2}^2 = 2\pi \|\xi \hat{f}\|_{L^2}^2$)
 FOR THE n th DERIVATIVE $\rightarrow \hat{f}^{(n)}(\xi) = (i\xi)^n \hat{f}(\xi)$
 A MORE REGULAR f ($\dots \in C^\infty$) CORRESPONDS TO A MORE INTEGRABLE \hat{f} (IS DEFINED)

DERIVATIVE OF \mathcal{F}

$\{f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) : x f(x) \text{ INTEGRABLE}\} \rightarrow \frac{d}{d\xi} \hat{f}(\xi) = -i x \hat{f}(\xi)$
 $\{f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) : x^m f(x) \text{ INTEGRABLE}\} \rightarrow \frac{d^m}{d\xi^m} \hat{f}(\xi) = (-ix)^m \hat{f}(\xi)$
 MORE REGULAR \hat{f} , MORE INTEGRABLE f

BOTH IDENTITIES RELATE \hat{f} THROUGH DERIVATIVES

HEAT EQUATION

$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x, t) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(x, t) \\ u(0, x) = \text{GIVEN} \end{cases}$
SOLVE:
 $0 = \mathcal{F}(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u)(\xi, t) = 0$
 $= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{u}(\xi, t) + \xi^2 \hat{u}(\xi, t)$
 $\hat{u}(\xi, t) = \hat{u}_0(\xi) e^{-\xi^2 t}$
 $2\pi u(x, t) = \mathcal{F}^*(\hat{u}(\xi, t)) = \mathcal{F}^*(\hat{u}_0(\xi) e^{-\xi^2 t})(x)$
 $u(x, t) = u_0 * P_t(x)$
 $u_0 * \sigma_{\sqrt{t}} P_t(x)$

CHECKING FOR WHAT $p \in [1, \infty], f \in L^p$

$\bullet p = \infty$: LOOK FOR A $\sup |f(x)|$ μ -a.e.
 IF $f \in C^0, \lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} f(x) = 0 \Rightarrow f \in L^\infty$

$\bullet p \in [1, \infty)$: FIND UPPER BOUND THAT CONVERGES ($f = O(g) \Rightarrow f \in L^p$)
 FIND LOWER BOUND THAT DIVERGES $\Rightarrow f \notin L^p$

$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|_p^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|_1^p dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|_2^p dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|_3^p dx$
 (STUDY BEHAVIOUR ONE AT A TIME)

TERM	SERIES	TRANSFORM
$\hat{f}(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) e^{-ikx} dx$	$f(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(k) e^{ikx}$	$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-i\xi x} dx$
$\ f\ _{L^2}^2 = 2\pi \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(k) ^2$		$\ f\ _{L^2}^2 = 2\pi \ \hat{f}\ _{L^2}^2$
$\hat{f}^{(n)}(k) = (ik)^n \hat{f}(k)$		$\hat{f}^{(n)}(\xi) = (i\xi)^n \hat{f}(\xi)$
$f \in C^m \Rightarrow \hat{f}(k) \leq \frac{C}{ k ^m}$		$\left(\frac{d}{d\xi} \hat{f}(\xi) \right) = (-ix)^m \hat{f}(\xi)$
$f \in C^1 \rightarrow$ FOURIER SERIES CONVERGES UNIFORMLY		SERIES/TRANSFORM ARE DEFINED FOR BOTH L^1, L^2
$f \in L^p \rightarrow$ FOURIER SERIES CONVERGES POINTWISE UP TO SSG.		
$f \in L^1 \rightarrow \lim_{k \rightarrow \pm\infty} \hat{f}(k) = 0$		PARSEVAL/PLANCHEREL HOLDS ONLY IN L^2 (ENSURES CONVERGENCE IN NORM OF SUMS)
$f \in L^2 \rightarrow$ FOURIER SERIES CONVERGES POINTWISE L^2 -a.e.		
$\sum k ^m \hat{f}(k) < \infty$		$f \in C^m$