

ASSUME THE ROOTS OF $p(z)$ ARE REAL

FOR EACH ROOT α_i , $\{e^{\alpha_i t}, t e^{\alpha_i t}, \dots, t^{m_i-1} e^{\alpha_i t}\}$

EACH SOLVES THE HOMOGENEOUS ODE, AND TOGETHER THEY ARE A BASIS OF THE SOLUTION SPACE.

PROOF

A) EACH SOLVES THE ODE

CONSTRUCT A 1:1 CORRESPONDENCE FROM A CHARACTERISTIC POLYNOMIAL TO THE LINEAR OPERATOR THAT DETERMINES u
 $\alpha(\dots) = \sum_{j=0}^n \mu_j \mu_{j+1} \dots$

$$\alpha(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n \mu_j z^j \quad (\text{CHARACTERISTIC POLYNOMIAL})$$

$$\alpha(D) := \sum_{j=0}^n \mu_j \frac{d^j}{dt^j} \quad (\text{LINEAR OPERATOR})$$

$$p(z) = (z - \alpha_1) \dots (z - \alpha_n)$$

$$\uparrow$$

$$= (\text{NON-0 } \alpha_i \text{ COMPONENTS}) \cdot (\text{0 } \alpha_i \text{ COMPONENTS})$$

$$p(D)u = 0 \quad (u \text{ IS A FUNCTION})$$

$$= q(D)[v(D)u] \quad (\text{SPLIT LIKE A POLYNOMIAL})$$

TO CHECK IF $t^j e^{\alpha t}$ IS A SOLUTION, CHECK $v(D)$ MAPS u TO ZERO. THIS Π REPRESENTS ITERATION

THIS IS EQUIVALENT TO: $(D - \alpha)^\Pi [h(t) e^{\alpha t}] = 0$
 FOR $h(t)$ POLYNOMIAL OF DEGREE $< \Pi$

$$-(\Pi-1) (D - \alpha) e^{\alpha t} = \frac{d}{dt} e^{\alpha t} - \alpha e^{\alpha t} = 0$$

$$-(\Pi \geq 2) \text{ WITH } (D - \alpha)^\Pi = (D - \alpha)^{\Pi-1} \circ (D - \alpha),$$

$$(D - \alpha)^\Pi [h(t) e^{\alpha t}] = (D - \alpha)^{\Pi-1} [h'(t) e^{\alpha t}]$$

$h'(t)$ HAS DEG $(h(t)) - 1$ SO THE EXPRESSION VANISHES IF AN INNER TERM VANISHES

B) THEY ARE INDEPENDENT

FOR ANY EIGENVALUE γ , $1 \leq m_\gamma \leq \Pi_\gamma$

FOR γ BEING AN EIGENVALUE, THERE IS $\Rightarrow \text{Ker}(A - \gamma I) \neq \{0\}$
 v EIGENVECTOR $m_\gamma \geq 1$

$$f(v) = Av$$

TAKE A BASIS OF v (EIGENSPACE) AND EXPAND IT TO V

$$P = [v_1 \dots v_n] \rightarrow A = PBP^{-1}$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 & * & 1 \\ 0 & \gamma & * & 2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & * & m_\gamma \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow (zI - B) = \begin{pmatrix} (z - \gamma)I & * & 1 \\ 0 & (z - \gamma)I & * & 2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & * & m_\gamma \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\det(zI - B) = (z - \gamma)^m \det(zI_{n-m} - \#2)$$

$$(A = PBP^{-1}) \rightarrow \det(zI - A) = \det(P(zI)P^{-1}) = \det(P) \det(zI - B) \det(P^{-1})$$

$$= \det(zI - B) \quad (A, B \text{ HAVE SAME POLYNOMIAL})$$

$$(z - \gamma)^m \text{ DIVIDES } \det(zI - A), \Pi_\gamma \geq m_\gamma$$

B, B^T HAVE THE SAME RANK

LET $\{v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(l)}\}$ BE A BASIS OF ROW SPACE \leftarrow AKA. BASIS OF IMAGE (B^T)

$$B^{(i)} = \sum_{k=1}^l c_{ik} v^{(k)} \quad (\text{EACH ROW IS A LINEAR COMBINATION OF THE BASES})$$

$$\text{YOU CAN WRITE } B \Rightarrow b_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^l c_{ik} v_j^{(k)}$$

FREZZING j , EACH COEFFICIENT OF b_{ij} IS A LINEAR COMBINATION OF c_{ik} AND THE SAME $v_j^{(k)}$ YOU EXTRACT THE VECTOR

EACH COLUMN B IS IN THE SPAN OF

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_{1,1} \\ \vdots \\ c_{n,1} \end{pmatrix} \dots \begin{pmatrix} c_{1,l} \\ \vdots \\ c_{n,l} \end{pmatrix}$$

THE LINEAR SPAN OF THE COLUMNS IS AT MOST $\text{RANK}(B^T)$

$$\text{RANK}(B) \leq \text{RANK}(B^T)$$

OTHER ARGUMENT $\text{RANK}(B) = \text{RANK}(B^T)$

A COMPLETE METRIC SPACE IS ONE WHERE EVERY CAUCHY SEQUENCE HAS A LIMIT IN THE SPACE

A DIAGONALISABLE IFF $\forall i: m_i = \Pi_i$

\Rightarrow - DIAGONALISABLE CAN FIND A BASIS OF EIGENVECTORS ST. $Av_j = \gamma_j v_j$ FOR γ_i ROOTS OF $p(z)$

$n_\gamma \neq 0$ WORKS SO $\gamma_j = \gamma$ (ALGEBRAIC MULTIPLICITY OF γ_j)

$n_\gamma = m_\gamma \leq \Pi_\gamma$ (m DIMENSION OF THE EIGENSPACE)

n_γ FORM A BASIS SO $\sum_\gamma n_\gamma = n$
 $n = \sum_\gamma n_\gamma = \sum_\gamma m_\gamma \leq \sum_\gamma \Pi_\gamma = n$ (SUM OF MULTIPLICITIES IS AT MOST THE DEGREE)

THIS MUST BE AN EQUALITY

\Leftarrow - IF $\forall \gamma: m_\gamma = \Pi_\gamma$, FIND A BASIS OF THE EIGENSPACE $\text{Ker}(A - \gamma I)$

THERE ARE n VECTORS \rightarrow SHOW LINEARLY INDEPENDENT

IF A COMBINATION IS DEPENDENT, $\sum_\gamma v_\gamma = 0$ (FOR SOME VECTORS)
 BUT THE SUM OF EIGENVECTORS FROM DIFFERENT γ CANNOT VANISH

THE SUM OF EIGENVECTORS FROM DISTINCT EIGENVALUES CANNOT VANISH

(THIS SIMPLY MEANS THAT EIGENSPACES ARE INDEPENDENT, NOT ORTHOGONAL)

- ASSUME A SUM CAN VANISH (CONTRADICTION)

- SELECT THE MINIMUM k , $k > 1$ BECAUSE v_j CANNOT BE $= 0$

$$0 = \sum v_i = \sum A v_i = \sum \lambda_i v_i$$

- SUBTRACT $\lambda_k (\sum v_i) = 0$ (THIS IS A NEW TERM THAT REMOVES THE LAST TERM $\lambda_k v_k$)

- THE LAST TERM IS REMOVED SO ONE CAN FIND A SMALLER SET

- THIS CONTRADICTS THE MINIMALITY

LIPSCHITZ PERTURBATION OF THE IDENTITY ARE CONTINUOUS

$$f(x) = x + g(x), \|g(x) - g(y)\| \leq \alpha \|x - y\|, \alpha \in [0, 1]$$

FOR $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ OPEN, $f(U)$ IS OPEN

• U IS OPEN $\rightarrow \forall x \in U \exists r > 0$ s.t. $B_r(x) \subseteq U$

• TO SHOW $f(U)$ IS OPEN, $B_r(f(x)) \subseteq f(B_r(x))$ (A NEIGHBOURHOOD OF THE MAPPING CONTAINS A MAPPING OF THE NEIGHBOURHOOD)

• PICK $r' = (1 - \alpha)r$, ASSUMING WLOG $x_0 = 0, f(x_0) = 0$

• $\forall y \in B_{r'}(x) : x + g(x) = y \quad F(x) := y - g(x) \rightarrow$ FIND A FIXED POINT

• $\overline{B_s(0)}$ TAKEN AS THE DOMAIN OF F (AN OPEN BALL WOULDN'T HAVE BEEN COMPLETE)

• APPLY BANACH FIXED POINT THM?

$$F : \overline{B_s(0)} \rightarrow \overline{B_s(0)} \quad \text{CHECK APPLICABILITY}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |F(x)| &= |y - g(x)| \leq |y| + |g(x)| \\ &\leq |y| + |g(x) - g(0)| \leq |y| + \alpha |x - 0| \end{aligned}$$

$$y \in B_{r'}(0), |y| \leq (1 - \alpha)r \quad \leq |y| + \alpha x$$

$$\text{PICK } s : \frac{|y|}{1 - \alpha} < s < r$$

$$|y| \leq s(1 - \alpha) \Rightarrow |y| + \alpha s \leq s$$

$$\text{HENCE } F(x) \in \overline{B_s(0)}$$

• F IS A CONTRACTION: \rightarrow YOU CAN APPLY BANACH THM

$$\begin{aligned} |F(x) - F(x')| &= |y - g(x) - y + g(x')| \\ &= |g(x) - g(x')| \leq \alpha |x - x'| \end{aligned}$$

$f(u)$ IS SHRUNK INTO THE BALL
NONEMPTY CONTINUITY, $\overline{B_s(0)} < r$ BY FOR

BANACH FIXED POINT THEOREM

(X, d) COMPLETE, $F : X \rightarrow X$ CONTRACTION: $\exists \alpha \in [0, 1)$

$$d(F(x), F(y)) \leq \alpha \cdot d(x, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X$$

THERE IS A UNIQUE $x = F(x)$

EXISTENCE

• FOR $x_0 \in X$, (x_n) IS $x_{n+1} := F(x_n)$

$$d(x_{n+2}, x_{n+1}) = d(F(x_{n+1}), F(x_n)) \leq \alpha \cdot d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \quad \forall n$$

$$d(x_{k+1}, x_k) \leq \alpha^k d(x_1, x_0) = \alpha^k \cdot C \quad (\text{AT } n \text{ DEPTH, SUCCESSIVE TERMS ARE } d(\dots) \leq \alpha^n d(0, 1))$$

$$l > n \rightarrow d(x_l, x_n) \leq C \sum_{k=n}^{l-1} \alpha^k = C \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha - \alpha^{l-n}}{1 - \alpha} \right)$$

- AS $l, n \rightarrow \infty, d(x_l, x_n) \rightarrow 0$ (CAUCHY SEQUENCE)

$$x_l, x_n \rightarrow x$$

- SINCE F IS CONTINUOUS, $\lim F(x_n) = F(\lim x_n)$

IF IN THE LINEARISATION ALL EIGENVALUES HAVE A NEGATIVE REAL PART 0_0 IS AN ASYMPTOTICALLY STABLE EQUILIBRIUM POINT FOR $u' = f(u)$

PROOF (ASSUMING REAL EIGENVALUES)

• $\{v_i\}$ IS A BASIS OF THE JORDAN FORM OF A .

• $\forall \epsilon > 0$, ONE CAN OBTAIN \tilde{f} WITH ϵ AT THE SUPERDIAGONALS USE $\{e^i v_i\}$ AS A BASIS:

$$\rightarrow A v_j = \lambda_j v_j + v_j \quad (\text{FOR } \lambda \text{ EIGENVALUE})$$

$$\rightarrow A(e^i v_j) = \lambda_j e^i v_j + \epsilon(e^{i-1} v_{j-1})$$

\rightarrow TAKE AS A BASIS

• IF p_0 IS EQUILIBRIUM: $f(p_0) = 0 \Rightarrow f(p) = A(p - p_0) + o(\|p - p_0\|)$

• FOR $u(t)$ STARTING NEAR p_0 : $\tilde{u}(t) = P^{-1}(u(t) - p_0)$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}' &= P^{-1} u' \\ &= P^{-1} A(u - p_0) + o(\|u - p_0\|) \\ &= P^{-1} (P \tilde{A} P^{-1})(u - p_0) + o(\|\tilde{u}\|) \\ &= \tilde{A} \tilde{u} + o(\|\tilde{u}\|) \end{aligned}$$

• SET $h(t) = \|\tilde{u}(t)\|^2$, λ IS THE MAX EIGENVALUE OF A

$$\begin{aligned} h' &= 2 \tilde{u}' \cdot \tilde{u} \\ &= 2(\tilde{A} \tilde{u} + o(\|\tilde{u}\|)) \cdot \tilde{u} \end{aligned}$$

• $\tilde{A}_{(i,j)}$ WITH $i \neq j$ IS ϵ AT $j = i+1$ AND ZERO ELSEWHERE

$$(\tilde{A} \tilde{u}) \cdot \tilde{u} = \sum_i \tilde{A}_{ii} v_i^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} \tilde{A}_{ij} v_i v_j \leq \sum_i (\lambda) v_i^2 + \sum_{k,h} \epsilon |v_k| |v_h| \leq -\lambda r^2 + \epsilon k r^2$$

$$h' \leq -2\lambda \|\tilde{u}\|^2 + 2\epsilon \|\tilde{u}\|^2 + o(\|\tilde{u}\|^2)$$

BOUNDED BY $\frac{1}{4} \|\tilde{u}\|^2$ FOR $\|\tilde{u}\| < r$, $r > 0$ (A.S. SMALL ENOUGH)

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{4} \Rightarrow h'(t) \leq -\lambda h \quad (\text{FOR } h < r)$$

• FOR $u(0) \approx p_0$, $\|\tilde{u}(0)\| < r$ AND $\|\tilde{u}\| < r \quad \forall t > 0$ s.t. $t \in I$ (DOMAIN OF u)

$\tau = \sup \{t > 0 : \|\tilde{u}(s)\| < r \quad \forall s \in [0, t]\}$ (TIMEFRAME WHERE THE TRAJECTORY IS INSIDE r)

$$\rightarrow \forall t \in [0, \tau] \Rightarrow \|\tilde{u}(t)\| < r \text{ AND } h' \leq 0 \Rightarrow h(t) \leq h(0)$$

• $\tau \geq \sup I$, OTHERWISE $s > \tau$ AND $\|\tilde{u}(s)\| \geq r$ WITH $\|\tilde{u}(0)\| \geq r$ FOR SOME s

• BY $h' \leq -\lambda h$, $0 \leq h(t) \leq e^{-\lambda t} h(0)$ SO $h(t) \rightarrow 0$ AS $t \rightarrow \infty$