MECN 4020 Systems Management and Integration

Group Peer Assessment

_____

Please evaluate each colleague in your project group according to the guidelines below by using the attached form (see last page) (submit with your reflection) by **MONDAY, 13 May 2019 with your Reflection**
PLEASE NOTE THAT THESE ASSESSEMENTS MAY AFFECT THE MARKS OF GROUP MEMBERS WHO HAVE NOT EQUALLY CONTRIBUTED TO THE GROUP EFFORT.

Please do <u>not</u> evaluate yourself.

<u>Guidelines for Assessment</u>
Allocate a mark between 0 (very poor) and 5 (excellent) in each appropriate block.

<u>Technical</u> refers to the technical competence, technical input and technical work contribution by your colleague. In other words, how did this colleague of yours impress you with his/her technical knowledge and skills? This would include aspects such as engineering calculations, formulation and solving of problems, costing, general engineering thinking, etc.

<u>Management</u> refers to the contribution of your colleague to the general coordination, taking of initiative, strategy formulation, structured approach and time- effective execution of your group's activities.

<u>Effort</u> Did your colleague put in the effort and hours (and more) as required to do the work properly?

<u>Professional and Ethical Behaviour</u> refers to aspects such as:

- being prepared to do his/her fair share of work;
- doing what he/she promised to do, on time;
- regular attendance of meetings, on time;
- not copying other peoples work;
- behaving professionally during group interactions, etc.

  A group member who did not contribute in a sensible way, but who still wants to be awarded the same mark as the rest of the group, can hardly be rated as "ethical" or "professional". If you believe that a specific team member did not make a notable professional contribution (in an ethical manner) to the group's activities and that he/she therefore did not achieve the ECSA outcomes of professional and ethical behaviour within a multi-disciplinary team, then you must award a mark less than 3 in this column and briefly provide reasons in the "Related comment" column to support your decision. If necessary, an additional page with related comments and notes may be attached neatly to the form that you submit.

MECN 4020 Systems Management and Integration
Confidential Colleague Assessment - 2019

_____

Your Group No.: _____

Your student No: _____

Your Name: _____

Your Signature: _____*Date:* _____

Assessment of your colleagues (team members)

Student Number	Initials and Surname	Technical (0-5)	Management (0-5)	Effort (0-5)	Professional and Ethical Behaviour (0-5)	Related comment

Allocate a *mark between* **0 (very poor)** *and* **5 (excellent)** *in each appropriate block*

* If you believe that a *specific team member did not make* a *notable professional contribution (in an ethical manner) to the group's activities and that he/she therefore did not achieve the ECSA outcomes of professional and ethical behaviour within* a *multi-disciplinary team, then you must award* a *mark less than 3 in this column and provide* a *brief comment. You may* also *attach* a *separate page (stapled to this one) with comments, if* necessary.

HAND THIS PAGE IN WITH YOUR INDIVIDUAL REFLECTION