

## University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg School of Electrical and Information Engineering ELEN4003

	ROJECT ASSESSMENT FORM - INDIVIDUAL REPORT)					Student Name: Lekeno Teboho Student Number: 110992			Overal	l Mark:	55
	Unacceptable	Poor	Acceptable	Good	Excellent	Brief description of outcome	Justification for outcome rating if NOT rated Acceptable				
Background & Problem Understanding		x				Identification of requirements, assumptions, success criteria and constraints. Contextualisation with respect to relevant literature and existing solutions.	Poor literature review and extremly short introduction section.				
Quality of Engineering Output				х		Quality of output achieved (functionality, maintainability, reliability). Evidence of insight, originality or attention to detail. Application of appropriate engineering methodology to arrive at output.	Good design procedure although left out some important aspects of the bushing such as corona and e-field in the bushing region on interface with the climate chamber.				
Critical Analysis & Evaluation			х			Validation and critique of final output. Discussion of tradeoffs. Recommendations for future work and possible improvements.					
Technical Communication			х			Quality as a professional & technical document: target audience; logical structure; style, language and tone; support material (graphical/tabular/math); Format; citation & referencing.	Acceptable report writing skills.				
Rating	General Interpretation						1	Submi	tted deliverabl	es late?	
Unacceptable	No evidence provided; invalid/irrelevant approach, method, execution; completely flawed.						Late Submission (Penalty on Final Mark)  Within 1 Hour: -5% Penalty:				
Poor .	One or more major flaws, otherwise complete; one or more components very poor.									Penalty:	0
Acceptable	No more th	nan mino	r flaws, othe	erwise co	mplete; n	11	See Se	chool policy on	late submis	sions.	
Good	Shows insight; some distinguishing feature(s).										
Excellent	Exceptiona	al insight	and multiple	e distingu	ishing fe	Mark Adjustment					
All outcomes ar	es are weighted equally.							(Examiners Discretion) ±2%			
Examiner's Overall Comments:	A margi	nally a	cceptable	report			D	ate: 6/05/201	9		