Discrete

Joint CDF:

$$F_{X,Y}(x,y) = P(X \le x, Y \le y)$$

Joint PMF:

$$p_{X,Y}(x,y) = P(X = x, Y = y).$$

Such that

$$\sum_{x} \sum_{y} P(X = x, Y = y) = 1.$$

Marginal PMF:

$$P(X = x) = \sum_{y} P(X = x, Y = y).$$

Conditional PMF:

$$P(Y = y | X = x) = \frac{P(X = x, Y = y)}{P(X = x)}.$$

Continuous

Joint CDF:

$$F_{X,Y}(x,y) = P(X \le x, Y \le y)$$

Joint PDF:

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} F_{X,Y}(x,y).$$

Such that

$$f_{X,Y}(x,y) \ge 0$$
, and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx dy = 1$.

Marginal PDF:

$$f_X(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X,Y}(x,y)dy.$$

Conditional PDF:

$$f_{Y|X}(y|x) = \frac{f_{X|Y}(x|y)f_{Y}(y)}{f_{X}(x)},$$

b) As an example, suppose X and Y have joint pdf $f_{xy}(x,y) = \lambda^2 e^{-\lambda y} I_{(0 < x < y)}$. Show that the marginal distributions are $X \sim \text{Exponential}(\lambda)$ and $Y \sim \text{Gamma}(2,\lambda)$.

$$f_{xy}(x,y) = \lambda^2 e^{-\lambda y} I_{(0 \le x \le y)}$$

$$\text{Marginal pensity found by integrating with opposite variable}$$

$$f_{x}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x,y) \, dy \qquad f_{y}(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x,y) \, dx$$

$$f_{x}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda^2 e^{-\lambda y} \, dy \qquad \text{bounds determined by indicator (y must be greater than x)}$$

$$f_{x}(x) = \lambda^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda y} \, dy \qquad \text{bounds determined by indicator (y must be greater than x)}$$

$$f_{x}(x) = \lambda^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda y} \, dy \qquad \text{marginal}$$

$$f_{x}(x) = \lambda^2 \frac{1}{2} e^{-\lambda y} \, dy \qquad \text{marginal shows } x = \exp(\lambda)$$

$$f_{y}(y) = \int_{0}^{y} \lambda^2 e^{-\lambda y} \, dx = y \frac{\lambda^2 e^{-\lambda y}}{\lambda^2} I_{(0 \le x \le y)} \qquad \text{marg polf shows } y = Ciamma(2, \lambda)$$

c) Show that Y|X = x is a translated Exponential, and X|Y = y is Uniform.

$$f_{Y|X}(y|x) = \frac{f_{XY}(x,y)}{f_{X}(x)} = \frac{\lambda^{2}e^{-\lambda x}}{\lambda e^{-\lambda x}} \frac{1_{(0 < x < y)}}{1_{(0 < x < y)}}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda y}}{e^{-\lambda x}}$$

$$= \lambda e^{-\lambda (y - x)} \frac{1_{(x < y)}}{1_{(x < y)}}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda^{2}e^{-\lambda y}}{f_{Y|Y}(x|y)} = \frac{f_{XY}(x,y)}{f_{Y|Y}(y)}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda^{2}e^{-\lambda y}}{f_{Y|Y}(x|y)}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda^{2}$$

d) Review the factorization theorem for independence. Show that X and Y are not independent, but that X and Y-X are independent.

factorization theorem for indupendunce:

Y, Y indupendunt lef $f_{xy}(x,y) = g_i(x)g_i(y)$ in other words, joint PDF factors into product of marginal PDFs or joint PMF factors into product of marginal PMFs that depend on one variable only

$$f_{xy}(x) = \lambda^2 e^{-\lambda y} I_{(0 < x < y)}$$
 Intuitively indicator snows not independent $f_y(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x} I_{(x>0)}$ for independent $f_y(y) = y \lambda^2 e^{-\lambda y} I_{(0 < x < y)}$ for $f_y(y) = y \lambda^2 e^{-\lambda y} I_{(0 < x < y)}$

Show that X and Y - X are independent:

$$\chi_1 = \chi$$
 \Rightarrow $\chi = \chi_1$
 $\chi_2 = \gamma - \chi$ \Rightarrow $\gamma = \chi_1 + \chi_2$

change of variables \Rightarrow $f(x,y) = f(\tau(u,v))$ dut $D\tau(u,v)$

$$\frac{9(x',\lambda)}{9(x',\lambda)} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{9x'}{9\lambda'} & \frac{9x'}{9\lambda'} \\ \frac{9x'}{9\lambda'} & \frac{9x'}{9\lambda'} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

4 Jacobian is the determinant of a 2×2 matrix

$$f_{x_{1}x_{2}}(x_{1}, x_{2}) = f_{x_{1}}(x_{1}, x_{1} + x_{2}) \cdot 1$$

$$f_{x_{1}x_{2}}(x_{1}, x_{2}) = h^{2}e^{-h(x_{1} + x_{2})} I_{(x_{1} > 0)} (he^{-hx_{2}} I_{(x_{2} > 0)})$$

since $f_{x_1x_2}(x_1,x_2)$ is able to be factored into factors containing only y_1 or y_2 , y_1 and y_2 are indupendunt, so y_1 and y_2 are indupendunt

e) A bivariate differential argument approximates $P(X \in [x, x+dx), Y \in [y, y+dy))$ by $f_{xy}(x, y)dxdy$. Use this approach to show that this is the joint pdf for the time of the first event (x) and the time of the second event (y) for a Poisson process with rate λ events per unit time. Show this agrees with what you find setting $X = X_1$ and $Y = X_1 + X_2$, for $X_1, X_2 \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim}$ Exponential (λ) .

```
thus is the same loint bolt as the one me tona muon

\frac{1}{1} \times \frac{1}{1} \times
```